

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

## Current Thinking On Development of New Criteria

Elizabeth Doyle  
OST, OW, USEPA  
October 6, 2009  
Chicago, IL



## Approach To Criteria Development

- Data-centric process
- Active, ongoing research will shape the criteria
  - Application of new technologies
  - Scope and approach
  - Available tools
  - Limitations for 2012 criteria
  - Goals for next iteration

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Other Considerations

- Input from other EPA Offices and Regions
- Stakeholder input
- Studies conducted by researchers outside of EPA
- Clarity and transparency of decision-making

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Scoping the Criteria

- Break out issues by topic area
- Frame key questions
- Evaluate available data to answer questions
- Identify reasonable approach for criteria
  - Technical concerns
  - Implementation concerns
- Develop final draft for public comment

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Topic Areas for Discussion

- Water Body Type
- Fecal Indicators
- Analytical Methods for Fecal Indicators
- Target Population
- Human Health Endpoint
- Tolerable Illness Level
- Statistical Correlation/Data Analysis
- Expression of Criteria Value
- Sources of Fecal Contamination

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Water Body Type

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ <b>1986 Criteria</b></li><li>▪ A numeric AWQC value for all marine waters and a different numeric value for all fresh waters (e.g., marine, estuary, Great Lakes, other lakes, rivers, streams)</li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ <b>OST's Current Thinking</b></li><li>▪ Develop a limited number of AWQC values for all water body types covered under the CWA (e.g., marine and fresh; coastal, lakes, reservoirs, and flowing fresh waters) at the same risk level.</li></ul> |
|---|---|

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Water Body Type - Considerations

- Similar approach to 1986
- Criteria are for use in multiple Clean Water Act programs
- Will require evaluation of EPA and non-EPA epidemiology data
- Additional considerations of fate and transport
- May include a policy component

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Fecal Indicators

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>1986 Criteria and/or 2004 Rule</b></li> <li>▪ Marine: Enterococcus indicator (measured by culture method)</li> <li>▪ Fresh water: Enterococcus or <i>E. coli</i> indicator (measured by culture method)</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>OST's Current Thinking</b></li> <li>▪ Indicator(s) measured by qPCR and/or culture methods available for criteria</li> </ul> |
|--|--|

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Fecal Indicators - Considerations

- New criteria will be based upon fecal indicators, not direct measurement of pathogens
- Consistent with 1986/2004
- Based on assumption that occurrence of fecal material in water is primary source of pathogens
- Correlation between indicators and illness seen in EPA fresh water data
- May add/change indicators depending upon developing data

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Analytical Methods for Fecal Indicators

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>1986 Criteria and/or 2004 Rule</b></li> <li>▪ EPA Method 1600 for enterococci</li> <li>▪ EPA Method 1603 for <i>E. coli</i></li> <li>▪ Other approved vendor methods for enterococci and <i>E. coli</i></li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>OST's Current Thinking</b></li> <li>▪ Analytical methods may be developed for different CWA programs</li> <li>▪ Rapid (qPCR) for beach notification and monitoring</li> <li>▪ Rapid and/or culture methods for compliance with other CWA programs</li> </ul> |
|--|--|

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Analytical Methods for Fecal Indicators - Considerations

- BEACH Act requires EPA to include a "revised list of testing methods as appropriate" in criteria publication
- "Rapid" methods are important for beach notification and advisories
  - Currently pending BEACH Act legislation defines rapid as 6 hours after commencement of the test method in the laboratory (House bill) or 4 hours after receipt of the sample at the facility (Senate bill)
  - qPCR is the "rapid" method under consideration at this time
- Major task is to link results of culture and qPCR so different methods can be use for different CWA programs while linked to the same risk level
  - Requires synthesis of multiple data sets
  - Very important effort for providing flexibility to use a range of analytical methods to measure water quality

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Target Population

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>1986 Criteria and/or 2004 Rule</b></li> <li>▪ General population</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>OST's Current Thinking</b></li> <li>▪ Derive a criteria value protective of children, provided data allow</li> </ul> |
|---|--|

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*





## Target Population - Considerations

- Epidemiology data from EPA studies has a full range of age groups
- Children are less numerous than adults
- Other epidemiology data sets focus primarily on adults
- Comparison across data from multiple data sources may warrant developing an approach to protect children while using adult data

