

OFFICE OF WATER

Knowing Our Waters: Tribal Reporting under Section 305(b)

Table of Contents

1.0 Introduction

- 2.0 What is 305(b) Reporting?
- 3.0 Why should a Tribe or Tribal Group Prepare a 305(b) Report?
- 4.0 What is an Assessment
- 5.0 Contents of a Tribal 305(b) Report

6.0 Getting Started

- 7.0 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 305(b) Coordinators
- 8.0 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Tribal Coordinators

Tables

- Table 1: Atlas Table
- Table 2: Individual Use Support Summary
- Table 3: Summary of Stream Miles Impaired by Various Pollutants/Stressors
- Table 4: Summary of Stream Miles Impaired by Various Source Categories
- Table 5: Waterbody-Specific assessment data for 305(b) reporting

Knowing Our Waters: Tribal Reporting under Section 305(b)

Native American Tribes and Tribal groups have the option of reporting on the quality of their waters under Section 305(b) of the Clean Water Act. The goals of Tribal 305(b) reporting are to document the status of water quality and to identify any improvements needed to achieve healthy ecosystems that can meet Tribal needs, including unique cultural or ceremonial needs. This brochure describes the process of 305(b) reporting and the advantages to Tribes that choose to participate. EPA includes information from these Tribal reports in its biennial National Water Quality Inventory Report to Congress, along with information from the 55 States, Territories, and interstate commissions that submitted 305(b) reports.



What is 305(b) Reporting?

Section 305(b) of the Clean Water Act requires each State to prepare a biennial report on the quality of its waters. A 305(b) report describes the extent to which streams, lakes, and estuaries support their designated uses (see box). The report also identifies the pollutants or stressors causing impairment of designated uses and the sources of these stressors (e.g., wastewater treatment plants or mines). Ground water programs and impacts are also described. Rather than presenting raw monitoring data, a 305(b) report presents the results of careful assessment of those data in terms meaningful to the public and governing bodies (e.g., Tribal Councils, legislators). EPA transmits the individual 305(b) reports to Congress along with a summary report on the Nation's water quality prepared using the 305(b) information.

Why Should a Tribe or Tribal Group Prepare a 305(b) Report?

Tribes are exempted from the 305(b) reporting requirement but may choose to participate and are encouraged to do so. EPA does require Tribes to share summaries of any water quality monitoring data collected using EPA funding.

Benefits of participating in the 305(b) process include the following:

The report presents assessment information in a way that is meaningful to decisionmakers and can be used to set priorities for Tribal resource allocation.

The 305(b) report is a public information tool documenting Tribal actions to protect waterbodies; it increases the visibility of the Tribal water quality programs.

The report calls attention to special issues such as fish tissue contamination from toxic chemicals and ground water contamination.

The process offers an opportunity for Tribal and State technical staff to coordinate assessments and data management for shared waters.

The 305(b) report is a good vehicle for recommending actions to EPA to achieve the objectives of the Clean Water Act and to protect Tribal waterbodies. Recommendations may include the need for additional monitoring, training in assessment or data management, and improved methods for fish consumption advisories.

The data and conclusions could be used to write future grant applications and ultimately acquire additional funding to improve water quality.

Beginning with the 1996 *National Water Quality Inventory Report to Congress*, EPA has highlighted water quality issues as reported by Tribes and will continue to include Tribal summary data on use support, causes, and sources. In addition, support of Tribal cultural or ceremonial uses will be reported.

What Is an Assessment?

Figure 1 shows the relationship of 305(b) reporting to monitoring and assessments. The monitoring process begins with planning to measure clearly identified goals such as water quality standards, coordinating with other agencies, and designing a monitoring program (Step 1). Field staff then collect samples and record their observations about the waterbody and possible sources of pollution (Step 2). Samples are analyzed in the laboratory and results stored in a database (Steps 3 and 4). In the assessment stage (Step 5), analysts review all available information--field data, watershed characteristics, water supply or beach closures, fish kills, data from other agencies, etc. Physical, chemical, and/or biological data are compared to water quality standards or other criteria. Using all available information, the analyst determines the degree of designated use support, the pollutants or stressors, and the sources of pollution. EPA's Regional 305(b) Coordinators can provide information and training to Tribes about assessment methods and can provide additional contacts for water quality standards and criteria.

