















US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

# National Water Program Guidance



## Appendix: FY 2012 Final Performance Measure Commitments

## Table of Contents

<b>Abstract and Introduction</b>	<b>iii</b>		<b>8) Chesapeake Bay</b>	<b>18</b>
 <b>1) Water Safe to Drink</b>	<b>1</b>		<b>9) Gulf of Mexico</b>	<b>19</b>
 <b>2) Safe Fish and Shellfish</b>	<b>4</b>		<b>10) Long Island Sound</b>	<b>20</b>
 <b>3) Safe Swimming</b>	<b>5</b>		<b>11) Puget Sound</b>	<b>20</b>
 <b>4) Water Quality</b>	<b>5</b>		<b>12) U.S.-Mexico Border</b>	<b>21</b>
 <b>5) Oceans/Coastal</b>	<b>13</b>		<b>13) Pacific Islands</b>	<b>21</b>
 <b>6) Wetlands</b>	<b>14</b>		<b>14) South Florida</b>	<b>22</b>
 <b>7) Great Lakes</b>	<b>16</b>		<b>15) Columbia River</b>	<b>23</b>



## ABSTRACT

In April of 2011, the National Water Program published guidance describing strategies for meeting the water related goals established in the FY 2011-2015 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Strategic Plan* and defining the measures to be used to assess progress in meeting the goals in the *Strategic Plan* in FY 2012. The National Water Program measures are in Goal 2, Protecting America's Waters, of the *FY 2011-2015 Strategic Plan*, published on September 30, 2010. Water subobjectives that were in Goal 4 in the previous *Strategic Plan* are now in Goal 2 of the new *Strategic Plan*.

Some of these measures included "targets," or increments of progress, that might be accomplished under the measure in FY 2012. The *National Water Program Guidance* (NWPG), published in April, described a process for EPA regions to work with states and tribes over the summer of 2011 to refine these "targets" into more formal "commitments" and to reflect these commitments in FY 2012 state and tribal performance partnership agreements or other grant agreements and work plans.

This supplemental Appendix to the final FY 2012 *National Water Program Guidance*, includes measures with targets that will be used to judge the progress of the National Water Program in FY 2012, at both the national and EPA regional levels, and identifies the final "commitments" for progress that were agreed upon as a result of the recent collaborative process among EPA, states, and tribes. Indicator measures, measures without targets/commitments, are also included in this supplemental Appendix with updated baselines and universes, where applicable. Since this Appendix is a supplement to the FY 2012 *National Water Program Guidance*, published in April 2011, it is not intended to be a stand alone document.

## INTRODUCTION

### OVERVIEW – EPA *Strategic Plan*

The *National Water Program Guidance* is based on the five-year EPA *Strategic Plan*. The *Strategic Plan* focuses on a limited number of areas where the Agency believes new or significant changes in strategies or performance measurement are most critical in helping EPA to better achieve and measure environmental and human health outcomes. The Agency released the *FY 2011-2015 Strategic Plan* on September 30, 2010. Implementing the *Strategic Plan* has required adjustment to the structure of the goals, objectives, subobjectives, and measures. EPA's water programs were addressed in both Goal 2 and Goal 4 in the previous *Strategic Plan*. All water programs are now contained within Goal 2, Protecting America's Waters, of the new *Strategic Plan*. The complete text of EPA *Strategic Plans* can be found at [http://water.epa.gov/resource\\_performance/planning](http://water.epa.gov/resource_performance/planning). Two objectives in Goal 2 define specific environmental or public health results related to water that are to be accomplished by 2015. These objectives are organized into fifteen subobjectives in the National Water Program. EPA Region 6, the Lead Region for Water, provides overall regional coordination.

**Table I - National Water Program Subobjectives**

<b>Subobjective</b>	<b>Lead National/Regional EPA Office or Program</b>
1) Water Safe to Drink	Office of Groundwater and Drinking Water (OGWDW)
2) Fish and Shellfish Safe to Eat	Office of Science and Technology (OST)
3) Water Safe for Swimming	Office of Science and Technology (OST)
4) Improve Water Quality on a Watershed Basis	Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds (OWOW)
5) Improve Coastal and Ocean Waters	Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds (OWOW)
6) Increase Wetlands	Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds (OWOW)
7) Improve the Health of the Great Lakes	Great Lakes National Program Office (GLNPO)
8) Improve the Health of the Chesapeake Bay Ecosystem	Chesapeake Bay Program Office (CBPO)
9) Restore and Protect the Gulf of Mexico	Gulf of Mexico Program Office (GMPO)
10) Restore and Protect Long Island Sound	Long Island Sound Program Office (LISPO)
11) Restore and Protect the Puget Sound Basin	Region 10 / Puget Sound Program
12) Sustain & Restore the U.S.-Mexico Border Environmental Health	Office of Wastewater Management (OWM)
13) Sustain & Restore Pacific Island Territories	Region 9 / Pacific Islands Office
14) Restore and Protect the South Florida Ecosystem	Region 4 / South Florida Office
15) Restore and Protect the Columbia River Basin	Region 10 / Columbia River Program

## NATIONAL WATER PROGRAM – FY 2012 GUIDANCE

In April 2011, the National Water Program published guidance that described the program strategies to be used to implement the *2011-2015 Strategic Plan* in FY 2012, including specific measures to be used to assess program implementation. The *National Water Program Guidance* (NWPG) contains five major components: program strategies; measures of progress; description of the management system; links to program grants; and focus areas (e.g. environmental justice, children's health, urban waters, climate change). It can be found at [http://water.epa.gov/resource\\_performance/planning](http://water.epa.gov/resource_performance/planning).

### Measures of Progress

The FY 2012 *National Water Program Guidance* includes all measures related to water programs, including the environmental/public health measures stated in the EPA *Strategic Plan* (i.e. strategic targets) and the measures of activity in a range of program areas that support each subobjective (i.e. "Program Activity Measures" or "PAMs"). PAMs address annual activities to be implemented by EPA headquarters, EPA regional offices, or by states/tribes that administer national programs. They are the basis for monitoring progress in implementing programs to accomplish the environmental improvements described in the *Strategic Plan*. Some PAMs include management targets for FY 2012. For these measures, the NWPG provided FY 2012 performance commitment for each EPA region and/or for the country as a whole. Other PAMs – indicator measures – do not include targets/commitments and simply report progress achieved. This supplemental *Appendix* includes performance measures that have final commitments to targets provided in the FY 2012 NWPG and indicator measures.

During FY 2012, the Office of Water will track progress in program implementation and improve program performance based on evaluation feedback (see Section V of the FY 2012 NWPG). This supplemental Appendix supports program implementation and assessment by providing a summary of final commitments to performance measures, where applicable, from the FY 2012 NWPG. For tracking progress, prior years' commitments and end-of-year results are provided in shaded rows below each measure featured in this Appendix. The combination of this supplemental Appendix and the FY 2012 *National Water Program Guidance* contain the complete set of measures, including those with targets/commitments and indicator measures, and strategies for the National Water Program. These two documents provide the comprehensive basis for assessing progress in FY 2012.

### Description of the Program Management System

EPA regional offices, states, and tribes worked during the spring and summer of 2011 to further define regional and state priorities and develop state and regional "commitments" based on the "targets" in the FY 2012 NWPG. The process of tracking measures and commitments is supported by the EPA Annual Commitment System (ACS). The ACS provides a forum for EPA regions and national program offices to exchange "bids" on commitments and reach agreement on final commitments. Unless indicated otherwise, commitments and results presented in this Appendix reflect data from ACS.

## Information on Program Grants to States and Tribes

EPA provides a wide range of grant funding to states, tribes, and others to implement clean water and drinking water programs and projects. The Office of Water places a high priority on effective grants management, and the FY 2012 NWPG provides directions for FY 2012 for most grants and emphasizes linking grants to the achievement of environmental results as laid out in the *Strategic Plan*.

A key step in assuring a strong linkage between grants and environmental results is inclusion of measure reporting and commitments in state performance partnership agreements and state and tribal grant agreements or related work plans. More information about grants management is available in Section V of the FY 2012 NWPG. A table of key water grant programs with applicable FY 2012 grant guidance can be found at [http://water.epa.gov/resource\\_performance/planning/FY-2012-National-Water-Program-Guidance](http://water.epa.gov/resource_performance/planning/FY-2012-National-Water-Program-Guidance).

### Key to Measure Groups in the FY 2012 Final Commitment Table

Measure Type	Key	Definition
ARRA measure	ARRA	Measures used to report on Recovery Act activities
OMB Program Assessment measure	OMB PA	Measure from OMB program assessments
Budget measure	BUD	Measure with FY 2012 target in 4-year table in FY 2013 OMB Submission*
State Grant measure	SG	Measure reported in selected state grant programs
Strategic Plan measure	SP	Measures in the FY 2011-2015 Strategic Plan
Key Performance Indicator	KPI	Measures reported to the Deputy Administrator during the fiscal year
Indicator measure	I	Measures reported annually, but without targets/commitments

\* Budget Targets are subject to change in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification budget process.

NOTE: FY 2011 End-of-Year results are from ACS as of the end of November 2011 with limited exceptions.

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
<b>Subobjective 2.1.1 Water Safe to Drink</b>																	
SDW-211	Percent of the population served by community water systems that receive drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards through approaches including effective treatment and source water protection.	OMB PA BUD SG ARRA	91%	91%	89.4%	89%	78%	90%	92%	94%	85%	80%	91%	95%	91%		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			93.2%	93.2%	91%	84%	89%	96%	96%	91%	92%	94%	97%	97%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			91%	89.8%	89%	76%	90%	93%	93%	87%	85%	91%	95%	91%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			91.4%	91.4%	91.3%	82.4%	96.6%	94.2%	93.2%	90.3%	81.6%	93.2%	96%	92.2%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			92%	92%	92%	79%	89.9%	93.7%	95.4%	89.7%	94.1%	95.8%	96.9%	96.4%		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			89%	89%	92.5%	55.3%	93.2%	93%	94.1%	87.8%	91.2%	94.7%	94.6%	94.8%		
	<b>FY 2011 UNIVERSE (in millions)</b>			299.9	299.9	15	32.2	25.7	58.6	43.5	38.1	12.0	10.9	52.0	11.5		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	The universe represents the population served by community water systems. The National commitment for FY11 is higher than the regional aggregate commitment to be consistent with the FY11 budget target.															
SDW-SP1.N11	Percent of community water systems that meet all applicable health-based standards through approaches that include effective treatment and source water protection.	OMB PA BUD SG SP	90%	90%	87.8%	83%	83%	87%	90.5%	93%	85%	85%	90%	88%	88%		90%
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			90.7%	90.7%	85%	87%	93%	94%	90%	88%	90%	88%	91%			
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			88%	87.8%	83%	83%	87%	90%	91%	86%	87%	90%	88%	88%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			89.6%	89.6%	84.8%	85%	91%	91.7%	93.9%	88.8%	87.2%	89.4%	87.8%	89.6%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			89.1%	89.1%	85.7%	86%	90.7%	90.9%	93%	87.8%	87.5%	90%	87.9%	88%		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			89%	89%	85.7%	86.4%	91.8%	91%	92%	86.2%	86.8%	90.3%	91.6%	87.3%		
	<b>FY 2011 UNIVERSE</b>			52,079	52,079	2,735	3,733	4,476	8,891	7,369	8,358	4,128	3,281	4,646	4,462		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY08.															
SDW-SP2	Percent of "person months" (i.e. all persons served by community water systems times 12 months) during which community water systems provide drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards.	OMB PA BUD KPI	95%	95%	94.1%	94%	90%	91%	95%	96%	94%	90%	95%	98%	95%		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			97.4%	97.4%	97%	95%	96%	98%	98%	96%	97%	97%	99%	99%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			95%	94.9%	94%	90%	95%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	98%	95%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			96.7%	96.7%	98%	93.5%	91%	98.3%	96.6%	96.6%	96.9%	98%	98.6%	98.4%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			97.2%	97.2%	97.5%	91.9%	96.9%	98.3%	97.8%	96.2%	98.2%	99%	98.6%	98.7%		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			97%	97%	99%	92%	99%	98%	96%	97%	98%	99%	97%	98%		
	<b>FY 2011 UNIVERSE (in millions)</b>			3.59	3.59	180.9	387.3	308.4	703.7	521.4	458.2	144.9	131	624	138.0		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Indicator measure in FY07.															
SDW-SP3.N11	Percent of the population in Indian country served by community water systems that receive drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards.	BUD KPI SP	87%	87%	79.9%	90%	90%	n/a	90%	98%	78%	80%	87%	70%	87%		88%
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			81.2%	81.2%	100%	50%	n/a	97%	99%	87%	87%	86%	70%	87%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			80%	79.6%	95%	50%	n/a	90%	95%	80%	87%	70%	87%			
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			87.2%	87.2%	100%	100%	n/a	100%	97.1%	89.9%	83.3%	90%	80%	85.5%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			81.2%	81.2%	99.9%	99.6%	n/a	100%	99.3%	87.2%	83.3%	90.4%	68.1%	87.2%		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			86%	86%	100%	100%	n/a	100%	99.5%	90.4%	86.5%	82.6%	80.9%	88.1%		
	<b>FY 2011 UNIVERSE</b>			918,668	918,668	90,594	11,071	n/a	25,532	114,800	77,740	5,394	94,153	446,179	53,205		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	The universe represents the population in Indian country served by community water systems.															
SDW-SP4a	Percent of community water systems where risk to public health is minimized through source water protection.	OMB PA BUD	LT	40%	39.2%	66%	61%	33%	53%	39%	40%	9%	39%	10%	40%		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			40.2%	40.2%	66.3%	61%	35%	52%	40%	40.9%	12%	45%	9%	42%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			36.4%	36.4%	64%	61%	25%	52%	38%	40%	15%	45%	9%	40%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			37%	37%	65.8%	61%	29%	38%	38.8%	40%	9%	38.6%	8%	40%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			35%	35%	64%	60%	27%	38%	38%	38%	9%	38%	8%	38%		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			20%	20%	51%	30%	12%	21%	19%	19%	13%	20%	1%	28%		
	<b>FY 2011 UNIVERSE</b>			52,079	52,079	2,735	3,733	4,476	8,891	7,369	8,358	4,128	3,281	4,646	4,462		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	The universe is the number of community water systems.															
SDW-SP4b	Percent of the population served by community water systems where risk to public health is minimized through source water protection.	SG		57%	55.1%	96%	80%	63%	56%	64%	62%	20%	54%	12%	80%		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			55.2%	55.2%	95.9%	80%	67%	55%	66%	62.9%	23%	40%	12%	84%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			52.3%	52.3%	93%	80%	58%	55%	62%	62%	20%	40%	12%	82%		

