US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Appendix D: FY 2011 Detailed Measures with National and Regional Commitments and Results

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget N	Measure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Manager	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measu	re).
Subobjectiv	e 2.1.1 Water Safe to Drink													
2.1.1	Percent of the population served by community water systems that receive drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards through approaches including effective treatment and source water protection.	OMB PA BUD SG EQR NPMStat		8										
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		93.2%	91%	84%	89%	96%	96%	91%	92%	94%	97%	97%	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		91%	89%	76%	90%	93%	93%	87%	85%	91%	95%	91%	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		91.4%	91.3%	82.4%	96.6%	94.2%	93.2%	90.3%	81.6%	93.2%	96%	92.2%	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		89.9%	89%	75%	88%	91.7%	95%	88%	92%	90%	95%	91%	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		92.0%	92.0%	79.0%	89.9%	93.7%	95.4%	89.7%	94.1%	95.8%	96.9%	96.4%	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		89.5%	89.0%	75.0%	90.0%	91.0%	91.0%	89.0%	92.0%	90.0%	95.0%	91.0%	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		92%	91%	82%	89.6%	94.1%	94.9%	89.4%	83%	96%	97.5%	96.1%	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		90%	89%	75%	92%	91%	91%	88%	93%	90%	95%	90%	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		92%	92%	77%	95%	93%	93%	92%	93%	97%	95%	92%	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		90%	87%	75%	94%	91%	92%	86%	92%	94%	95%	90%	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		89.4%	92%	61%	93%	93%	92%	88%	91%	96%	98%	95%	
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		90.9%	83%	80%	93%	93%	95%	90%	93%	93%	93%	92%	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		89%	92.5%	55.3%	93.2%	93.0%	94.1%	87.8%	91.2%	94.7%	94.6%	94.8%	
	UNIVERSE (in millions)		293.9	15.0	32.1	25.4	57.5	43.0	37.4	11.9	10.4	50.2	11.0	
	National Program Manager Comments	The universe r	epresents the popu	lation served by	community v	water system	S.							
SP-1	Percent of community water systems that meet all applicable health-based standards through approaches that include effective treatment and source water protection.	OMB PA BUD SG												
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		90.7%	85%	87%	93%	94%	94%	90%	88%	90%	88%	91%	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		88%	83%	83%	87%	90%	91%	86%	87%	90%	88%	88%	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		89.6%	84.8%	85%	91%	91.7%	93.9%	88.8%	87.2%	89.4%	87.8%	89.6%	

Y 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
Aeasure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); B	UD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure	e); SMM (Ser	l nior Managei	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	L Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measu	æ).
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		87%	83%	82%	80%	90.4%	90%	85%	87%	90%	90%	88%	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		89.1%	85.7%	86.0%	90.7%	90.9%	93.0%	87.7%	87.5%	90.0%	87.9%	88.0%	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		88.1%	83%	86%	90%	89%	89%	87%	87%	90%	90%	88%	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		89%	85%	86%	91%	91%	91.4%	86.8%	88%	90%	88.7%	87.9%	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		88%	82%	86%	91%	89%	87%	87%	91%	90%	90%	89%	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)		89%	83%	87%	91%	91%	90%	88%	87.3%	91%	89%	88%	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		89%	85.7%	86.4%	91.8%	91.0%	92.0%	86.2%	86.8%	90.3%	91.6%	87.3%	
	UNIVERSE		51,651	2,718	3,810	4,470	8,841	7,350	8,202	4,112	3,219	4,534	4,395	
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	starting in FY 08.	FY 07 end-of-y	ear data not f	rom ACS.								
SP-2	Percent of "person months" (i.e. all persons served by community water systems times 12 months) during which community water systems provide drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards. RESULT	OMB PA BUD SMM	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		97.4%	97%	95%	96%	98%	98%	96%	97%	97%	99%	99%	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		95%	94%	90%	95%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	98%	95%	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		96.7%	98%	93.5%	91%	98.3%	96.6%	96.6%	96.9%	98%	98.6%	98.4%	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		94.9%	94%	90%	95%	95.2%	96%	94%	95%	95%	98%	95%	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		97.2%	97.5%	91.9%	96.9%	98.3%	97.8%	96.2%	98.2%	99.0%	98.6%	98.7%	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		95%	94.5%	90%	96%	94%	95%	95%	95%	95%	98%	95%	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		97%	95.9%	91.2%	98.2%	98.2%	97.3%	95.7%	97%	99%	99.1%	98.3%	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		94%	94.5%	90%	96%	93%	95%	93.5%	95%	95.5%	98%	95%	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		97%	96%	92%	99%	98%	97%	97%	98%	99%	97%	98%	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	UNIVERSE (in millions)		3,531	180	384	311	694	515	449	140	124	602	132	
	National Program Manager Comments	Indicator meas	sure in FY 07.											
SP-3	Percent of the population in Indian country served by community water systems that receive drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards.	BUD SMM												

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
Measure cat	regories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Manage	ment Measure)); EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measu	re).
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	n/a	Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		81.2%	100%	50%	n/a	97%	99%	87%	87%	86%	70%	87%	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		80%	95%	50%	n/a	90%	95%	80%	80%	87%	70%	87%	
]	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		87.2%	100%	100%	n/a	100%	97.1%	89.9%	83.3%	90%	80%	85.5%	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		82.2%	95%	95%	n/a	89%	95%	78%	85%	87%	75%	87%	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		81.2%	99.9%	99.6%	n/a	100.0%	99.3%	87.2%	83.3%	90.4%	68.1%	87.2%	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		81.6%	95%	95%	n/a	89%	85%	82%	80%	87%	75%	91%	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		83%	100%	53.1%	n/a	89.8%	96.9%	83.6%	87%	88.2%	73.4%	99%	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		87%	90%	90%	n/a	83%	95%	82.5%	85%	87%	85%	86%	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		87%	100%	100%	n/a	89%	98%	81%	72%	87%	84%	92%	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		87% 86.6%	93%	90%	93% n/a	95% 83.0%	95%	90%	90%	90%	85%	81% 95.0%	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2006 COMMITMENT		90%	100.0%	100.0%	II/a	83.0%	100.0%	92.0%	85.0%	81.0%	82.0%	93.0%	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		86%	100.0%	100.0%	n/a	100.0%	99.5%	90.4%	86.5%	82.6%	80.9%	88.1%	
	UNIVERSE		861,695	90,594	11,071	n/a	21,042	97,937	72,919	5,394	89,828	427,853	45,057	
	National Program Manager Comments	The universe re	epresents the popu	•	,		,	·	7-	- ,		, ,	- 4	
VP_419	Percent of community water systems where risk to public health is minimized through source water protection.	OMB PA												
]	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	
]	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		40.2%	66.3%	61%	35%	52%	40%	40.9%	12%	45%	9%	42%	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		36.4%	64%	61%	25%	52%	38%	40%	15%	45%	9%	40%	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		36.8%	65.8%	61%	29%	38%	38.8%	40%	9%	38.6%	8%	40%	
]	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		35.4%	64%	60%	25%	37%	38%	36%	18%	44%	8%	35%	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		35.0%	64.0%	60.0%	27.0%	38.0%	38.0%	38.0%	9.0%	38.0%	8.0%	38.0%	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		34.2%	57%	60%	25%	41%	39%	30%	18%	38%	5%	35%	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		32%	64%	58%	25%	30%	40%	25%	17%	37%	8%	35%	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		27%	53%	58%	21%	29%	32%	18%	11%	37%	1%	28%	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)		33%	57%	58%	21%	40%	39%	27%	17%	33%	1%	33%	
]	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		25%	52%	56%	18%	25%	23%	18%	15%	30%	10%	28%	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		24%	52%	56%	14%	22%	32%	13%	14%	32%	1%	28%	
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		12.7% (6,734)	33%	15%	7%	10%	15%	10%	10%	15%	5%	20%	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		20%	51%	30%	12%	21%	19%	19%	13%	20%	1%	28%	
	UNIVERSE (FY 2007)		51,651	2,718	3,810	4,470	8,841	7,350	8,202	4,112	3,219	4,534	4,395	

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
* Measure c	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Managei	ment Measure)); EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly l	Report Meas	sure); and NPN	AStat (OW E	PAStat meas	ure).
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 07 end-of-	year data not from	ACS. The unive	erse is the nu	mber of com	munity water	systems.					I	I
SP-4b	Percent of the population served by community water systems where risk to public health is minimized through source water protection.	SG		·										
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		55.2%	95.9%	80%	67%	55%	66%	62.9%	23%	40%	12%	84%	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		52.3%	93%	80%	58%	55%	62%	62%	20%	40%	12%	82%	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		52.0%	95.7%	80%	63%	46%	62%	63%	22%	51.8%	11%	85%	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		52.4%	95%	80%	58%	46%	64%	60%	20%	35%	12%	72%	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		54.0%	93.0%	80.0%	63.0%	51.0%	65.0%	63.0%	15.0%	37.0%	12.0%	82.0%	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		48.7%	81%	80%	58%	48%	63%	46%	20%	32%	10%	72%	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		48%	95%	81%	57%	40%	64%	44%	16%	35%	12%	71%	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		39%	77%	81%	56%	28%	47%	32%	17%	25%	1%	65%	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)		45%	81%	79%	54%	43%	63%	43%	18%	27%	1%	70%	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		n/a											
	UNIVERSE (in millions)		293.9	15.0	32.1	25.4	57.5	43.0	37.4	11.9	10.4	50.2	11.0	
	National Program Manager Tomments		w measure starting universe is the mos				=	_			=	f actions in a	source water	protection
SP-5	By 2015, in coordination with other federal agencies, reduce by 50 percent the number of homes on tribal land lacking access to safe drinking water.	OMB PA I												
	RESULT		Not Met											Not Met
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		32,900 (8.5%)											32,900 (8.5%)
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		34,187 (10.7%)											34,187 (10.7%)
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		27,367 (8.58%)											27,367
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		43,437											43,437
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		28,977 (9.0%)											28,977
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		34,855 (11%)											34,855
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		30,587 (9.5%)											30,587

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Managei	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	sure); and NPN	MStat (OW E	PAStat measu	ıre).
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		36,575 (11.5%)											36,575
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		30,500											30,500
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		38,737											38,737
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		30,800											30,800
	FY 2003 BASELINE		38,637											
	UNIVERSE		319,070											
	National Program Manager Comments	This measure is	nvolves coordinati	on with other fed	deral agencie	S.								
SDW-18	Number of American Indian and Alaska Native homes provided access to safe drinking water in coordination with other federal agencies.	SP												
	RESULT		Not Met											Not Met
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		97,311											97,311
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		100,700											100,700
	FY 2009 BASELINE		809,000											809,000
	UNIVERSE National Busquam Managan Comments	Navy maggire 4	360,000	amant CDW CD4	in the NWT	C and rapla	oo CDW CD5 ;	n the new Street	vagia Plan					360,000
	National Program Manager Comments	new measure i	for FY11, to suppl	ement SDW-SP3	m the N w F	d and replac	ce SDW-SP3 I	n the new Strat	egic Pian.					
SDW-1a	Percent of community water systems (CWSs) that have undergone a sanitary survey within the past three years (five years for outstanding performers) as required under the Interim Enhanced and Long-Term I Surface Water Treatment Rules.	OMB PA BUD SG												
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		91.6%	96.7%	96%	95.8%	96.3%	94.7%	93.6%	90%	97.9%	70%	71%	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		88%	90.0%	95.0%	91.0%	87.0%	91.0%	93.0%	87.0%	95.0%	70.0%	75.0%	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		86.9%	99%	95%	93.7%	90%	95.5%	78%	94%	92%	68%	64%	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		88.6%	90%	95%	91%	87.7%	91%	93%	87%	95%	75%	66%	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		88.0%	99.0%	95.0%	93.2%	87.0%	92.9%	92.0%	91.0%	90.0%	67.0%	80.0%	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		91.8%	90%	95%	91%	85%	89%	93%	95%	90%	100%	95%	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		87%	96%	96%	95.4%	84.3%	87.6%	94.4%	93%	91%	60.7%	66%	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		94%	90%	95%	95%	95%	84%	93%	95%	94%	100%	95%	

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
' Measure ca	tegories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); l	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	ior Managei	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measur	e).
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		92%	88%	95%	91%	95%	81%	91%	95%	92%	100%	95%	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		94%	90%	95%	98%	95%	80%	95%	100%	95%	100%	95%	
	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE (FY 2007)		n/a 11,471	489	1,387	1,235	1,802	1,376	2,100	792	780	917	593	
	UNIVERSE (F I 2007)		11,4/1	409	1,367	1,233	1,002	1,370	2,100	192	700	917	393	
	National Program Manager Comments	*Prior to FY 0	7, this measure tra	cked states, rath	er than CWS	s, in complia	nce with this r	regulation. The	national FY	07 end-of-ye	ear result provi	ded is an esti	mate.	
SDW-1b	Number of tribal community water systems (CWSs) that have undergone a sanitary survey within the past three years (five years for outstanding performers) as required under the Interim Enhanced and Long-Term I Surface Water Treatment Rule	EQR NPMStat												
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	n/a	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		74	2	2	n/a	1	2	9	1	24	22	11	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		65	2	2	n/a	1	2	9	1	15	25	8	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		63	2	2	n/a	1	2	7	1	15	25	8	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		54	1	2	n/a	1	2	7	1	7	25	8	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		63	2	2	n/a	1	2	9	1	13	25	8	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		49	1	2	n/a	1	2	7	1	6	21	8	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		47	1	2	n/a	1	2	5	1	16	12	7	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		44	1	2	n/a	1	2	5	1	10	18	4	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT EV 2007 COMMITMENT		51 30	1	2	n/a n/a	1	2 2	1	3	0	18 18	8	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		37	1	1	n/a n/a	1	2	1	4	11	13	3	
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		44	1	1	n/a	1	2	1	3	10	18	7	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		22	n/a	1	n/a	1	2	1	1	0	9	7	
	UNIVERSE (FY 2007)		68	n/a	2	n/a	1	2	7	1	25	20	10	
	National Program Manager Comments	_	vey is an on-site re			cilities, equip	oment, operation	on, and mainten	ance of a pu	olic water sy	stem for the pu	rpose of eva	luating the ade	quacy of th
SDW- 2	Percent of the data for violations of health-based standards at public water systems that is accurate and complete in SDWIS-FED for all maximum contaminant level and treatment technique rules (excluding the Lead and Copper Rule).	OMB PA I												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT		n/a Indicator											

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); l	BUD (Budget M	Ieasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Manage	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly l	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW E	PAStat measu	ıre).
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		68%											
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		64%											
	2009 Target		Indicator											
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		62%											
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		60%											
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE		na n/a											
	UNIVERSE		n/a n/a											
	National Program Manager Comments Percent of the lead action level data that for the Lead and	the past 3 cale	l-of-year result is b	pased on audits c	onducted dur	ring 2005 an	d 2006. Future	e results will be	based on thi	ree-year rolli	ng data from d	ata verificati	on audits con	ducted during
SDW- 3	Copper Rule, for community water systems serving over 3,300 people, that is complete in SDWIS-FED.	I												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		87%											
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 Target		n/a Indicator											
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		87%											
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	FY 2005-2007 END OF YOUR RESULTS		87%	88%	97%	93%	85%	98%	83%	71%	89%	76%	90%	
	FY 2002-2004 END OF YEAR RESULTS		80%	89%	97%	86%	87%	83%	47%	68%	90%	88%	85%	
	UNIVERSE		8,954	435	699	676	2,006	1,594	1,438	440	366	913	387	
	National Program Manager Comments	*This measure	is calculated every	three years to r	natch the req	uirements fo	or lead sampling	g. The 2005–20	007 results w	ill be calcula	ted in April 20	08.		
SDW-4	Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds available for projects] for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF).	OMB PA BUD												
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		90%	92%	94%	96%	88%	87.1%	87%	85%	89%	87%	101%	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		87.7%	90%	90%	86%	90%	80%	89%	95%	90%	85%	92%	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		91.3%	99.1%	98%	102%	90%	93.2%	99%	109%	91.9%	85%	104.6%	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		85.7%	89%	90%	85%	89%	78%	85%	94%	89%	75%	94%	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		92%*	94.0%	90.0%	95.0%	95.0%	79.0%	93.0%	99.0%	93.0%	83.0%	86.0%	

Y 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
Measure ca	tegories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure	e); SMM (Ser	ior Managei	nent Measure)); EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly l	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW El	PAStat measu	re).
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		86%*	85%	90%	85%	89%	78%	79%	93%	88%	75%	94%	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		90%	97.2%	94%	91.5%	89.5%	81.8%	88.1%	102%	85.9%	85.7%	93%	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		85%	79%	91%	85%	86%	82%	76%	92%	86%	80%	95%	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		88%	90%	91%	91%	89%	84%	78%	97%	86%	85%	96%	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		85%	78%	90%	84%	85%	80%	73%	90%	87%	94%	92%	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		89.6%	89.0%	89.0%	88.0%	92.0%	81.0%	72.0%	92.0%	87.0%	85.0%	92.0%	
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		81.3%	78%	88%	83%	80%	78%	79%	90%	84%	74%	88%	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		84.7%	78.5%	93.0%	83.3%	88.0%	87.0%	64.5%	91.0%	84.0%	80.0%	94.3%	
	UNIVERSE (FY 2007 in millions)		\$14,419.7	\$1,378.1	\$2,686.4	\$832.3	\$1,527.6	\$2,812.2	\$1,283.7	\$978.8	\$1,006.8	\$1,321.7	\$592.1	
	National Program Manager Comments	Universe repre	esents the funds ava	ailable for projec	cts for the DV	VSRF throug	gh 2007, in mil	llions of dollars	(i.e., the den	ominator of	the measure).			
DW-5	Number of Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) projects that have initiated operations. (cumulative)	OMB PA BUD												
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Not Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		6,237	799	448	575	714	1,250	227	583	726	308	446	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		5,590	624	416	482	681	1,230	235	542	550	330	500	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		5,236	735	410	500	599	1,066	192	480	591	261	402	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		5,182	500	405	440	530	935	182	462	450	280	240	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		4,576	564	396	464	564	936	160	427	479	225	361	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		4,015	455	394	455	501	883	162	344	380	201	240	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		4,013	455	383	433	522	847	135	380	418	207	307	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		3,712	440	380	415	501	794	140	290	350	177	225	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		3,526	415	366	353	499	702	119	328	378	137	229	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		3,262	400	366	347	475	618	114	280	321	155	186	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		3,063	374	311	297	441	630	79	277	331	137	186	
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	FY 2005 BASELINE		2,611	320	311	261	369	557	59	229	242	123	140	
	National Program Manager Comments	This measure v	was annually repor	ted in ACS start	ing in FY 200)9.								
DW-7a	Percent of deep injection wells that are used to inject industrial, municipal, or hazardous waste (Class I) that lose mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water.	OMB PA BUD SG												

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure o	categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Managen	nent Measure)	; EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly F	Report Meas	sure); and NPM	IStat (OW El	PAStat measu	ıre).
	RESULT		Not Met	n/a	n/a	n/a	Not Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	50%	83%	90%	100%	100%	100%	0%	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	90%	50%	93%	90%	95%	100%	75%	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		96.0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		89.0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	90%	75%	93%	90%	95%	90%	75%	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		100.0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	90%	75%	90%	95%	90%	90%	75%	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		99%	n/a	n/a	n/a	99%	98%	98.2%	100%	100.0%	96%	100%	
	UNIVERSE (FY 2009)		58	n/a	1	n/a	1	2	2	49	1	2	0	
	National Program Manager Comments		ed for FY 09. United for FY 07 end		-			number of med	chanical integ	rity failures.				
SDW-7b	National Program Manager Comments Percent of deep injection wells that are used to enhance oil/natural gas recovery, or for the injection of other (Class II) fluids associated with oil and natural gas production, that have lost mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water.	*The universe OMB PA BUD SG	ed for FY 09. United reflects FY 07 end		-			number of med	chanical integ	rity failures.				
SDW-7b	Percent of deep injection wells that are used to enhance oil/natural gas recovery, or for the injection of other (Class II) fluids associated with oil and natural gas production, that have lost mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking	*The universe OMB PA BUD SG			-			number of med	Met	Met	Not Met	Not Met	n/a	
SDW-7b	Percent of deep injection wells that are used to enhance oil/natural gas recovery, or for the injection of other (Class II) fluids associated with oil and natural gas production, that have lost mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water.	*The universe OMB PA BUD SG	reflects FY 07 end	l-of-year and is s	ubject to cha	nge in FY 08	3.					Not Met	n/a	
SDW-7b	Percent of deep injection wells that are used to enhance oil/natural gas recovery, or for the injection of other (Class II) fluids associated with oil and natural gas production, that have lost mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water. RESULT	*The universe OMB PA BUD SG	Not Met	n/a	wbject to cha	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met			
SDW-7b	Percent of deep injection wells that are used to enhance oil/natural gas recovery, or for the injection of other (Class II) fluids associated with oil and natural gas production, that have lost mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT	*The universe OMB PA BUD SG	Not Met 86%	n/a	Met 100%	Not Met	Met 89%	Met 76%	Met 93%	Met 85%	Not Met	47%	n/a	
SDW-7b	Percent of deep injection wells that are used to enhance oil/natural gas recovery, or for the injection of other (Class II) fluids associated with oil and natural gas production, that have lost mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT	*The universe OMB PA BUD SG	Not Met 86% 87%	n/a n/a	Met 100% 90%	Not Met 61% 80%	Met 89% 75%	Met 76% 60%	Met 93% 90%	Met 85% 85%	Not Met 72% 95%	47% 90%	n/a 85.0%	
SDW-7b	Percent of deep injection wells that are used to enhance oil/natural gas recovery, or for the injection of other (Class II) fluids associated with oil and natural gas production, that have lost mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT	*The universe OMB PA BUD SG	Not Met 86% 87% 89.0%	n/a n/a n/a n/a	Met 100% 90% 97%	Not Met 61% 80% 82%	Met 89% 75% 82%	Met 76% 60% 79%	Met 93% 90% 93%	Met 85% 85% 73%	Not Met 72% 95% 82%	47% 90% 100%	n/a 85.0% 100%	
SDW-7b	Percent of deep injection wells that are used to enhance oil/natural gas recovery, or for the injection of other (Class II) fluids associated with oil and natural gas production, that have lost mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT	*The universe OMB PA BUD SG	Not Met 86% 87% 89.0% 85.0%	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	Met 100% 90% 97% 90%	Not Met 61% 80% 82% 45%	Met 89% 75% 82% 70%	76% 60% 79% 57%	Met 93% 90% 93% 90%	Met 85% 85% 73% 85%	Not Met 72% 95% 82% 95%	47% 90% 100% 90%	n/a 85.0% 100% 85%	
SDW-7b	Percent of deep injection wells that are used to enhance oil/natural gas recovery, or for the injection of other (Class II) fluids associated with oil and natural gas production, that have lost mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT	*The universe OMB PA BUD SG	Not Met 86% 87% 89.0% 85.0% 90.0%	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	Met 100% 90% 97% 90% 100.0%	Not Met 61% 80% 82% 45% 57.0%	Met 89% 75% 82% 70% 83.0%	Met 76% 60% 79% 57% 67.0%	Met 93% 90% 93% 90% 96.0%	Met 85% 85% 73% 85% 85.0%	72% 95% 82% 95% 95.0%	47% 90% 100% 90% 100.0%	n/a 85.0% 100% 85% 100.0%	