All States have adopted physical/chemical water quality standards, and many are also developing biological standards based on fish and aquatic macroinvertebrates.

After assessing its individual waterbodies, the State or Tribe prepares a 305(b) report summarizing these assessment results for all waterbodies (Step 6). The 305(b) report includes summary tables and maps of designated use support, causes, and sources of impairment.

For a hypothetical stream, the final assessment might be: Fully supporting swimming use. Not supporting aquatic life use due to sediment and nutrients; sources are agriculture and streambank modifications.

Contents of a Tribal 305(b) Report

EPA provides detailed guidance to State water quality agencies for preparation of their 305(b) reports. However, EPA recognizes that many Tribes do not have the resources or data to comply with the full 305(b) guidelines. To encourage Tribal participation, EPA has modified the guidelines. These modified guidelines are available from EPA's Regional 305(b) Coordinators. The major components of a Tribal 305(b) report are:

An atlas table describing Tribal water resources

A description of Tribal water quality programs and issues of concern Descriptions of monitoring programs and assessment methods <u>Summary tables of use support in streams, lakes, and estuaries</u> <u>Summary tables of causes and sources of impairment</u> Map(s) of waters showing degree of use support, causes, and sources A description of public health concerns

Narrative or tabular presentation of ground water resources and problems A <u>table</u> listing waterbodies, degree of use support, causes, and sources.

Examples of several of these components are provided here. Tables 1 through 4 are examples of 305(b) summary tables and Figure 2 is a map showing designated use support, all taken from two Tribal 305(b) reports. Table 5 shows hypothetical data for individual waterbodies in the format requested by EPA for Tribal reports. If all the topics listed above cannot be covered in a Tribal 305(b) report, EPA encourages the Tribe to present available information in whatever form is appropriate--e.g., tabular, narrative, or graphical (map) format. EPA also encourages Tribes to coordinate with State and Federal water quality agencies, including the EPA Regions, on topics such as assessment methods in preparing their 305(b) reports.

Getting Started

EPA encourages interested Tribal representatives to contact the appropriate EPA Regional 305(b) Coordinators for information about technical and financial resources. Also, each State has a 305(b) Coordinator who can provide copies of State 305(b) reports and share data and information on assessment methods. It may be mutually beneficial for Tribes and States to collaborate on assessments and reporting. For example, common assessments would be very appropriate for shared water resources. Opportunities for collaboration would need to be evaluated by each Tribe on a case-by-case basis.

Most States use a database to track information on individual waterbodies for use in preparing their 305(b) tables. EPA has developed a personal computer program, the Waterbody System, for this purpose. (A simple spreadsheet, similar to Table 5, may suffice if a Tribe has a relatively small number of waterbodies to track.) The Waterbody System is available to interested Tribes through the EPA Regional 305(b) Coordinators or from Tod Dabolt, National Waterbody System Coordinator, at (202) 260-3697, <u>Dabolt.Thomas@epa.gov</u>.

For information about the National Water Quality Inventory Report to Congress, cntact Susan Holdsworth., EPA's National 305(b) Coordinator at (202)-260-4743, <u>Holdsworth.Susan@epa.gov</u>.

Designated Uses for Streams on the Campo Indian Reservation

Cold- and warm-water aquatic life Wildlife habitat Shellfishing Swimming Drinking Agricultural Cultural/ceremonial

Table 1. Atlas Table

Торіс	Value
Reservation population (residents)	244
Reservation surface area (acres)	15,480
Total miles of rivers and streams	31.4
Miles of intermittent (non-perennial)	31.4
streams (subset)	
Number of lakes/reservoirs/ponds	10
Number of significant Tribally owned	
lakes/reservoirs/ponds (subset)	
Acres of lakes/reservoirs/ponds	3.5
Acres of significant Tribally owned	3.0
lakes/reservoirs/ponds (subset)	
Acres of freshwater wetlands	80.3