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission.																	
*** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			52%	52%	95.7%	80%	63%	46%	62%	63%	22%	51.8%	11%	85%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			54%	54%	93%	80%	63%	51%	65%	63%	15%	37%	12%	82%		
	<b>FY 2011 UNIVERSE (in millions)</b>			299.9	299.9	15	32.2	25.7	58.6	43.5	38.1	12.0	10.9	52.0	11.5		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	SDW-SP4b is a new measure starting in FY08. Note: "Minimized risk" is achieved by the substantial implementation, as determined by the state, of actions in a source water protection strategy. The universe is the most recent SDWIS inventory of community water systems.															
SDW-SP5	By 2015, in coordination with other federal agencies, reduce by 50 percent the number of homes on tribal land lacking access to safe drinking water.	OMB PA BUD I	LT	Indicator												Indicator	
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			32,900 (8.5%)												32,900 (8.5%)	
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			34,187 (10.7%)												34,187 (10.7%)	
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			43,437												43,437	
	<b>FY 2003 BASELINE</b>			38,637												38,637	
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			319,070												319,070	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	This measure involves coordination with other federal agencies. Measure is converted into an indicator for FY11 and supplemented by SDW-18.N11.															
SDW-18.N11	Number of American Indian and Alaska Native homes provided access to safe drinking water in coordination with other federal agencies.	SP BUD	LT	110,000												110,000	136,100
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			97,311												97,311	
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			100,700												100,700	
	<b>FY 2009 BASELINE</b>			809,000												809,000	
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			360,000												360,000	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure for FY11, to supplement SDW-SP5 in the NWPG and replace SDW-SP5 in the new Strategic Plan.															
SDW-01a	Percent of community water systems (CWSs) that have undergone a sanitary survey within the past three years (five years for outstanding performers) as required under the Interim Enhanced and Long-Term I Surface Water Treatment Rules.	OMB PA BUD SG	95%	95%	88%	90%	95%	91%	90%	93%	93%	87%	90%	70%	75%		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			91.6%	91.6%	96.7%	96%	95.8%	96.3%	94.7%	93.6%	90%	97.9%	70%	71%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			88%	88%	90.0%	95.0%	91.0%	87.0%	91.0%	93.0%	87.0%	95.0%	70.0%	75.0%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			87%	87%	99%	95%	93.7%	90%	95.5%	78%	94%	92%	68%	64%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			88%	88%	99%	95%	93.2%	87%	92.9%	92%	91%	90%	67%	80%		
	<b>FY 2009 UNIVERSE</b>			11,038	11,038	479	1,019	1,215	1,750	1,356	2,109	780	808	936	586		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Prior to FY07, this measure tracked states, rather than CWSs, in compliance with this regulation.															
SDW-01b	Number of tribal community water systems (CWSs) that have undergone a sanitary survey within the past three years (five years for outstanding performers) as required under the Interim Enhanced and Long-Term I Surface Water Treatment Rule.			76	76	2	2	n/a	1	2	8	1	25	27	8		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			74	74	2	2	n/a	1	2	9	1	24	22	11		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			65	65	2	2	n/a	1	2	9	1	15	25	8		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			63	63	2	2	n/a	1	2	7	1	15	25	8		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			63	63	2	2	n/a	1	2	9	1	13	25	8		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			22	22	n/a	1	n/a	1	2	1	1	0	9	7		
	<b>FY 2009 UNIVERSE</b>			79	79	2	2	n/a	1	2	9	1	25	27	10		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	A sanitary survey is an on-site review of the water sources, facilities, equipment, operation, and maintenance of a public water system for the purpose of evaluating the adequacy of the facilities for producing and distributing safe drinking water.															
SDW-03	Percent of the lead action level data that for the Lead and Copper Rule, for community water systems serving over 3,300 people, is complete in SDWIS-FED.	I		Indicator													
	<b>CY 2008-2010 END OF YEAR RESULTS</b>			87%		96%	95%	92%	97%	98%	60%	71%	89%	77%	92%		
	<b>CY 2005-2007 END OF YEAR RESULTS</b>			87%		88%	97%	93%	85%	98%	83%	71%	89%	76%	90%		
	<b>CY 2002-2004 END OF YEAR RESULTS</b>			80%		89%	97%	86%	87%	83%	47%	68%	90%	88%	85%		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			8,954		435	699	676	2,006	1,594	1,438	440	366	913	387		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	This measure is calculated every three years to match the requirements for lead sampling.															
SDW-04	Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds available for projects] for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF).	OMB PA BUD ARRA	89%	90.7%	90.7%	90%	90%	86%	90%	95%	85%	85%	90%	86%	98%		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			90%	90%	92%	94%	96%	88%	87.1%	87%	85%	89%	87%	101%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			87.7%	87.7%	90%	90%	86%	90%	80%	89%	95%	90%	85%	92%		

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			91.3%	91.3%	99.1%	98%	102%	90%	93.2%	99%	109%	91.9%	85%	104.6%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			92%	92%	94%	90%	95%	95%	79%	93%	99%	93%	83%	86%		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			84.7%	84.7%	78.5%	93%	83.3%	88%	87%	64.5%	91%	84%	80%	94.3%		
	<b>UNIVERSE (FY 2007, in millions)</b>			\$14,419.7	\$14,419.7	\$1,378.1	\$2,686.4	\$832.3	\$1,527.6	\$2,812.2	\$1,283.7	\$978.8	\$1,006.8	\$1,321.7	\$592.1		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Universe represents the funds available for projects for the DWSRF through 2007, in millions of dollars (i.e., the denominator of the measure).															
SDW-05	Number of Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) projects that have initiated operations. (cumulative)	OMB PA ARRA		6,080	6,074	795	422	530	625	1,140	254	608	740	360	600		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			6,237	6,237	799	448	575	714	1,411	227	583	726	308	446		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			5,590	5,590	624	416	482	681	1,230	235	542	550	330	500		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			5,236	5,236	735	410	500	599	1,066	192	480	591	261	402		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			4,576	4,576	564	396	464	564	936	160	427	479	225	361		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			2,611	2,611	320	311	261	369	557	59	229	242	123	140		
SDW-07	Percent of Classes I, II and Class III salt solution mining wells that have lost mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water.	OMB PA BUD SG	90%	90%	84%	n/a	90%	70%	75%	57%	90%	75%	80%	90%	75%		
	<b>FY 2010 UNIVERSE</b>			TBD													
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Combined the 3 classes of mechanical integrity measures into one measure SDW-07a. The denominator for the number of wells with mechanical integrity losses is very small. Typically, Class I, II and III wells are deep wells and there are many more Class II wells that lose mechanical integrity relative to Classes I and III wells (2,800 compared to 8 for Class I and 7 for Class III). The revised measure should improve the numbers in the denominator of the measure.															
SDW-08	Number of Class V motor vehicle waste disposal wells (MVWDW) and large capacity cesspools (LCC) that are closed or permitted (cumulative).	OMB PA BUD	20,840	22,853	22,853	1,309	430	3,700	108	4,110	272	378	2,346	3,000	7,200		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			88%	88%	100%	89%	98%	67%	88%	100%	100%		62%	92%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			81.1%	81.1%	90%	86%	85%	65%	81%	86%	93%	85%	55%	70%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			91%	88%	99%	89%	92%	66%	88%	100%	100%	91%	57%	93%		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Measure revised for FY12. The measure includes all the wells covered by the EPA 1999 Class V Rule reporting on closed or permitted MVWDW wells. In addition, it allows for reporting on additional types of high priority wells including, at minimum, Large Capacity Cess (LCC) Pools. Reporting in percentages will not provide good information on progress in closing or permitting the MVWD wells. The new measure, cumulative numbers of wells, for the MVWDW, will show progress each year against the universe.															
SDW-11	Percent of DWSRF projects awarded to small PWS serving <500, 501-3,300, and 3,301-10,000 consumers.	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			71%		65%	68%	78%	58%	71%	58%	83%	82%	65%	77%		
	<b>FY 2009 BASELINE</b>			72%		72%	75%	70%	30%	72%	76%	80%	87%	81%	80%		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			698		138	44	56	43	126	33	70	87	26	75		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11.															
SDW-12	Percent of DWSRF dollars awarded to small PWS serving <500, 501-3,300, 3,301-10,000 consumers.	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			38%		22%	36%	54%	35%	41%	28%	53%	48%	22%	61%		
	<b>FY 2009 BASELINE</b>			44%		24%	38%	40%	16%	40%	36%	54%	52%	60%	79%		
	<b>UNIVERSE (Millions)</b>			1,522.3		127.7	251.5	137.2	176.9	246.6	211.7	105.7	108	55.2	101.8		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11.															
SDW-13	Percent of DWSRF loans that include assistance to disadvantaged communities.	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2009 BASELINE</b>			31%		22%	55%	43%	33%	13%	42%	27%	43%	23%	32%		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			698		138	44	56	43	126	33	70	87	26	75		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11.															
SDW-14	Number and percent of CWS and NTNCWS, including new PWS, serving fewer than 500 persons. (New PWS are those first reported to EPA in last calendar year).	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			43,728	63.1%	77%	64%	67%	56%	60%	51%	59%	69%	69%	78%		
	<b>FY 2009 BASELINE (CWS &amp; NTNCWS &lt;500)</b>			44,673		3,662	3,647	4,741	6,061	7,357	4,949	2,827	2,659	4,386	4,384		
	<b>FY 2009 New Systems (CWS &amp; NTNCWS)</b>			65%		77%	65%	67%	56%	61%	52%	60%	69%	68%	78%		
	<b>UNIVERSE (CWS &amp; NTNCWS)</b>			562		51	59	62	89	115	45	30	51	30	30		
	<b>UNIVERSE (CWS &amp; NTNCWS)</b>			70,347		4,736	5,577	7,046	10,774	12,040	9,567	4,715	3,863	6,415	5,614		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11. In FY11, there are 605 new PWS serving fewer than 500 persons.															
SDW-15	Number and percent of small CWS and NTNCWS (<500, 501-3,300, 3,301-10,000) with repeat health based Nitrate/Nitrite, Stage 1 D/DBP, SWTR and TCR violations.	I		Indicator													