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure c	categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	Grant Measure); SMM (Sen	nior Manager	nent Measure)	; EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly l	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measu	ıre).
	National Program Manager Comments		ed for FY 09. Univ		_			number of mec	hanical integr	rity failures.				
SDW-7c	Percent of deep injection wells that are used for salt solution mining (Class III) that lose mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water.	OMB PA BUD SG												
	RESULT		Met	n/a	Met	n/a	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	n/a	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		100%	n/a	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		86%	n/a	95%	n/a	100%	50%	94%	85%	95%	90%	n/a	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		75.0%	n/a	96%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		90.0%	n/a	95%	99%	100%	75%	94%	85%	95%	90%	n/a	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		100.0%	n/a	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		89%	n/a	90%	100%	100%	75%	90%	85%	90%	90%	n/a	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		99%	n/a	100%	100%	100%	96%	99.0%	100%	95%	100%	n/a	
	UNIVERSE (FY 2009)		149	n/a	0	n/a	0	2	2	140	4	1	0	
	National Program Manager Comments		ed for FY 09. Univereflects FY 07 end		-			number of mec	hanical integr	rity failures.		1		
	Percent of high priority Class V wells identified in													
SDW-8	sensitive ground water protection areas that are closed or permitted. (cumulative) [Measure will still set targets and commitments and report results in both % and #. Numerical commitments from UIC database.]	OMB PA BUD												
SDW-8	permitted. (cumulative) [Measure will still set targets and commitments and report results in both % and #. Numerical commitments		Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
SDW-8	permitted. (cumulative) [Measure will still set targets and commitments and report results in both % and #. Numerical commitments from UIC database.]		Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met 100%	Met	Met 90%	Met	Met	
SDW-8	permitted. (cumulative) [Measure will still set targets and commitments and report results in both % and #. Numerical commitments from UIC database.] RESULT													

Y 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
Measure cat	tegories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Sen	ior Managen	nent Measure); EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Meas	sure); and NPM	Stat (OW E	PAStat measu	re).
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		71%	90%	86%	85%	75%	75%	86%	93%	80%	43%	50%	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		82%	100%	97%	94%	65%	87%	100%	100	89%	42%	71%	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT (Measure revised for FY 09)		74% (24,832)	90% (12,690)	86% (884)	88% (3,178)	95% (1,143)	60% (2,501)	86% (234)	95% (638)	70% (1,295)	40% (2,029)	20% (240)	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT (ACS results numerical)		84% (5932/7048)	100% 7/7	95% 313/330	90% 3072/3402	96% 133/138	82% 140/170	100% 2	100% 378	89% 1764/1993	0	20% 125/630	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (ACS commitments numerical)		86% (3,883)	56	225 (96%)	2,554 (90%)	92 (86%)	44 (50%)	2 (20%)	354 (95%)	8 (85%)	4 (50%)	44 (20%)	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT UNIVERSE		75% (4,900) 45,476	data n/a 14,722	(100) 98% 286	(2,734) 91% 4,031	(30) 97% 1,692	(69) 66% 3,585	(0) n/a 271	(0) n/a 881	(1,346) 82% 2,632	(0) n/a 5,211	(621) 19% 12,165	
	National Program Manager Comments	number. "Sensitive growareas. This me	ed for FY 09. University water protection as ure does not reposity water system s	on areas" are def	ined by the Un priority wel	JIC primacy lls that are be	program dire	ctor, but at a mir	nimum must	include grou	nd water based	community	water system	source wa
NI)W-II	Percent of DWSRF projects awarded to small PWS serving <500, 501-3,300, and 3,301-10,000 consumers.	I												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		71%	65%	68%	78%	58%	71%	58%	83%	82%	65%	77%	
	FY 2009 BASELINE		72%	72%	75%	70%	30%	72%	76%	80%	87%	81%	80%	
	UNIVERSE		698	138	44	56	43	126	33	70	87	26	75	
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	starting in FY11.											
DW-12	Percent of DWSRF dollars awarded to small PWS serving <500, 501-3,300, 3,301-10,000 consumers.	I			1									
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		38%	22%	36%	54%	35%	41%	28%	53%	48%	22%	61%	
	FY 2009 BASELINE		44%	24%	38%	40%	16%	40%	36%	54%	52%	60%	79%	
	UNIVERSE (Millions)	NI	1,522.3	127.7	251.5	137.2	176.9	246.6	211.7	105.7	108	55.2	101.8	
DW-13	National Program Manager Comments Percent of DWSRF loans that include assistance to disadvantaged communities.	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	starting in FY11.											
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		31%	34%	41%	53%	31%	17%	31%	27%	33%	17%	34%	
	FY 2009 BASELINE		31%	22%	55%	43%	33%	13%	42%	27%	43%	23%	32%	
	UNIVERSE		698	138	44	56	43	126	33	70	87	26	75	
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	starting in FY11.									I		
DW-14	Number and percent of CWS and NTNCWS, including new PWS, serving fewer than 500 persons. (New PWS are those first reported to EPA in last calendar year).	I												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		63% 43728 (605)	77% 3571	64% 3421	67% 4661	56% 5830	60% 7121	51% 4912	59% 2758	69% 2686	69% 4468	78% 4300	
	FY 2009 BASELINE (CWS & NTNCWS <500)		44,673 65%	3,662 77%	3,647 65%	4,741 67%	6,061 56%	7,357 61%	4,949 52%	2,827	2,659 69%	4,386	4,384	
	FY 2009 New Systems (CWS & NTNCWS)		562	51	59	62	89	115	45	30	51	30	30	
		1												

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure ca	ntegories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure)	; SMM (Sen	ior Manager	ment Measure);	; EQR (EPASta	t Quarterly F	Report Measi	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW E	PAStat measur	·e).
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	starting in FY11.	In FY11, there ar	e 605 new P	WS serving	fewer than 500	persons.						
SDW-15	Number and percent of small CWS and NTNCWS (<500, 501-3,300, 3,301-10,000) with repeat health based Nitrate/Nitrite, Stage 1 D/DBP, SWTR and TCR violations.	I												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		2%	3%	4%	2%	1%	1%	3%	4% 172	2%	2%	2%	
	FY 2009 BASELINE (CWS & NTNCWS <10,000 w/repeat Health-Based Viols)		1,904	164 4%	208	113	218	102	394	288	91 2%	154	172	
	UNIVERSE (CWS & NTNCWS<10,000)		66,165	4,478	5,189	6,751	9,840	11,270	9,082	4,562	3,690	5,877	5,426	
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	starting in FY11.	7,770	5,107	0,731	7,070	11,270	7,002	7,502	3,070	3,011	3,720	
SDW-16	Average time for small PWS (<500, 501-3,300, 3,301-10,000) to return to compliance with acute Nitrate/Nitrite, Stage 1 D/DBP, SWTR and TCR health-based violations (based on state-reported RTC determination date).	ī												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		167 days	171	92	171	136	166	155	179	191	224	199	
	FY 2009 BASELINE (CWS & NTNCWS <10,000 w/		99	15	9	31	1	6	6	17	4	7	3	
	Acute Health-Based Viols)		78.8 days	134	18	69	74	44	72	153	135	53	36	
	UNIVERSE (CWS & NTNCWS<10,000)		66,165	4,478	5,189	6,751	9,840	11,270	9,082	4,562	3,690	5,877	5,426	
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	starting in FY11.											
SDW-17	Number and percent of schools and childcare centers that meet all health-based drinking water standards.	I												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		92%	89%	95%	92%	92%	94%	93%	89%	93%	89%	92%	
	FY 2009 BASELINE		7,114 7,260 94%	1,017 1,057 92%	708 705 95%	1,188 1,179 96%	647 688 95%	1,872 1,933 95%	334 329 95%	195 197 89%	236 224 94%	505 523 90%	412 425 97%	
	UNIVERSE		7,703	1,146	740	1,228	724	2,041	345	222	239	578	440	
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	starting in FY11.	1,140	770	1,220	14	2,041	J+J		439	310	770	
	e 2.1.2 Fish and Shellfish Safe to Eat	1												
SP-6	Percent of women of childbearing age having mercury levels in blood above the level of concern.	BUD SP												
	RESULT		n/a											n/a
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											n/a
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		4.9%											4.9%
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											n/a
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		5.1%											5.1%
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		data n/a											data n/a
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		5.2%											5.2%
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		data n/a											data n/a

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure c	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); F	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Manage	ment Measure); EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	sure); and NPN	AStat (OW E	PAStat meas	ure).
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		5.5%											5.5%
	FY 2005 BASELINE		5.7%											
	National Program Manager Comments	SP-6 is a new 1	measure starting ir	FY 08.										
FS-1a	Percent of river miles where fish tissue will be assessed to support waterbody-specific or regional consumption advisories or a determination that no consumption advice is necessary. (Great Lakes measured separately; Alaska not included)	I												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		36%											
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		39%											
	FY 2009 Target		Indicator											
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT		26% (910,000) Indicator											
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		26%(910,000)											
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		26%(930,000)*											
	FY 2005 BASELINE		24% (840,000)											
	UNIVERSE		100%(3.5											
	UNIVERSE		million)											
	National Program Manager Comments	*This is the act	tual FY 06 end-of-	year result. An e	estimated FY	06 end-of-y	ear result had	been entered in	ACS.					
FS-1b	Percent of lake acres where fish tissue will be assessed to support waterbody-specific or regional consumption advisories or a determination that no consumption advice is necessary. (Great Lakes measured separately; Alaska not included)													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		42%											
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		43%											
	FY 2009 Target		Indicator											
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		38% (15.2											
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		38%(15.2 million)											
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		38% (15.4											
	FY 2005 BASELINE		million)* 35%(14 million)											

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
Measure of	categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment);	BUD (Budget M	Measure); SG (State	Grant Measure	e); SMM (Sen	ior Managei	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly l	Report Meas	sure); and NPN	AStat (OW E	PAStat measu	ıre).
	UNIVERSE		100% (40 million)											
	National Program Manager Comments	*This is the ac	tual FY 06 end-of-	year result. An e	estimated FY	06 end-of-ye	ear result had b	een entered in	ACS.					
Subobjecti	ve 2.1.3 Water Safe for Swimming													
SP-9	Percent of days of the beach season that coastal and Great Lakes beaches monitored by state beach safety programs are open and safe for swimming.	BUD SG												
	RESULT		Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	n/a	n/a	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		96%	97.7%	98%	97.3%	97.7%	92%	91%	n/a	n/a	93%	99%	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		91%	98%	95%	95%	92%	88%	80%	n/a	n/a	86%	95%	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		95%	97.2%	97%	98.2%	97.7%	94%	91%	n/a	n/a	93.1%	95%	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		95%	98%	95%	95%	92%	85%	85%	n/a	n/a	86%	95%	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		95%	n/a	98.0%	99.0%	96.8%	93.7%	82.0%	n/a	n/a	93.0%	98.0%	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		93% = National commit./ 91.7% = Regional commit. Total	98%	96%	95%	92%	85%	85%	n/a	n/a	89%	93%	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		95%	98.6%	97.9%	98%	96.4%	91%	85%	n/a	n/a	93.3%	95.4%	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		91%	98.0%	96.0%	95.0%	92.0%	85.0%	82.0%	n/a	n/a	86.6%	96.0%	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		95.2%	97.3%	97.4%	97.8%	96.5%	93.1%	95.9%	n/a	n/a	92.4%	96.4%	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		92.7%	98.0%	96.0%	98.0%	92.0%	85.0%	90.0%	n/a	n/a	86.6%	96.0%	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		97.0%											
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		94.0%											
	FY 2005 BASELINE		96.0%	98.0%	97.2%	98.5%	96.3%	95.5%	93.0%	n/a	n/a	95.3%	92.8%	
	UNIVERSE (2006)		709,170	89,355	105,772	19,357	180,965	52,559	14,266	n/a	n/a	233,000	13,896	
	National Program Manager Comments	Universe chan	ges annually. Per A	ACS, Region 9's	s FY 07 com	mitment refle	ects the inclusion	on of Guam, A	merican Same	oa, and the N	Northern Maria	anas for the fi	rst time. The	se territe

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure c	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Sei	nior Manager	ment Measure); EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	AStat (OW E	PAStat measi	ure).
SS-1	Number and national percent, using a constant denominator, of Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) permits with a schedule incorporated into an appropriate enforceable mechanism, including a permit or enforcement order, with specific dates and milestones, including a completion date consistent with Agency guidance, which requires: 1) Implementation of a Long Term Control Plan (LTCP) which will result in compliance with the technology and water quality-based requirements of the Clean Water Act; or 2) implementation of any other acceptable CSO control measures consistent with the 1994 CSO Control Policy; or 3) completion of separation after the baseline date. (cumulative)	NPMStat												
	RESULT		Not Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	n/a	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		734 (86%)	76	72	224	18	305	n/a	20	1	3	15	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		736 (86%)	76	72	225	18	304	n/a	22	1	3	15	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		724 (85%)	76	70	221	17	303	n/a	18	1	3	15	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		702 (82%)	76	70	211	17	290	n/a	19	1	3	15	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		693 (81%)	76	67	206	17	294	n/a	14	1	3	15	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		668 (78%)	76	69	197	15	272	n/a	20	1	3	15	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		610 (72%)	76	62	197	15	232	n/a	9	1	3	15	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		604 (71%)	76 (93%)	64 (60%)	187 (79%)	10 (42%)	232 (64%)	n/a	16 (67%)	1 (100%)	3 (100%)	15 (100%)	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		559 (67%)	75 (91%)	51 (48%)	156 (70%)	9 (38%)	238 (67%)	n/a	11 (46%)	1 (100%)	3 (100%)	15 (100%)	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		532 (64%)	75 (91%)	50 (47%)	140 (63%)	9 (38%)	230 (65%)	n/a	11 (46%)	n/a	3 (100%)	14 (93%)	
	FY 2008 BASELINE		536(63%)	75(91%)	51(48%)	175(74%)	9(38%)	200(55%)	n/a	7(29%)	1(100%)	3(100%)	15(100%)	
	UNIVERSE		853	82	106	235	24	362	n/a	24	1	3	15	
	National Program Manager Comments	Measure revise	ed for FY 08. FY 0	7 numbers are b	ased on a sli	ghtly differer	nt definition.	1						
SS-2	Percent of all Tier I (significant) public beaches that are monitored and managed under the BEACH Act program.	SG												
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	n/a	n/a	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	n/a	n/a	85%	93%	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		99.1%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	93%	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	n/a	n/a	85%	93%	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	n/a	n/a	100%	81%	

Y 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
Aeasure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget N	Measure); SG (State	Grant Measure	e); SMM (Ser	ior Manager	ment Measure)); EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measu	re).
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	n/a	n/a	100%	93%	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		99.1%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	93%	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		99%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	95%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		98.8%	100%	100%	100%	95.4%	100%	95%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		98.8%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%	
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		100.0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		96.5%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	n/a	n/a	100%	80%	
	UNIVERSE		2,685	905	365	89	481	315	79	n/a	n/a	376	75	
	National Program Managar Comments		ange their designatio FY 2008 Tier I beac		-	herefore, the	se numbers m	ay change from	year to year					
oobjectiv	e 2.2.1 Improve Water Quality on a Watershed Basis													
SP-10	Number of waterbodies identified in 2002 as not attaining water quality standards where standards are now fully attained (cumulative)	OMB PA BUD SG SMM												
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		3,119	117	127	557	504	646	190	353	270	105	250	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		2,973	117	127	555	504	640	190	302	270	72	196	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		2,909	101	126	544	495	630	182	295	270	72	194	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		2,809	90	119	550	460	621	182	295	227	72	193	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		2,505	84	113	431	418	537	170	289	222	51	190	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		2,272	84	107	425	418	528	155	230	222	45	58	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		2,165	84	87	358	418	528	144	226	222	45	53	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		1,552	69	25	350	260	309	124	223	96	46	50	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)		1,409	69	20	320	260	248	124	209	73	38	48	
	UNIVERSE (2002)		39,503	6,710	1,805	8,998	5,274	4,550	1,407	2,036	1,274	1,041	6,408	
	National Program Manager Comments	to 1,550.	om regional staff and from previous Measu							_			and OMB PA	A are rou
SP-11	Remove the specific causes of waterbody impairment identified by states in 2002. (cumulative)	BUD												
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
					i i									

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	SUD (Budget M	Measure); SG (State	Grant Measure	e); SMM (Sen	nior Manager	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly l	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat meas	ure).
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		9,016	339	456	1,725	1,110	3,205	420	341	541	419	460	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		8,446	320	453	1,703	1,018	2,796	412	340	529	419	456	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		8,512	257	391	1,575	1,003	3,205	410	332	470	419	450	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		7,530	224	384	1,403	912	2,666	395	324	465	310	447	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		6,891	223	308	1,300	912	2,665	360	245	465	303	110	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		6,723	217	243	1,232	912	2,665	346	240	465	303	100	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		4,607	120	100	1,125	698	1,700	247	236	163	134	84	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)		4,033	120	42	1,048	698	1,354	247	18	163	259	84	
	UNIVERSE		69,677	8,826	2,567	13,958	9,374	10,155	3,005	4,391	3,502	2,742	11,157	
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 07 data fro	om Regional staff an	nd is not reflect	ed in ACS sin	ice measure i	s new starting	in FY 08.						
SP-12	Improve water quality conditions in impaired watersheds nationwide using the watershed approach. (cumulative)	BUD												
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		271	6	23	18	48	23	38	7	31	28	49	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		208	6	23	18	48	23	28	7	24	17	14	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		168	5	22	16	40	20	17	5	20	15	8	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		141	5	20	16	40	15	12	5	20	4	4	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		104	4	14	12	32	10	9	4	17	0	2	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		102	4	13	12	32	10	8	4	17	0	2	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		60	1	8	8	20	5	3	3	12	0	0	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)		21	0	2	0	12	0	0	0	9	0	0	
						200		-	212				-	
	UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments	EV 07 data is	from Regional staff	246	300	300	2,000	378	213	169	684	27	450	
SP-13	Ensure that the condition of the Nation's wadeable streams does not degrade (i.e., there is no statistically significant increase in the percent of streams rated "poor" and no statistically significant decrease in the streams rated "good").	1°1 07 uata 18	nom Regional staff	and is not lette	cicu iii ACS	Since measur	e begins in F i							
	[No reporting on this measure until 2012] FY 2006 BASELINE National Program Manager Comments		28% good; 25% fair; 42% poor	11.1	2011				10012					28%; 25° 42%

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
* Measure c	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Manage	ment Measure)); EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	sure); and NPN	AStat (OW El	PAStat measu	ıre).
SP-14	Improve water quality in Indian country at monitoring stations in tribal waters (i.e., show improvement in one or more of seven key parameters: dissolved oxygen, pH, water temperature, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, pathogen indicators, and turbidity). (cumulative) [No reporting on this measure until 2012]	OMB PA												
	UNIVERSE		1661 (185)*	160 (14)	14 (n/a)	n/a	37 (2)	729 (44)	68 (1)	82 (4)	100 (10)	203 (43)	268 (67)	
	National Program Manager Comments		no reporting on this imates that improv			-	theses are the	number of statio	ons with susp		ssed water qua		ration activiti	es underway.
SP-15	By 2015, in coordination with other federal agencies, reduce by 50 percent the number of homes on tribal lands lacking access to basic sanitation. (cumulative)	OMB PA												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		8.60%											8.60%
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		Indicator											Indicator
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		25,737											25,737
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		18,985 (5.95%)											18,985 (5.95%)
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		28052 (8.8%)											28052
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		20,101 (6.3%)											20,101 (6.3%)
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		24,342 (7.6%)											24,342 (7.6%)
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		21,219 (6.65%)											21,219
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		23,844 (7.5%)											23,844 (7.5%)
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		36,092											36,092
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		59,250											59,250
	FY 2003 BASELINE		26,777											
	UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments		319,070											
WQ-24	Number of American Indian and Alaska Native homes provided access to basic sanitation in coordination with other federal agencies.													
	RESULT		Met	_	-	•	•	•	•		•			Met
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		56,875											56,875
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		52,300											52,300
	FY 2009 BASELINE		43,600											43,600
	UNIVERSE	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	360,000	****			****							360,000
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure i	for FY11, to supple	ement WQ-SP15	in the NWP	G and replace	ce WQ-SP15 i	n the new Strate	egic Plan.					