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Human Health Endpoint

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>1986 Criteria and/or 2004 Rule</b></li> <li>▪ HCGI-1 includes any one of the following or combinations of             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– (1) vomiting</li> <li>– (2) diarrhea with fever or a disabling condition</li> <li>– (3) stomach ache or nausea <u>accompanied by a fever</u></li> <li>– 8 to 10 day follow up</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>OST's Current Thinking</b></li> <li>▪ HCGI-3             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– a) Diarrhea (3 or more loose stools in a 24 hour period) or,</li> <li>– b) vomiting, nausea and stomach ache, or</li> <li>– c) nausea or stomach ache and impact on activity</li> <li>– 10 to 12 day follow up</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ Include other illnesses if significant and have indicators that reflect risk</li> </ul> |
|---|---|

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*





## Human Health Endpoint - Considerations

- HCGI-3 is a less severe definition of illness than HCGI-1
  - HCGI-3 definition requires only a single effect
  - HCGI-1 definition requires multiple effects including fever
- Implication: *apparent* increase in illness using HCGI-3 because easier to qualify as ill
- Use of HCGI-3 rather than HCG-1 will likely impact the criteria value and its interpretation

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Tolerable Illness Level

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ <b>1986 Criteria and/or 2004 Rule</b></li><li>▪ 0.8% to 1.0% HCGI-1 in freshwater</li><li>▪ 1.9% HCGI-1 in marine</li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ <b>OST's Current Thinking</b></li><li>▪ Goal is to be as health protective as 1986 criteria (to be determined how this will be defined)</li></ul> |
|---|---|

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Tolerable Illness Level - Considerations

- EPA has developed an approach for converting between illness definitions
- EPA will be considering this approach to develop a comparable risk level from new epidemiology studies compared to the 1986 data
- Committed to common level of public health protection in all waters of the US

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Statistical Correlation/Data Analysis

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>1986 Criteria and/or 2004 Rule</b></li> <li>▪ Correlation between HCGI-1 and indicator density</li> <li>▪ Steady state geometric mean</li> <li>▪ Single Sample Maximum (SSM)</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>OST's Current Thinking</b></li> <li>▪ Correlation between HCGI-3 and indicator density</li> <li>▪ Beach notification and NPDES – a value that allows some reflection of variability for a sample (short-term measure)</li> <li>▪ Assessment– geometric mean (longer term measure)</li> </ul> |
|---|--|

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Statistical Correlation/Data Analysis - Considerations

- Criteria value will be health-based
- Criteria value will draw upon the illness/indicator relationship from EPA & to the extent practicable non-EPA epidemiology studies
- Short term measure is important for "rapid" determinations in beach monitoring and advisories
- Longer term measure may make more sense for other CWA purposes such as assessment and listing

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Expression of Criteria Value

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>1986 Criteria and/or 2004 Rule</b></li> <li>▪ Bacteriological density expressed in cfu/100ml (cultural method)</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>OST's Current Thinking</b></li> <li>▪ A numeric value that is protective of public health</li> <li>▪ Cultural methods expressed in bacteriological density (cfu/100ml)</li> <li>▪ qPCR results (possibly expressed in CEU/100ml)</li> </ul> |
|---|---|

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Expression of Criteria Value -Considerations

- Currently considering single indicator density, not a range
  - Ranges have implications for implementation
  - WHO binning approach is not consistent with concept of common risk levels
- Focus will be on level of indicators associated with tolerable illness level

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Sources of Fecal Contamination

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>1986 Criteria and/or 2004 Rule</b></li> <li>▪ Criteria are for all sources of fecal contamination and have same numeric AWQC</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>OST's Current Thinking</b></li> <li>▪ Develop numeric AWQC applicable to all sources of fecal contamination—that is, will not likely have different indicator levels based on source of fecal contamination</li> </ul> |
|---|--|

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Sources of Fecal Contamination - Considerations

- Most EPA epidemiology data have evaluated illness due to POTW impacts
- 2009 study added urban runoff source
- Other non-EPA data will be considered
- To date, no data have been identified to support criteria that quantitatively takes into account whether the source of fecal contamination is animal or human

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



## Moving Forward

- Begin to evaluate data and available information
  - EPA data
  - Other developing data
- Integrate developing data into criteria

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of  
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*