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			1,337	2.1%	3%	4%	2%	1%	1%	3%	4%	2%	2%	2%		
	<b>FY 2009 BASELINE (CWS &amp; NTCWS &lt;10,000 w/ repeat Health-Based Viols)</b>			1,904		164	208	113	218	102	394	288	91	154	172		
				3%		4%	4%	2%	2%	1%	4%	6%	2%	3%	3%		
	<b>UNIVERSE (CWS &amp; NTCWS&lt;10,000)</b>			66,165		4,478	5,189	6,751	9,840	11,270	9,082	4,562	3,690	5,877	5,426		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11.															
SDW-16	Average time for small PWS (<500, 501-3,300, 3,301-10,000) to return to compliance with acute Nitrate/Nitrite, Stage 1 D/DBP, SWTR and TCR health-based violations (based on state-reported RTC determination date).	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			167		171	92	171	136	166	155	179	191	224	199		
	<b>FY 2009 BASELINE (CWS &amp; NTCWS &lt;10,000 w/ Acute Health-Based Viols)</b>			99		15	9	31	1	6	6	17	4	7	3		
				788		134	18	69	74	44	72	153	135	53	36		
	<b>UNIVERSE (CWS &amp; NTCWS&lt;10,000)</b>			66,165		4,478	5,189	6,751	9,840	11,270	9,082	4,562	3,690	5,877	5,426		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11.															
SDW-17	Number and percent of schools and childcare centers that meet all health-based drinking water standards.	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			7,114	92%	89%	95%	92%	92%	94%	93%	89%	93%	89%	92%		
	<b>FY 2009 BASELINE</b>			7,260		1,057	705	1,179	688	1,933	329	197	224	523	425		
				94%		92%	95%	96%	95%	95%	89%	94%	90%	97%			
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			7,703		1,146	740	1,228	724	2,041	345	222	239	578	440		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11.															
SDW-19a	Volume of CO2 sequestered through injection as defined by the UIC Final Rule.	I		Indicator													
SDW-19b	Number of permit decisions during the reporting period that result in CO2 sequestered through injection as defined by the UIC Final Rule.	I		Indicator													
<b>Subobjective 2.1.2 Fish and Shellfish Safe to Eat</b>																	
FS-SP6.N11	Percent of women of childbearing age having mercury levels in blood above the level of concern.	BUD SP	4.9%	4.9%												4.9%	4.6%
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			2.5%												2.5%	
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			4.9%												4.9%	
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			n/a												n/a	
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			2.8%												2.8%	
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			5.7%												5.7%	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY08. FY11 results are from 2007-2008.															
FS-1a	Percent of river miles where fish tissue were assessed to support waterbody-specific or regional consumption advisories or a determination that no consumption advice is necessary. (Great Lakes measured separately; Alaska not included) (Report every two years)	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			36%													
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			n/a													
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			39%													
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			24% (840,000)													
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			100%													
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	The FY11 EOY result is based on data from 2009-2010.															
FS-1b	Percent of lake acres where fish tissue were assessed to support waterbody-specific or regional consumption advisories or a determination that no consumption advice is necessary. (Great Lakes measured separately; Alaska not included) (Report every two years)	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			42%													
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			n/a													
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			43%													
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			35% (14M)													
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			100% (40M)													
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	The FY11 EOY result is based on data from 2009-2010.															

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
Subobjective 2.1.3 Water Safe for Swimming																	
SS-SP9.N11	Percent of days of the beach season that coastal and Great Lakes beaches monitored by state beach safety programs are open and safe for swimming.	BUD SG SP	95%	95%	92%	98%	95%	95%	92%	88%	80%	n/a	n/a	90%	95%		95%
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			96%	96%	97.7%	98%	97.3%	97.7%	92%	91%	n/a	n/a	93%	99%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			91%	91%	98%	95%	95%	92%	88%	80%	n/a	n/a	86%	95%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			95%	95%	97.2%	97%	98.2%	97.7%	94%	91%	n/a	n/a	93.1%	95%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			95%	95%	n/a	98%	99.2%	96.8%	93.7%	82%	n/a	n/a	93%	98%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			96%	96%	98%	97.2%	98.5%	96.3%	95.5%	93%	n/a	n/a	95.3%	92.8%		
	FY 2010 UNIVERSE			752,683	752,683	86,226	90,834	17,861	184,609	50,064	28,146	n/a	n/a	282,149	12,794		
	National Program Manager Comments	Universe changes annually. Universe equals the total number of beach season days associated with the swimming seasons of monitored beaches.															
SS-1	Number and national percent, using a constant denominator, of Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) permits with a schedule incorporated into an appropriate enforceable mechanism, including a permit or enforcement order, with specific dates and milestones, including a completion date consistent with Agency guidance, which requires: 1) Implementation of a Long Term Control Plan (LTCP) which will result in compliance with the technology and water quality-based requirements of the Clean Water Act; or 2) implementation of any other acceptable CSO control measures consistent with the 1994 CSO Control Policy; or 3) completion of separation after the baseline date. (cumulative)			752 (88%)	752	76	74	227	18	315	n/a	23	1	3	15		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			734 (86%)	734	76	72	224	18	305	n/a	20	1	3	15		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			736 (86%)	736	76	72	225	18	304	n/a	22	1	3	15		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			724 (85%)	724	76	70	221	17	303	n/a	18	1	3	15		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			693 (81%)	693	76	67	206	17	294	n/a	14	1	3	15		
	FY 2008 BASELINE			536 (63%)	536 (63%)	75(91%)	51(48%)	175(74%)	9(38%)	200(55%)	n/a	7(29%)	1(100%)	3(100%)	15(100%)		
	UNIVERSE			853	853	82	106	236	24	362	n/a	24	1	3	15		
	National Program Manager Comments	Measure revised for FY08. Beginning in FY08, OECA and OWM agreed on common language and data collection procedures to streamline this measure. While the definition is slightly different for OWM, the past data is still valid for comparison with future data. We have included a revised baseline to demonstrate the real progress for FY08. While national numbers are fairly stable, the Regional baselines did change.															
SS-2	Percent of all Tier I (significant) public beaches that are monitored and managed under the BEACH Act program.	SG		95%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	85%	93%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			97%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	n/a	n/a	85%	93%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			99.1%	99.1%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	93%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			97.6%	97.6%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	81%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			96.5%	96.5%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	n/a	n/a	100%	80%		
	FY 2010 UNIVERSE			2,171	2,171	130	394	84	472	354	77	n/a	n/a	586	74		
	National Program Manager Comments	States may change their designation of beaches at any time. Therefore, these numbers may change from year to year. Universe equals the total number of Tier 1 beaches.															
Subobjective 2.2.1 Improve Water Quality on a Watershed Basis																	
WQ-SP10.N11	Number of waterbodies identified in 2002 as not attaining water quality standards where standards are now fully attained. (cumulative)	OMB PA BUD SG, KPI ARRA, SP	3,273	3,324	3,324	140	171	575	514	665	200	383	314	109	253		3,360
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			3,119	3,119	117	127	557	504	646	190	353	270	105	250		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			2,973	2,973	117	127	555	504	640	190	302	270	72	196		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,909	2,909	101	126	544	495	630	182	295	270	72	194		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,505	2,505	84	113	431	418	537	170	289	222	51	190		
	FY 2002 UNIVERSE			39,503	39,503	6,710	1,805	8,998	5,274	4,550	1,407	2,036	1,274	1,041	6,408		
	National Program Manager Comments	WQ-SP10.N11 differs from previous Measure L, since WQ-SP10.N11 uses an updated 2002 baseline. Note: 2000-2002 results equal 1,980 waters – not included above.															
WQ-SP11	Remove the specific causes of waterbody impairment identified by states in 2002. (cumulative)	BUD	9,691	10,161	10,161	420	554	1,835	1,160	3,205	615	623	607	619	523		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			9,527	9,527	369	456	1,814	1,110	2,973	595	550	541	600	519		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			9,016	9,016	339	456	1,725	1,110	3,205	420	341	541	419	460		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			8,446	8,446	320	453	1,703	1,018	2,796	412	340	529	419	456		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			7,530	7,530	224	384	1,403	912	2,666	395	324	465	310	447		
	UNIVERSE			69,677	69,677	8,826	2,567	13,958	9,374	10,155	3,005	4,391	3,502	2,742	11,157		
	National Program Manager Comments	The EPA will review the FY12 budget target when preparing the FY13 budget submission.															

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
WQ-SP12.N11	Improve water quality conditions in impaired watersheds nationwide using the watershed approach. (cumulative)	BUD SP	255	312	312	8	24	20	56	30	45	8	37	30	54		330
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			271	271	6	23	18	48	23	38	7	31	28	49		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			208	208	6	23	18	48	23	28	7	24	17	14		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			168	168	5	22	16	40	20	17	5	20	15	8		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			104	104	4	14	12	32	10	9	4	17	0	2		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			4,767	4,767	246	300	300	2,000	378	213	169	684	27	450		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	The EPA will review the FY12 budget target when preparing the FY13 budget submission.															
WQ-SP13.N11	Ensure that the condition of the Nation's streams does not degrade (i.e., there is no statistically significant increase in the percent of streams rated "poor" and no statistically significant decrease in the streams rated "good").	SP		Maintain or improve stream conditions												Maintain or improve stream conditions	Maintain or improve stream conditions
	<b>FY 2006 BASELINE</b>			28% good; 25% fair; 42% poor												28%; 25%; 42%	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	The Wadeable Streams Survey will be updated in 2011. There will be no reporting on this measure until 2012. In FY15, EPA will be reporting on the Lakes Survey.															
WQ-SP14a.N11	Improve water quality in Indian country at baseline monitoring stations in tribal waters (i.e., show improvement in one or more of seven key parameters: dissolved oxygen, pH, water temperature, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, pathogen indicators, and turbidity). (cumulative)	SP OMB PA BUD	LT	13	13	1	n/a	n/a	1	2	1	n/a	2	4	2		50
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			1,729	1,729	160	14	n/a	37	729	68	150	100	203	268		
				185	185	14	n/a	n/a	2	44	1	4	10	43	67		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Universe includes two numbers: 1,729 -- the total number of monitoring stations identified by tribes that are planned for sampling (for one or more of seven key parameters) at times during the FY12-15 period; 185 -- the number or monitoring stations (out of the 1,729) that are located on waters that have a potential for improvement in one or more of seven key parameters. The EPA is targeting 50 of the 185 monitoring locations to show improvement by 2015; thus the National commitment of 13 is out of 50.															
WQ-SP14b.N11	Identify monitoring stations on tribal lands that are showing no degradation in water quality (meaning the waters are meeting uses). (cumulative)	SP I		Indicator												Indicator	
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			1,729		160	14	n/a	37	729	68	150	100	203	268		
				185		14	n/a	n/a	2	44	1	4	10	43	67		
WQ-SP15	By 2015, in coordination with other federal agencies, reduce by 50 percent the number of homes on tribal lands lacking access to basic sanitation. (cumulative)	OMB PA BUD I	LT	Indicator												Indicator	
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			25,737												25,737	
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			28,052												28,052	
	<b>FY 2003 BASELINE</b>			26,777												26,777	
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			319,070												319,070	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	This measure involves coordination with other federal agencies, was converted into an indicator for FY11 and is supplemented by WQ-24.N11.															
WQ-24.N11	Number of American Indian and Alaska Native homes provided access to basic sanitation in coordination with other federal agencies.	SP BUD	LT	56,400												56,400	67,900
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			52,300												52,300	
	<b>FY 2009 BASELINE</b>			43,600												43,600	
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			360,000												360,000	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure for FY11, to supplement WQ-SP15 in the NWPG and replace WQ-SP15 in the new Strategic Plan.															
WQ-01a	Number of numeric water quality standards for total nitrogen and for total phosphorus adopted by States and Territories and approved by EPA, or promulgated by EPA, for all waters within the State or Territory for each of the following waterbody types: lakes/reservoirs, rivers/streams, and estuaries (cumulative, out of a universe of 280)	SG		41	41	1	7	4	4	3	n/a	n/a	n/a	22	n/a		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			45	45	1	7	5	6	4	n/a	0	n/a	22	n/a		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			49	46	1	7	5	6	4	n/a	1	n/a	22	n/a		
	<b>FY 2010 BASELINE</b>			31	31	3	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	22	0		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			280	280	34	20	34	44	24	24	16	24	38	22		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Some of the 2011 results may not fully qualify and are under review. Needed adjustments are being made in 2012.															