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Manage	ment Measure)); EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat meas	ure).
WQ-1a	Number of numeric water quality standards for total nitrogen and for total phosphorus adopted by States and Territories and approved by EPA, or promulgated by EPA, for all waters within the State or Territory for each of the following waterbody types: lakes/reservoirs, rivers/streams, and estuaries (cumulative, out of a universe of 280).	SG												
	RESULT		Not Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	n/a	Not Met	n/a	Met	n/a	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		45	1	7	5	6	4	n/a	0	n/a	22	n/a	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		49	1	7	5	6	4	n/a	1	n/a	22	n/a	
	FY 2010 BASELINE		31	3	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	22	0	
	UNIVERSE		280	34	20	34	44	24	24	16	24	38	22	
	National Program Manager Comments	If a state or ter	ritory has adopted	nutrient water q	uality standa	rds for some	, but not all of	its applicable v	waters, it may	be counted	in both WQ-1a	a and WQ-1b).	
WQ-1b	Number of numeric water quality standards for total nitrogen and total phosphorus at least proposed by State and Territories, or by EPA proposed rulemaking, for all waters within the State or Territory for each of the followin gwaterbody types: lakes/reservoirs, rivers/streams, and estuaries (cumulative, out of a universe of 280).	SG		8										
	RESULT		Not Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	n/a	Not Met	n/a	Met	n/a	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		52	3	7	6	6	4	n/a	2	n/a	24	n/a	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		56	3	7	6	6	4	n/a	3	n/a	24	n/a	
	UNIVERSE		52	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	3	4	
	National Program Manager Comments	If a state or ter	ritory has adopted	nutrient water q	uality standa	rds for some	, but not all of	its applicable v	vaters, it may	be counted	in both WQ-1a	a and WQ-1t).	
WQ-01c	Number of States and Territories supplying a full set of performance milestone information to EPA concerning development, proposal, and adoption of numeric water quality standards for total nitrogen and total phosphorus for each waterbody type within the State or Territory (annual). (The universe for this measure is 56.)	SG												
	RESULT		Met	n/a	n/a	Met	Met	Met	n/a	Not Met	Not Met	Met	n/a	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		21	2	1	3	6	3	n/a	1	1	4	n/a	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		21	n/a	n/a	1	6	1	n/a	4	3	4	n/a	
	FY 2010 BASELINE		3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	
	UNIVERSE		280	34	20	34	44	24	24	16	24	38	22	

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
* Measure c	categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	ior Manager	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	nt Quarterly l	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW E	PAStat measu	ıre).
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	for FY11. Some of	the 2011 results	s may not ful	y qualify and	d are under rev	iew. Needed ac	ljustments ar	e being mad	e in 2012.	T		
WQ-2	Number of Tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. (cumulative)													
	RESULT		Not Met	n/a	Met	n/a	Met	Met	Met	n/a	Not Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		38	n/a	1	n/a	2	5	10	n/a	2	8	10	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		39	n/a	1	n/a	2	5	10	n/a	3	8	10	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		37	n/a	1	n/a	2	4	10	n/a	2	8	10	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		38	n/a	1	n/a	2	4	10	n/a	3	8	10	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		35	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	10	n/a	2	7	10	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		37	n/a	1	n/a	2	4	10	n/a	3	7	10	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		35	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	10	n/a	2	7	10	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		33	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	10	n/a	3	5	9	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		32	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	10	n/a	2	5	9	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		33	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	10	n/a	3	5	9	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		31	0	0	n/a	2	3	10	0	2	5	9	
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		32	0	1	n/a	2	3	10	0	3	4	9	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		26	0	0	n/a	2	2	9	0	2	3	8	
					U									
	UNIVERSE		55	n/a	1	n/a	2	5	11	n/a	6	16	14	
	UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments	The universe re September 200	55 eflects all federally		1	n/a			11	n/a				ram (as of
WQ-3a	UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments		55 eflects all federally		1	n/a			11	n/a				ram (as of
WQ-3a	National Program Manager Comments Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other	September 200 OMB PA BUD	55 eflects all federally		1	n/a			11	n/a				ram (as of
WQ-3a	National Program Manager Comments Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards.	September 200 OMB PA BUD	eflects all federally (77).	recognized Trib	a description of the second of	n/a applied for	"treatment in t	ne same manne	11 r as a state"	n/a (TAS) to adr	ninister the wa	ter quality st	andards prog	ram (as of
WQ-3a	Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards. RESULT	September 200 OMB PA BUD	55 eflects all federally 07). Met	recognized Trib	1 Does who have	n/a applied for	"treatment in the Not Met	me same manne	11 r as a state"	n/a (TAS) to adr	minister the wa	ter quality st	andards progr	ram (as of
WQ-3a	Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT	September 200 OMB PA BUD	55 eflects all federally 07). Met 39	recognized Trib	1 Des who have	n/a applied for Met	"treatment in the Not Met	Met	11 r as a state" Met	n/a (TAS) to adr	minister the wa	ter quality st	andards programmed Met	ram (as of
WQ-3a	Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT	September 200 OMB PA BUD	55 eflects all federally (77). Met 39 38 (68%)	recognized Trib Met 2 1	Met 3 3	n/a applied for Met 5 3	Not Met 5 8	Met 6 5	11 r as a state" Met 4 4	n/a (TAS) to adr	Met 5 4	Met 4 3	andards programmed Met	ram (as of
WQ-3a	Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT	September 200 OMB PA BUD	55 eflects all federally 07). Met 39 38 (68%) 38	recognized Trib Met 2 1 2	Met 3 3 3 3	m/a applied for Met 5 3 3	Not Met 5 8 8	Met 6 5 6	11 r as a state" Met 4 4	n/a (TAS) to adr	Met 5 4 5	Met 4 3 3	Met 2 2 1	ram (as of
WQ-3a	Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT	September 200 OMB PA BUD	55 eflects all federally (77). Met 39 38 (68%) 38 37 (66%) 35	Met 2 1 2 2 2	Met 3 3 3 3 3	m/a applied for Met 5 3 3 3 3	Not Met 5 8 8 8	Met 6 5 6 5 6 5	11 r as a state" Met 4 4	n/a (TAS) to adr	Met 5 4 5 4	Met 4 3 3 3	Met 2 2 1	ram (as of
WQ-3a	Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT	September 200 OMB PA BUD	55 eflects all federally (77). Met 39 38 (68%) 38 37 (66%) 35 33 (59%)	Met 2 1 2 2 2 3	Met 3 3 3 3 2	m/a applied for Met 5 3 3 3 3	Not Met 5 8 8 8 6	Met 6 5 6 5 4	11 r as a state" Met 4 4	n/a (TAS) to adr Not Met 3 4 3 3 3	Met 5 4 5 4 6	Met 4 3 3 3 3 3	Met 2 2 1	ram (as of
WQ-3a	National Program Manager Comments Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT	September 200 OMB PA BUD	55 eflects all federally (77). Met 39 38 (68%) 38 37 (66%) 35 33 (59%) 35 (62.5%)	Met 2 1 2 2 2 3	Met 3 3 3 3 2	m/a applied for Met 5 3 3 3 3	Not Met 5 8 8 8 6	Met 6 5 6 5 4	11 r as a state" Met 4 4	n/a (TAS) to adr Not Met 3 4 3 3 3 3 3	Met 5 4 5 4 6 5 5	Met 4 3 3 3 3 2	Met 2 2 1 2 1 1	ram (as of
WQ-3a	Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT	September 200 OMB PA BUD	55 eflects all federally (77). Met 39 38 (68%) 38 37 (66%) 35 33 (59%) 35 (62.5%) 38 (67.9%)	Met 2 1 2 2 3 2 3	Met 3 3 3 2 2 2 2	m/a applied for Met 5 3 3 3 3	Not Met 5 8 8 8 6 6 5	Met 6 5 6 5 4	11 r as a state" Met 4 4 4 4 4 4 5	n/a (TAS) to adr Not Met 3 4 3 3 3 3 3	Met 5 4 5 4 6 5 5	Met 4 3 3 3 2 3	Met 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	ram (as of
WQ-3a	Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT	September 200 OMB PA BUD	55 eflects all federally 07). Met 39 38 (68%) 38 37 (66%) 35 33 (59%) 35 (62.5%) 38 (67.9%) 39 (66.1%)	Met 2 1 2 2 3 2 3 3 3	Met 3 3 3 2 2 2 2	m/a applied for ap	Not Met 5 8 8 8 6 6 5	Met 6 5 6 5 4 4 4 4 4	11 r as a state" Met 4 4 4 4 4 4 5	n/a (TAS) to adr Not Met 3 4 3 3 3 3 3	Met 5 4 5 4 6 5 4 6 5 4	Met 4 3 3 3 2 3	Met 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	ram (as of
WQ-3a	National Program Manager Comments Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 COMMITMENT	September 200 OMB PA BUD	55 eflects all federally (77). Met 39 38 (68%) 38 37 (66%) 35 33 (59%) 35 (62.5%) 38 (67.9%)	Met 2 1 2 2 3 2 3 3 3 3	Met 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 3	m/a applied for ap	Not Met 5 8 8 8 6 6 5	Met 6 5 6 5 4 4 4 4 2	11 r as a state" Met 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5	n/a (TAS) to adr Not Met 3 4 3 3 3 3 3	Met 5 4 5 4 6 5 4 6	Met 4 3 3 3 3 4	Met 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	ram (as of
WQ-3a	Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT	September 200 OMB PA BUD	55 eflects all federally (77). Met 39 38 (68%) 38 37 (66%) 35 33 (59%) 35 (62.5%) 38 (67.9%) 39 (66.1%) 41 (73%)	Met 2 1 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 2 3 2 2	Met 3 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 3 3 3	m/a applied for Met 5 3 3 4 4 4 6 6	Not Met 5 8 8 8 6 6 5	Met 6 5 6 5 4 4 4 4 2 3	11 r as a state" Met 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5	n/a (TAS) to adr Not Met 3 4 3 3 2 4 2 4	Met 5 4 5 4 6 5 4 6 6 6	Met 4 3 3 3 4 3	Met 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	ram (as of

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Manage	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	1Stat (OW E	PAStat measu	re).
	UNIVERSE		56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4	
	National Program Manager Comments	*FY 05 and 06	6 end-of-year result	s are from the V	VATA databa	ase.								
WQ-3b	Number, and national percent of Tribes that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards.													
	RESULT		Met	n/a	Met	n/a	Met	Met	Met	n/a	Not Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		13	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	1	n/a	0	4	2	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		13 (37%)	n/a	1	n/a	2	2	1	n/a	1	4	2	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		16	n/a	1	n/a	2	2	3	n/a	0	6	2	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		16 (46%)	n/a	1	n/a	2	2	3	n/a	1	5	2	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		17	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	2	n/a	2	4	3	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		15 (48%)	n/a	1	n/a	2	1	3	n/a	3	2	3	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		19 (61%)	n/a	1	n/a	2	1	5	n/a	2	4	4	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		15 (48%)	n/a	1	n/a	1	1	5	n/a	2	2	3	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		17 (57%)	n/a	0	n/a	2	2	4	n/a	2	3	4	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		13 (43%)	n/a	0	n/a	0	2	5	n/a	1	1	4	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		17	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	2	4	n/a	2	3	4	
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	FY 2005 BASELINE		12(40%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	1	5	0	2	0	3	
	UNIVERSE (FY 08)		35	0	1	n/a	2	3	10	0	2	8	9	
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 08 univers	e for WQ-3b is the	number of auth	orized tribes	that have at	least initial EP	A approved wa	ater quality st	andards as of	f September 20	007.		
WQ-4a	Percentage of submissions of new or revised water quality standards from States and Territories that are approved by EPA.	OMB PA BUD SMM EQR NPMStat												
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		91%	100%	100%	100%	75%	100%	76%	63.1%	91.5%	100%	100%	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		85%	75%	85%	90%	87%	75%	75%	50%	79%	75%	50%	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		90.9%	98.0%	100.0%	100.0%	96.7%	99.0%	100.0%	47.2%	79.6%	100.0%	77.8%	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		85.0%	75.0%	85.0%	78.0%	87.0%	80.0%	75.0%	50.0%	79.0%	75.0%	50.0%	

Y 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
Aeasure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); B	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	Grant Measure); SMM (Sen	ior Managei	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	l at Quarterly l	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measu	ıre).
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		93.2%	75.0%	100.0%	83.0%	100.0%	100.0%	91.7%	55.0%	96.7%	97.0%	50.0%	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		85% = National commit./ 76.2% = Regional commit. avg.	75%	83%	83%	87%	80%	75%	75%	79%	75%	50%	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		92.5%	100%	96%	100%	88.6%	100%	85%	99%	90%	100%	33%	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		74.1%	75%	87%	75%	87%	80%	75%	75%	79%	75%	33%	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		85.6%	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	89%	78%	50%	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		76.7%	75%	88%	75%	85%	80%	75%	75%	79%	75%	60%	
	UNIVERSE (FY 08)		52	1	1	3	10	10	16	2	3	6	0	
	National Program Manager Comments Number of States and Territories that have adopted and	database. Uni	nissions received in verse changes annu	_	_	_	-		als receive fra	actional credi	it. **FY 06 end	d-of-year dat	a is from the	WATA
VQ-5	are implementing their monitoring strategies in keeping with established schedules.	SG												
	RESULT		Not Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		55	6	3	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		55	6	3	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		53	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	3	7	4	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		54	6	4	5	7	6	5	4	6	7	4	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		55	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	5	7	4	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4	
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		51	6	3	6	6	6	3	4	6	7	4	
	UNIVERSE		56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4	
	National Program Vlanager (Tomments		ith established sche ategies and that stat					_		orkplans spe	cific actions th	at are intend	ed to impleme	ent their
WQ-6a	Number of Tribes that currently receive funding under Section 106 of the Clean Water Act that have developed and begun implementing monitoring strategies that are appropriate to their water quality program consistent with EPA Guidance. (cumulative)													ı

11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
easure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget N	Measure); SG (State	Grant Measur	e); SMM (Sen	l nior Managei	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	l at Quarterly l	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measu	ıre).
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	n/a	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		196	6	1	n/a	2	32	20	4	19	75	37	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		176	6	1	n/a	2	32	20	4	19	55	37	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		161	6	1	n/a	2	29	14	3	19	50	37	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		162	6	1	n/a	2	29	14	4	19	50	37	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		134	6	0	n/a	1	29	14	2	19	30	33	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		128	6	0	n/a	1	26	14	3	15	30	33	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		101	6	0	n/a	1	24	14	2	4	18	32	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		79	5	0	n/a	1	24	14	2	4	9	20	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		44	0	0	n/a	1	4	14	1	11	9	4	
	EXT 2007 CONTRACTOR TENTO		37	0	0	n/a	1	3	14	1	4	9	4	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		31											
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
					0	0 n/a	0 5	0 32	0 40	0 5	0 23	0 93	0 37	
	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments	A cumulative Guidance.	0	0	0	0 n/a	5	32		5	23	93	37	PA 106 T
WQ-6b	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments		0 242	0	0	0 n/a	5	32		5	23	93	37	PA 106′
VQ-6b	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that are providing water quality data in a format accessible for storage in EPA's data system.		0 242	0	0	0 n/a	5	32		5	23	93	37	PA 106
VQ-6b	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that are providing water quality data in a format accessible for storage in EPA's data system. (cumulative)		measure that counts	0 6 tribes that hav	0 1 re developed,	0 n/a submitted to	5 the Region, an	32 and begun implement	menting wate	5 er monitoring	23 strategies that	93 are consister	37 nt with the El	PA 106
/Q-6b	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that are providing water quality data in a format accessible for storage in EPA's data system. (cumulative) RESULT		measure that counts Met	0 6 tribes that hav	0 1 re developed,	n/a submitted to	5 the Region, an	32 ad begun imple	menting wate	5 er monitoring	23 strategies that	93 are consister Met	37 nt with the El	PA 106
VQ-6b	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that are providing water quality data in a format accessible for storage in EPA's data system. (cumulative) RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		Met	0 6 tribes that hav	0 1 re developed,	n/a submitted to n/a n/a	5 the Region, and Not Met	32 ad begun impler Met	menting wate	5 er monitoring Met	23 strategies that Met 21	93 are consister Met 66	37 Int with the El Met 25	PA 106
VQ-6b	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that are providing water quality data in a format accessible for storage in EPA's data system. (cumulative) RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT		Met 171 130	0 6 tribes that hav	0 1 re developed,	n/a submitted to n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	Not Met 1 2	Met 22 22	Met 28 10	5 er monitoring Met 3 3	23 strategies that Met 21 21 21	93 are consister Met 66 45	37 Int with the El Met 25 22	PA 106
VQ-6b	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that are providing water quality data in a format accessible for storage in EPA's data system. (cumulative) RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		Met 171 130 107 99 86	0 6 tribes that hav	0 1 re developed,	n/a submitted to n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	Not Met 1 2 2	32 ad begun impler Met 22 22 21 21 20	Met 28 10	Met 3 3 2	23 strategies that Met 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	93 are consister Met 66 45 30 30 20	37 Int with the El Met 25 22 16 14 14	PA 106
VQ-6b	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that are providing water quality data in a format accessible for storage in EPA's data system. (cumulative) RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT		Met 171 130 107 99 86 73	0 6 tribes that hav	0	n/a submitted to n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/	Not Met 1 2 2	32 ad begun impler Met 22 22 21 21 20 18	Met 28 10	Met 3 3 2	23 strategies that Met 21 21 21 21 21 21 15	93 are consister Met 66 45 30 30 20 10	37 Int with the Electric Met 25 22 16 14	PA 106
VQ-6b	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that are providing water quality data in a format accessible for storage in EPA's data system. (cumulative) RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		0 242 measure that counts Met 171 130 107 99 86 73 60	Met 4 4 4 1 1	Met 1 1 1 1 1 1 0	n/a submitted to n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/	Not Met 1 2 2	32 ad begun impler Met 22 22 21 21 20 18 18	Met 28 10	Met 3 3 2	23 strategies that Met 21 21 21 21 21 15 15	93 are consister Met 66 45 30 30 20 10 10	37 Int with the Electric Met 25 22 16 14 14 14 7	PA 106 7
VQ-6b	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that are providing water quality data in a format accessible for storage in EPA's data system. (cumulative) RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT		0 242 measure that counts Met 171 130 107 99 86 73 60 54	Met 4 4 4 1 1	0	n/a submitted to n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/	Not Met 1 2 2	32 ad begun impler Met 22 22 21 21 20 18 18 18	Met 28 10	5 er monitoring Met 3 3 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	23 strategies that Met 21 21 21 21 21 15 15 15	93 are consister Met 66 45 30 30 20 10 10 3	37 Int with the Electric Met 25 22 16 14 14 14 7 8	PA 106 7
VQ-6b	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that are providing water quality data in a format accessible for storage in EPA's data system. (cumulative) RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		0 242 measure that counts Met 171 130 107 99 86 73 60 54 44	0 6 tribes that have	Met 1 1 1 1 1 1 0	n/a submitted to n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/	Not Met 1 2 2	32 ad begun impler Met 22 22 21 21 20 18 18 18 18	Met 28 10	5 er monitoring Met 3 3 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0	23 strategies that Met 21 21 21 21 21 15 15 15 18	93 are consister Met 66 45 30 30 20 10 10 3 3	37 Int with the Electric Met 25 22 16 14 14 14 7	PA 106
WQ-6b	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that are providing water quality data in a format accessible for storage in EPA's data system. (cumulative) RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 COMMITMENT		Met 171 130 107 99 86 73 60 54 44 36	0 6 tribes that have	Met 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	n/a submitted to n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/	5 the Region, and Not Met 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	32 ad begun impler Met 22 22 21 21 20 18 18 18 18 18 3	Met 28 10	5er monitoring Met 3 3 2 2 1 1 1 0 0	23 strategies that Met 21 21 21 21 21 15 15 15	93 are consister Met 66 45 30 20 10 10 3 3 3	37 Int with the Electric Met 25 22 16 14 14 7 8 2 4	PA 106 7
VQ-6b	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that are providing water quality data in a format accessible for storage in EPA's data system. (cumulative) RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		0 242 measure that counts Met 171 130 107 99 86 73 60 54 44	0 6 tribes that have	Met 1 1 1 1 1 1 0	n/a submitted to n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/	Not Met 1 2 2	32 ad begun impler Met 22 22 21 21 20 18 18 18 18	Met 28 10	5 er monitoring Met 3 3 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0	23 strategies that Met 21 21 21 21 21 15 15 15 18	93 are consister Met 66 45 30 30 20 10 10 3 3	37 Int with the Electric Met 25 22 16 14 14 14 7 8	PA 106

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure c	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Se	nior Manager	ment Measure)); EQR (EPASt	tat Quarterly	Report Meas	sure); and NPN	AStat (OW E	PAStat measu	ıre).
WQ-7	Number of States and Territories that provide electronic information using the Assessment Database version 2 or later (or compatible system) and geo-reference the information to facilitate the integrated reporting of assessment data. (cumulative)													
	RESULT		Not Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		45	6	4	4	8	6	3	2	6	4	2	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		46	6	4	6	7	6	3	2	6	4	2	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		44	6	4	4	7	6	3	2	6	4	2	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		45	6	4 4	6	6 7	6	3 3	2 2	6	4 4	2 2	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		43	6	<u>4</u> Δ	6	5	5	3	2	6	<u>4</u> Δ	2	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		42	5	4	5	7	5	3	1	6	4	2	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		42	6	4	6	5	5	3	1	6	4	2	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		41	5	3	6	6	5	4	1	6	4	1	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		39	4	3	6	5	5	4	1	6	4	1	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		40	4	3	6	5	5	4	1	6	4	2	
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		40	4	3	6	5	5	3	1	6	5	2	
	UNIVERSE		56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4	
	National Program Manager Comments	Universe is fift	y states and six ter	ritories, includir	ng the Distric	ct of Columbi	a							
WQ-8a	Number, and national percent, of TMDLs that are established or approved by EPA [Total TMDLs] on a schedule consistent with national policy. Note: A TMDL is a technical plan for reducing pollutants in order to attain water quality standards. The terms 'approved' and 'established' refer to the completion and approval of the TMDL itself.	OMB PA BUD SMM EQR NPMStat												
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		2864(87%)	253	134	730	284	401	214	204	155	131	340	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		2,433; 77%	205	40	750	337	325	215	106	150	65	240	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		4951 147%	439	112	2,823	305	437	230	124	184	82	215	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		2,592 (77%)	245	100	797	290	325	222	108	185	50	270	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		5,887 (157%)	340	126	3,413	675	530	186	49	178	80	310	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		3,097 (83%)	230	89	1,035	500	325	185	161	210	76	286	

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
* Measure c	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Manager	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly l	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measu	ıre).
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		9,135 (105%)	5,454	125	912	835	878	170	185	168	96	312	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		7,819 (90%)	5,412	119	618	300	445	155	144	230	90	306	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 COMMITMENT		4,191 (128%) 3,029 (92%)	226	146 115	1,091	608 360	865 700	214 113	160	211	181	489 375	
	National Program Manager Comments	itself. Annual total commitmed Assessment,"	technical plan for a pace is the number are caravailable at http://www.re open to semi-an	reducing pollutan r of TMDLs need alculated at about www.whitehouse	ts in order to ded to be esta t 80% of pac	attain water ablished cons e for OMB P	quality standa sistent with nat A. (Source: O	rds. The terms tional policy, i.e ffice of Manage	'approved' are. generally vertically we generally we continuous terms and Burners and Burn	nd 'establishe vithin 13 yea udget, "Detai	ed' refer to the or rs of listing of alled Informatio	completion a the water as n on the Surf	nd approval of impaired. *C	umulative otection
WQ-8b	Number, and national percent, of approved TMDLs, that are established by States and approved by EPA [State TMDLs] on a schedule consistent with national policy. Note: A TMDL is a technical plan for reducing pollutants in order to attain water quality standards. The terms 'approved' and 'established' refer to the completion and approval of the TMDL itself.	OMB PA BUD SG												
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		2482 (77%)	253	134	454	255	401	195	165	155	131	339	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		1,999; (62%)	205	40	474	265	325	196	84	150	25	235	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		2262 69%	439	112	224	249	437	222	101	184	79	215	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		2,491 (76%)	245	100	794	270	325	198	84	185	25	265	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		5,829 (162%)	340	126	3,413	661	530	146	49	178	76	310	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		2,951 (82%)	230	89 125	1,035 911	783	325 878	119	161 185	210 168	74 92	281 311	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		8,973 (105%)	5,454					66					
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT EV 2007 END OF VEAR DESILLT		7,676 (90%)	5,412	119 145	613	220 523	445 862	106	144	230	86 172	301 489	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		3,998 (126%)			1,091								
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		2,937 (92%)	200	115	564	320	697	86	149	253	178	375	
	National Program Manager Comments	itself. Annual total commitmed Assessment,"	technical plan for a pace is the number ent numbers are caravailable at http://wee open to semi-an	r of TMDLs need alculated at about www.whitehouse	ded to be esta t 80% of pace	ablished cons e for OMB P	sistent with nat PA. (Source: O	tional policy, i.e	e. generally verment and Bu	vithin 13 yea ıdget, "Detai	rs of listing of led Informatio	the water as n on the Surf	impaired. *C ace Water Pr	umulative otection
WQ-9a	Estimated annual reduction in million pounds of nitrogen from nonpoint sources to waterbodies (Section 319 funded projects only).	OMB PA BUD												