Type of Waterbody: Rivers and Streams (reported in miles)						
Use	Size Supporting	Size Supporting But Threatened	Size Partially Supporting	Size Not Support ing	Size Not Attaina ble	Size Unassessed
Fish consumption	0.4					31.0
Shellfishing						
Aquatic life support			22.2		9.2	
Swimming			16.1		15.3	
Secondary contact			16.1		15.3	
Drinking water supply						
Agriculture	22.2				9.2	
Tribally defined: culture/tradition						9.2

Table 2. Individual Use Support Summary

Table 3. Summary of Stream Miles Impaired by Various Pollutants/Stressors

Size of Waters by Contribution to Impairment			
Cause Category	Major	Moderate/Minor	
Nutrients		22.2	
Flow alterations (channelization)		0.1	
Other habitat alterations (streambed, riparian)	21.8		
Pathogen indicators		21.8	
Noxious aquatic plants		22.2	

Size of Waters by Contribution to Impairment			
Source Category	Major	Moderate/Minor	
Agriculture (livestock grazing)	22.2		
Construction (roads and culverts)		1.5	
Resource extraction		0.3	

Table 4. Summary of Stream Miles Impaired by Various Source Categories

Table 5. Waterbody-Specific Assessment Data for 305(b) Reporting*

Waterbody Name	Waterbo dy ID	Description		Size Impaired	Designated Uses
Mill Creek	TT-001	Source to mouth	10 mi	6 mi	Aquatic life Ceremonial
Sky Lake	TT-002	Entire lake	50 ac	0 ac	Aquatic life Drinking water
Back River consumption	H*F_003 I	Downstream of Giant Mine	50 mi	25 mi	Aquatic life Fish
Spring Branch	TT-004	Source to mouth	15 mi	15 mi	Aquatic life Swimming

Degree of Use Support	Causes	Sources	Type of Assessment	Comments
Nonsupport Nonsupport	I · ▲ ·	municipal WWTP	Fixed-station chemical monitoring	Need WWTP upgrade
Full support Full support			Creel survey; drinking water data	

	U V	biosurvey	Fish consumption advisory for mercury, lead
Nonsupport Full support		Biosurvey; bacteria needed	Streambank stabilization

*Hypothetical data to illustrate simplified format for 1996 Tribal 305(b) reporting. WWTP = wastewater treatment plant

Common Causes of Water Quality Impairment (Pollutants and Stressors)

Flow alterations Metals Nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus) Oxygen-depleting materials Pathogens Pesticides Salinity Sediment Taste and odor

Common Sources of Water Quality Impairment

Channelization Feedlots Industrial discharges Irrigated crop land Land disposal Municipal discharges Natural sources (e.g., salt deposits) Pastureland Silviculture Streambank modification Surface mining

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 305(b) Coordinators

For more information about the National Water Quality Inventory Report or for additional copies of this document, contact:

Susan Holdsworth National 305(b) Coordinator USEPA (4503F) 401 M St. SW Washington, DC 20460 (202) 260- 4743

For information on water quality in the EPA Regions, contact:

Region 1: Connecticut, Massachusetts, Maine, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont

Ray Thompson EPA Region 1 60 Westview Street Lexington, MA 02173 (617) 860-4377 Thompson.Ray@epa.gov

Region 2: New Jersey, New York, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands

Linda Longo EPA Region 2 Water Management Division 290 Broadway New York, NY 10007-1866 (212) 637-3847

Region 3: Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, District of Columbia

Martin Matlin EPA Region 3 1650 Arch St. Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 566-6149

Region 4: Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee

David Melgaard EPA Region 4 61 Forsyth St. Atlanta, GA 30303 (404) 652-9265

Region 5: Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Michigan, Minnesota, Wisconsin

Dave Stoltenberg EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Blvd. Chicago, IL 60604 (312) 353-5784

Region 6: Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas

Paul Koska EPA Region 6 (6WQ-EW) 1445 Ross Avenue Dallas, TX 75202 (214) 665-8357 Koska.Paul@epa.gov