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
WQ-01b	Number of numeric water quality standards for total nitrogen and total phosphorus at least proposed by States and Territories, or by EPA proposed rulemaking, for all waters within the State or Territory for each of the following waterbody types: lakes/reservoirs, rivers/streams, and estuaries (cumulative, out of a universe of 280).	SG		47	47	3	7	5	4	4	n/a	n/a	n/a	24	n/a		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			52	52	3	7	6	6	4	n/a	2	n/a	24	n/a		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			56	53	3	7	6	6	4	n/a	3	n/a	24	n/a		
	<b>FY 2010 BASELINE</b>			31	31	3	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	22	0		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			280	280	34	20	34	44	24	24	16	24	38	22		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Some of the 2011 results may not fully qualify and are under review. Needed adjustments are being made in 2012.															
WQ-01c	Number of States and Territories supplying a full set of performance milestone information to EPA concerning development, proposal, and adoption of numeric water quality standards for total nitrogen and total phosphorus for each waterbody type within the State or Territory (annual). (The universe for this measure is 56.)	SG		25	20	2	1	1	3	3	3	3	n/a	4	n/a		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			21	21	2	1	3	6	3	n/a	1	1	4	n/a		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			21	19	n/a	n/a	1	6	1	n/a	4	3	4	n/a		
	<b>FY 2010 BASELINE</b>			3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			56	56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure for FY11. Some of the 2011 results may not fully qualify and are under review. Needed adjustments are being made in 2012.															
WQ-02	Number of Tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. (cumulative)			39	39	n/a	1	n/a	2	5	10	n/a	3	8	10		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			38	38	n/a	1	n/a	2	5	10	n/a	2	8	10		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			39	39	n/a	1	n/a	2	5	10	n/a	3	8	10		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			37	37	n/a	1	n/a	2	4	10	n/a	2	8	10		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			35	35	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	10	n/a	2	7	10		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			26	26	0	0	n/a	2	2	9	0	2	3	8		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			62	62	n/a	1	n/a	2	7	11	n/a	6	21	14		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Universe reflects all federally recognized Tribes who have applied for "treatment in the same manner as a state" (TAS) to administer the water quality standards program (as of September 2007).															
WQ-03a	Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards.	OMB PA BUD SG	64.3%	39	39	2	3	6	5	5	4	3	5	3	3		
				69.6%													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			39	39	2	3	5	5	6	4	3	5	4	2		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			38 (68%)	38 (68%)	1	3	3	8	5	4	4	4	3	2		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			38	38	2	3	3	8	6	4	3	5	3	1		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			35	35	3	2	3	6	4	4	3	6	3	1		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			37	37	4	1	4	7	4	4	2	4	4	3		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			56	56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	FY05 baseline are EOY results from the WATA database.															
WQ-03b	Number, and national percent of Tribes that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards.			14	14	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	1	n/a	1	3	3		
				38%													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			13	13	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	1	n/a	0	4	2		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			13 (37%)	13 (37%)	n/a	1	n/a	2	2	1	n/a	1	4	2		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			16	16	n/a	1	n/a	2	2	3	n/a	0	6	2		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			17	17	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	2	n/a	2	4	3		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			12 (40%)	12	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	1	5	0	2	0	3		
	<b>FY 2008 UNIVERSE</b>			37	37	0	1	n/a	2	5	10	0	2	8	9		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	The universe for FY11 and FY12 percentages for WQ-3b is the number of authorized tribes that have at least initial EPA approved water quality standards as of September 2010.															
WQ-04a	Percentage of submissions of new or revised water quality standards from States and Territories that are approved by EPA.	OMB PA BUD	85%	85%	85%	75%	75%	75%	87%	85%	75%	50%	79%	75%	66%		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			91%	91%	100%	100%	100%	75%	100%	76%	63.1%	91.5%	100%	100%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			85%	85%	75%	85%	90%	87%	75%	75%	50%	79%	75%	50%		

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission.																	
*** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			90.9%	90%	98%	100%	100%	96.7%	99%	100%	47.2%	79.6%	100%	77.8%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			93.2%	93.2%	75%	100%	83%	100%	100%	91.7%	55%	96.7%	97%	50%		
	<b>FY 2008 UNIVERSE</b>			54	54	1	1	3	10	10	16	2	3	6	2		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Based on submissions received in the 12 month period ending April 30 of the fiscal year. Partial approvals receive fractional credit. Universe is not applicable because it changes annually based on number of water quality standards submissions.															
WQ-05	Number of States and Territories that have adopted and are implementing their monitoring strategies in keeping with established schedules.	SG		56	56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			55	55	6	3	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			56	56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			55	55	6	3	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			56	56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			51	51	6	3	6	6	6	3	4	6	7	4		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			56	56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	"In keeping with established schedules" means that states include in their annual Section 106 Monitoring Initiative workplans specific actions that are intended to implement their monitoring strategies and that states demonstrate that they are making a good faith effort to do these activities.															
WQ-06a	Number of Tribes that currently receive funding under Section 106 of the Clean Water Act that have developed and begun implementing monitoring strategies that are appropriate to their water quality program consistent with EPA Guidance. (cumulative)			213	213	6	1	n/a	2	32	30	5	19	80	38		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			196	196	6	1	n/a	2	32	20	4	19	75	37		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			176	176	6	1	n/a	2	32	20	4	19	55	37		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			161	161	6	1	n/a	2	29	14	3	19	50	37		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			134	134	6	0	n/a	1	29	14	2	19	30	33		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			261	261	7	1	n/a	5	33	45	7	23	101	39		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	A cumulative measure that counts tribes that have developed, submitted to the Region, and begun implementing water monitoring strategies that are consistent with the EPA 106 Tribal Guidance.															
WQ-06b	Number of Tribes that are providing water quality data in a format accessible for storage in EPA's data system. (cumulative)			178	178	4	1	n/a	2	23	28	4	21	70	25		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			171	171	4	1	n/a	1	22	28	3	21	66	25		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			130	130	4	1	n/a	2	22	10	3	21	45	22		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			107	107	4	1	n/a	2	21	10	2	21	30	16		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			86	86	1	1	n/a	1	20	7	1	21	20	14		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			3	3	0	0	n/a	0	0	2	0	1	0	0		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			261	261	7	1	n/a	5	33	45	7	23	101	39		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	A cumulative measure that counts tribes that are providing surface water data electronically in a format that is compatible with the STORET/WQX system.															
WQ-07	Number of States and Territories that provide electronic information using the Assessment Database version 2 or later (or compatible system) and geo-reference the information to facilitate the integrated reporting of assessment data. (cumulative)			51	51	6	4	6	8	6	3	3	6	7	2		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			45	45	6	4	4	8	6	3	2	6	4	2		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			46	46	6	4	6	7	6	3	2	6	4	2		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			44	44	6	4	4	7	6	3	2	6	4	2		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			44	44	6	4	4	7	6	3	2	6	4	2		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			56	56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Universe is fifty states and six territories, including the District of Columbia															
WQ-08a	Number, and national percent, of TMDLs that are established or approved by EPA [Total TMDLs] on a schedule consistent with national policy.  Note: A TMDL is a technical plan for reducing pollutants in order to attain water quality standards. The terms 'approved' and 'established' refer to the completion and approval of the TMDL itself.	OMB PA BUD KPI	51,923	2,215	2,215	208	100	547	208	325	206	101	150	130	240		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			69%	2,846	253	134	730	284	401	214	204	155	131	340		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>				2,433; 76%	205	40	750	337	325	215	106	150	65	240		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>				4,951	439	112	2,823	305	437	230	124	184	82	215		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>				147%												
					5,887 (162%)	340	126	3,413	675	530	186	49	178	80	310		

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission.																	
*** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	National Program Manager Comments	Annual pace is the number of TMDLs needed to be consistent with national policy, i.e. generally within 8 - 13 years of listing of the water as impaired. EPA practice has been for the National Program annual commitment to equal the Regional Aggregate as this reflects the number of TMDLs that Regions and States are able to develop. The cumulative budget target is calculated based on 80% of pace (FY12: 2,555). With FY11 numbers final and adjustments made to FY12 regional universe and pace numbers in accordance to WQ-08 measure definitions, EPA will need to adjust the FY12 cumulative budget target as part of the FY13 budget submission.															
WQ-08b	Number, and national percent, of approved TMDLs, that are established by States and approved by EPA [State TMDLs] on a schedule consistent with national policy.  Note: A TMDL is a technical plan for reducing pollutants in order to attain water quality standards. The terms 'approved' and 'established' refer to the completion and approval of the TMDL itself.	OMB PA BUD SG	43,711	2,123	2,123	208	100	530	193	325	181	101	150	100	235		
				67%													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,482	2,482	253	134	454	255	401	195	165	155	131	339		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			1,999; 64%	1,999	205	40	474	265	325	196	84	150	25	235		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,262	2,262	439	112	224	249	437	222	101	184	79	215		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			69%													
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			5,829 (162%)	5,829	340	126	3,413	661	530	146	49	178	76	310		
	National Program Manager Comments	Annual pace is the number of TMDLs needed to be consistent with national policy, i.e. generally within 8 - 13 years of listing of the water as impaired. EPA practice has been for the National Program annual commitment to equal the Regional Aggregate as this reflects the number of TMDLs that Regions and States are able to develop. The cumulative budget target is calculated based on 80% of pace (FY12: 2,550). With FY11 numbers final and adjustments made to FY12 regional universe and pace numbers in accordance with WQ-08 measure definitions, EPA will need to adjust the FY12 cumulative budget target as part of the FY13 budget submission.															
WQ-09a	Estimated annual reduction in million pounds of nitrogen from nonpoint sources to waterbodies (Section 319 funded projects only).	OMB PA BUD	8.5 million	8.5 million												8.5 million	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			3/2012													3/2012
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			8,500,000													8,500,000
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			9,749,485													9,749,485
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			9,100,000													9,100,000
	FY 2005 BASELINE			3,700,000													3,700,000
	National Program Manager Comments	FY05 baseline for a 6 month period only. Starting with FY06, a full year of data reported. End-of-Year results are received mid-February of the following year.															
WQ-09b	Estimated annual reduction in million pounds of phosphorus from nonpoint sources to waterbodies (Section 319 funded projects only).	OMB PA BUD	4.5 million	4.5 million												4.5 million	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			3/2012													3/2012
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			4,500,000													4,500,000
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,575,004													2,575,004
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			3,500,000													3,500,000
	FY 2005 BASELINE			558,000													558,000
	National Program Manager Comments	FY05 baseline for a 6 month period only. Starting with FY06, a full year of data reported. End-of-Year results are received mid-February of the following year.															
WQ-09c	Estimated annual reduction in million tons of sediment from nonpoint sources to waterbodies (Section 319 funded projects only).	OMB PA BUD	700,000	700,000												700,000	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			3/2012													3/2012
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			700,000													700,000
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,054,869													2,054,869
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,300,000													2,300,000
	FY 2005 BASELINE			1,680,000													1,680,000
	National Program Manager Comments	FY05 baseline for a 6 month period only. Starting with FY06, a full year of data reported. End-of-Year results are received mid-February of the following year.															
WQ-10	Number of waterbodies identified by States (in 1998/2000 or subsequent years) as being primarily nonpoint source (NPS)-impaired that are partially or fully restored. (cumulative)	OMB PA BUD SG	LT	394	394	27	17	54	61	32	27	28	24	15	109		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			358	358	24	15	49	57	27	26	21	20	14	105		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			251	251	24	15	35	56	27	19	24	19	13	19		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			215	215	19	12	31	52	22	17	20	16	9	17		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			147	147	16	6	16	36	18	11	16	13	3	12		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			15	15	1	0	2	5	3	0	4	0	0	0		
	National Program Manager Comments	Regions report results. The universe is the estimated waterbodies impaired primarily by nonpoint sources from the 1998 (or 2000 if states did not have a 1998 list) 303(d) lists. Note that this universe shifts each time a new 303(d) list is developed, so this figure is only an estimate. Only waters on the Success Story website (epa.gov/owow/nps/Success319/) are counted.															
WQ -11	Number, and national percent, of follow-up actions that are completed by assessed NPDES (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) programs. (cumulative)	I		Indicator													