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
Measure c	categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment);	BUD (Budget N	Measure); SG (State	Grant Measure)	; SMM (Ser	nior Manager	ment Measure)); EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW E	PAStat measi	are).
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT		3/2012 8,500,000											3/2012 8,500,000
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT EV 2000 END OF YEAR RESULT		9,749,485 8,500,000											n/a 8,500,000
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		9,100,000 8,500,000 11,300,000											n/a 8,500,000 data n/a
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 COMMITMENT		8,500,000 19,100,000 8,500,000											8,500,000
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2006 COMMITMENT		3,700,000 Indicator											
	FY 2005 BASELINE National Program Manager Comments	EV 05 hagalin	3.7 million lbs e for a 6 month period	ad anly Stantin	a with EV 0	6 a full year	of data mamout	ad End of Var		ma a aiva d mid	1 Dohawaay of 1	as following	**************************************	
WQ-9b	Estimated annual reduction in million pounds of phosphorus from nonpoint sources to waterbodies (Section 319 funded projects only).	OMB PA BUD			<u> </u>							8		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT		3/2012 4,500,000											3/2012 4,500,000
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		2,575,004											n/a
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		4,500,000											
			1,500,000											4,500,000
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		3,500,000											4,500,000 n/a
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT		3,500,000 4,500,000											n/a 4,500,000
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		3,500,000 4,500,000 3,500,000											n/a 4,500,000 data n/a
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT		3,500,000 4,500,000 3,500,000 4,500,000											n/a 4,500,000 data n/a 4,500,000
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		3,500,000 4,500,000 3,500,000 4,500,000 7,500,000											n/a 4,500,000 data n/a 4,500,000
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT		3,500,000 4,500,000 3,500,000 4,500,000											n/a 4,500,000 data n/a 4,500,000
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 COMMITMENT		3,500,000 4,500,000 3,500,000 4,500,000 7,500,000 4,500,000											n/a 4,500,000 data n/a 4,500,000
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 COMMITMENT FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		3,500,000 4,500,000 3,500,000 4,500,000 7,500,000 4,500,000 558,000											n/a 4,500,000 data n/a 4,500,000
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 COMMITMENT FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2006 COMMITMENT	FY 05 baselin	3,500,000 4,500,000 3,500,000 4,500,000 7,500,000 4,500,000 558,000 Indicator	od only. Startin	g with FY 0	6, a full year	of data report	ed. End-of-Yea	ar results are	received mic	l-February of the	he following	year.	4,500,000

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Managei	nent Measure)	; EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPN	AStat (OW E	PAStat meas	ure).
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		3/2012											3/2012
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		700,000											700,000
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		2,054,869											n/a
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		700,000											700,000
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		2,300,000											n/a
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		700,000											700,000
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		2,100,000											data n/a
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		700,000											700,000
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		3,900,000											3,900,000
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		700,000											
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		1,676,000											
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	FY 2005 BASELINE		1.68 million tons											
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 05 baseline	e for a 6 month per	iod only. Startir	g with FY 0	6, a full year	of data report	ed. End-of-Ye	ar results are	received mid	l-February of	the following	year.	
WQ-10	Number of waterbodies identified by States (in 1998/2000 or subsequent years) as being primarily nonpoint source (NPS)-impaired that are partially or fully restored. (cumulative)													
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		358	24	15	49	57	27	26	21	20	14	105	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		251	24	15	35	56	27	19	24	19	13	19	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		215	19	12	31	52	22	17	20	16	9	17	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		188	19	10	19	50	22	12	20	16	5	15	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		147	16	6	16	36	18	11	16	13	3	12	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		134	15	6	14	34	16	9	18	12	2	8	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		97	13	6	9	24	11	8	14	6	2	4	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		91	13	6	8	23	10	5	14	6	2	4	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		48	9	0	6	14	3	5	9	0	2	0	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		69	3	2	2	15	10	7	22	6	1	1	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		20											
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		Indicator											

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); l	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	Grant Measure	e); SMM (Ser	nior Manager	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Measi	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW EI	AStat measu	ıre).
	National Program Manager Comments	that this univer	results. The universe shifts each time ba.gov/owow/nps/S	a new 303(d) 1	ist is develope	ed, so this fig	gure is only an	estimate. Only	waters on the	ne Success St	ory website			
WQ - 11	Number, and national percent, of follow-up actions that are completed by assessed NPDES (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) programs. (cumulative)	I												
	RESULT		Not Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		80% (293)	29	21	27	29	51	17	33	40	19	27	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		85% (253)	27	21	23	27	44	17	23	28	17	26	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		229	26	18	22	23	40	17	18	27	15	23	
	FY 2009 Target		Indicator	26	10	21	23	34	15	18	26	12	22	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT		100% (216) Indicator	20	18	21	23	34	15	18	20	13	22	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		62.0%	22	16	17	20	28	10	16	23	13	19	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		47.2%	15	12	13	15	23	9	12	15	10	13	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		18.0%	6	5	4	9	16	2	6	3	1	2	
	UNIVERSE		100.0%	34	25	29	36	47	16	23	33	23	32`	
	National Program Manager Comments	*FY 05 and FY Assessed prog and 10 Region Universe of 29	al commitments and of 06 end-of-year da rams include 45 aut s (total of 64 programs includes all follows). An updated university	ta not from AC horized states, ams) assessed to v-up actions for	CS. (FY 07 m 5 unauthorize through the Performance or which a sch	neasure slight ed states (Ma ermits for En nedule was es	ly different tha A, NH, NM, A vironmental R	an FY 05 and F kK, ID), 1 authous esults (PER) pr	orized territor ogram.	ry (VI), 3 autl				
WQ-12a	Percent of non- Tribal facilities covered by NPDES permits that are considered current. [Measure will still set targets and commitments and report results in both % and #.]													
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		89%	81%	87.3%	92%	94%	86%	98%	82.4%	79%	81%	76%	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		88.4%	80%	87%	89%	85%	90%	94%	90%	85%	79%	80%	
	TI ZULI COMMULLIVIENI		100,680	1,494	2,868	16,128	15,938	16,442	24,434	8,871	4,677	2,164	7,665	
	-FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		89.4%	86%	91%	87%	91%	88%	98%	90%	82%	84%	75%	
			108,755	1,595	3,007	15,743			25,572	15,742	4,534	2,289	7,216	

Y 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
Aeasure c	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	Measure); SG (State	e Grant Measure)	; SMM (Ser	nior Manage	ment Measure); EQR (EPASta	t Quarterly	Report Meas	sure); and NPM	Stat (OW EI	PAStat measur	re).
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		89%	76%	87%	89%	90%	90%	94%	90%	85%	79%	80%	
			104,623	1,423	2,742	16,423	17,237	13,334	25,143	15,935	4,841	1,909	5,636	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		90%	81%	89%	89% 89%	91%	88% 90%	97%	90%	83% 85%	84% 79%	83%	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		89.5% (102,749/ 114,821)	76% (1,357/ 1,780)	(2,996/ 3.425)	(16,347/ 18.300)	(18,230/ 20.256)	(12,957/ 14.396)	(25,143/ 26.748)	(14,750/ 16.480)	(4,124/ 4.852)	(2,164/ 2.734)	(4,681/ 5.850)	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT (ACS results numerical)		90% (105,089)	(73.5%) 1,165	(90%) 2,885	(86.9%) 15,710	(90.1%) 17,431	(85.5%) 12,660	(97.7%) 26,288	(91%) 16,384	(88%) 4,879	(88.6%) 2,407	(81.3%) 5,280	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (ACS commitments numerical)		87% (90,531)	(73%) 1,132	(87%) 2,979	(86%) 13,325	(90%) 18,231	(90%) 12,660	(90%) 24,082	(81%) 7,050	(85%) 4,154	(81%) 2,237	(80%) 4,681	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (ACS results numerical)		90% (102,196)	(76%) 1,360	(89%) 3,054	(89%) 16,449	(95%) 17,916	(82%) 11,770	(97%) 25,993	(90%) 14,877	(82%) 3,833	(83%) 2,281	(79%) 4,663	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		87% (90,088)	(70%) 1,428	(88%) 3,166	(85%) 14,523	(90%) 18,400	(87%) 12,093	(90%) 21,602	(87%) 7,765	(85%) 4,201	(85%) 2,382	(80%) 4,528	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		85.4%	70%	88%	83%	94%	75%	95%	84%	86%	82%	79%	
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		97,500	70% 1,428	87% 5,234	90%	90% 17,116	87% 12,119	90%	87% 8,121	90%	90%	80% 3,887	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		87.8% (96851)	64%	94%	86%	87%	87%	93%	82%	87%	91%	77%	
	UNIVERSE		117,056	1,873	3,152	18,453	19,152	14,816	26,748	17,706	5,695	2,416	7,045	
	National Program Manager Comments		nitments, and result iverse of permitees	-	-					• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	
/Q-12b	Percent of tribal facilities covered by NPDES permits that are considered current. [Measure will still set targets and commitments and report results in both % and #.]	EQR												
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	n/a	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		87%	0%	100%	n/a	100%	96%	93%	73.3%	94%	90%	55%	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		84%	0%	100%	n/a	100%	95%	90%	100%	90%	85%	50%	
			345 88%	100%	100%	n/a	11	93%	12	16 94%	187 97%	43 86%	33 5294	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		363	100%	100%	n/a n/a	100%	93%	100%	15	202	43	52% 34	
			86%	100%	100%	n/a	100%	95%	90%	100%	90%	79%	64%	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		333	2	2	n/a	12	40	12	16	176	40	33	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		85%	100%	100%	n/a	92%	100%	92%	100%	91%	76%	46%	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		88% (340/388)	100% (2/2)	100% (2/2)	n/a	00% (13/13)	95%	90% (9/10)	00%(16/16)	05%	73% (36/49)	61%	

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
* Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure	e); SMM (Sen	nior Manage	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measu	ıre).
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT (ACS results numerical)		85% (329)	(100%) 2	(100%) 2	n/a	(100%) 13	(100%) 42	(100%) 10	(100%) 16	(95%) 189	(79%) 38	(30%) 17	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (ACS commitments numerical)		89% (347)	(100%) 2	(100%) 2	n/a	(100%) 13	(93%) 40	(90%) 9	(100%) 16	(96%) 186	(80%) 32	(80%) 47	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (ACS results numerical)		83% (321)	(100%) 2	(100%) 2	n/a	(100%) 13	(93%) 41	(100%) 10	(100%) 16	(97%) 188	(71%) 34	(27%) 15	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		85% (348)	(100%) 2	(100%) 2	n/a	(100%) 15	(90%) 37	(90%) 10	(100%) 16	(95%) 184	(90%) 32	(85%) 50	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		78.4%	100.0%	100.0%	n/a	100.0%	90.2%	90.0%	62.5%	93.5%	77.0%	27.0%	
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		89.4%	100%	100%	n/a	90%	85%	90%	90%	95%	90%	85%	
	T 1 2000 COMMINITARIENT		252	6	2	n/a	19	34	10	14	69	41	57	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		80% (261)	0	2	n/a	16	37	8	1	140	41	16	
	UNIVERSE		385	2	2	n/a	12	42	13	16	196	51	51	
WQ-13a	National Program Manager Comments Number, and national percent, of MS-4s covered under either an individual or general permit.		verse of permitees 10 Commitments. Indicator	, its is importan	it to focus on t	ne national	percent. (WQ-	-12b) FY 07 Re	egion 8 comn	nitment adjus	ted due to cou	nting error. V	∪niverse for \	VQ-12b is
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		6,952	520	1,262	991	744	1,813	674	208	251	262	227	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		6,919	510	1,262	1,026	675	1,813	626	258	263	260	226	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		6,541	517	1,227	1,016	503	1,813	526	284	250	179	226	
	FY 2009 Target		Indicator											
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		7,080	517	1,101	964	758	1,813	161	257	684	584	541	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		6,632	518	1079	994	755	1813	213	257	254	583	166	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a	310			733	1010	213	231			100	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		n/a											
	UNIVERSE		Indicator											
		Data did not s-		or WO 12 a 0. 1	h									
WQ-13b	National Program Manager Comments Number of facilities covered under either an individual or general industrial storm water permit.	I	kist prior to 2007 f	or wQ-13 a &	υ.									
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		84,718	3,553	4,651	6,621	19,091	20,508	13,922	6,257	4,313	1,886	3,916	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		88,788	3,489	4,412	6,337	18,577	20,508	18,065	7,576	4,866	971	3,987	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		81,660	3,548	4,605	6,500	18,477	20,508	13,508	7,068	4,198	766	2,482	
	FY 2009 Target		Indicator	3,370	1,003	0,500	10,777	20,500	13,300	7,000	1,170	700	2, 102	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		89,530	1,654	5,160	6,436	18,323	20,508	11,940	6,623	4,372	11,273	3,241	
				1,054	3,100	0,430	10,323	20,308	11,740	0,023	4,372	11,273	3,241	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		88,826											
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget N	Measure); SG (State	Grant Measure	e); SMM (Ser	nior Manager	ment Measure)); EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW El	PAStat measu	ıre).
	FY 2005 BASELINE		n/a											
	UNIVERSE		100%											
	National Program Manager Comments	Data did not e	xist prior to 2007 fo	or WQ-13 a & 1	o .									
WQ-13c	Number of sites covered under either an individual or general construction storm water site permit.	I	Indicator											
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		168,744	9,127	9,955	27,974	50,835	8,172	11,643	13,931	16,019	14,512	6,576	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		186,874	11,177	5,669	28,983	54,607	7,477	24,463	13,254	10,013	23,339	7,892	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		200,732	7,704	17,671	19,317	75,311	7,738	17,403	12,480	12,444	24,069	6,595	
	FY 2009 Target		Indicator											
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		204,341	4,321	9,742	23,799	75,317	9,879	16,308	18,210	12,051	27,409	7,305	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		242,801											
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											
	FY 2005 BASELINE		n/a											
	UNIVERSE		n/a											
	National Program Manager Comments	Data did not e	xist prior to 2007 fo	or WQ-13c.										
WQ-13d	Number of facilities covered under either an individual or general CAFO permit.	I	Indicator											
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		7,994	7	566	444	863	2,234	794	1,521	680	198	687	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		7,882	6	566	333	967	2,145	781	1,510	658	205	711	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		7,900	6	602	277	1,021	2,129	890	1,443	618	203	711	
	FY 2009 Target		Indicator											
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		7,830	2	609	269	966	2,024	895	1,438	581	222	824	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
			111111111111111111111111111111111111111											
	EV 2007 END OF VEAR DESILIT		8 720											
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		8,729											
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		8,136											
				0	624	175	2,131	1,488	1,391	1,239	448	296	831	

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure c	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Sen	nior Manager	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	sure); and NPM	AStat (OW E	PAStat measu	ıre).
WQ-14a	Number, and national percent, of Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) that are discharging to POTWs with Pretreatment Programs that have control mechanisms in place that implement applicable pretreatment standards and requirements.	SG												
	RESULT		Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		20,977 98.7%	1,301	1,617	1,662	3,471	4,524	1,972	983	647	4,137	667	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		19,782 99.6%	1,314	1,620	1,690	3,460	3,420	1,976	980	647	4,088	587	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		21,487	1,316	1,656	1,710	3,539	4,903	1,997	995	647	4,137	587	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		21,298 (98%)	1,314	1,850	1,699	3,619	4,540	1,976	989	647	4,088	576	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		21,264 (99%)	1,314	1,756	1,728	3,601	4,540	1,997	1,006	658	4,088	576	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		21,785 (98%)	1,347	1,850	1,681	3,289	5,265	1,998	1,005	658	4,088	572	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		21,830 (99%)	1,367	2,101	1,685	3,561	4,721	2,081	1,003	647	4,088	576	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		21,949 (98%)	1,367	1,850	1774	3,289	5,265	2,081	974	690	4,087	572	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		22,062 (96%)	1,363	2,110	1,723	3,418	5,265	2,096	1,021	686	3,808	572	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		22,341 (97%)	1,489	1,870	1,788	3,800	5,327	2,011	1,000	686	3,808	562	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		98.0%	94.0%	99.0%	99.0%	100.0%	99.8%	99.4%	99.9%	99.0%	95.0%	100.0%	
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	FY 2005 BASELINE		22,226 (97.8%)	1,589	1,882	1,790	3,932	4,899	2,132	829	592	4,019	562	
	UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments	All universe re	21,680 Imbers are approxi	1,397	1,888	1,734	3,619	4,552	2,017	1,025	658	4,214	576	
WQ-14b	Number, and national percent, of Categorical Industrial Users (CIUs) that are discharging to POTWs without Pretreatment Programs that have control mechanisms in place that implement applicable pretreatment standards and requirements.	I	Indicator	muc us mey sin	le nom year (o year.								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		77% (1,229)	45	64	67	190	463	124	191	36	6	43	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		77% (1,278)	45	71	68	283	521	124	84	36	6	40	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		1,338	45	72	68	322	542	124	81	36	6	42	
	FY 2009 Target		Indicator											
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		99% (21,830)					580						
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		94%	44	65	66	313	679	109	193	31	6	41	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		94%	100%(44)	100%(71)	100%(75)	100%(321)	97%(687)	88%(95)	78%(190)	74%(31)	100%(6)	100%(48)	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		91.2%	44	117	74	31	458	17	31	45	0	198	

TY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
	tegories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	l nior Manager		; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	-	sure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measu	ıre).
	UNIVERSE		100%	44	65	75	321	698	108	243	42	6	48	
	National Program Manager Comments	All universe nu	umbers are approxi	imate as they shi	ift from year t	to year.								
$W \cup -1 \supset 9$	Percent of major dischargers in Significant Noncompliance (SNC) at any time during the fiscal year.	OMB PA BUD SG												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		<22.5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	<22.5%
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		24%	26%	30%	16%	19%	11%	40%	48%	14%	16%	10%	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		<22.5%											<22.5
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a	36.7%	30.2%	19.1%	29.0%	16.0%	24.7%	23.7%	9.0%	15.3%	8.9%	23.3%
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		≤22.5%	20.00/	20.20/	10.40/	25.00/	10.10/	22.20/	24.40/	10.50/	10.00/	1.4.10/	≤22.5
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		23.90%	39.8%	29.3%	18.4%	25.9%	19.1%	23.3%	34.4%	10.5%	19.8%	14.1%	-22 F
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT EX 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		≤22.5%	39.8%	29.0%	16.7%	22.00/	10 40/	23.9%	31.7%	7.8%	16.5%	21.50/	≤22.5
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 COMMITMENT		22.6%	39.8%	29.0%	10.7%	22.0%	18.4%	23.9%	31.7%	7.8%	10.5%	21.5%	22.6%
			≤22.5% 19.7%	25.0%	28.7%	15.0%	20.7%	17.7%	23.7%	17.7%	8.0%	13.7%	15.3%	
	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE (FY 06)		6,643	426	582	757	1,345	1,167	1,087	396	260	347	276	
	National Program Manager Comments	HQ reports res	sults by Region. FY				i .				200	347	270	
WQ-15b	Percent of major dischargers in Significant Noncompliance (SNC) at any time during the fiscal year, and of those, the number, and national percent, discharging pollutant(s) of concern on impaired waters.	I	Indicator											
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											
	FY 2009 Target		Indicator											
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		308*	56	27	28	42	90	29	15	3	12	4	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		TBD											
	UNIVERSE		1,735 (1,041)											
WQ-16	Number, and national percent, of all major publicly- owned treatment works (POTWs) that comply with their permitted wastewater discharge standards. (i.e. POTWs that are not in significant non-compliance)	OMB PA BUD												

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure c	categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment);	BUD (Budget N	Measure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Manage	ment Measure); EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	sure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat meas	sure).
	RESULT		Met											Met
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		86.7%											4,336
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		86%											4,256
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											n/a
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		4,256 (86%)											4,256 (86%)
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											n/a
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		4,256 (86%)											4,256 (86%)
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		3,645 (86%)											3,645 (86%)
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		3,645 (86%) 3,650 (86%)											3,645 (86%)
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		3,645 (86%)											3,030 (8070)
	FY 2005 BASELINE		3,670											
	UNIVERSE		4,238											
	National Program Manager Comments	*FY 06 end-o	f-year data not fron	n ACS.										
WQ-17	Fund utilization rate [cumulative loan agreement dollars to the cumulative funds available for projects] for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF).	OMB PA BUD												
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		98%	104%	95%	95%	99%	97%	95%	98%	96%	107%	103%	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		94.5%	94%	90%	92%	96%	95%	95%	93%	95%	94%	95%	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		100.0%	108%	95%	96%	100%	102%	94%	101%	98%	111%	100%	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		94.5%	94%	90%	92%	95%	92%	91%	92%	94.5%	93%	95%	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		98%**	102%	90%	92%	102%	98%	94%	n/a	93%	109%	104%	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		94.5%	96%	90%	92%	92%	92%	92%	89%	93%	94%	95%	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		98%	107%	95%	94%	103%	96%	95%	93%	95%	103%	103%	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		93.5%	96%	92%	92%	89%	92%	88%	89%	91%	92%	95%	
			96.7%	95%	96%	94%	100% 89%	95%	90%	91% 88%	93%	101% 95%	106% 97%	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT EV 2007 COMMITMENT		0.2 /10/	4 1 1/0	90%	90%	09%	90%	00%			93%	91%	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		93.4%		060/	0.40/	070/	020/	QQ0/	200/	0.1.0/	050/	1040/	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		95.0%	102%	96%	94%	97%	93%	88%	89%	91%	95%	104%	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2006 COMMITMENT		95.0% 93.0%	102% 95%	90%	91%	90%	90%	84%	88%	90%	95%	95%	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		95.0%	102%										

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
k Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	Ieasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure	e); SMM (Ser	ior Manager	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly l	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measur	re).
WQ-19a	Number of high priority state NPDES permits that are issued in the fiscal year.	OMB PA BUD SG SMM (EQR & NPMStat: QMRWQ- 19a)												
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		943	27	41	157	158	161	82	160	66	26	65	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		702	13	24	167	80	93	57	116	67	16	69	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		1,008 (142%)	16	40	142	181	197	91	194	62	43	42	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		710	12	30	142	120	110	51	119	62	22	41	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		1,026	16	42	125	253	204	122	164	56	36	8	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		670 (95%)	13	35	96	106	167	72	102	46	19	14	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		930 (120%)	16	40	168	198	252	84	104	47	17	4	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		738 (95%)	14	35	149	93	242	65	88	34	12	6	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		484 (112%)	5 (71%)	39 (115%)	29 (121%)	72 (144%)	108 (123%)	63 (95%)	92 (94%)	42 (117%)	22 (122%)	12 (92%)	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		421 (95%)	7 (100%)	32 (94%)	23 (96%)	47 (94%)	85 (97%)	63 (95%)	101 (103%)	34 (94%)	17 (94%)	12 (92%)	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		98.5%	114%	111%	119%	97%	108%	90%	76%	113%	47%	98%	
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	
	ESZ 2005 D A CIEL INIE		447.8	16.2 9	39	8.56	7.6	139	105.5	59.9	52.3	7.6	12.4	
	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE		601 (104%) 709	12	30	21 142	91	265 110	125 51	32 119	62	3 22	41	
	National Program Manager Comments	Agency target the sum of all I HQ reports res 98.5% (non-tri from ACS. **I	ne measure will be and commitment so Regional commitmults by Region. Whal) & 63.2% (trib FY08 measure was apture a larger univ	revised to prove chedule. Region ents. There will Q-19a conformals. FY 2007 mass reported as States.	ide a universe as will commi be no percen s to 106 OME easure reporte ate Issue (WQ	of priority part to issue a contage goal for BPA measured in 3 parts (2-19a) and E	permits in time ertain number this measure. e. FY 2006 me (State issued, IPA issued (WO	for the setting of permits from The universe of easure, formed permits formed permits and the control of the co	of national and the fixed under the fixed under the priority perprior to OME, and EPA tri	nd regional of iverse of priority will be a PA, reported bal permits).	commitments in ority permits in updated annualed in 2 parts (nate of FY 2007 Reports)	n September n FY 2010. T lly. on-tribal and gional comm	2009, consiste the national tar tribal). FY 20 itments & resu	rget will be 006 results: ults are not
WQ-19b	Number of high priority state and EPA (including tribal) NPDES permits that are issued in the fiscal year.	BUD												
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		1,005	50	54	158	158	161	86	161	68	31	78	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		763	29	37	169	80	93	59	121	69	20	86	