Region 7: Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska

Robert Steiert EPA Region 7 726 Minnesota Avenue Kansas City, KS 66101 (913) 551-7433 <u>Steiert.Robert@epa.gov</u>

Region 8: Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, Wyoming

Tina Laidlaw EPA Region 8 (8EPR-EP) One Denver Place 999 18th Street, Suite 50 Denver, CO 80202 (303) 312-6880 Laidlaw.Tina@epa.gov

Region 9: Arizona, California, Hawaii, Nevada, American Samoa, Guam

Janet Hashimoto EPA Region 9 75 Hawthorne St. San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 744-1933 Hashimoto.Janet@epa.gov

Region 10: Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, Washington

Curry Jones EPA Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 553-6912 Jones.Curry@epa.gov

Regional Indian Program Managers and Coordinators Revised 03/99

REGION I JIM SAPPIER (E-mail: <u>Sappier.James@epa.gov</u>) Indian Coordinator EPA Region I (CSP) Robert Goetzl - (617) 918- 1671 Valerie Ferry - (617) 918- 1674 1 Congress Street Boston, MA 02114 (617) 918-1672 FAX: (617) 918-4940	REGION VII KIM OLSON (E-mail: <u>Olson.Kim@epa.gov</u>) Indian Coordinator EPA Region VII 726 Minnesota Avenue Kansas City, KS 66101 (913) 551-7539 FAX: (913) 551-7863
REGION II CHRISTINE YOST (E- mail: Yost.Christine@epa.gov) Indian Coordinator EPA Region II 290 Broadway New York, NY 10007-1866 (212) 637-3564 FAX: (212) 637-3772 Webpage	REGION VIII SADIE HOSKIE (E-mail: <u>Hoskie.Sadie@epa.gov</u>) Tribal Program Manager EPA Region VIII (80EA) 999 18th St. Suite 500 Denver, CO 80202-2405 (303) 312-6343 FAX: (303) 312-6741 Webpage
REGION IV MARK ROBERTSON (E- mail: Roberton.Mark@epa.gov) Acting, Indian Coordinator EPA Region IV (AMB) 61 Forsyth Street, S.W. Atlanta, CA 30303-3104 (404) 562-9639 FAX: (404) 562-9598	REGION IX CLANCY TENLEY (E-mail: <u>Tenley.Clancy@epa.gov)</u> Tribal Program Manager EPA Region IX (E-4) 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 744-1607 FAX: (415) 744-1604
REGION V CASEY AMBUTAS (E-	REGION X Scott Sufficool,

) Indian Coordinator EPA Region V (5ME-19J) 77 W. Jackson Boulevard Chicago, IL 60604-3507 (312) 353-1394	Tribal Office Director EPA Region X 1200 Sixth Ave., Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 553-1603 Fax: (206) 553-6647 Tribal Program Specialists Alan Moomaw (206) 553-6220 E-mail: Moomaw.Alan@epa.gov
REGION VI ELLEN GREENEY (E- mail: Greeney.Ellen@epa.gov Indian Coordinator EPA Region VI (6-XA) 1445 Ross Avenue Dallas TX 75202-2733 (214) 665-6778 FAX: (214) 665-2118 CHARLOTTE RUNNELS (214) 665-6442 PAUL WHITLEY (214) 665-7454 JUDITH DAENDREA (214) 665-7362 Webpage	REGION X ALASKA FIELD OFFICE 222 West 7th Avenue, Suite 100 Anchorage, AK 99513 (907) 271-3424 Sandra Borbridge (E-mail: <u>Borbridge.Sandra@epa.gov</u>) Jean Gamache (E-mail: <u>Gamache.Jean@epa.gov</u>) Webpage
1999 LEAD REGION - REGION VI EVE BOSS - Lead Region Coordinator (214) 665-8110 Fax: (214) 665-2118 E-mail: <u>Boss.Eve@epa.gov</u> (Address same as Region 6 above)	The Lead Region is responsible for Regional issues and initiatives of national significance brought up during every two fiscal years (currently FY 1999 & FY 2000).