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			80%	293	29	21	27	29	51	17	33	40	19	27		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			85%	253	27	21	23	27	44	17	23	28	17	26		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			77%	229	26	18	22	23	40	17	18	27	15	23		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			18%	54	6	5	4	9	16	2	6	3	1	2		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			100%	368	36	27	32	41	66	23	47	39	21	36		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Regional annual commitments and completed NPDES Action Items are confirmed by the HQ Action Items database. Assessed programs include 45 authorized states, 5 unauthorized states (MA, NH, NM, AK, ID), 1 authorized territory (VI), 3 authorized territories (DC, PR, Pacific Island Territories), and 10 Regions (total of 64 programs) assessed through the Permitting for Environmental Results (PER) program and subsequent Permit Quality Reviews. Universe of 372 includes all follow-up Actions for which a schedule was established. The universe increases as additional NPDES Action Items are identified through Regional and HQ program review.															
WQ-12a	Percent of non-Tribal facilities covered by NPDES permits that are considered current. [Measure will still set targets and commitments and report results in both % and #.]	KPI		88%	88%	80%	87%	89%	85%	88%	94%	90%	82%	80%	80%		
				100,147	100,147	1,494	2,868	16,128	15,938	16,047	24,434	8,871	4,512	2,191	7,665		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			89%	89%	81%	87.3%	92%	94%	86%	98%	82.4%	79%	81%	76%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			88.4%	88.4%	80%	87%	89%	85%	90%	94%	90%	85%	79%	80%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			100,680	100,680	1,494	2,868	16,128	15,938	16,442	24,434	8,871	4,677	2,164	7,665		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			95.4%	95.4%	86%	91%	87%	91%	88%	98%	90%	82%	84%	75%		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			108,755	108,755	1,595	3,007	15,743	16,990	16,067	25,572	15,742	4,534	2,289	7,216		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			90% (102,196)	90% (102,196)	81%	89%	89%	91%	88%	97%	90%	83%	84%	83%		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			87.8% (96,851)	87.8% (96,851)	64%	94%	86%	87%	87%	93%	82%	87%	91%	77%		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			113,943	113,943	1,867	3,297	18,121	18,750	18,235	25,994	9,857	5,502	2,739	9,581		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Targets, commitments, and results will be reported in both percent and number. This measure includes facilities covered by all permits, including State and EPA issued permits. Due to the shifting universe of permittees, its is important to focus on the national percent. *FY05 data not from ACS.															
WQ-12b	Percent of tribal facilities covered by NPDES permits that are considered current. [Measure will still set targets and commitments and report results in both % and #.]			85%	85%	0%	100%	n/a	100%	95%	80%	100%	90%	85%	60%		
				351	351	0	2	n/a	11	43	10	16	187	43	39		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			87%	87%	0%	100%	n/a	100%	96%	93%	73.3%	94%	90%	55%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			84%	84%	0%	100%	n/a	100%	95%	90%	100%	90%	85%	50%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			345	345	0	2	n/a	11	42	12	16	187	43	33		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			88%	88%	100%	100%	n/a	100%	93%	100%	94%	97%	86%	52%		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			363	363	2	2	n/a	11	41	13	15	202	43	34		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			83% (321)	83% (321)	100%	100%	n/a	92%	100%	92%	100%	91%	76%	46%		
	<b>FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			85% (329)	85% (329)	(100%) 2	(100%) 2	n/a	(100%) 13	(100%) 42	(100%) 10	(100%) 16	(95%) 189	(79%) 38	(30%) 17		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			80% (261)	80% (261)	0	2	n/a	16	37	8	1	140	41	16		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			412	412	2	2	n/a	11	45	13	16	208	50	65		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Targets, commitments, and results will be reported in both percent and number. This measure includes facilities covered by all permits, including State and EPA issued permits. Due to the shifting universe of permittees, its is important to focus on the national percent.															
WQ-13a	Number, and national percent, of MS-4s covered under either an individual or general permit.	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			6,952		520	1,262	991	744	1,813	674	208	251	262	227		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			6,919		510	1,262	1,026	675	1,813	626	258	263	260	226		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			6,541		517	1,227	1,016	503	1,813	526	284	250	179	226		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			n/a													
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Data did not exist prior to 2007 for WQ-13 a & b.															
WQ-13b	Number of facilities covered under either an individual or general industrial storm water permit.	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			84,718		3,553	4,651	6,621	19,091	20,508	13,922	6,257	4,313	1,886	3,916		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			88,788		3,489	4,412	6,337	18,577	20,508	18,065	7,576	4,866	971	3,987		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			81,660		3,548	4,605	6,500	18,477	20,508	13,508	7,068	4,198	766	2,482		
	<b>FY 2007 BASELINE</b>			86,826													
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			100%													
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Data did not exist prior to 2007 for WQ-13 a & b.															
WQ-13c	Number of sites covered under either an individual or general construction storm water site permit.	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			168,744		9,127	9,955	27,974	50,835	8,172	11,643	13,931	16,019	14,512	6,576		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			186,874		11,177	5,669	28,983	54,607	7,477	24,463	13,254	10,013	23,339	7,892		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			200,732		7,704	17,671	19,317	75,311	7,738	17,403	12,480	12,444	24,069	6,595		
	<b>FY 2007 BASELINE</b>			242,801													
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Data did not exist prior to 2007 for WQ-13c.															

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
WQ-13d	Number of facilities covered under either an individual or general CAFO permit.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			7,994		7	566	444	863	2,234	794	1,521	680	198	687		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			7,882		6	566	333	967	2,145	781	1,510	658	205	711		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			7,900		6	602	277	1,021	2,129	890	1,443	618	203	711		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			8,623		0	624	175	2,131	1,488	1,391	1,239	448	296	831		
	UNIVERSE			18,972		33	632	770	3,621	2,523	4,190	3,777	841	1,670	915		
	National Program Manager Comments	FY05 CAFO data is not from ACS. Note: It is likely the regions overestimated the number of CAFOs covered by a general permit in 2005.															
WQ-14a	Number, and national percent, of Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) that are discharging to POTWs with Pretreatment Programs that have control mechanisms in place that implement applicable pretreatment standards and requirements.	SG		20,814	20,814	1,305	1,595	1,696	3,460	4,400	1,976	980	647	4,088	667		
				97.9%	97.9%												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			20,977	20,977	1,301	1,617	1,662	3,467	4,524	1,972	983	647	4,137	667		
				98.7%	98.7%												
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			19,782	19,782	1,314	1,620	1,690	3,460	3,420	1,976	980	647	4,088	587		
				99.6%	99.6%												
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			21,487	21,487	1,316	1,656	1,710	3,539	4,903	1,997	995	647	4,137	587		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			21,264 (99%)	21,264	1,314	1,756	1,728	3,601	4,540	1,997	1,006	658	4,088	576		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			22,226 (97.8%)	22,226	1,589	1,882	1,790	3,932	4,899	2,132	829	592	4,019	562		
	UNIVERSE			21,256	21,256	1,397	1,628	1,734	3,539	4,400	2,010	1,009	658	4,214	667		
	National Program Manager Comments	All universe numbers are approximate as they shift from year to year.															
WQ-14b	Number, and national percent, of Categorical Industrial Users (CIUs) that are discharging to POTWs without Pretreatment Programs that have control mechanisms in place that implement applicable pretreatment standards and requirements.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			81%	1,306	45	64	67	267	463	124	191	36	6	43		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			77%	1,278	45	71	68	283	521	124	84	36	6	40		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			80%	1,315	45	72	68	299	542	124	81	36	6	42		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			91.2%	1,015	44	117	74	31	458	17	31	45	0	198		
	UNIVERSE			100%	1,606	45	72	75	321	630	124	243	42	6	48		
	National Program Manager Comments	All universe numbers are approximate as they shift from year to year.															
WQ-15a	Percent of major dischargers in Significant Noncompliance (SNC) at any time during the fiscal year.	OMB PA BUD	<22.5%	<22.5%												<22.5%	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			<22.5%	<22.5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	<22.5%
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			<22.5%	<22.5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			19.7%	19.7%	25.0%	28.7%	15.0%	20.7%	17.7%	23.7%	17.7%	8.0%	13.7%	15.3%		
	FY 2006 UNIVERSE			6.643	6.643	426	582	757	1,345	1,167	1,087	396	260	347	276		
	National Program Manager Comments	HQ reports results by Region. FY08 commitment for WQ-15a of <22.5% is a 3 yr. average that shows overall trends. No regional commitments are set.															
WQ-16	Number, and national percent, of all major publicly-owned treatment works (POTWs) that comply with their permitted wastewater discharge standards. (i.e. POTWs that are not in significant non-compliance)	OMB PA BUD	86%	3,644.68 86%												3,644.68 86%	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			86.7%	4,336												4,336
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			86%	4,256												4,256
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			86.9%	4,334												4,334
	FY 2005 BASELINE			3,670	3,670												3,670
	UNIVERSE			100%	4,238												4,238
WQ-17	Fund utilization rate [cumulative loan agreement dollars to the cumulative funds available for projects] for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF).	OMB PA BUD ARRA	94.5%	94.5%	94.5%	94%	90%	92%	95%	100%	96%	92%	95%	95%	98%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			99%	99%	104%	95%	95%	99%	97%	95%	98%	96%	107%	103%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			94.5%	94.5%	94%	90%	92%	96%	95%	95%	93%	95%	94%	95%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			100%	100%	108%	95%	96%	100%	102%	94%	101%	98%	111%	100%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			98%	98%	102%	90%	92%	102%	98%	94%	n/a	93%	109%	104%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			94.7%	94.7%	110%	94%	89%	95%	98%	91%	88%	91%	93%	98%		
	UNIVERSE (in billions)			\$84.5	\$84.5	\$8.1	\$16.6	\$7.3	\$9.9	\$18.1	\$8.0	\$4.4	\$2.7	\$6.8	\$2.5		
	National Program Manager Comments	Universe represents the funds available for projects for the CWSRF through 2010, in billions of dollars (i.e., the denominator of the measure). FY11 targets include all funds (ARRA and Base).															

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
WQ-19a	Number of high priority state NPDES permits that are issued in the fiscal year.	OMB PA BUD, SG	100%	653	653	14	29	137	80	124	56	95	54	20	44		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			943	943	27	41	157	158	161	82	160	66	26	65		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			702	702	13	24	167	80	93	57	116	67	16	69		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			1,008 (142%)	1,008	16	40	142	181	197	91	194	62	43	42		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			1,026	1,026	16	42	125	253	204	122	164	56	36	8		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			601 (104%)	601	9	22	21	91	265	125	32	22	3	11		
	<b>FY 2012 UNIVERSE</b>			653	653	14	29	137	80	124	56	95	54	20	44		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	In FY10, the measure was revised to provide a universe of priority permits in time for the setting of national and regional commitments in September 2009, consistent with the Agency target and commitment schedule. Regions will commit to issue a certain number of permits from the fixed universe of priority permits in FY10. The national target will be the sum of all Regional commitments. There will be no percentage goal for this measure. The universe of priority permits will be updated annually. HQ reports results by Region. WQ-19a conforms to 106 OMB PA measure. FY06 measure, formed prior to OMB PA, reported in 2 parts (non-tribal and tribal). FY06 results: 98.5% (non-tribal) & 63.2% (tribal). FY07 measure reported in 3 parts (State issued, EPA non-tribal, and EPA tribal permits). **FY08 measure was reported as State Issue (WQ-19a) and EPA issued (WQ-19b) priority permits. Starting in FY08, the universe of priority permits candidates is expanded to capture a larger universe of environmentally significant permits.															
WQ-19b	Number of high priority state and EPA (including tribal) NPDES permits that are issued in the fiscal year.	BUD	100%	720	720	31	39	138	80	124	59	108	57	23	61		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			1,005	1,005	50	54	158	158	161	86	161	68	31	78		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			763	763	29	37	169	80	93	59	121	69	20	86		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			1,097 (144%)	1,097	53	49	145	181	197	95	194	62	62	59		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			1,118	1,118	36	54	130	253	204	132	165	58	48	38		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			59 (104%)	59	16	9	0	0	0	1	8	6	0	19		
	<b>FY 2012 UNIVERSE</b>			720	720	31	39	138	80	124	59	108	57	23	61		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	In FY10, the measure was revised to provide a universe of priority permits in time for the setting of national and regional commitments in September 2009, consistent with the Agency target and commitment schedule. Regions will commit to issue a certain number of permits from the fixed universe of priority permits in FY10. The national target will be the sum of all Regional commitments. There will be no percentage goal for this measure. The universe of priority permits will be updated annually. HQ reports results by Region. WQ-19a conforms to Surface Water Protection OMB PA measure. FY06 measure, formed prior to OMB PA, reported in 2 parts (non-tribal and tribal). FY06 results: 98.5% (non-tribal) & 63.2% (tribal). FY 07 measure reported in 3 parts (State issued, EPA non-tribal, and EPA tribal permits). **FY08 measure was reported as State Issue (WQ-19a) and EPA issued (WQ-19b) priority permits. Starting in FY08, the universe of priority permits candidates is expanded to capture a larger universe of environmentally significant permits. Starting in FY09, WQ-19b will measure the sum of all priority permits (State issued and EPA issued including Tribal).															
WQ-20	Number of facilities that have traded at least once plus all facilities covered by an overlay permit that incorporates trading provisions with an enforceable cap.	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			464		80	25	178	70	22	1	0	0	61	27		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			442		80	25	171	57	21	1	0	0	61	26		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			407		80	25	165	30	22	1	0	0	61	23		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			98		79	0	1	8	3	0	0	0	6	1		
	<b>UNIVERSE (FY 2007)</b>			510		80	25	171	57	87	1	0	2	61	26		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	WQ-20 was a two-part measure in FY07; (a) was a Target measure until early FY07, and has subsequently been dropped. Universe is the number of dischargers covered under an NPDES permit that allows trading. The trading measure counts all point source permitted facilities that have traded at least once using either individual or general permits that allow trading. Facilities covered under an overlay permit (sometimes called an 'aggregate,' 'watershed,' 'bubble,' or 'umbrella' permit) that set an enforceable cap on specific pollutant discharges are all automatically counted as having traded.															
WQ-21	Number of water segments identified as impaired in 2002 for which States and EPA agree that initial restoration planning is complete (i.e., EPA has approved all needed TMDLs for pollutants causing impairments to the waterbody or has approved a 303(d) list that recognizes that the waterbody is covered by a Watershed Plan [i.e., Category 4b or Category 5m]). (cumulative)	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			14,898		5,072	444	2,893	1,860	1,081	n/a	1,817	446	154	1,131		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			13,932		4,877	437	2,693	1,806	1,036	n/a	1,781	227	96	979		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			13,515		4,866	266	2,596	1,804	947	n/a	1,759	206	96	975		
	<b>FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			12,856		4,978	266	2,240	1,799	868	n/a	1,698	206	96	705		
	<b>FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			6,792		529	332	1,313	1,322	506	263	1,637	200	47	643		
	<b>UNIVERSE (FY 2002)</b>			39,503*		6,710	1,805	8,998	5,274	4,550	1,407	2,036	1,274	1,041	6,408		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	For FY09, geo-referencing data was requested for reported segments. Universe consists of waters identified as impaired in state submission in 2002.															
WQ-22a	Number of Regions that have completed the development of a Healthy Watersheds Initiative (HWI) Strategy and have reached an agreement with at least one state to implement its portion of the Region's HWI Strategy.	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			4		1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0		