FY 11 ACS Code	S FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure o	categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	Measure); SG (State	e Grant Measure	e); SMM (Sen	ior Manageı	ment Measure)	EQR (EPAS)	tat Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measu	re).
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		1,097 (144%)	53	49	145	181	197	95	194	62	62	59	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		792	35	39	145	120	110	57	120	62	37	67	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		1,118	36	54	130	253	204	132	165	58	48	38	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		743 (95%)	30	46	101	106	167	81	102	47	31	32	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		61 (109%)	9	14	1	1	3	3	0	3	1	26	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		55 (95%)	10	12	1	1	0	1	0	4	2	24	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		63 (100%)	8 (114%)	20 (125%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	3 (150%)	5 (100%)	5 (83%)	0 (0%)	25 (104%)	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		59 (95%)	7	15	0	1	1	2	2	6	0	25	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		63.2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	38%	62.50%	n/a	133%	
			95%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%	95%	n/a	95%	
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		14.25	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.8	4.75	n/a	5.7	
				1.0	_		0	0	1	8	6	0	19	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		59 (104%)	16	9	0	U	U	1	0	6	0	1)	
	UNIVERSE		792 ne measure will be	35 revised to provi	39 de a universe	145 of priority p	120 ermits in time f	110 For the setting		120 d regional co	62 ommitments in	37 September	67 2009, consiste	
	UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments	Agency target the sum of all 19a conforms tribal) & 63.29 **FY08 meas	792	revised to provi chedule. Region ents. There will rotection OMB measure reports State Issue (V	39 Ide a universe on will commit be no percent PA measure. ted in 3 parts (VQ-19a) and E	of priority potato issue a catage goal for FY 2006 me (State issued (PA issued)	120 ermits in time for this measure. The desure, formed personal prior (PA) p	110 For the setting of permits from The universe of prior to OMB al, and EPA to the fity permits. So	of national and the fixed under the fixed under the priority per PA, reported the ribal permits.	120 Id regional contiverse of priorits will be used in 2 parts (no *FY 2007 R) 2008, the uni	ommitments in ority permits in updated annual on-tribal and to degional commuters of priority	37 September FY 2010. T September FY 2010	2009, consiste The national ta orts results by 006 results: 98 esults are not f	rget will be Region. WQ 3.5% (non- rom ACS. panded to
WQ-20	UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments	Agency target the sum of all 19a conforms tribal) & 63.29 **FY08 meas capture a large Tribal).	792 ne measure will be a and commitment so Regional commitment to Surface Water P (tribal). FY 2007 (tribal) are was reported a	revised to provi chedule. Region ents. There will rotection OMB measure reports State Issue (V	39 Ide a universe on will commit be no percent PA measure. ted in 3 parts (VQ-19a) and E	of priority potato issue a catage goal for FY 2006 me (State issued (PA issued)	120 ermits in time for this measure. The desure, formed personal prior (PA) p	110 For the setting of permits from The universe of prior to OMB al, and EPA to the fity permits. So	of national and the fixed under the fixed under the priority per PA, reported the ribal permits.	120 Id regional contiverse of priorits will be used in 2 parts (no *FY 2007 R) 2008, the uni	ommitments in ority permits in updated annual on-tribal and to degional commuters of priority	37 September FY 2010. T September FY 2010	2009, consiste The national ta orts results by 006 results: 98 esults are not f	rget will be Region. WQ 3.5% (non- rom ACS. panded to
WQ-20	National Program Manager Comments Number of facilities that have traded at least once plus all facilities covered by an overlay permit that incorporates	Agency target the sum of all 19a conforms tribal) & 63.29 **FY08 meas capture a large Tribal).	792 ne measure will be a and commitment so Regional commitment to Surface Water P (tribal). FY 2007 (tribal) are was reported a	revised to provi chedule. Region ents. There will rotection OMB measure reports State Issue (V	39 Ide a universe on will commit be no percent PA measure. ted in 3 parts (VQ-19a) and E	of priority potato issue a catage goal for FY 2006 me (State issued (PA issued)	120 ermits in time for this measure. The desure, formed personal prior (PA) p	110 For the setting of permits from The universe of prior to OMB al, and EPA to the fity permits. So	of national and the fixed under the fixed under the priority per PA, reported the ribal permits.	120 Id regional contiverse of priorits will be used in 2 parts (no *FY 2007 R) 2008, the uni	ommitments in ority permits in updated annual on-tribal and to degional commuters of priority	37 September FY 2010. T September FY 2010	2009, consiste The national ta orts results by 006 results: 98 esults are not f	rget will be Region. WQ 3.5% (non- rom ACS. panded to
WQ-20	National Program Manager Comments Number of facilities that have traded at least once plus all facilities covered by an overlay permit that incorporates trading provisions with an enforceable cap.	Agency target the sum of all 19a conforms tribal) & 63.29 **FY08 meas capture a large Tribal).	ne measure will be and commitment so Regional commitment to Surface Water Power (tribal). FY 2007 sure was reported a ter universe of environments.	revised to provi chedule. Region ents. There will Protection OMB measure reports State Issue (Vonmentally sign	de a universe ons will commit be no percent PA measure. ted in 3 parts (VQ-19a) and Entificant permits	of priority p to issue a c tage goal for FY 2006 me (State issued EPA issued (s. Starting in	120 ermits in time for this measure. The easure, formed prior (EPA non-trib) with the easure of this measure. The easure of this measure. The easure of this measure of this measure of this measure of this measure. The easure of this measure of this measure. The easure of this measure of this measure of this measure of this measure of this measure. The easure of this measure of this measure. The easure of this measure of this m	or the setting of permits from The universe of prior to OMB al, and EPA to the rity permits. So permits will mean	of national and the fixed under the fixed under the priority per PA, reported the ribal permits.	120 Id regional converse of priorits will be used in 2 parts (no *FY 2007 Regions) and the unit of all priority	ommitments in prity permits in updated annual on-tribal and the degional commits of permits (States).	37 September FY 2010. Telly. HQ reportibal). FY 2 ittments & rety permits care issued and	2009, consiste The national ta orts results by 006 results: 98 esults are not f andidates is ex EPA issued in	rget will be Region. WQ 3.5% (non- rom ACS. panded to
WQ-20	National Program Manager Comments Number of facilities that have traded at least once plus all facilities covered by an overlay permit that incorporates trading provisions with an enforceable cap. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT	Agency target the sum of all 19a conforms tribal) & 63.29 **FY08 meas capture a large Tribal).	ne measure will be and commitment so Regional commitment to Surface Water Power (tribal). FY 2007 sure was reported a ter universe of environments.	revised to provi chedule. Region ents. There will Protection OMB measure reports State Issue (Vonmentally sign	de a universe ons will commit be no percent PA measure. ted in 3 parts (VQ-19a) and Entificant permits	of priority p to issue a c tage goal for FY 2006 me (State issued EPA issued (s. Starting in	120 ermits in time for this measure. This measure, formed and the prior of the prior of this measure. The prior of this measure of this measure. The prior of this measure, formed and the prior of this measure of this measure. The prior of this measure of this measure. The prior of this measure of this measure of this measure. The prior of this measure of this measure of this measure of this measure. The prior of this measure of this measure of this measure. The prior of this measure of this measure of this measure of this measure of this measure. The prior of this measure of this measure. The prior of this measure	or the setting of permits from The universe of prior to OMB al, and EPA to rity permits. So p-19b will mean	of national and the fixed under the fixed under the priority per PA, reported the ribal permits.	d regional converse of priority will be used in 2 parts (no *FY 2007 Regional priority)	ommitments in prity permits in updated annual on-tribal and the egional commits of permits (States)	37 September of FY 2010. Telly. HQ reportibally. FY 2010 ittments & retry permits care issued and	2009, consisted the national targets results by 006 results: 98 esults are not frandidates is ex EPA issued in	rget will be Region. WQ 3.5% (non- rom ACS. panded to
WQ-20	National Program Manager Comments Number of facilities that have traded at least once plus all facilities covered by an overlay permit that incorporates trading provisions with an enforceable cap. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT	Agency target the sum of all 19a conforms tribal) & 63.29 **FY08 meas capture a large Tribal).	ne measure will be and commitment so Regional commitment to Surface Water Power (tribal). FY 2007 sure was reported a ter universe of environments. 461 442 407	revised to provious chedule. Region ents. There will brotection OMB measure reports State Issue (Vonmentally sign	39 Ide a universe on will commit be no percent PA measure. Ited in 3 parts (VQ-19a) and Exificant permits	of priority per to issue a create goal for FY 2006 mer (State issued CPA issued (Starting in 178)	120 ermits in time for this measure. The easure, formed the easure, formed the easure of the easure, formed the easure of the easu	22 21	of national and the fixed under the fixed under the priority per PA, reported the ribal permits.	120 Id regional converse of priority will be used in 2 parts (no *FY 2007 Red) 2008, the unit of all priority to the converse of the converse	ommitments in prity permits in updated annual on-tribal and the regional commoverse of priority permits (States)	37 September of FY 2010. To a september of FY 2	2009, consisted the national targets results by 006 results: 98 esults are not frandidates is ex EPA issued in 27	rget will be Region. WQ 3.5% (non- rom ACS. panded to
WQ-20	National Program Manager Comments Number of facilities that have traded at least once plus all facilities covered by an overlay permit that incorporates trading provisions with an enforceable cap. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 Target	Agency target the sum of all 19a conforms tribal) & 63.29 **FY08 meas capture a large Tribal).	ne measure will be and commitment so Regional commitment to Surface Water Power was reported a cer universe of environments of the surface was reported and the s	revised to provious chedule. Region ents. There will brotection OMB measure reports State Issue (Wonmentally signs 80 80 80	39 Ide a universe on will commit be no percent PA measure. Ited in 3 parts (VQ-19a) and Exificant permits	of priority per to issue a create goal for FY 2006 mer (State issued CPA issued (Starting in 178 171 165	120 ermits in time for this measure. This measure, formed per this measure. The same of this measure of this measure, formed per this measure, formed per this measure, formed per this measure. The same of this measure of t	22 21 22	of national and the fixed under the fixed under the priority per PA, reported the ribal permits.	120 Id regional converse of priority will be used in 2 parts (now *FY 2007 Results and priority of all priorit	ommitments in prity permits in updated annual on-tribal and tracegional commoverse of priority permits (States) 0 0 0 0	37 September of FY 2010. To a september of FY 2	2009, consisted the national targets results by 006 results: 93 esults are not foundidates is ex EPA issued in 27 26 23	rget will be Region. WQ 3.5% (non- rom ACS. panded to
WQ-20	National Program Manager Comments Number of facilities that have traded at least once plus all facilities covered by an overlay permit that incorporates trading provisions with an enforceable cap. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 Target FY 2008 END OF YEAR	Agency target the sum of all 19a conforms tribal) & 63.29 **FY08 meas capture a large Tribal).	ne measure will be and commitment so Regional commitment to Surface Water Power (tribal). FY 2007 sure was reported a cer universe of environments of the surface of the su	revised to provious chedule. Region ents. There will brotection OMB measure reports State Issue (Vonmentally sign	39 Ide a universe on will commit be no percent PA measure. Ited in 3 parts (VQ-19a) and Exificant permits	of priority per to issue a create goal for FY 2006 mer (State issued CPA issued (Starting in 178)	120 ermits in time for this measure. The easure, formed the easure, formed the easure of the easure, formed the easure of the easu	22 21	of national and the fixed under the fixed under the priority per PA, reported the ribal permits.	120 Id regional converse of priority will be used in 2 parts (no *FY 2007 Red) 2008, the unit of all priority to the converse of the converse	ommitments in prity permits in updated annual on-tribal and the regional commoverse of priority permits (States)	37 September of FY 2010. To a september of FY 2	2009, consisted the national targets results by 006 results: 98 esults are not frandidates is ex EPA issued in 27 26	rget will be Region. WQ 3.5% (non- rom ACS. panded to
WQ-20	National Program Manager Comments Number of facilities that have traded at least once plus all facilities covered by an overlay permit that incorporates trading provisions with an enforceable cap. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR FY 2008 COMMITMENT	Agency target the sum of all 19a conforms tribal) & 63.29 **FY08 meas capture a large Tribal).	ne measure will be and commitment so Regional commitment to Surface Water P (tribal). FY 2007 ture was reported a er universe of environments of the surface was reported and the surface was	revised to provious chedule. Region ents. There will brotection OMB measure reports State Issue (Wonmentally signs) 80 80 80 80	39 Ide a universe on will commit be no percent PA measure. Ited in 3 parts (VQ-19a) and Exificant permits	of priority per to issue a create goal for FY 2006 mer (State issued CPA issued (Starting in 178 171 165	120 ermits in time for this measure. This measure, formed peasure, formed peasure, FPA non-trib WQ-19b) prior FY 2009, WQ TO	22 21 22	of national and the fixed under the fixed under the priority per PA, reported the ribal permits.	120 Id regional converse of priority will be used in 2 parts (now *FY 2007 Regions) and priority of all priority of the transfer of the trans	ommitments in prity permits in updated annual on-tribal and tracegional commits (States) of permits (States) of the commitment of the comm	37 September of FY 2010. To a September of FY 2	2009, consisted the national targets results by 006 results: 93 esults are not foundidates is ex EPA issued in 27 26 23	rget will be Region. WQ 3.5% (non- rom ACS. panded to
WQ-20	National Program Manager Comments Number of facilities that have traded at least once plus all facilities covered by an overlay permit that incorporates trading provisions with an enforceable cap. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 Target FY 2008 END OF YEAR FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT	Agency target the sum of all 19a conforms tribal) & 63.29 **FY08 meas capture a large Tribal).	ne measure will be and commitment so Regional commitment to Surface Water P (tribal). FY 2007 ture was reported a er universe of environments of the surface was reported and the surface was reported and the surface of the surface o	revised to provice the dule. Region ents. There will brotection OMB measure reports State Issue (Wonmentally signs 80 80 80 80	39 Ide a universe on will commit be no percent PA measure. Ited in 3 parts (VQ-19a) and Exificant permits	of priority per to issue a create goal for FY 2006 mer (State issued CPA issued (Starting in 178 171 165	120 ermits in time for this measure. This measure, formed peasure, formed peas	22 21 22 7	of national and the fixed under the fixed under the priority per PA, reported the ribal permits.	120 d regional converse of priority will be used in 2 parts (now *FY 2007 Regions) and priority of all priority of the transfer of the transf	ommitments in prity permits in updated annual contribal and the regional commoverse of priority permits (States) 0 0 0 3	37 September of FY 2010. To a September of FY 2	2009, consisted the national targets results by 006 results: 93 esults are not foundidates is ex EPA issued in 27 26 23	rget will be Region. WQ 3.5% (non- rom ACS. panded to
WQ-20	National Program Manager Comments Number of facilities that have traded at least once plus all facilities covered by an overlay permit that incorporates trading provisions with an enforceable cap. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT	Agency target the sum of all 19a conforms tribal) & 63.29 **FY08 meas capture a large Tribal).	re measure will be and commitment so Regional commitment to Surface Water Power (tribal). FY 2007 ours was reported a cer universe of environments of the surface was reported and the surface of the sur	35 revised to provious chedule. Region ents. There will brotection OMB measure reports State Issue (Wonmentally signs) 80 80 80 80 80 80	de a universe de se vill commit de la parts (PA measure. Led in 3 parts (VQ-19a) and Enificant permits 25 25 25 1 1 1 1	of priority per to issue a create goal for FY 2006 mer (State issued CPA issued (Starting in 178 171 165	120 ermits in time for this measure. This measure, formed peasure, formed peas	22 21 22 22 7 4	of national and the fixed under the fixed under the priority per PA, reported ribal permits). It is the sum of priority per PA, reported ribal permits in FY 2 and a sure the sum of the su	120 d regional converse of priority will be used in 2 parts (note *FY 2007 Results and priority states of all priority states of all prio	ommitments in prity permits in updated annual on-tribal and tracegional commits (States) of permits (States) of the commitment of the comm	37 September of FY 2010. To a September of FY 2	2009, consisted the national targets results by 006 results: 93 esults are not foundidates is ex EPA issued in 27 26 23	rget will be Region. WQ 3.5% (non- rom ACS. panded to
WQ-20	National Program Manager Comments Number of facilities that have traded at least once plus all facilities covered by an overlay permit that incorporates trading provisions with an enforceable cap. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 Target FY 2008 END OF YEAR FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT	Agency target the sum of all 19a conforms tribal) & 63.29 **FY08 meas capture a large Tribal).	ne measure will be and commitment so Regional commitment to Surface Water P (tribal). FY 2007 ture was reported a er universe of environments of the surface was reported and the surface was reported and the surface of the surface o	revised to provice the dule. Region ents. There will brotection OMB measure reports State Issue (Wonmentally signs 80 80 80 80	39 Ide a universe on will commit be no percent PA measure. Ited in 3 parts (VQ-19a) and Exificant permits	of priority per to issue a create goal for FY 2006 mer (State issued CPA issued (Starting in 178 171 165	120 ermits in time for this measure. This measure, formed peasure, formed peas	22 21 22 7	of national and the fixed under the fixed under the priority per PA, reported the ribal permits.	120 d regional converse of priority will be used in 2 parts (now *FY 2007 Regions) and priority of all priority of the transfer of the transf	ommitments in prity permits in updated annual contribal and the regional commoverse of priority permits (States) 0 0 0 3	37 September of FY 2010. To a September of FY 2	2009, consisted the national targets results by 006 results: 93 esults are not foundidates is ex EPA issued in 27 26 23	rget will be Region. WQ 3.5% (non- rom ACS. panded to

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Manage	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	sure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measi	ure).
		an NPDES per	was a two part me mit that allows tra based on the num	ding. In FY 07,	measure was	: "Number	of permits prov	viding for tradir					_	
		_	neasure counts all personnes (sometimes called	_				_				_		
WQ-21	Number of water segments identified as impaired in 2002 for which States and EPA agree that initial restoration planning is complete (i.e., EPA has approved all needed TMDLs for pollutants causing impairments to the waterbody or has approved a 303(d) list that recognizes that the waterbody is covered by a Watershed Plan [i.e., Category 4b or Category 5m]). (cumulative)	I	Indicator											
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		14,898	5,072	444	2,893	1,860	1,081	n/a	1,817	446	154	1,131	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		13,932	4,877	437	2,693	1,806	1,036	n/a	1,781	227	96	979	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		13,515	4,866	266	2,596	1,804	947	n/a	1,759	206	96	975	
	FY 2009 Target		Indicator											
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR		12,479	4978	266	2240	1799	868		1698	206	80	705	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		6,792	529	332	1,313	1,322	506	263	1,637	200	47	643	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		5,964*	336	332	1,229	1,243	407	131	1,463	200	47	576	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		n/a											
	UNIVERSE (2002)		39,503*	6,710	1,805	8,998	5,274	4,550	1,407	2,036	1,274	1,041	6,408	
	INGTIONAL PROGRAM WIANAGER L'AMMENTS		geo-referencing da sts of waters ident					ents made to Ro	egion 3 FY 0	6 end-year re	esult and to Re	egion 6 unive	rse.	
WQ-22a	Number of Regions that have completed the development of a Healthy Watersheds Initiative (HWI) Strategy and have reached an agreement with at least one state to implement its portion of the Region's HWI Strategy.	I												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		4	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	UNIVERSE	N.Y.	10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
WQ-22b	National Program Manager Comments Number of states that have completed a Healthy Watersheds Protection Strategy or have completed at least 2 of the major components of a Healthy Watersheds assessment.	New measure	for FY11.											
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		5	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	
	FY 2010 BASELINE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	UNIVERSE		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	Measure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Managei	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	sure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measur	re).
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	for FY11.											
WQ-23	Percent of serviceable rural Alaska homes with access to drinking water supply and wastewater disposal.	OMB PA BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 BASELINE UNIVERSE		0% 92% 91% n/a											n/a 92% 91% n/a
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	for FY11. Since the	nis is a new meas	sure, the base	eline is the cu	urrent year. Th	e universe is no	ot applicable	since units a	re percent of se	erviceable ho	mes.	
Subobjectiv 2.2.2	Prevent water pollution and protect coastal and ocean systems to improve national and regional coastal aquatic system health on the 'good/fair/poor' scale of the National Coastal Condition Report.	OMB PA												
	RESULT		Met											Met
SP-16	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2006 COMMITMENT FY 2004 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Maintain aquatic ecosystem health on the 'good/fair/poor' scale of the National Coastal Condition Report in the Northeast Region.	Rating consist	2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.8 2.8 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.3 5 s of a 5-point system	em where 1 is po	or and 5 is go	ood.								2.8 2.8 2.8 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.8 2.8 2.7 2.7
	RESULT		Met											Met
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		2.4 2.4 2.4											2.4 2.4 2.4

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure ca	tegories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); F	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Managei	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measu	re).
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		2.4											2.4
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		2											2
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		1.8											1.8
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		1.8											1.8
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		1.8											1.8
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)		n/a											n/a
	FY 2004 BASELINE		1.8											
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 07 end-of	year data not from	ACS (For Gulf	of Mexico s	see Subobies	tive 4 3 5)							
	radonal i rogram ivianagel Comments	1 1 U/ CHU-UI-	year data not nom	ACD. (FOI Gull	or ivicalco, s		uvc 4.3.3)							
SP-17	Maintain aquatic ecosystem health on the 'good/fair/poor' scale of the National Coastal Condition Report in the Southeast Region.													
	RESULT		Met											Met
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		3.6											3.6
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		3.6											3.6
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		3.6											3.6
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		3.6											3.6
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		4											4
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		3.8											3.8
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		3.8											3.8
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		3.8											3.8
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)		n/a											n/a
	FY 2004 BASELINE		3.8											
	UNIVERSE		5											
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 07 end-of-	year data not from	ACS. (For Gulf	of Mexico, s	see Subobjec	tive 4.3.5)							
	Maintain aquatic ecosystem health on the 'good/fair/poor' scale of the National Coastal Condition Report in the West Coast Region.													
	RESULT		Met											Met
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		2.4											2.4
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		2.4											2.4
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		2.4											2.4

EV 11 ACC	EV 2011 National Water Program Chilance	*Maagura		Dagian	Dogion	Dagion	Dagion	Dogion	Dogion	Dagian	Dogion	Dagion	Pagion	
FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
Measure ca	ntegories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget N	Measure); SG (State	Grant Measure	e); SMM (Ser	l nior Manager	 ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW E	PAStat measu	ure).
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		2.4											2.4
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		2											2
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		2											2
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		2											2
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		2											2
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)		n/a											n/a
	FY 2004 BASELINE		2											
	UNIVERSE		5											
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 07 end-of-	year data not from	ACS. (For Gulf	of Mexico, s	ee Subobjec	tive 4.3.5)							
SP-19	Maintain aquatic ecosystem health on the 'good/fair/poor' scale of the National Coastal Condition Report in Puerto Rico.													
	RESULT		Met											Met
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		1.7											1.7
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		1.7											1.7
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		1.7											1.7
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		1.7											1.7
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		2											2
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		1.7											1.7
	F I 2006 END OF TEAR RESULT		1.7											
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		1.7											1.7
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)		n/a											n/a
	FY 2004 BASELINE		1.7											
	UNIVERSE		5											
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 07 end-of-	year data not from	ACS. (For Gulf	of Mexico, s	ee Subobjec	tive 4.3.5)							Г
	Percent of active dredged material ocean dumping sites													
SP-20	that will have achieved environmentally acceptable	BUD												
	conditions (as reflected in each site's management plan and measured through on-site monitoring programs).													
	RESULT	I	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	n/a	Not Met	n/a	n/a	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		93%	100%	100%	100%	74%	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		98%	100%	100%	100%	90%	n/a	94%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		90%	100%	100%	100%	74%	n/a	57%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		98%	100%	100%	100%	90%	n/a	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		99%	100%	100%	100%	95%	n/a	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%	