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	FY 2010 BASELINE			0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	UNIVERSE			10		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure for FY11.															
WQ-22b	Number of states that have completed a Healthy Watersheds Protection Strategy or have completed at least 2 of the major components of a Healthy Watersheds assessment.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			5		2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0		
	FY 2010 BASELINE			0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	UNIVERSE			n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure for FY11.															
WQ-23	Percent of serviceable rural Alaska homes with access to drinking water supply and wastewater disposal.	OMB PA BUD	93%	92.5%												92.5%	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a													n/a
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			92%													92%
	FY 2010 BASELINE			91%													91%
	UNIVERSE			n/a													n/a
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure for FY11. Since this is a new measure, the baseline is the current year. The universe is not applicable since units are percent of serviceable homes.															
WQ-25a	Number of urban water projects initiated addressing water quality issues in the community.	BUD	3	3													
	BASELINE			TBD													
	UNIVERSE			TBD													
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure for FY12: will be reported on if grants funding is provided as proposed in the FY12 President's Budget. The baseline will be established with the first reporting cycle in FY12.															
WQ-25b	Number of urban water projects completed addressing water quality issues in the community.	BUD	0	N/A													
	BASELINE			TBD													
	UNIVERSE			TBD													
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure for FY12: will be reported on if grants funding is provided as proposed in the FY12 President's Budget. The baseline will be established with the first reporting cycle in FY12.															
Subobjective 2.2.2 Improve Coastal and Ocean Waters																	
CO-222.N11	Prevent water pollution and protect coastal and ocean systems to improve national and regional coastal aquatic system health on the 'good/fair/poor' scale of the National Coastal Condition Report.	OMB PA SP BUD	LT	2.8												2.8	≥2.8
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			2.8													2.8
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			2.8													2.8
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			2.8													2.8
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			2.4													2.4
	FY 2004 BASELINE			2.3													2.3
	UNIVERSE			5													5
	National Program Manager Comments	Rating consists of a 5-point system where 1 is poor and 5 is good.															
CO-SP20.N11	Percent of active dredged material ocean dumping sites that will have achieved environmentally acceptable conditions (as reflected in each site's management plan and measured through on-site monitoring programs).	BUD SP	95%	96%	96%	100%	100%	100%	90%	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		95%
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			93%	93%	100%	100%	100%	74%	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			98%	98%	100%	100%	100%	90%	n/a	94%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			90.1%	90.1%	100%	100%	100%	74%	n/a	57%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	95%	n/a	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			94% (60)	60	5	3	2	17	n/a	15	n/a	n/a	11	7		
	2011 UNIVERSE			67	67	5	3	2	19	n/a	16	n/a	n/a	12	10		
CO-02	Total coastal and non-coastal statutory square miles protected from vessel sewage by "no discharge zone(s)." (cumulative)	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			54,494		3,019	2,340.33	65.17	3,084.77	45,701	2	0	254	28	0		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			53,635		3,132	1,580.33	65.17	2,872	45,701	2	0	254	28	0		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			33,966,990		1,897,585	821,490	41,711	1,775,702	29,248,806	1,280	0	162,560	17,856	0		
	FY 2009 BASELINE			52,607		2,511	1,271	65	2,775	45,701	2	0	254	28	0		
	UNIVERSE			163,129		6,453	5,995	7,882	24,128	55,419	9,905	568	1,749	9,883	41,145		
	National Program Manager Comments	As of FY10, the universe consists of the total area of water eligible to be designated as an NDZ under the current regulations (in statutory square miles). Note the change in units of measure from FY08 to FY10 (FY08: linear miles, FY09: acres, FY10: statutory square miles).															

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
CO-04	Dollar value of “primary” leveraged resources (cash or in-kind) obtained by the NEP Directors and/or staff in millions of dollars rounded to the nearest tenth of a percent.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			\$662		\$530	\$29	\$11	\$31	n/a	\$10	n/a	n/a	\$7	\$44		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			\$274.3		\$71.3	\$12.6	\$9.3	\$43.1	n/a	\$5.8	n/a	n/a	\$25.1	\$107.1		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			\$514.6		\$337.6	\$14.8	\$10.1	\$65.6	n/a	\$12.5	n/a	n/a	\$21	\$53		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			\$158.8		\$12.3	\$46.9	\$7.7	\$19.1	n/a	\$4.5	n/a	n/a	\$51	\$17.3		
	National Program Manager Comments	(Dollars in millions and rounded to nearest tenth of a percent). Note that “primary” leveraged dollars are those the National Estuary Program (NEP) played the central role in obtaining. An example of primary leveraged dollars would be those obtained from a successful grant proposal written by the NEP.															
CO-05	Number of dredged material management plans that are in place for major ports and harbors.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			40		8	3	8	2	n/a	14	n/a	n/a	2	3		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			37		5	3	8	2	n/a	14	n/a	n/a	2	3		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			38		5	3	8	2	n/a	14	n/a	n/a	3	3		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			15		2	1	2	0	n/a	3	n/a	n/a	2	5		
	UNIVERSE			76		10	3	8	18	n/a	14	n/a	n/a	12	11		
	National Program Manager Comments	This number represents major coastal/Great Lakes ports/harbors (commercially significant/deep draft and regionally significant). Development of a dredged material management plan is not necessary or feasible for all ports and harbors in the universe.															
CO-06	Number of active dredged material ocean dumping sites that are monitored in the reporting year.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			33		1	2	2	12	n/a	2	n/a	n/a	2	12		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			33		3	1	2	6	n/a	5	n/a	n/a	6	10		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			38		2	1	2	6	n/a	11	n/a	n/a	6	10		
	2011 UNIVERSE			67		5	3	2	19	n/a	16	n/a	n/a	12	10		
CO-432.N11	Working with partners, protect or restore additional acres of habitat within the study areas for the 28 estuaries that are part of the National Estuary Program (NEP).	OMB PA BUD SP	100,000	100,000	45,742	2,543	1,258	2,650	30,000	n/a	3,000	n/a	n/a	1,000	5,291		600,000
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			62,213	62,213	6,259.6	1,350.9	5,403	29,723.8	n/a	5,269.3	n/a	n/a	9,059.9	5,146.7		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			100,000	42,644	3,684	1,105	3,500	30,000	n/a	3,000	n/a	n/a	200	1,155		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			89,985	89,985	3,955.37	1,435.8	3,052.08	67,142.6	n/a	740	n/a	n/a	8,670	4,989.34		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			125,437	125,437	6,184	1,690	4,642	101,792	n/a	3,943	n/a	n/a	4,861	2,325		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			449,241	449,241	14,562	15,009	33,793	232,605	n/a	54,378	n/a	n/a	82,363	16,531		
	National Program Manager Comments	The FY12 planning target is higher than the regional aggregates because the planning target aligns with the target included in the FY12 budget.															
Subobjective 2.2.3 Increase Wetlands																	
WT-SP21.N11	Working with partners, achieve a net increase of wetlands nation wide, with additional focus on coastal wetlands, and biological and functional measures and assessment of wetland condition.	SP		Net Increase & Maintain Coastal												Net Increase & Maintain Coastal	Net Increase
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			Deferred												Deferred	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			32,000												32,000	
	National Program Manager Comments	FY05 end-of-year data not from ACS. The next Status and Trends Report (2011) will show a new downward trend. Data source: U.S. DOI, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2011. Status and Trends of Wetlands in the Conterminous United States 2005-09, Washington, DC. The overall decline in wetland area is complex and potentially reflected economic conditions, land use trends, changing wetland regulation and enforcement measures, conservation initiatives, the impacts of the 2005 hurricane season, and climatic changes. Qualifying language: The 2005-09 reporting period of this measure reflects that the data: a) are published in 5-year increments, which creates a fixed numerical target until the next report publication; and b) are already at least two years old upon publication. Thus, at any given time, reporting against this measure is never current.															
WT-SP22	In partnership with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, states and tribes, achieve 'no net loss' of wetlands each year under the Clean Water Act Section 404 regulatory program.	BUD	No Net Loss	No Net Loss												No Net Loss	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			No Net Loss												No Net Loss	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			No Net Loss												No Net Loss	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			No Net Loss												No Net Loss	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			No Net Loss												No Net Loss	
	National Program Manager Comments	Data source: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ORM2 Regulatory Program Database. Please note that there is a data lag with this measure. Reports for the fiscal year reflect the previous calendar year.															
WT-01	Number of acres restored and improved, under the 5-Star, NEP, 319, and great waterbody programs (cumulative).	BUD	170,000	170,000												170,000	

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			154,000												154,000	
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			150,000												150,000	
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			130,000												130,000	
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			103,507												103,507	
	<b>FY 2006 BASELINE</b>			58,777												58,777	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	These acres may include those supported by Wetland 5 Star Restoration Grants, National Estuary Program, Section 319 grants, Brownfields grants, or EPA's Great Waterbodies Program. Commitment represents a cumulative total. Unexpected accomplishments in FY06, particularly in the National Estuary Program, contributed significantly to the total number of wetland acres restored and enhanced.															
WT-02a	Number of states/tribes that have substantially built or increased capacity in wetland regulation, monitoring and assessment, water quality standards, and/or restoration and protection. (This is an annual reporting measure.)	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			54		6	0	5	3	4	3	4	16	2	11		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			47		5	0	5	1	4	3	3	13	5	8		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			22		6	0	5	3	4	0	1	0	1	2		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			20		6	0	3	7	0	0	1	3	0	0		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			584		9	7	5	6	36	68	9	27	146	271		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Intended to allow us to track work of all states/tribes (those just starting to build wetland programs and those that are improving well developed programs). Tracks the number of states/tribes that have substantially built or increased capacity in wetland regulation, monitoring and assessment, water quality standards, and/or restoration and protection. Substantially built or increased capacity is defined as completing two or more of the actions found in the tables found at: <a href="http://epa.gov/owow/estp/">epa.gov/owow/estp/</a> . *This measure is evaluated annually and is an indicator of where states and tribes are focusing their wetland development effort, the baseline resets to zero annually and is not a cumulative measure. This measure has revised measure language beginning FY10, which means FY10 results cannot be compared to previous years.															
WT-02b	Number of core elements (regulation, monitoring and assessment, water quality standards, or restoration and protection) developed and implemented by (number) of States/Tribes.	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			29		6	0	3	2	4	0	4	0	2	8		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			27		9	0	5	2	4	0	0	0	3	4		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			39		8	0	n/a	0	22	0	1	0	3	5		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			584		9	7	5	6	36	68	9	27	146	271		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Designed to track the number of states/tribes that have developed "to a functioning level" a core element (CE) of a wetlands program that they are "implementing". A subset of "core or essential" actions has been identified for each of the CEs and is tailored to ensure that a basic wetlands regulatory, monitoring and assessment, water quality standards, and/or restoration and protection program (CE) is being implemented. The essential actions can be found at: <a href="http://epa.gov/owow/estp/WT2b">epa.gov/owow/estp/WT2b</a> . *This is a cumulative measure with the baseline beginning in FY10. This measure has revised measure language beginning FY10, which means FY10 results cannot be compared to previous years.															
WT-03	Percent of Clean Water Act Section 404 standard permits, upon which EPA coordinated with the permitting authority (i.e., Corps or State), where a final permit decision in FY 08 documents requirements for greater environmental protection* than originally proposed.	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			88%	77%	100%	0%	85%	93%	90%	75%	82%	91%	100%	57%		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Tracking capabilities began in January '10. Tracking totals will appear in FY11. Reported on by Regions and HQ. **"Requirements for greater environmental protection" are counted under this measure when EPA can document that its recommendations for improvement provided in one or more of the following issue areas were incorporated into the final permit decision: 1. Demonstration of adequate impact avoidance, including: a) Determination of water dependency; b) Characterization of basic project purpose; c) Determination of range of practicable alternatives; d) Evaluation of direct, secondary and cumulative impacts for practicable alternatives; e) Identification of Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative; f) Compliance with WQS, MPRSA, ESA and/or toxic effluent standards; g) Evaluation of potential for significant degradation. 2. Demonstration of adequate impact minimization 3. Determination of adequate compensation Note: The documented permit decision can be in the form of an issued, withdrawn, or denied permit. The universe is the number of individual permits where EPA has the opportunity to comment (approximately 5,000/year). Regional priorities dictate the specific permits for which EPA submits comments. This number is typically less than 5,000.															
WT-04	Number of states measuring baseline wetland condition - with plans to assess trends in wetland condition - as defined through condition indicators and assessments (cumulative).			28	28	5	n/a	4	2	3	1	4	4	1	4		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			29	29	5	0	4	2	3	1	4	5	1	4		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			26	26	5	0	4	1	2	1	3	5	1	4		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			22	22	4	0	4	1	2	1	3	5	1	1		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			20	20	3	0	4	1	2	1	2	4	1	2		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	By 2013, a state will document within an Integrated Water Quality Monitoring Report (IMR) the baseline condition of at least one wetland type for the entire state or all wetlands in one major river basin. States may use either Level 1, 2, or 3 methods or the combined 3-Level approach. The state also has plans to re-survey for the purposes of evaluating trends. To maximize financial resources, states are encouraged to use a probability survey design for measuring baseline condition. Regions should coordinate with EPA HQ and reference the full definition for this measure to make a determination on whether a state is "on track" to meet this measure by 2013. Measure revised for FY09.															

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission.																	
*** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
<b>Subobjective 2.2.4 Improve the Health of the Great Lakes</b>																	
GL-433.N11	Improve the overall ecosystem health of the Great Lakes by preventing water pollution and protecting aquatic ecosystems.	OMB PA SP BUD	23.9	23.9						23.9							At least 24.7
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			21.9						21.9							
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			23.4						23.4							
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			22.7						22.7							
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			23.9						23.9							
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			21.5						21.5							
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			40						40							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	This measure provides a general indication of progress of numerous state and federal programs, with a specific focus on coastal wetlands, phosphorus concentrations, AOC sediment contamination, benthic health, fish tissue contamination, beach closures, drinking water quality, and air toxics deposition.															
GL-SP29	Cumulative percentage decline for the long term trend in average concentrations of PCBs in Great Lakes fish.	OMB PA BUD	40%	40%						40%							
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			44%						44%							
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			37%						37%							
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			43%						43%							
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			6%						6%							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Indicates that PCBs in top predator fish (generally lake trout, but walleye in Lake Erie) at monitored sites is expected to continue an average annual decrease of 5%. A 2-year lag between measurement and reporting means that the FY09 target pertains to measurements made in 2007. *1990 baseline: Concentrations levels at stations in Lakes Superior [0.45 ppm], Michigan [2.72 ppm], Huron [1.5 ppm], Erie [1.35ppm], & Ontario [2.18 ppm].															
GL-SP31	Number of Areas of Concern in the Great Lakes where all management actions necessary for delisting have been implemented (cumulative)	OMB PA BUD	3	3						3							
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			2						2							
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			1						1							
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			1						1							
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			1						1							
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			1						1							
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			31						31							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Measure changed to indicator starting in FY11. This measure identifies a cumulative target of taking all necessary management actions to delist 3 of the original 31 US or binational Areas of Concern. Only 1 AOC (in New York) has been de-listed to date.															
GL-SP32.N11	Cubic yards (in millions) of contaminated sediment remediated in the Great Lakes (cumulative from 1997).	OMB PA BUD SP	8.7 million	8.7 million						8.7 million							10.2 million
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			8.4 million						8.4 million							
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>		8.0	7.2 million						7.2 million							
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			7.3 million						7.3 million							
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			6 million						6 million							
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			3.7 million						3.7 million							
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			46 million						46 million							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Universe identifies quantity of contaminated sediment estimated to require remediation as of 1997. This total has been revised from a previous estimate of 75 million cubic yards based on state-submitted information and subsequent decisions, information verification, and actual remediations. Information lags behind (i.e. the 2007 commitment is for calendar year 2006 sediment remediation).															
GL-05	Number of Beneficial Use Impairments removed within Areas of Concern. (cumulative)	OMB PA BUD	31	31						31							
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			26						26							
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			26						26							
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			12						12							
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			12						12							
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			11						11							
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			261						261							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure added for FY09 from 2007 OMB PA review.															
GL-06	Number of nonnative species newly detected in the Great Lakes ecosystem.	BUD	0.8	0.8						0.8							
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			1						1							
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			1.1						1.1							
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			1.3						1.3							
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			181						181							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11, added from the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative Action Plan.															

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
GL-07	Number of multi-agency rapid response plans established, mock exercises to practice responses carried out under those plans, and/or actual response actions (cumulative).	BUD	10	10						10							
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			8						8							
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>		4.0	7						7							
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			0						0							
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			n/a						n/a							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11, added from the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative Action Plan.															
GL-08	Percent of days of the beach season that the Great Lakes beaches monitored by state beach safety programs are open and safe for swimming.	BUD	94%	90%	93%		94%	95%		90%							
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			80%	80%		n/a	98.9%		62%							
	<b>FY 2009 BASELINE</b>			92%						92%							
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			100%						55,026							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY12, replacing the following measure in the GLRI Action Plan: "Percentage of beaches meeting bacteria standards 95 percent or more of beach days."															
GL-09	Acres managed for populations of invasive species controlled to a target level (cumulative).	BUD	2,600	2,600						2,600							
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			13,045						13,045							
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			1,500						1,500							
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			0						0							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11, added from the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative Action Plan.															
GL-10	Percent of populations of native aquatic non-threatened and endangered species self-sustaining in the wild (cumulative).	BUD	35%	35%						35%							
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>		51	51						51							
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>		33%	35%						35%							
	<b>FY 2009 BASELINE</b>			27%						27%							
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			147						147							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11, added from the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative Action Plan. Numerator: # of populations of native aquatic non-T&E and non-candidate species that are self-sustaining in the wild. Denominator: total # of native aquatic non-T&E and non-candidate populations. Baseline: 39/147 populations.															
GL-11	Number of acres of wetlands and wetland-associated uplands protected, restored and enhanced (cumulative).	BUD	7,500	7,500						7,500							
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			9,624						9,624							
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>		5,000	7,500						7,500							
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			0						0							
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			550,000						550,000							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11, added from the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative Action Plan.															
GL-12	Number of acres of coastal, upland, and island habitats protected, restored and enhanced (cumulative).	BUD	20,000	20,000						20,000							
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			12,103						12,103							
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>		15,000	20,000						20,000							
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			0						0							
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			1,000,000						1,000,000							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11, added from the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative Action Plan.															
GL-13	Number of species delisted due to recovery.	BUD	1	1						1							
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			1						1							
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			1						1							
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			0						0							
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			28						28							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11, added from the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative Action Plan.															
GL-15	Five-year average annual loadings of soluble reactive phosphorus (metric tons per year) from tributaries draining targeted watersheds.	BUD	0.5%	0.5%						0.5%							
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			n/a						n/a							
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			0.5%						0.5%							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11. 2003-07 baseline (metric ton/year) is the following: Fox River is 212, Saginaw River is 133, Maumee River is 623, St. Louis River is TBD, and Genesee River is 85. The commitments measure percent reduction in five-year average annual loadings.															

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
GL-16	Acres in Great Lakes watershed with USDA conservation practices implemented to reduce erosion, nutrients, and/or pesticide loading.	BUD	8%	8%						8%							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			62%						62%							
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			2%						2%							
	BASELINE			165,000						165,000							
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY11. The commitments measure annual percentage increases from the FY05 baseline of 165,000 acres.															
Subobjective 2.2.5 Improve the Health of the Chesapeake Bay Ecosystem																	
CB-SP33.N11	Percent of Submerged Aquatic Vegetation goal of 185,000 acres achieved, based on annual monitoring from prior year.	OMB PA SP	LT	Long Term Measure					Long Term Measure								50% (92,500)
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			43%					43%								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			Long Term					Long Term								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			46% (85,914)					46%								
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			42% (76,861)					42%								
	FY 2005 BASELINE			39% (72,945)					39%								
	UNIVERSE			185,000					185,000								
	National Program Manager Comments	EPA has set a long term target of 50% goal achievement in 2015.															
CB-SP34	Percent of Dissolved Oxygen goal of 100% standards attainment achieved, based on annual monitoring from the previous calendar year and the preceding 2 years.	OMB PA	LT	Long Term Measure					Long Term Measure								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			38.5%					38.5%								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			12%					12%								
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			16% (12.27 km³)					16%								
	FY 2005 BASELINE			30% (22.73 km³)					30%								
	UNIVERSE			100% (74.8 km³)					100%								
	National Program Manager Comments	Historic data for measure changed due to new assessment method adopted during development of the Bay TMDL. Results from FY11 EOY reflect new method, past results reported here reflect the old method. The revised historic results are FY05: 42%; FY08: 40.5%; FY09: 42.1%; FY10: 39.4%.															
CB-SP35	Percent of goal achieved for implementing nitrogen pollution reduction actions to achieve the final TMDL allocations, as measured through the phase 5.3 watershed model.	OMB PA BUD	1%	15%					15%								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			8%					8%								
	FY 2010 BASELINE			0%					0%								
	UNIVERSE			100%					100%								
	National Program Manager Comments	The measure language and assessment method changed in FY11 as a result of the Bay TMDL. Results from FY11 EOY reflect these changes.															
CB-SP36	Percent of goal achieved for implementing phosphorus pollution reduction actions to achieve final TMDL allocations, as measured through the phase 5.3 watershed model.	OMB PA BUD	1%	15%					15%								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			1%					1%								
	FY 2010 BASELINE			0%					0%								
	UNIVERSE			100%					100%								
	National Program Manager Comments	The measure language and assessment method changed in FY11 as a result of the Bay TMDL. Results from FY11 EOY reflect these changes.															
CB-SP37	Percent of goal achieved for implementing sediment pollution reduction actions to achieve final TMDL allocations, as measured through the phase 5.3 watershed model.	OMB PA BUD	1%	15%					15%								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			10%					10%								
	FY 2010 BASELINE			0%					0%								
	UNIVERSE			100%					100%								
	National Program Manager Comments	The measure language and assessment method changed in FY11 as a result of the Bay TMDL. Results from FY11 EOY reflect these changes.															
CB-2	Percent of forest buffer planting goal of 10,000 miles achieved.			73%					73%								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			72%					72%								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			69%					69%								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			69%					69%								
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			62% (6,172)					62%								
	FY 2005 BASELINE			38%					38%								

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	UNIVERSE			100% (10,000)				100%									
	National Program Manager Comments	The FY11 commitment has been increased accordingly.															
Subobjective 2.2.6 Restore and Protect the Gulf of Mexico																	
GM-435	Improve the overall health of coastal waters of the Gulf of Mexico on the "good/fair/poor" scale of the National Coastal Condition Report.	BUD	2.6	2.4												2.4	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			NCCR IV Not Available													n/a
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			2.6													2.6
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			NCCR IV Not Available													n/a
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			2.2													2.2
	FY 2004 BASELINE			2.4													2.4
	UNIVERSE			5													5
	National Program Manager Comments	The rating is based on five indicators of ecological condition: water quality index, sediment quality index, benthic index, coastal habitat index, and fish tissue contaminants index.															
GM-SP38	Restore water and habitat quality to meet water quality standards in impaired segments in 13 priority areas. (cumulative starting in FY 07)	BUD	234	234												290	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			286													286
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			128													128
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			170													170
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			131													131
	FY 2002 BASELINE			0													0
	UNIVERSE			812													812
GM-SP39	Restore, enhance, or protect a cumulative number of acres of important coastal and marine habitats. (cumulative starting in FY 07)	BUD	30,600	30,600												30,600	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			30,052													30,052
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			30,000													30,000
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			29,552													29,552
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			29,344													29,344
	FY 2005 BASELINE			16,000													16,000
	UNIVERSE			3,769,370													3,769,370
	National Program Manager Comments	Coastal habitat includes marshes, wetlands, tidal flats, oyster beds, seagrasses, mangroves, dunes and maritime forest ridge areas.															
GM-SP40.N11	Reduce releases of nutrients throughout the Mississippi River Basin to reduce the size of the hypoxic zone in the Gulf of Mexico, as measured by the 5-year running average of the size of the zone.	SP		Deferred for FY 2012												Deferred	5,000 km <sup>2</sup>
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			17,520 km <sup>2</sup>													17,520
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			Deferred													Deferred
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			20,000 km <sup>2</sup>													20,000
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a													n/a
	FY 2005 BASELINE			14,128 km <sup>2</sup>													14,128
GM-01	Implement integrated bi-national (U.S. and Mexican States) early-warning system to support State and coastal community efforts to manage harmful algal blooms (HABs).			Complete taxonomy training in all 6 Mexican states													Comp. taxonomy training 6 States
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			Binational Systems Complete													Binational Systems Complete
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			Completion in Campeche													Completion
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			Complete Op System													Complete
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			Completion in Campeche													Completion
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			Expanded system													Expanded
	National Program Manager Comments	Results are measured by the number of states that have timely access to data and information for detecting, tracking, and forecasting HAB events and their effects on public health, coastal economies, and natural resources across the Gulf of Mexico.															