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
* Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget M	easure); SG (State	e Grant Measure	e); SMM (Sen	ior Managei	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly l	Report Meas	sure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measi	ıre).
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		98%	100%	100%	100%	90%	n/a	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		99%	100%	100%	100%	90%	n/a	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		95.4% (63)	100%	100%	100%	90%	n/a	93%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (ACS results numerical)		84.8%(56)	5	3	3	13	n/a	14	n/a	n/a	11	7	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		94% (60)	5	3	2	17	n/a	15	n/a	n/a	11	7	
	2010 UNIVERSE		65	5	3	2	19	n/a	15	n/a	n/a	11	10	
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 07 end-of-y	vear data is shown	numerically in A	ACS. Indicate	or measure i	n FY 07.							
CO-2	Total coastal and non-coastal statutory square miles protected from vessel sewage by "no discharge zone(s)." (cumulative)	I	Indicator											
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		54,494	3,019	2,340.33	65.17	3,085	45,701	2	0	254	28	0	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		53,635	3,132	1,580.33	65.17	2,872	45,701	2	0	254	28	0	
	FY 2009 BASELINE		52,607	2,511	1,271	65	2,775	45,701	2	0	254	28	0	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		6,100.0	1,241	276	80	1,830	2,606	2	n/a	n/a	65	0	
	FY 2009 Target		Indicator											
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		33,966,989	1,897,585	821,490	41,711	1,775,702	29,248,806	1,280	0	162,560	17,856	0	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		53,635	3,132	1,580.33	65.17	2,872	45,701	2	0	254	28	0	
	UNIVERSE		163,129	6,453	5,995	7,882	24,128	55,419	9,905	568	1,749	9,883	41,145	
	National Program Manager Comments		ne universe consis FY08 to FY10 (FY			_			ne current re	gulations (in	statutory squa	re miles). No	te the change	in units of
CO-3	Number of National Estuary Program priority actions in Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plans (CCMPs) that have been completed. (cumulative)	I	Indicator											
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		300											
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		365	175	42	0	92	n/a	33	n/a	n/a	22	1	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		145											
	FY 2009 Target		Indicator											
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		330	164	15	12	110	n/a	29	n/a	n/a	0	0	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		557	159	60	1	37	n/a	31	n/a	n/a		269	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		343	150	17	3	44	n/a	26	n/a	n/a	92	11	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		225	135	11	0	9	n/a	13	n/a	n/a	46	11	
	UNIVERSE		2,038	289	468	214	365	n/a	183	n/a	n/a	250	269	

Y 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
easure ca	ntegories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); B	UD (Budget N	Measure); SG (State	Grant Measure	e); SMM (Ser	nior Manager	ment Measure)); EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW E	PAStat measu	ıre).
	National Program Manager Comments													
CO-4	Dollar value of "primary" leveraged resources (cash or in- kind) obtained by the NEP Directors and/or staff in millions of dollars rounded to the nearest tenth of a percent.	I	Indicator											
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		\$662	\$530	\$29	\$11	\$31	n/a	\$10	n/a	n/a	\$7	\$44	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		\$274.3	\$71.3	\$12.6	\$9.3	\$43.1	n/a	\$5.8	n/a	n/a	\$25.1	\$107.1	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		514.6											
	FY 2009 Target		Indicator											
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		\$83.2	\$12.4	\$14.8	\$6.0	\$101.7		\$83.0			\$11.2	\$6.5	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		\$208.1	\$53.6	\$2.8	\$4.5	\$114.7	n/a	\$11.2	n/a	n/a	\$10.3	\$11.0	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		\$765.6	\$34.8	\$166.9	\$6.4	\$428.6	n/a	\$19.5	n/a	n/a	\$62.7	\$46.7	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		\$158.8	\$12.3	\$46.9	\$7.7	\$19.1	n/a	\$4.5	n/a	n/a	\$51.0	\$17.3	
	UNIVERSE		n/a	Ψ1 2. 0	Ψ 1017	Ψ / • /	417.1	11 0	4	11/ 60		φυτιο	Ψ17.0	
	National Program Manager Comments	Note that "pri obtained from	llions and rounded to mary" leveraged dol a successful grant p year data is not fron	lars are those to proposal written	he National E	Estuary Progr	am (NEP) pla	yed the central	role in obtain	ning. An exa	mple of primar	y leveraged	dollars would	be those
CO-5	Number of dredged material management plans that are in place for major ports and harbors.	Ι	Indicator											
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		40	8	3	8	2	n/a	14	n/a	n/a	2	3	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		37	5	3	8	2	n/a	14	n/a	n/a	2	3	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		38	5	3	8	2	n/a	14	n/a	n/a	3	3	
	FY 2009 Target		Indicator	-				,	1.1	,	,			
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		37	5	1	7	2	n/a	14	n/a	n/a	2	6	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT EX 2007 END OF YEAR DESILET		Indicator	0	1	5	2	n/2		n/2	n/2	2	-	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		30 26	8	1	5	2 2	n/a n/a	6	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	2 2	6 2	
	FY 2005 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE		15	2	1	2	0	n/a n/a	3	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	2	5	
	UNIVERSE		104	10	3	8	18	28	14	n/a	n/a	12	11	
	National Program Manager Comments		represents major co	astal/Great Lal	kes ports/harb	ors (comme								nageme

**Messure entegories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment). BUD (Budget Messure): SG (State Genat Messure); SMM (Senior Management Messure): EOR (EPAStat Quartedy Report Messure): and NPMStat (OW I Combined on the equation year. Number of active directed material ocean dumpting sites that are munifored in the equation year.	11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
Tableston Tabl	asure cate	egories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Sei	nior Managei	ment Measure); EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	sure); and NPM	MStat (OW E	PAStat measi	ıre).
FY 2010 FXD OF YEAR RESULT 33 3 1 2 6 n/a 5 n/a n/a 6			I	Indicator											
PY 2000 END OF YEAR RESULT	F	TY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		33	1	2	2	12	n/a	2	n/a	n/a	2	12	
FY 2009 Target					3	1								10	
FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT	F	TY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		38	2	1	2	6	n/a	11	n/a	n/a	6	10	
FY 2008 Commitment	F	TY 2009 Target		Indicator											
FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT 3.33 5 3.3 5 10/2 5 10/2 1.0 1.	F	TY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		28	1	2	2	6	n/a	4	n/a	n/a	4	9	
PY 2016 END OF YEAR RESULT 26 2 3 2 5 n/u 6 n/u															
FY 2005 BASELINE														9	
National Program Manager Comments														5	
National Program Manager Comments														n/a	
Maintain aquatic coosystem health on the "good/fair-poor" scale of the National Coastal Condition Report in the Hawaii Region.	U	UNIVERSE		65	5	3	2	19	n/a	15	n/a	n/a	11	10	
CO-7 "good/fair/poor" scale of the National Coastal Condition Report in the Hawaii Region.	N	National Program Manager Comments													
FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT	CO-7 "g	good/fair/poor" scale of the National Coastal Condition													
FY 2011 COMMITMENT	R	RESULT		Met											Met
FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 BASELINE 0 UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Maintain aquatic ecosystem health on the CO-8 "good/fair/poor" scale of the National Coastal Condition Report in the South Central Alaska Region. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT 4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5 4.	F	TY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		4.5											4.5
FY 2010 COMMITMENT															4.5
FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT	F	TY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		4.5											4.5
FY 2008 BASELINE UNIVERSE Solution Solutio	F	TY 2010 COMMITMENT		4.5											4.5
National Program Manager Comments Maintain aquatic ecosystem health on the "good/fair/poor" scale of the National Coastal Condition Report in the South Central Alaska Region. Met FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT South Comments New strategic measure starting in FY 2010 See Substruct Met South Central Alaska Region. Met South Central Alaska R				4.5											4.5
National Program Manager Comments Maintain aquatic ecosystem health on the "good/fair/poor" scale of the National Coastal Condition Report in the South Central Alaska Region. RESULT Met FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S															0
CO-8 Maintain aquatic ecosystem health on the "good/fair/poor" scale of the National Coastal Condition Report in the South Central Alaska Region. RESULT Met FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT 5 FY 2011 COMMITMENT 5 Met 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			Navy stratagia	3	EV 2010										5
CO-8 "good/fair/poor" scale of the National Coastal Condition Report in the South Central Alaska Region. RESULT Met FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT 5 FY 2011 COMMITMENT 5 Met 5 FY 2011 COMMITMENT 5 FY 2011 COMMITMENT			new strategic	measure starting if	1 F 1 2010										
FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT 5 FY 2011 COMMITMENT 5	CO-8 "g	good/fair/poor" scale of the National Coastal Condition													
FY 2011 COMMITMENT 5 5	R	RESULT		Met											Met
	F	TY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		5											5
FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT 5	F	TY 2011 COMMITMENT		5											5
				5											5
FY 2010 COMMITMENT 5				5											5
FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT 5 EV 2009 DASELINE				5											5
FY 2008 BASELINE UNIVERSE 5															5

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget M	Measure); SG (State	Grant Measure	e); SMM (Sen	nior Manager	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW E	PAStat measi	ure).
	National Program Manager Comments	New strategic	measure starting in	FY 2010										
4.3.2	Working with partners, protect or restore additional acres of habitat within the study areas for the 28 estuaries that are part of the National Estuary Program (NEP).	OMB PA BUD SMM												
	RESULT		Not Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	n/a	Met	n/a	n/a	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		62,213	6,259.6	1,350.9	5,403	29,723.8	n/a	5,269.3	n/a	n/a	9,059.9	5,146.7	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		100,000	3,684	1,105	3,500	30,000	n/a	3,000	n/a	n/a	200	1,155	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		89,985	3,955.37	1,435.8	3,052.08	67,142.55	n/a	740	n/a	n/a	8,670	4,989.34	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		100,000	5,240	1,115	3,100	30,000	n/a	3,000	n/a	n/a	227	1,407	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		125,437	6,184	1,690	4,642	101,792	n/a	3,943	n/a	n/a	4,861	2,326	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		100,000 = National commit./ 46.121 =	3,321	1,115	3,000	30,000	n/a	3,000	n/a	n/a	2,883	2,802	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		82,828	3,267	1,860	7,858.5	43,763.8	n/a	3,643	n/a	n/a	21,873	562.7	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		43,114	975	1,025	3,000	25,000	n/a	3,000	n/a	n/a	5,114	5,000	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 COMMITMENT		102,462	9,269 700	1,814	8,349 4,000	60,963 25,000	n/a n/a	3,000	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	6,090 1,900	4,493 5,000	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		145,451	7,495	2,831	4,122	108,791	n/a	8,021	n/a	n/a	11,292	2,899.6	
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		26,358	2,123	850	2,050	8,098	n/a	6,220	n/a	n/a	1,517	5,500	
	FY 2005 BASELINE		449,242*	14,562	15,009	33,793	232,605	n/a	54,378	n/a	n/a	82,363	16,531	
	UNIVERSE		n/a			20,170		24.0	1,2 7 2			,		
habiactiv	National Program Manager Comments e 2.2.3 Increase Wetlands	Note: This me	easure is under Goal	4 in the 2006-2	2011 Strategio	c Plan. FY 05	5 cumulative en	nd-of-year regi	onal data use	d for baselin	e is not from A	ACS.		
ibobjecuv	e 2.2.3 merease vvenanus		T											
SP-21	Working with partners, achieve a net increase of acres of wetlands per year with additional focus on biological and functional measures and assessment of wetland condition.	BUD												
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		Deferred											Deferre
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											n/a
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		Commitment deferred for FY10											Deferre
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											n/a
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		100,000											100,00
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		32,000											32,000
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		100,000											100,00

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
Measure ca	ntegories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	i nior Managei	nent Measure)); EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly 1	Report Meas	sure); and NPM	AStat (OW E	PAStat measi	ure).
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		32,000											32,000
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		100,000											100,000
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		64,000											64,000
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		200,00											200,00
	FY 2005 BASELINE		32,000											
	National Program Manager Comments	Report (2011) States 2005-20 Qualifying lan	year data not from should show a cor 009, Washington, I guage: The 2005-2 tion; and b) are alre	ntinuation of upw DC. 009 reporting pe	vard trends.	Data source: neasure refle	U.S. DOI, U.s	S. Fish and Wilta: a) are publis	dlife Service. thed in 5-year	; 2010. Statu	s and Trends of which creates	of Wetlands is a fixed nume	n the Contern	ninous Unite
SP-22	In partnership with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, states and tribes, achieve 'no net loss' of wetlands each year under the Clean Water Act Section 404 regulatory program.	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		No Net Loss											No Net Los
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		No Net Loss											No Net Los
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		No Net Loss											No Net Los
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		No Net Loss No Net Loss											No Net Los
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		No Net Loss											No Net Lo
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		data n/a											data n/a
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		No Net Loss											No Net Lo
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 COMMITMENT		data n/a No Net Loss											data n/a No Net Lo
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		data n/a											data n/a
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		No Net Loss											No Net Lo
	National Program Manager Comments	Data source: U	J.S. Fish & Wildlif	e Service Wetlar	nd Status and	Trends Rep	ort.							
WT-1	Number of acres restored and improved, under the 5-Star, NEP, 319, and great waterbody programs (cumulative).													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		154,000											154,000
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		150,000											150,000
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		130,000											130,000
			96,000											96,000
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		(revised to 110,00 in FY11											(revised to
			(revised to 110,00 in FY11 Budget)											(revised to 110,00)
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT		(revised to 110,00 in FY11											(revised to

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
' Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	Measure); SG (State	e Grant Measure	e); SMM (Ser	nior Manage	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	sure); and NPN	AStat (OW El	PAStat meası	ıre).
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		75,000											75,000
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		61,856											61,856
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		7,200											7,200
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		99,210											99,210
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		4,800											4,800
	National Program Manager Comments	Program. Commitment r	ay include those surepresents a cumula restored and enhan	ative total. Unex										
WT-2a	Number of states/tribes that have substantially built or increased capacity in wetland regulation, monitoring and assessment, water quality standards, and/or restoration and protection. (This is an annual reporting measure.)	I	Indicator											
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		54	6	0	5	3	4	3	4	16	2	11	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		47	5	0	5	1	4	3	3	13	5	8	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		22	6	0	5	3	4	0	1	0	1	2	
	FY 2009 Target		Indicator											
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		22	6	0	5	3	0	1	1	3	1	2	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		Indicator		0		0	1	1	1	0	1	2	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		25	6	0	5	8	1	0	0	0 2	0	0	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE		21 20	6	0	3	7	0	0	1	3	0	0	
	UNIVERSE		584	9	7	5	6	36	68	9	27	146	271	
	National Program Manager Comments	states/tribes th Substantially b annually and is	ow us to track wor at have substantial built or increased ca s an indicator of wl easure language be	ly built or increat apacity is define there states and t	ased capacity d as completi ribes are focu	in wetland r ing two or m using their w	egulation, mon ore of the action etland develop	itoring and asso ons found in the ment effort, the	essment, wat e tables found e baseline res	er quality sta d at: www.ep	andards, and/or oa.gov/owow/e	restoration a stp/. *This m	and protection neasure is eval	n. luated
WT-2b	Number of core elements (regulation, monitoring and assessment, water quality standards, or restoration and protection) developed and implemented by (number) of States/Tribes.	I	Indicator											
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		29	6	0	3	2	4	0	4	0	2	8	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		27	9	0	5	2	4	0	0	0	3	4	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		39	8	0	n/a	0	22	0	1	0	3	5	
	FY 2009 Target		Indicator											
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		24	8	0	0	0	5	0	1	3	2	5	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		11	0	0	n/a	0	3	0	1	0	2	5	
													\	

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure ca	tegories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget M	Ieasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure	e); SMM (Sen	nior Manager	ment Measure); EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat meas	ıre).
	FY 2005 BASELINE		n/a											
	UNIVERSE		579	9	7	0	6	36	68	9	27	146	271	
	National Program Manager Comments	subset of "core standards, and	s designed to tracke or essential" action of the contraction and the beginning in FY	ons has been ide protection prog	entified for each	ch of the CE eing implem	s and is tailore ented. The ess	ed to ensure that sential actions ca	a basic wet	lands regulato at: www.epa.	ory, monitoring .gov/owow/est	g and assessn p/WT2b. *T	nent, water q	uality
WT-3	Percent of Clean Water Act Section 404 standard permits, upon which EPA coordinated with the permitting authority (i.e., Corps or State), where a final permit decision in FY 08 documents requirements for greater environmental protection* than originally proposed.	I	Indicator											
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		88%	100%	0%	85%	93%	90%	75%	82%	91%	100%	57%	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											
	FY 2009 Target		n/a											
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a**											
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											
	FY 2005 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											
	National Program Manager Comments	*"Requirementhe following in 1. Demonstration a) Determination cumulative impeffluent standard. Demonstration 3. Determination Note: The doc	bilities began in Jats for greater envisuse areas were in ation of adequate is on of water dependents for practicable ards; g) Evaluation ation of adequate intion of adequate cumented permit dependent of the compact	ronmental prote corporated into mpact avoidance dency; b) Charalle alternatives; of potential for mpact minimization ompensation ecision can be in	ction" are couthe final perme, including: acterization of a light tion of a country	inted under the control of the contr	his measure wet purpose; c) nvironmentally	Then EPA can do Determination of the part of the permit. The	ocument that of range of p acticable Alte	oracticable alternative; f) C	ernatives; d) E ompliance with	Evaluation of h WQS, MPI ermits where	direct, secon RSA, ESA ar EPA has the	dary and nd/or toxic
WT-4	Number of states measuring baseline wetland condition - with plans to assess trends in wetland condition - as defined through condition indicators and assessments (cumulative).													
	RESULT		Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT		29 26	5 5	0	4	2	3 2	1 1	3	5 5	1 1	4 4	

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
* Measure ca	tegories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Manager	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Meas	sure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measu	ıre).
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		22	4	0	4	1	2	1	3	5	1	1	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		21	4	0	4	1	2	1	3	4	1	1	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		20	3	0	4	1	2	1	2	4	1	2	
	FY 2009 Target		19	3	0	4	1	2	1	2	4	1	1	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		14	2	0	5	1	2	1	1	1	0	1	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		12	1	0	4	1	2	1	1	1	0	1	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		13	2	0	3	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		14	2	0	5	1	2	1	1	3	0	1	
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		14	2	0	4	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	
	FY 2005 END OF YEAR RESULT		18	3	0	4	1	1	1	2	4	1	1	
	National Program Manager Comments	one major river maximize finar	te will document was the control of the courses, standard coordinate with I and for FY 09.	y use either Leve tes are encourag	el 1, 2, or 3 n ed to use a p	nethods or th robability su	e combined 3- rvey design for	Level approach r measuring bas	. The state a seline conditi	lso has plans on.	s to re-survey fo	or the purpos	ses of evaluati	ng trends. To
Subobjective	e 2.2.9 Sustain and Restore the U.SMexico Border En	vironmental H	ealth											
SP-23	Loading of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) removed (cumulative million pounds/year) from the U.SMexico Border area since 2003.	OMB PA		8										
	RESULT		Met						Met			Met		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		108.55						87			21.55		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		108.20						87			21.2		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		18.7											18.7
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		36						35			1		
	National Program Manager Comments Number of additional homes provided safe drinking water		ed in FY 2010. 200	03 Baseline: zero	pounds/year	r of BOD rer	noved from U.	SMexico Boro	der area wate	ers as a resul	t of new infras	tructure proj	ects.	
SP-24	in the U.SMexico border area that lacked access to safe drinking water in 2003.	BUD EQR		8										
	RESULT		Met						Met			Met		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT		2,604 2,000						2,604 2,000			0		

Y 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
Measure c	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget N	Measure); SG (State (Grant Measure);	; SMM (Ser	l nior Managei	 ment Measure)); EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Measi	ure); and NPM	1Stat (OW El	PAStat measu	ıre).
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		21,650						19,751			1,899		
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		21,899						20,000			1,899		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		1,584						1,584			0		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		1,500						1,500			0		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		5,162						5,162			0		
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		2,500						2,500			0		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		1,276											1,276
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		Indicator											
	FY 2003 BASELINE		0											
	FY 2003 UNIVERSE		98,515											
		2009 0111 10180	e: 98,515 known hon	nes in the weate	Dorder ur	tu moning at	T	<i>U</i>					T	
SP-25	Number of additional homes provided adequate wastewater sanitation in the U.SMexico border area that lacked access to wastewater sanitation in 2003.	OMB PA	2. 70,313 KHOWH HOH		Dorder an									
SP-25	wastewater sanitation in the U.SMexico border area that	OMB PA BUD	Met						Met			Met		
SP-25	wastewater sanitation in the U.SMexico border area that lacked access to wastewater sanitation in 2003. RESULT	OMB PA BUD	Met											
SP-25	wastewater sanitation in the U.SMexico border area that lacked access to wastewater sanitation in 2003. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT	OMB PA BUD	Met 259,371						239,871			19,500		
SP-25	wastewater sanitation in the U.SMexico border area that lacked access to wastewater sanitation in 2003. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT	OMB PA BUD	Met 259,371 207,000						239,871 190,000			19,500 17,000		
SP-25	wastewater sanitation in the U.SMexico border area that lacked access to wastewater sanitation in 2003. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT	OMB PA BUD	Met 259,371						239,871			19,500		
SP-25	wastewater sanitation in the U.SMexico border area that lacked access to wastewater sanitation in 2003. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT	OMB PA BUD	Met 259,371 207,000 75,175						239,871 190,000 71,926			19,500 17,000 3,249		
SP-25	wastewater sanitation in the U.SMexico border area that lacked access to wastewater sanitation in 2003. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT	OMB PA BUD	Met 259,371 207,000 75,175 190,720						239,871 190,000 71,926 190,000			19,500 17,000 3,249 720		
SP-25	wastewater sanitation in the U.SMexico border area that lacked access to wastewater sanitation in 2003. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT	OMB PA BUD	Met 259,371 207,000 75,175 190,720 43,594						239,871 190,000 71,926 190,000 39,477			19,500 17,000 3,249 720 4,117		
SP-25	wastewater sanitation in the U.SMexico border area that lacked access to wastewater sanitation in 2003. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT	OMB PA BUD	Met 259,371 207,000 75,175 190,720 43,594 105,500						239,871 190,000 71,926 190,000 39,477 100,000			19,500 17,000 3,249 720 4,117 5,500		
SP-25	wastewater sanitation in the U.SMexico border area that lacked access to wastewater sanitation in 2003. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT	OMB PA BUD	Met 259,371 207,000 75,175 190,720 43,594 105,500 31,686						239,871 190,000 71,926 190,000 39,477 100,000 31,686			19,500 17,000 3,249 720 4,117 5,500 0		73,47
SP-25	wastewater sanitation in the U.SMexico border area that lacked access to wastewater sanitation in 2003. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT	OMB PA BUD	Met 259,371 207,000 75,175 190,720 43,594 105,500 31,686 15,000						239,871 190,000 71,926 190,000 39,477 100,000 31,686			19,500 17,000 3,249 720 4,117 5,500 0		73,47
SP-25	wastewater sanitation in the U.SMexico border area that lacked access to wastewater sanitation in 2003. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT	OMB PA BUD	Met 259,371 207,000 75,175 190,720 43,594 105,500 31,686 15,000 73,475						239,871 190,000 71,926 190,000 39,477 100,000 31,686			19,500 17,000 3,249 720 4,117 5,500 0		73,47

Measure is regionally reported starting in FY 09. Indicator measure in FY 07.