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
Subobjective 2.2.7 Restore and Protect the Long Island Sound																	
LI-SP41	Percent of goal achieved in reducing trade-equalized (TE) point source nitrogen discharges to Long Island Sound from the 1999 baseline of 59,146 TE lbs/day.	BUD	74%	74%			74%										
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			3/2012			3/2012										
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			55%			55%										
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			70% (33,703 TE lbs/day)			70%										
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			55% (39,011 TE lbs/day)			55%										
	FY 1999 BASELINE			59,146 TE lbs/day			59,146										
	National Program Manager Comments	Measure tracked in Trade Equalized (TE) lbs/day. TE lbs/day are pounds of nitrogen adjusted by application of an equivalency factor assigned to each point source based on its proximity to the receiving water body (LIS). The TMDL established a Waste Load Allocation of 22,774 TE lbs/day from point sources, to be achieved over a 15 year period beginning in 2000. The annual commitments are calculated by dividing the difference between the 1999 baseline and 2014 target by 15 (the TMDL period), or 2,425 TE lbs/day per year.															
LI-SP42.N11	Reduce the size (square miles) of observed hypoxia (Dissolved Oxygen <3mg/l) in Long Island Sound.	SP		Deferred for FY 2012			Deferred										15%
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			130 sq miles; 54 days			130; 54										
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			Deferred			Deferred										
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			101 sq miles; 40 days			101; 40										
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			169 sq. miles; 45 days			169; 45										
	FY 2005 BASELINE			187 sq miles; 58.6 days			187; 58.6										
	UNIVERSE			1,400 sq miles (total); 122 days (actually monitored)			1,400; 122										
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY08. Due to inter-annual variability, annual reduction targets are not calculated for this measure. Note on Universe: The 13 year pre-TMDL year average measured maximum area of hypoxia in the Sound is 208 square miles; pre-TMDL duration is 56.2 days.															
LI-SP43	Restore, protect or enhance acres of coastal habitat from the 2010 baseline of 2,975 acres.	BUD	218 acres	218 acres			218 acres										
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			890%			890%										
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			832%			832%										
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			740% (1,361)			740% (1,361)										
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,614			1,614										
	FY 2008 BASELINE			1,199 restored & protected			1,199										
	National Program Manager Comments	The long-term percentage goal of this measure was significantly exceeded in FY10. The EPA revised this measure in FY12 to measure actual acres to be restored instead of percent of goal achieved. The EPA will establish annual targets with partners to measure annual progress.															
LI-SP44	Reopen miles of river and stream corridors to diadromous fish passage from the 2010 baseline of 177 river miles by removal of dams and barriers or by installation of bypass structures.	BUD	28 miles	28 miles			28 miles										
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			72%			72%										
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			92%			92%										
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			72%			72%										
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			147			147										
	FY 2008 BASELINE			124			124										
	National Program Manager Comments	The long-term percentage goal of this measure was significantly exceeded in FY11. The EPA revised this measure in FY12 to measure actual miles to be reopened instead of percent of goal achieved. The EPA will establish annual targets with partners to measure annual progress.															
Subobjective 2.2.8 Restore and Protect the Puget Sound Basin																	
PS-SP49.N11	Improve water quality and enable the lifting of harvest restrictions in acres of shellfish bed growing areas impacted by degraded or declining water quality. (cumulative starting in FY 06)	BUD SP	3,878	3,878											3,878		4,300
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,525											1,525		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			4,953											4,953		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			4,453											4,453		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,730											1,730		

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission.																	
*** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	FY 2007 BASELINE			322											322		
	UNIVERSE			30,000											30,000		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measures starting in FY08. Baseline is the end-of-year data for FY07.															
PS-SP51	Restore acres of tidally- and seasonally-influenced estuarine wetlands. (cumulative starting in FY 06)	BUD	19,063	19,063											19,063		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			14,629											14,629		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			12,363											12,363		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			10,062.7											10,062.7		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			5,751											5,751		
	FY 2007 BASELINE			4,152											4,152		
	UNIVERSE			45,000											45,000		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measures starting in FY08. Baseline is the end-of-year data for FY07.															
Subobjective 2.2.9 Sustain and Restore the U.S.-Mexico Border Environmental Health																	
MB-SP23	Loading of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) removed (cumulative million pounds/year) from the U.S.-Mexico Border area since 2003.	OMB PA BUD	110.6	115.0	115.0						93.1			21.9			
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			108.55	108.55						87			21.55			
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			108.2	108.2						87			21.2			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			18.7	18.7											18.7	
	FY 2003 BASELINE			0	0						0			0			
	National Program Manager Comments	Measure first reported in FY10. FY10's target and result represent annual progress only. Starting in FY11, the program will report cumulative progress from 2003 to the current measure-year. 2003 Baseline: zero pounds/year of BOD removed from U.S.-Mexico Border area waters as a result of new infrastructure projects.															
MB-SP24.N11	Number of additional homes provided safe drinking water in the U.S.-Mexico border area that lacked access to safe drinking water in 2003.	OMB PA BUD SP	1,000	1,000	1,000						1,000			n/a			73,886 cumulative
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,604	2,604						2,604			0			
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			2,000	2,000						2,000			0			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			21,650	21,650						19,751			1,899			
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,584	1,584						1,584			0			
	FY 2003 BASELINE			0	0						0			0			
	FY 2003 UNIVERSE			98,515													
	National Program Manager Comments	Measure is regionally reported starting in FY09. FY03 Baseline: zero additional homes provided safe drinking water in the U.S.-Mexico Border area. FY03 Universe: 98,515 known homes in the Mexico Border area lacking access to safe drinking water.															
MB-SP25.N11	Number of additional homes provided adequate wastewater sanitation in the U.S.-Mexico border area that lacked access to wastewater sanitation in 2003.	OMB PA BUD SP	10,500	10,500	10,500						9,000			1,500			518,042 cumulative
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			259,371	259,371						239,871			19,500			
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			207,000	207,000						190,000			17,000			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			75,175	75,175						71,926			3,249			
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			43,594	43,594						39,477			4,117			
	FY 2003 BASELINE			0	0						0			0			
	FY 2003 UNIVERSE			690,723													
	National Program Manager Comments	Measure is regionally reported starting in FY09. FY03 Baseline: zero additional homes provided wastewater sanitation the U.S.-Mexico Border area. FY03 Universe: 690,723 known homes in the U.S.-Mexico Border area lacking access to wastewater sanitation.															
Subobjective 2.2.10 Sustain and Restore the Pacific Island Territories																	
PI-SP26	Percent of population in the U.S. Pacific Island Territories served by community water systems that has access to continuous drinking water meeting all applicable health-based drinking water standards, measured on a four quarter rolling average basis.	BUD	80%	80%										80%			
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			87%										87%			
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			75%										75%			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			82%										82%			
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			80%										80%			
	FY 2005 BASELINE			95% American Samoa; 10% Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands; 80% Guam										95% AS, 10% CNMI, 80% GU			
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY08.															

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
PI-SP27	Percentage of time that sewage treatment plants in the U.S. Pacific Island Territories comply with permit limits for biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and total suspended solids (TSS).	BUD	64%	64%										64%			
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			50%										50%			
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			63%										63%			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			63%										63%			
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			65%										65%			
	FY 2005 BASELINE			59%										59%			
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY08.															
PI-SP28	Percent of days of the beach season that beaches in each of the U.S. Pacific Island Territories monitored under the Beach Safety Program will be open and safe for swimming.			82%										82%			
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			77%										77%			
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			82%										82%			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			80%										80%			
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			81%										81%			
	FY 2005 BASELINE			84%										84%			
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY08.															
Subobjective 2.2.11 Restore and Protect the South Florida Ecosystem																	
SFL-SP45	Achieve 'no net loss' of stony coral cover (mean percent stony coral cover) in the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FKNMS) and in the coastal waters of Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach Counties, Florida, working with all stakeholders (federal, state, regional, tribal, and local).	I		Indicator					Indicator								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			Not Achieved					Not Achieved								
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT			No Net Loss					No Net Loss								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			No Net Loss					No Net Loss								
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			Loss					Loss								
	FY 2005 BASELINE			6.8% in FKNMS; 5.9% in SE Florida					6.8% FKNMS; 5.9% SE FL								
	National Program Manager Comments	New measures starting in FY08. Measure change to Indicator in FY 2011. Strategic Plan baseline of 6.7% was revised to 6.8%. The Coral Reef Evaluation and Monitoring Project (CREMP) for the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary was modified in 2006 by dropping one hardbottom monitoring site because of the very small percentage of stony coral cover present (less than .2%), resulting in an increase of .1 percent in the mean percent stony coral cover for the entire Sanctuary. Statistical analyses of the CREMP indicated that sampling a reduced number of stations at sites with low stony coral cover would still produce statistically valid results.															
SFL-SP46	Annually maintain the overall health and functionality of sea grass beds in the FKNMS as measured by the long-term sea grass monitoring project that addresses composition and abundance, productivity, and nutrient availability.	I		Indicator					Indicator								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			Maintained					Maintained								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			Maintained					Maintained								
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			Not maintained					Not maintained								
	FY 2005 BASELINE			EI = 8.3; SCI=0.48					EI = 8.3; SCI=0.48								
	National Program Manager Comments	New measures starting in FY08. Measure changed to Indicator in FY11. EI = Elemental Indicator; SCI = Species Composition Index.															
SFL-SP47a	At least seventy five percent of the monitored stations in the near shore and coastal waters of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary will maintain Chlorophyll a (CHLA) levels at less than or equal to 0.35 ug l-1 and light clarity (Kd)) levels at less than or equal to 0.20 m-1.	BUD	75%	75%					75%								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			85.4%					85.4%								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			75%					75%								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			Maintained					Maintained								

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	FY 1995-2005 BASELINE			CHL A ≤ 0.35 ug/L (75.7%); Kd ≤ 0.20m <sup>-1</sup> (74.6%)					CHL A ≤ 0.35 ug/L (75.7%); Kd ≤ 0.20m-1 (74.6%)								
	UNIVERSE			154					154								
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY11.															
SFL-SP47b	At least seventy five percent of the monitored stations in the near shore and coastal waters of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary will maintain dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) levels at less than or equal to 0.75 uM and total phosphorus (TP) levels at less than or equal to .25 uM .	BUD	75%	75%					75%								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			73.6%					73.6%								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			75%					75%								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			Maintained					Maintained								
	FY 1995-2005 BASELINE			DIN ≤ 0.75 uM (76.3%); TP ≤ 0.25uM (80.9%)					76.3%; 80.9%								
	UNIVERSE			154					154								
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY11.															
SFL-SP48	Improve the water quality of the Everglades ecosystem as measured by total phosphorus, including meeting the 10 parts per billion (ppb) total phosphorus criterion throughout the Everglades Protection Area marsh and the effluent limits for discharges from stormwater treatment areas.	BUD	Maintain phosphorus baseline	Maintain phosphorus baseline					Maintain P baseline								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			Measure not Met					Measure not Met								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			Maintain P baseline & meet discharge limits					Maintain P baseline & meet discharge limits								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			Not maintained					Not maintained								
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			Not maintained					Not maintained								
	FY 2005 BASELINE			See comments													
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY11. FY08. FY05 Baseline: Average annual geometric mean phosphorus concentrations were 5 ppb in Everglades National Park, 10 ppb in Water Conservation Area 3A, 13 ppb in Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge, and 18 ppb in Water Conservation Area 2A; annual average flow – weighted total phosphorus discharges from Stormwater Treatment Areas ranged from 13 ppb for area 3/4 and 98 ppb for area 1W.															
SFL-1	Increase percentage of sewage treatment facilities and onsite sewage treatment and disposal systems receiving advanced wastewater treatment or best available technology as recorded by EDU. in Florida Keys two percent (1500 EDUs) annually.	I		Indicator					Indicator								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			42,000					42,000								
	FY 2009 BASELINE			32,000					32,000								
	UNIVERSE			75,000					75,000								
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY11.															
Subobjective 2.2.12 Restore and Protect the Columbia River Basin																	
CR-SP53	Clean up acres of known contaminated sediments. (cumulative starting in FY 06)			63											63		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			63											63		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			60											60		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			20											20		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			10											10		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			0											0		
	UNIVERSE			400											400		

## National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2012

FY 2012 ACS Code	FY 2012 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2012 Budget Target	FY 2012 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2012 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
CR-SP54	Demonstrate a reduction in mean concentration of certain contaminants of concern found in water and fish tissue. (cumulative starting in FY 06)			Deferred until 2014												Deferred	
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			92%												92%	
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			10%												10%	
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			5 sites												5 sites	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Measure was updated in 2012 for 2014. Commitment deferred for FY12 however, the EPA plans to report EOY results in FY12. Sites: Oregon: West Prong, Little Walla Walla River, South of Stateline Road for Chlorpyrifos and Azinphos methyl; Oregon: North Fork Deep Creek (Clackamas Sub-basin) for Chlorpyrifos; Washington: Walla Walla River, RM 14.3 for DDT and Washington: Yakima River, RM 18-30 for DDT. For detailed information on the baseline, see <a href="http://www.epa.gov/region10/pdf/columbia/baseline_document_2009-2014.pdf">http://www.epa.gov/region10/pdf/columbia/baseline_document_2009-2014.pdf</a>															



United States  
Environmental Protection Agency  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (6207J)  
Washington, DC 20460

Official Business  
Penalty for Private Use \$300

December 2011  
[www.epa.gov](http://www.epa.gov)

EPA 850-K-11-001