2003 Baseline: zero additional homes provided wastewater sanitation the U.S.-Mexico Border area.

2003 Universe: 690,723 known homes in the U.S.-Mexico Border area lacking access to wastewater sanitation.

Subobjective 2.2.10 Sustain and Restore the Pacific Island Territories

National Program Manager Comments

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure c	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget M	Measure); SG (State	Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	ior Managei	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPN	AStat (OW E	PAStat measu	ıre).
SP-26	Percentage of population in the U.S. Pacific Islands Territories that has access to continuous drinking water meeting all applicable health-based drinking water standards, measured on a four quarter rolling average basis.	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		87%									87%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		75%									75%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		82%									82%		
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		73%									73%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		80%									80%		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		73%									73%		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		79%									79%		
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		69%									69%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE		95% of American Samoa; 10% of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands; 80% of Guam											
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	starting in FY 08.											
SP-27	Percent of time that sewage treatment plants in the U.S. Pacific Island Territories comply with permit limits for biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and total suspended solids (TSS).	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		50%									50%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		63%									63%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		52%									63%		
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		62%									62%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		65%									65%		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		62%									62%		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		67%									67%		
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		62%									62%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE		59%											
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	starting in FY 08.											
SP-28	Percent of days of the beach season that beaches in each of the U.S. Pacific Island Territories monitored under the Beach Safety Program will be open and safe for swimming.													
	in the second	l									-	77%		

TY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
Measure cate	tegories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	Grant Measure	e); SMM (Sei	nior Manager	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly l	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measur	re).
I	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		82%									82%		
I	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		80%									80%		
I	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		80%									80%		
I	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		81%									81%		
I	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		80%									80%		
I	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		80%									80%		
1	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		85%									85%		
I	FY 2005 BASELINE		84%			1						1		
1	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	starting in FY 08.											
bobjective	2.2.4 Improve the Health of the Great Lakes													
I	Improve the overall ecosystem health of the Great Lakes	OMB PA												
	by preventing water pollution and protecting aquatic	SP												
	ecosystems.	BUD												
I	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		21.9					21.9						
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		23.4					23.4						
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		22.7					22.7						
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		23.0					23.0						
I	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		23.9					23.9						
I	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		22.5					22.5						
I	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		23.7					23.7						
I	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		22.0					22.0						
J	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		22.7					22.7						
I	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		21.0					21.0						
I	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		21.1					21.1						
I	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		21.0					21.0						
	FY 2005 BASELINE		21.5											
Ţ	UNIVERSE		40.0											
1	National Program Manager Comments	_	1.3.3 provides a ger amination, benthic l						_			phosphorus o	concentrations,	, AOC
SP-29 t	Average annual percentage decline for the long-term trend in concentrations of PCBs in whole lake trout and walleye samples.	OMB PA BUD												
I	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		44%					44%						
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		37%					37%						
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		43%					43%						
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		5% (old					5%						
	National Program Manager Comments	between meas	PCBs in top predate urement and reportion [2.72 ppm], Huro	ing means that t	he FY 09 tar	get pertains t	o measuremen	monitored site						

Y 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment);	BUD (Budget N	Measure); SG (State	Grant Measure	e); SMM (Ser	nior Manager	nent Measure)	; EQR (EPAS	at Quarterly	L Report Meas	sure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measu	ure).
	Number of Areas of Concern in the Great Lakes Basin													
SP-31	where all management actions necessary for de-listing	OMB PA												
	have been implemented (cumulative).													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		2					2						
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		1					1						
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		1					1						
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		3					3						
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		1					1						
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		2					2						
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		1					1						
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		3					3						
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		1					1						
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		1					1						
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		1					1						
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		2					2						
	UNIVERSE		31					2						
	National Program Manager Comments		ern. Only 1 AOC (_			cumulative tar	get of taking al	l necessary m	anagement a	ections to delist	t 3 of the orig	ginal 31 US o	r bination
SP-32	National Program Manager Comments Cubic yards of contaminated sediments remediated (cumulative) in the Great Lakes.		_	_			cumulative tar	get of taking al	I necessary m	anagement a	ections to delist	t 3 of the orig	ginal 31 US o	r binatio
SP-32	Cubic yards of contaminated sediments remediated	Areas of Conc	_	_			cumulative tar	get of taking al	I necessary m	anagement a	actions to delist	t 3 of the orig	ginal 31 US o	r bination
SP-32	Cubic yards of contaminated sediments remediated (cumulative) in the Great Lakes.	Areas of Conc	eern. Only 1 AOC (_			cumulative tar		I necessary m	anagement a	ections to delist	t 3 of the orig	ginal 31 US o	r binatio
SP-32	Cubic yards of contaminated sediments remediated (cumulative) in the Great Lakes. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT	Areas of Conc	ern. Only 1 AOC (_			cumulative tar	8.4 million	I necessary m	anagement a	ections to delist	t 3 of the orig	ginal 31 US o	r binatio
SP-32	Cubic yards of contaminated sediments remediated (cumulative) in the Great Lakes. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT	Areas of Conc	8.4 million 7.2 million	_			cumulative targ	8.4 million 7.2 million	I necessary m	anagement a	ections to delist	t 3 of the orig	ginal 31 US o	r binatio
SP-32	Cubic yards of contaminated sediments remediated (cumulative) in the Great Lakes. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT	Areas of Conc	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million	_			cumulative targ	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million	I necessary m	anagement a	actions to delist	t 3 of the orig	ginal 31 US o	r binatio
SP-32	Cubic yards of contaminated sediments remediated (cumulative) in the Great Lakes. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT	Areas of Conc	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million 6.4 million	_			cumulative targ	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million 6.4 million	I necessary m	anagement a	actions to delist	t 3 of the orig	ginal 31 US o	r binatio
SP-32	Cubic yards of contaminated sediments remediated (cumulative) in the Great Lakes. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT	Areas of Conc	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million 6.4 million 6 million	_			cumulative targ	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million 6.4 million 6 million	I necessary m	anagement a	actions to delist	t 3 of the orig	ginal 31 US o	r binatio
SP-32	Cubic yards of contaminated sediments remediated (cumulative) in the Great Lakes. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT	Areas of Conc	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million 6.4 million 6 million 5.9 million	_			cumulative targ	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million 6.4 million 6 million 5.9 million	I necessary m	anagement a	actions to delist	t 3 of the orig	ginal 31 US o	r binatio
SP-32	Cubic yards of contaminated sediments remediated (cumulative) in the Great Lakes. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT	Areas of Conc	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million 6.4 million 6 million 5.9 million 5.5 million	_			cumulative targ	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million 6.4 million 6 million 5.9 million 5.5 million	I necessary m	anagement a	actions to delist	t 3 of the orig	ginal 31 US o	r binatio
SP-32	Cubic yards of contaminated sediments remediated (cumulative) in the Great Lakes. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT	Areas of Conc	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million 6.4 million 6 million 5.9 million 5.5 million 5 million	_			cumulative targ	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million 6.4 million 6 million 5.9 million 5.5 million 5 million	I necessary m	anagement a	actions to delist	t 3 of the orig	ginal 31 US o	r binatio
SP-32	Cubic yards of contaminated sediments remediated (cumulative) in the Great Lakes. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT	Areas of Conc	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million 6.4 million 6 million 5.9 million 5.5 million 4.5 million	_			cumulative targ	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million 6.4 million 6 million 5.9 million 5.5 million 4.5 million	I necessary m	anagement a	actions to delist	t 3 of the orig	ginal 31 US o	r binatio
SP-32	Cubic yards of contaminated sediments remediated (cumulative) in the Great Lakes. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 COMMITMENT	Areas of Conc	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million 6.4 million 6 million 5.9 million 5.5 million 4.5 million 4.5 million	_			cumulative targ	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million 6.4 million 6 million 5.9 million 5 million 4.5 million 4.5 million	I necessary m	anagement a	actions to delist	t 3 of the orig	ginal 31 US o	r binatio
SP-32	Cubic yards of contaminated sediments remediated (cumulative) in the Great Lakes. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 COMMITMENT FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2006 COMMITMENT	Areas of Conc	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million 6.4 million 6 million 5.9 million 5.5 million 4.5 million 4.5 million 4.1 million 0.3 million	_			cumulative targ	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million 6.4 million 6 million 5.9 million 5.5 million 4.5 million 4.5 million 4.1 million	I necessary m	anagement a	actions to delist	t 3 of the orig	ginal 31 US o	r binatio
SP-32	Cubic yards of contaminated sediments remediated (cumulative) in the Great Lakes. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2008 COMMITMENT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 COMMITMENT FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT	Areas of Conc	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million 6.4 million 6 million 5.9 million 5.5 million 4.5 million 4.5 million 4.1 million	_			cumulative targ	8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million 6.4 million 6 million 5.9 million 5.5 million 4.5 million 4.5 million 4.1 million	I necessary m	anagement a	actions to delist	t 3 of the orig	ginal 31 US o	r binatio

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure	National Target	Region	Region	Region 3	Region	Region	Region	Region	Region 8	Region 9	Region	HQ
Code	Measure Text	Groups		1	2	3	4	5	6	/	ð	9	10	
k 3 4				C AM			. 3.6	EOD (EDAG)		2 . 3.6	1.1101.4		DAG.	`
* Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget N	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nor Manager	nent Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	it Quarterly I	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW E	PAStat measure	e).
	Number of Beneficial Use Impairments removed within													
GL-5	Areas of Concern. (cumulative)	OMB PA												
	[New measure for FY 09]	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		26					26						
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		26					26						
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		12					12						
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		26 (20 in FY11					26						
	EX 2000 END OF VEAD DECLUT		Pres Budget)					12						
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		12					12						
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		21					21						
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	added for FY 2009	9 from 2007 OM	B PA review	•								
GL-06	Number of nonnative species newly detected in the Great	BUD												
	Lakes ecosystem.		1					1						
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		1 1					1 1						
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE		1.1					1.1						
	UNIVERSE		181					181						
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	starting in FY11, a	dded from the G	reat Lakes R	estoration In	itiative Action							
	Number of multi-agency rapid response plans established,													
GL-07	mock exercises to practice responses carried out under	BUD												
02 07		202												
	those plans, and/or actual response actions (cumulative).													
	those plans, and/or actual response actions (cumulative). EV 2011 END OF VEAR RESULT		Q					Q						
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		8					8						
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT		7					7						
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE		7 0					7 0						
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE	New measure	7 0 n/a	dded from the G	reat Lakes R	estoration In	itiative Action	7 0 n/a						
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments		7 0	dded from the G	reat Lakes R	estoration In	itiative Action	7 0 n/a						
GL-08	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE	New measure BUD	7 0 n/a	dded from the G	reat Lakes R	estoration In	itiative Action	7 0 n/a						
GL-08	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Percentage of beaches meeting bacteria standards 95% or		7 0 n/a	dded from the G	reat Lakes R	estoration In Met	itiative Action	7 0 n/a						
GL-08	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Percentage of beaches meeting bacteria standards 95% or more of beach days.		7 0 n/a starting in FY11, a	dded from the G			itiative Action	7 0 n/a Plan.						
GL-08	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Percentage of beaches meeting bacteria standards 95% or more of beach days. RESULT		7 0 n/a starting in FY11, a	dded from the G	n/a	Met	itiative Action	7 0 n/a Plan.						
GL-08	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Percentage of beaches meeting bacteria standards 95% or more of beach days. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		7 0 n/a starting in FY11, a n/a 80%	dded from the G	n/a n/a	Met 98.9%	itiative Action	7 0 n/a Plan. Not Met 62%						
GL-08	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Percentage of beaches meeting bacteria standards 95% or more of beach days. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT		7 0 n/a starting in FY11, a n/a 80% 87%	dded from the G	n/a n/a	Met 98.9%	itiative Action	7 0 n/a Plan. Not Met 62% 88%						
GL-08	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Percentage of beaches meeting bacteria standards 95% or more of beach days. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2009 BASELINE	BUD	7 0 n/a starting in FY11, a n/a 80% 87% 92%		n/a n/a n/a	Met 98.9% 90%		7 0 n/a Plan. Not Met 62% 88% 92% 55,026						
GL-08	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Percentage of beaches meeting bacteria standards 95% or more of beach days. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2009 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Acres managed for populations of invasive species	BUD	7 0 n/a starting in FY11, a n/a 80% 87% 92% 100%		n/a n/a n/a	Met 98.9% 90%		7 0 n/a Plan. Not Met 62% 88% 92% 55,026						
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Percentage of beaches meeting bacteria standards 95% or more of beach days. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2009 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Acres managed for populations of invasive species controlled to a target level (cumulative).	BUD New measure	7 0 n/a starting in FY11, a n/a 80% 87% 92% 100% starting in FY11, a		n/a n/a n/a	Met 98.9% 90%		7 0 n/a Plan. Not Met 62% 88% 92% 55,026 Plan.						
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Percentage of beaches meeting bacteria standards 95% or more of beach days. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2009 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Acres managed for populations of invasive species controlled to a target level (cumulative). FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT	BUD New measure	7 0 n/a starting in FY11, a n/a 80% 87% 92% 100% starting in FY11, a		n/a n/a n/a	Met 98.9% 90%		7 0 n/a Plan. Not Met 62% 88% 92% 55,026 Plan.						
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Percentage of beaches meeting bacteria standards 95% or more of beach days. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2009 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Acres managed for populations of invasive species controlled to a target level (cumulative). FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT	BUD New measure	7 0 n/a starting in FY11, a n/a 80% 87% 92% 100% starting in FY11, a 13,045 1,500		n/a n/a n/a	Met 98.9% 90%		7 0 n/a Plan. Not Met 62% 88% 92% 55,026 Plan.						
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Percentage of beaches meeting bacteria standards 95% or more of beach days. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2009 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Acres managed for populations of invasive species controlled to a target level (cumulative). FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE	BUD New measure	7 0 n/a starting in FY11, a n/a 80% 87% 92% 100% starting in FY11, a 13,045 1,500 0		n/a n/a n/a	Met 98.9% 90%		7 0 n/a Plan. Not Met 62% 88% 92% 55,026 Plan.						
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Percentage of beaches meeting bacteria standards 95% or more of beach days. RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2009 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Acres managed for populations of invasive species controlled to a target level (cumulative). FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT	New measure BUD	7 0 n/a starting in FY11, a n/a 80% 87% 92% 100% starting in FY11, a 13,045 1,500	dded from the G	n/a n/a n/a reat Lakes R	Met 98.9% 90% estoration In	itiative Action	7 0 n/a Plan. Not Met 62% 88% 92% 55,026 Plan. 13,045 1,500 0 n/a						

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Sei	nior Manage	ment Measure); EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly 1	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measu	re).
GL-10	Percent of populations of native aquatic non-threatened and endangered species self-sustaining in the wild (cumulative).	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		31%					31%						
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		35% 52					35% 52						
	FY 2009 BASELINE		27%					27%						
	UNIVERSE		147					147						
	National Program Manager Comments		starting in FY11, a ning in the wild. De								_	on-T&E and	non-candidate	species that
GL-11	Number of acres of wetlands and wetland-associated uplands protected, restored and enhanced (cumulative).	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		9,624					9,624						
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		7,500					7,500						
	FY 2005 BASELINE		0					0						
	UNIVERSE		550,000					550,000						
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	starting in FY11, a	dded from the G	reat Lakes R	Restoration Ir	nitiative Action	Plan.		T	T			
GL-12	Number of acres of coastal, upland, and island habitats protected, restored and enhanced (cumulative).	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		12,103					12,103						
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		20,000					20,000						
	FY 2005 BASELINE		0					0						
	UNIVERSE	Navy management	1,000,000	ddad fuam tha C	mant I alsos D) astanation In	ridiadirya A adian	1,000,000						
	National Program Manager Comments	new measure s	starting in FY11, a	dded from the G	reat Lakes R	Restoration in	Huauve Action	i Pian.						
GL-13	Number of species delisted due to recovery.	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		1					1						
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE		0					0						
	UNIVERSE		28					28						
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure s	starting in FY11, a	dded from the G	reat Lakes R	Lestoration Ir	itiative Action							
GL-15	Five-year average annual loadings of soluble reactive phosphorus (metric tons per year) from tributaries draining targeted watersheds.	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT		n/a 0.5%					n/a 0.5%						
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	starting in FY11. 2	003-07 baseline	(metric ton/	year) is the fo	ollowing: Fox		aginaw River	is 133, Mau	mee River is 6	23, St. Louis	River is TBD	, and
GL-16	Acres in Great Lakes watershed with USDA conservation practices implemented to reduce erosion, nutrients, and/or pesticide loading.													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT		62%					62%						

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); EBASELINE		165,000					165,000	-			IStat (OW E	PAStat measu	re).
			starting in FY11.	The commitmen	ts measure a	nnual percen	age increases	from the FY05	baseline of 1	.65,000 acre	S.			_
ubobjectivo	e 2.2.5 Improve the Health of the Chesapeake Bay Ecos	ystem	1											
SP-33	Percent of Submerged Aquatic Vegetation goal of 185,000 acres achieved, based on annual monitoring from prior year.	OMB PA												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		43%			43%								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		Long Term			Long Term								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		46%			46%								
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		Long Term			Long Term								
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		42% (76,861 acres)			42% (76,861 acres)								
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		n/a			n/a								
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		35%			35%								
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		n/a			n/a								
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (updated from		32% (59,160)			32%								
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		75,850			75,850								
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		78,260			78,260								
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		90,000			90,000								
	FY 2005 BASELINE		39% (72,945)											
	UNIVERSE		185,000 acres											
	National Program Manager Comments	assessment me	asure (no annual ta ethod adopted duri FY07, 32.3; FY06	ng development	of Bay TMD	L. New asse	ssment method	d applies to FY	11 EOY resu	ılt and all pre				
SP-34	Percent of Dissolved Oxygen goal of 100% standards attainment achieved, based on annual monitoring from the previous calendar year and the preceding 2 years.	OMB PA												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		39%			39%								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		Long-Term			Long Term								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		12%			12%								
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		Long Term			Long Term								
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		16% (12.27 km2)			16% (12.27 km2)								
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		n/a [Commit. deferred for FY 09]			n/a								

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
* Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Manager	nent Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW E	PAStat measur	re).
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		12% (8.98 km³)			12% (8.98 km³)								
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		n/a			n/a								
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)		28% (20.94 km³)			28% (20.94 km³)								
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		n/a			n/a								
	FY 2005 BASELINE		30% (22.73 km)											
	UNIVERSE		100% (74.8											
	National Program Manager Comments	Long term mea	asure (no annual ta	rgets). FY 2015	target is 50%	(will be mea	asured based o	on CY 2014 mo	nitoring data	1)				
SP-35	Percent of goal achieved for implementation of nitrogen reduction practices (expressed as progress in meeting the nitrogen reduction goal of 162.5 million pounds from 1985 levels to achieve an annual cap load of 175 million lbs (based on long-term average hydrology simulations).	OMB PA BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a			n/a								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		56%			56%								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		51% 52%			51%								
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		(84.44 M lbs)			52%								
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		49% (79.01 M lbs)			49% (79.01 M lbs)								
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		50% (81.19 M lbs)			50% (81.19 M								
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		47% (75.6 M			47% (75.6								
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		lbs) 50% (81.25 M lbs)			M lbs) 50% (81.25 M								
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (updated from ACS)		46% (74.63 M lbs)			46% (74.63 M lbs)								
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		47% (76.38 M)			47% (76.38 M)								
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		72.25 M lbs			72.25 M lbs								
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		71.5M lbs			74 M lbs								

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure ca	tegories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget N	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure);	SMM (Sen	ior Manager	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW E	PAStat measu	ıre).
	FY 2005 BASELINE		41% (67 million lbs0											
	UNIVERSE		100% (162.5 million lbs)											
	National Program Manager Comments		anguage and assess ble due to Bay TM		nged in FY	11 as a result	of the Bay Th	MDL. Results 1	not available	for FY11 EC	OY Measure la	inguage and	FY11 commi	tment are no
SP-36	Percent of goal achieved for implementation of phosphorus reduction practices (expressed as progress in meeting the phosphorus reduction goal of 14.36 million pounds from 1985 levels to achieve an annual cap load of 12.8 million lbs (based on long-term average hydrology simulations).	OMB PA BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a			n/a								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		70% 67%			70% 67%								
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		66% (9.48 M lbs)			66%								
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		65% (9.38 M lbs)			65% (9.38 M lbs)								
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		64% (9.19 M lbs)			64% (9.19 M								
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		62% (8.9 M lbs)			62% (8.9 M								
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		66% (9.48 M lbs)			66% (9.48 M lbs)								
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		62% (8.83 M lbs)			62% (8.83 M lbs)								
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		64%(9.19 M lbs)			64%(9.19 M lbs)								
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		60% (8.67 M lbs)			8.72 M lbs								
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		61% (8.76 M lbs)			8.7 M lbs								
	FY 2005 BASELINE		58% (8.4 million lbs)											
	UNIVERSE		100% (14.36million											
	National Program Manager Comments	The measure 1	anguage and assess	sment method char	nged in FY	11 as a result	of the Bay TI	MDL. Results 1	not available	for FY11 EC	ΟY			
SP-37	Percent of goal achieved for implementation of sediment reduction practices (expressed as progress in meeting the sediment reduction goal of 1.69 million tons from 1985 levels to achieve an annual cap load of 4.15 million tons (based on long-term average hydrology simulations).	OMB PA BUD												

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
* Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	Grant Measure); SMM (S	enior Manager	ment Measure); EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	sure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measi	ure).
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a		n/a								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		69%		69%								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		69% 67%		69%								
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		(1.13 M tons)		67%								
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		64% (1.08 M		64% (1.08								
			tons) 67% (1.13 M		M tons) 67% (1.13								
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		tons)		M tons)								
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		64% (1.07 M tons)		64% (1.07 M tons)								
	EX 2000 COMMUTATION		64% (1.08 M		64% (1.08								
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		tons)		M tons)								
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (updated from ACS)		61% (1.03 M tons)		61% (1.03 M tons)								
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		61% (1.03 M tons)		61% (1.03 M tons)								
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		0.96 M tons		0.96 M tons								
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		1.06 M tons		1.06 M tons								
	FY 2005 BASELINE		54% (0.9 million tons)										
	UNIVERSE		100% (1.69 million tons)										
	National Program Manager Comments	The measure la	anguage and assessi	ment method changed in F	Y11 as a result	of the Bay T	MDL. Results	not available	for FY11 E0	ΟY			
CB-1a	Percent of point source nitrogen reduction goal of 49.9 million pounds achieved.	OMB PA BUD											
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a		n/a								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		72%		72%								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		78% 74%		78%								
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		(36.92 M lbs)		74%								
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		70% (34.9 M lbs)		70% (34.9 M lbs)								
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		74% (36.92 M		74%								
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		lbs) 69%		(36.92 M 69%								
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		74%		74%								
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		69%		69%								
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		70%		70%								

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target		gion 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMI	M (Seni	ior Manager	nent Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW E	PAStat meası	ıre).
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		32.68 M lbs			32.68 M lbs								
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		29.4 M lbs			29.4 M lbs								
	FY 2005 BASELINE		60.95%											
	UNIVERSE		100% (49.9 million lbs/yr)											
	National Program Manager Comments	FY10 was last (FY2010).	year results could	be reported for this me	easure s	since reducti	on goal chang	ed per TMDL a	nd progress	is measured	w/ a different r	nodel (phase	5.3) and bas	eline
CB-1b	Percent of point source phosphorus reduction goal of 6.16 million pounds achieved.	OMB PA BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a			n/a								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		99%			99%								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		99%			99%								
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		96% (5.92 M 96% (5.92 M			96% 96% (5.92								
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		90% (3.92 M lbs)			M lbs)								
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		87% (5.36 M lbs)			87% (5.36 M lbs)								
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		87%			87%								
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		85%			85%								
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		87%			87%								
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		84%			84%								
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		5.07 M lbs			5.07 M lbs								
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		4.98 M lbs			4.98 M lbs								
	FY 2005 BASELINE		80%											
	UNIVERSE		100% (6.16 million lbs/yr)											
	National Program Manager Comments	FY10 was last (FY2010).	year results could	be reported for this me	easure s	ince reducti	on goal chang	ed per TMDL a	nd progress	is measured	w/ a different r	nodel (phase	5.3) and bas	eline
CB-2	Percent of forest buffer planting goal of 10,000 miles achieved.	OMB PA BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		72%			72%								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		69%			69%								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		69%			69%								
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		65% (6,522 miles)			65%								

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
Measure c	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment);	BUD (Budget N	Measure); SG (State	Grant Measure); SMM (Senior Manage	ment Measure); EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW E	PAStat meası	ıre).
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		62% (6,172 miles)		62% (6,172								
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		62% (6,182 miles)		62% (6,182								
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		57%		57%								
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		60%		60%								
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		53%		53%								
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		53%		53%								
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		4,606 miles		4,606 miles								
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		4,913 miles		4,913 miles								
	FY 2005 BASELINE		38%										
	UNIVERSE		100% (10,000 miles)										
	National Program Manager Comments	The FY11 co	· ·	increased accordingly.									
	re 2.2.6 Improve the Health of the Gulf of Mexico												
	_	c											
4.3.5	Improve the overall health of coastal waters of the Gulf of Mexico on the "good/fair/poor" scale of the National Coastal Condition Report.	BUD											
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT		2.4										n/a 2.6
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		NCCR IV Not Available										n/a
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		2.5										2.5
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		2.2										2.2
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		2.5										2.5
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		2.2										2.2
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		2.5										2.5
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		2.4										2.4
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		2.4										2.4
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a										n/a
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT FY 2004 BASELINE		2.4										2.4
			5										
	IINIVERSE						ant avality in day	1 .1	1 4 11	1	1.01.1		s index
	UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments	The rating is h		ors of ecological condition	n: water qualit	v index, sedim	eni duaniv inde	x, benthic inc	iex, coastai n	labitat index. a	nd fish tissue	: contaminant	D IIIGOII.
SP-38	National Program Manager Comments Restore water and habitat quality to meet water quality standards in impaired segments in 13 priority areas. (cumulative starting in FY 07)	The rating is b		ors of ecological condition	n: water qualit	y index, sedim	ent quanty inde	x, benthic inc	iex, coastai n	abitat index, a	nd fish tissue	Contaminant	
SP-38	National Program Manager Comments Restore water and habitat quality to meet water quality standards in impaired segments in 13 priority areas. (cumulative starting in FY 07)			ors of ecological condition	n: water qualit	y index, sedim	ent quanty inde	x, benthic inc	dex, coastai n	abitat index, a	nd fish tissue	Contaminant	286
SP-38	National Program Manager Comments Restore water and habitat quality to meet water quality standards in impaired segments in 13 priority areas.		pased on five indicat	ors of ecological condition	n: water qualit	y index, sedim	ent quanty inde	x, benthic inc	dex, coastai n	abitat index, a	nd fish tissue	Contaminant	286
SP-38	National Program Manager Comments Restore water and habitat quality to meet water quality standards in impaired segments in 13 priority areas. (cumulative starting in FY 07) FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		pased on five indicate the control of the control o	ors of ecological condition	n: water qualit	y index, sedim	ent quanty inde	x, benthic inc	dex, coastai n	abitat index, a	nd fish tissue	Contaminant	
SP-38	National Program Manager Comments Restore water and habitat quality to meet water quality standards in impaired segments in 13 priority areas. (cumulative starting in FY 07) FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT		286	ors of ecological condition	n: water qualit	y index, sedim	ent quanty inde	x, benthic inc	dex, coastai n	abitat index, a	nd fish tissue	Contaminant	286 128

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Managei	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	sure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat meas	ure).
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		96											96
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		data n/a											data n/a
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		64											64
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)		38											38
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		32											32
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		20% (71)											20% (71)
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		12% (42)											12% (42)
	FY 2002 BASELINE		0											
	UNIVERSE	GD 00 1	812		1 0 1	2 .			2.1					
SP-39	National Program Manager Comments Restore, enhance, or protect a cumulative number of acres of important coastal and marine habitats. (cumulative starting in FY 07) FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT	BUD	FY 07 measure C	iM-1. FY 07 end	d-of-year dat	a not from A	CS. Universe	changed from 3	354 to 812.					30,052
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		30,000											30,000
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		29,552											29,552
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		27,500											27,500
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		29,344											29,344
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		20,660											20,660
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		25,215											25,215
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		18,200											18,200
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		18,660											18,660
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		15,800											15,800
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		462											462
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE		13,400											13,400
	UNIVERSE		3,769,370 acres											
			includes marshes	wetlands tidal	 flats_ovster l	l neds seagras	ses mangrove	s dunes and m	 aritime_fores	t ridge areas				
SP-40	Reduce releases of nutrients throughout the Mississippi River Basin to reduce the size of the hypoxic zone in the Gulf of Mexico, as measured by the 5-year running average of the size of the zone.													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		17,520 km ²											17,520
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		Deferred											Deferred
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		20,000 km ²											20,000 km ²
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		Deferred											Deferred
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		8,000 km²											8,000 km ²
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		n/a [Commit. Deferred)											n/a [Commit. Deferred)
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		n/a											n/a
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		n/a											n/a
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		20,500 km ²											20,500 km ²

TY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
Measure ca	ntegories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	Grant Measure)	; SMM (Ser	l nior Managei	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW E	PAStat meas	ure).
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		Indicator											Indicato
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		14,944 km ²											14,944 k
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		14,128 km ²											14,128 k
	FY 2005 BASELINE		14,128 km ²											
	UNIVERSE		n/a											
	National Program Manager Comments	Targets/commi	itments are deferred	d for measure SP	?-4 0.									
GM-1	Implement integrated bi-national (U.S. and Mexican Border States) early-warning system to support State and coastal community efforts to manage harmful algal blooms (HABs).													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		Binational operations completed											Bination System Comple
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		Completion in Campeche											Complet
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		Completion in Campeche											Complet
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		Expanded system											Complet
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		Expanded system											Expande
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		Expand operational system to Campeche, Mexico											
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		Pilot underway											
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT		Expand operational system to Veracruz, Mexico											
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT		Expand operational system to South FL & South TX											
	FY 2007 COMMITMENT		Expand operational system to South FL & South TX											

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
* Measure o	categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Manage	ment Measure)); EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	sure); and NPN	AStat (OW E	PAStat measu	ure).
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT		TX and FL initiated											
	FY 2006 COMMITMENT		Initiate System											
	National Program Manager Comments		asured by the numnies, and natural re		-		ta and informa	ation for detecti	ng, tracking,	and forecast	ing HAB even	ts and their e	ffects on pub	lic health,
Subobjectiv	ve 2.2.7 Restore and Protect Long Island Sound													
SP-41	Percent of goal achieved in reducing trade-equalized (TE) point source nitrogen discharges to Long Island Sound from the 1999 baseline of 59,146 TE lbs/day.	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		69%		69%									
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT		55% 70% 52%		55% 70% 52%									
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		39,011 TE lbs/day		39,011 TE lbs/day									
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		135,374 lbs/day (37,323 TE lbs/day)		135,374 lbs/day (37,323 TE lbs/day)									
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		40,440 TE- lbs/day		data n/a									
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		135,374 lbs/day (37,323 TE lbs/day)		135,374 lbs/day (37,323 TE lbs/day)									
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)		153,932 lbs/day (39,232 TE lbs/day)		153,932 lbs/day (39,232 TE lbs/day)									
	FY 1999 Trade BASELINE		211,724 lbs/day											

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure c	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); l	BUD (Budget M	feasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure);	; SMM (Sen	nior Managei	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measu	re).
	National Program Manager Comments	factor assigned sources, to be	starting in FY 08. I to each point sou achieved over a 15 riod), or 2,425 lbs	rce based on its progression year period begin	roximity to tonning in 199	the receiving 99. The annu	water body (I al commitment	LIS). The TMD ts are calculated	L established I by dividing	d a Waste Lo the difference	ad Allocation of the between the	of 22,774 TE 1999 baselii	E lbs/day from ne and 2014 ta	point arget by 15
SP-42	Reduce the size (square miles) and duration (number of days) of observed hypoxia (Dissolved Oxygen <3mg/l) in Long Island Sound.													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		130 sq miles; 54 days		130; 54									
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		Deferred		Deferred									
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		101 sq miles; 40 days		101 sq miles; 40 days									
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		Commitment deferred for FY		Com. deferred for FY 10									
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		169 miles; 42 days		169 miles; 42 days									
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		n/a [Commit. deferred for FY 09]		n/a									
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		180 sq. miles; 79 days		data n/a									
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		n/a		n/a									
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)		162 sq. miles; 58 days		162 sq miles; 58 days									
	FY 2005 BASELINE		203 sq. miles; 58 days											
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	starting in FY 08.	Due to inter-annu	al variabilit	y, annual rec	luction targets	are not calculat	ed for this m	neasure. *FY	07 end-of-year	r data not fro	om ACS.	
SP-43	Percent of goal achieved in restoring, protecting or enhancing 240 acres of coastal habitat from the 2008 baseline of 1,199 acres.	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT		890% 832%		890% 832%									

Y 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
Aeasure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); F	BUD (Budget N	Measure); SG (State	Grant Measure); SMM (Sen	nior Manager	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Measi	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW E	PAStat measu	ıre).
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		740% (1,361 acres)	740% (1,361 acres)									
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		33% (79 acres)	33% (79 acres)									
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		1,614	1,614									
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		1,225	1,225									
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		1,199	1,199									
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		862	862									
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)		1,023	1,023									
			1,199 acres										
	FY 2008 BASELINE		restored & protected										
			protected s starting in FY 08.	For SP-43: In September 200 acres per year for 6 years. *F		-		_	restoring and	l protecting an	additional 3	00 acres of co	oastal hat
SP-44		above the base	protected s starting in FY 08.	_		-		_	restoring and	l protecting an	additional 3	00 acres of co	oastal hab
SP-44	National Program Manager Comments Percent of goal achieved in reopening 50 river and stream miles to diadromous fish passage from the 2008 baseline	above the base	protected s starting in FY 08.	_		-		_	restoring and	l protecting an	additional 3	00 acres of co	oastal hab
SP-44	National Program Manager Comments Percent of goal achieved in reopening 50 river and stream miles to diadromous fish passage from the 2008 baseline of 124 miles.	above the base	protected s starting in FY 08. eline by 2011 – 50 a	acres per year for 6 years. *F		-		_	restoring and	l protecting an	additional 3	00 acres of co	oastal hab
SP-44	National Program Manager Comments Percent of goal achieved in reopening 50 river and stream miles to diadromous fish passage from the 2008 baseline of 124 miles. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT	above the base	72% 92% 72%	72% 92% 72%		-		_	restoring and	l protecting an	additional 3	00 acres of co	oastal hab
SP-44	National Program Manager Comments Percent of goal achieved in reopening 50 river and stream miles to diadromous fish passage from the 2008 baseline of 124 miles. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT	above the base	protected s starting in FY 08. eline by 2011 – 50 a 72% 92%	72%		-		_	restoring and	l protecting an	additional 3	00 acres of co	oastal hab
SP-44	National Program Manager Comments Percent of goal achieved in reopening 50 river and stream miles to diadromous fish passage from the 2008 baseline of 124 miles. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT	above the base	72% 92% 72% 33%	72% 92% 72% 33%		-		_	restoring and	l protecting an	additional 3	00 acres of co	oastal hab
SP-44	National Program Manager Comments Percent of goal achieved in reopening 50 river and stream miles to diadromous fish passage from the 2008 baseline of 124 miles. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT	above the base	72% 92% 72% 33% (17 miles)	72% 92% 72% 33% (17 miles)		-		_	restoring and	l protecting an	additional 3	00 acres of co	pastal hab
SP-44	National Program Manager Comments Percent of goal achieved in reopening 50 river and stream miles to diadromous fish passage from the 2008 baseline of 124 miles. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT	above the base	72% 92% 72% 33% (17 miles)	72% 92% 72% 33% (17 miles)		-		_	restoring and	l protecting an	additional 3	00 acres of co	pastal hab
SP-44	National Program Manager Comments Percent of goal achieved in reopening 50 river and stream miles to diadromous fish passage from the 2008 baseline of 124 miles. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT	above the base	72% 92% 72% 33% (17 miles) 147	72% 92% 72% 33% (17 miles) 147		-		_	restoring and	l protecting an	additional 3	00 acres of co	pastal hab
SP-44	National Program Manager Comments Percent of goal achieved in reopening 50 river and stream miles to diadromous fish passage from the 2008 baseline of 124 miles. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT	above the base	72% 92% 72% 33% (17 miles) 147 144 124.3	72% 92% 72% 33% (17 miles) 147 144 124.3		-		_	restoring and	l protecting an	additional 3	00 acres of co	pastal hab

National Program Manager Comments

New measures starting in FY 08. For SP-44: The states of NY and CT will re-open 50 river miles above the base for a total of 131 river miles re-opened to fish passage. FY 07 end-of-year data not from ACS. The 2011 targets were achieved in 2007. EPA will negotiate new 2011 targets with the LISS Management Conference partners.

Subobjective 2.2.11 Restore and Protect the South Florida Ecosystem

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
* Measure c	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Sen	nior Manage	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPAS	at Quarterly	Report Meas	sure); and NPM	AStat (OW E	PAStat measi	ure).
SP-45	Achieve 'no net loss' of stony coral cover (mean percent stony coral cover) in the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FKNMS) and in the coastal waters of Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach Counties, Florida, working with all stakeholders (federal, state, regional, tribal, and local).	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		Not Achieved				Not Achieved							
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		No Net Loss				No Net Loss							
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		No Net Loss				No Net Loss							
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		Loss				Loss							
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		No Net Loss				No Net Loss							
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		Small change				Small change							
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		No Net Loss				No Net Loss							
	FY 2005 BASELINE		6.8% in FKNMS; 5.9% in SE Florida											
	National Program Manager Comments	Marine Sanctu increase of .1 1	s starting in FY 08. hary was modified in the mean coral cover would	n 2006 by dropp n percent stony c	oing one hard oral cover fo	bottom mon r the entire S	itoring site bec	ause of the ver	y small perce	ntage of stor	ny coral cover	present (less	than .2%), re	sulting in an
SP-46	Annually maintain the overall health and functionality of sea grass beds in the FKNMS as measured by the long-term sea grass monitoring project that addresses composition and abundance, productivity, and nutrient availability.	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		Maintained				Maintained							
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		Maintained				Maintained							
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		Maintain Baseline				Maintain Baseline							
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		Not maintained				Not maintained							
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		Maintain Baseline				Maintain Baseline							
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		Small change				Small change							

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M		e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Manager		; EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	sure); and NPM	AStat (OW E	PAStat measu	ıre).
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		Maintain Baseline				Maintain Baseline							
	FY 2005 BASELINE		EI = 8.3; SCI=0.48											
	National Program Manager Comments	New measures	starting in FY 08.	**EI = Elemen	ntal Indicator	; SCI = Spec	ies Compositio	on Index.						
SP-47a	At least seventy five percent of the monitored stations in the near shore and coastal waters of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary will maintain Chlorophyll a (CHLA) levels at less than or equal to 0.35 ug l-1 and light clarity (Kd)) levels at less than or equal to 0.20 m-1.	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		85.4%				85.4%							
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		75%				75%							
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		Maintained				Maintained							
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		Maintain Baseline				Maintain Baseline							
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		Not maintained				Not maintained							
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		Maintain Baseline				Maintain Baseline							
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		Small change				Small change							
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		Maintain Baseline				Maintain Baseline							
	FY 2005 BASELINE		chlorophyll≤ 0.2 ug/l - 43; light attenuation ≤ 0.13/meter - 23; dissolved inorganic nitrogen ≤ 0.75 micromolar - 54; total phosphorus ≤ 0.2 micromolar - 63											
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	starting in FY 11.											
SP-47b	At least seventy five percent of the monitored stations in the near shore and coastal waters of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary will maintain dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) levels at less than or equal to 0.75 uM and total phosphorus (TP) levels at less than or equal to .25 uM .	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		73.6%				73.6%							

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
Measure cate	egories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	l nior Manage	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	l Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measur	æ).
\mathbf{F}	Y 2011 COMMITMENT		75%				75%							
F	Y 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		Maintained				Maintained							
F	Y 1995-2005 BASELINE		DIN \leq 0.75 uM (76.3%); TP \leq 0.25 uM (80.9%)				76.3%; 80.9%							
U	INIVERSE		154				154							
N	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	starting in FY 11.											
SP-48 mpa	improve the water quality of the Everglades ecosystem as neasured by total phosphorus, including meeting the 10 arts per billion (ppb) total phosphorus criterion proughout the Everglades Protection Area marsh and the ffluent limits to be established for discharges from tormwater treatment areas.	BUD												
F	Y 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		Measure not Met				Measure not Met							
F	Y 2011 COMMITMENT		Maintain P baseline & meet discharge limits				Maintain P baseline & meet discharge limits							
F	TY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		Not maintained				Not maintained							
F	Y 2010 COMMITMENT		Maintain phosphorus baseline & meet discharge limits				Maintain phosphorus baseline & meet discharge limits							
F	TY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		Not maintained				Not maintained							
F	Y 2009 COMMITMENT		Maintain Baseline				Maintain Baseline							
F	Y 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		Not maintained				Not maintained							
F	Y 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		Maintain Baseline				Maintain Baseline							
N		Area 3A, 13 p	starting in FY 08. pb in Loxahatchee as ranged from 13	National Wildlif	fe Refuge, an	nd 18 ppb in	Water Conserv							

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
* Measure ca	ategories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); l	BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (State	e Grant Measure); SMM (Ser	nior Manager	ment Measure)	; EQR (EPASta	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW E	PAStat measur	re).
SFL-1	Increase percentage of sewage treatment facilities and onsite sewage treatment and disposal systems receiving advanced wastewater treatment or best available technology as recorded by EDU. in Florida Keys two percent (1500 EDUs) annually.	I												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		23.8%				42,000							
	FY 2009 BASELINE		32,000				32,000							
	UNIVERSE		75,000				75,000							
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	starting in FY 11.											
Subobjectiv	e 2.2.8 Restore and Protect the Puget Sound Basin													
SP-49	Improve water quality and enable the lifting of harvest restrictions in acres of shellfish bed growing areas impacted by degraded or declining water quality. (cumulative starting in FY 06)	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		1,525										1,525	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		4,953										4,953	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		4,453										4,453	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		1,800										1,800	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		1,730										1,730	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		600										600	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		1,566										1,566	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		450										450	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)		322										322	
	UNIVERSE		30,000 acres											
	National Program Manager Comments	New measures	s starting in FY 08.	*Baseline is the	e end-of-year	data for FY	07.							
SP-50	Remediate acres of prioritized contaminated sediments. (cumulative starting in FY 06)	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		123										123	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		163										163	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		123.1										123.1	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		123										123	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		123										123	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		123										123	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		123										123	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		100										100	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)		120										120	

FY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	National Target	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ
* Measure ca	ntegories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); I	BUD (Budget M	Measure); SG (State	e Grant Measure	e); SMM (Sei	nior Manageı	ment Measure)); EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	sure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measur	e).
	UNIVERSE		5,000 acres											
	National Program Manager Comments	New measures	s starting in FY 08.	*Baseline is the	e end-of-year	r data for FY	07.							
SP-51	Restore acres of tidally- and seasonally-influenced estuarine wetlands. (cumulative starting in FY 06)	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		14,629										14,629	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		12,363										12,363	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		10,062.7										10,062.7	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		6,500										6,500	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		5,751										5,751	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		5,700										5,700	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		4,413										4,413	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		2,310										2,310	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)		4,152										4,152	
	UNIVERSE		45,000 acres											
	National Program Manager Comments	New measures	s starting in FY 08.	*Baseline is the	e end-of-year	r data for FY	07.							
Subobjective	e 2.2.12 Restore and Protect the Columbia River Basin	l												
SP-52	Protect, enhance, or restore acres of wetland habitat and acres of upland habitat in the Lower Columbia River watershed. (cumulative starting in FY 05)	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		16,661										16,661	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		16,300										16,300	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		16,000										16,000	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		16,000										16,000	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT		15,700										15,700	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT		10,000										10,000	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT		12,986										12,986	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)		8,000										8,000	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)		4,204										4,204	
	UNIVERSE		96,770 acres											
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	starting in FY 08.	FY 07 end-of ye	ear adjusted	data is not fro	om ACS. Note	e: 13,000 wetla	nd habitat ac	res and $3,00$	0 upland habita	at acres totals	s 16,000 acres.	
SP-53	Clean up acres of known contaminated sediments. (cumulative starting in FY 06)	BUD												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		63										63	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT		60										60	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		20										20	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT		20										20	

TY 11 ACS Code	FY 2011 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups National Tar	get Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ
Measure ca	tegories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); E	SUD (Budget Measure); SG (S	tate Grant Measu	ure); SMM (Se	nior Manage	ment Measure)); EQR (EPASt	at Quarterly	Report Meas	ure); and NPM	IStat (OW E	PAStat measu	ure).
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT	10										10	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT	5										5	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT	0										0	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)	0										0	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)	n/a										n/a	
	UNIVERSE	400 acres											
		New measures starting in FY	08.										
	(cumulative starting in FY 06) FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT	n/a										n/a	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT	10%										10%	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT	n/a										Deferred	
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT	Deferred										Deferred	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT	n/a										n/a	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT	n/a [Commi deferred for I 09]										n/a	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT	data n/a										data n/a	
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT (new measure in FY 08)	n/a										n/a	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)	n/a										n/a	
	FY 2005 BASELINE	5 sites										5 sites	
		In 2010, there was a 84% dec was a 100% reduction in azin µg/lg; 2010 median detection http://deq12.deq.state.or.us/la	phos-methyl in th concentration = (e West Prong I) µg/lg. All of	Little Walla \ the raw data	Walla River, S can accessed	outh of Statelin through DEQ's	e Road, Oreg Laboratory	gon. 2006 (B Analytical Sto	saseline) media orage and Retr	nn detection o rieval (LASA	concentration R) database:	n = 0.029