

Appendix C

Method for Selecting Biota-Sediment Accumulation Factors and Percent Lipids in Fish Tissue Used for Deriving Theoretical Bioaccumulation Potentials

Theoretical bioaccumulation potentials (TBPs) are empirically derived potential concentrations that might occur in the tissues of fish exposed to contaminated sediments. TBPs are computed for nonpolar organic chemicals as a function of sediment concentrations, fish tissue lipid contents, and sediment organic carbon contents. Four separate pieces of information are required to compute the TBP for nonpolar organic chemicals:

1. Concentration of nonpolar organic compound in sediment.
2. Organic carbon content of the sediment.
3. Biota-sediment accumulation factor (BSAF).
4. Lipid content in fish tissue.

The details of the TBP calculations and related assumptions are found in Appendix B of this report to Congress. This appendix describes the approach used to develop the BSAFs used in the NSI TBP evaluation and to evaluate fish tissue lipid content data from selected information sources for comparison to the values used in the NSI TBP evaluation. The BSAF values used for each chemical evaluated are presented in Appendix D.

Chemicals considered for fish tissue residue evaluation as part of the NSI data evaluation have at least one screening value available, and the sum of positive sediment results and positive tissue results is greater than 20 observations. BSAF values were assigned to all nonpolar organic chemicals in the NSI having available screening values. These screening values are risk-based concentrations (RBCs) developed either from carcinogenic potency slopes or from oral reference doses. Carcinogenic potency slopes and reference doses were obtained from IRIS (USEPA, 1995) and HEAST (USEPA, 1994b). Other screening values used for comparison to TBP values and tissue data are U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) tolerance/action/guidance levels and EPA wildlife criteria. The BSAF values used in the analysis are presented in Appendix D along with the screening values discussed above.

Method for Selecting BSAFs

Biota-sediment accumulation factors (BSAFs) are transfer coefficients that relate concentrations in biota to concentrations in sediment. They are calculated as the ratio of the concentration of nonpolar organic chemical in fish tissue (normalized by lipid content) to the concentration of nonpolar organic chemical in sediment (normalized by organic carbon content). At equilibrium, BSAFs are in theory approximately 1.0. In practice, BSAFs can be greater than or less than 1.0 depending on the disequilibrium between fish and water, and that between water and sediment. Although based on partitioning theory, field measured BSAFs empirically account for factors such as metabolism and

food chain biomagnification. BSAFs can vary depending on the biota, dynamics of chemical loadings to the water body, food chain effects, and rate of sediment-water exchange. Thus, measured BSAF values will depend on many site-specific variables including hydraulic, biological, chemical, and ecological factors that affect bioavailability. The accuracy of a BSAF, measured at one location at a point in time, when applied to another location at another point in time depends on two factors: (1) the degree to which variation from a theoretical BSAF of 1.0 is controlled by inherent properties of the chemical as opposed to environmental conditions of the locale, and (2) the degree of similarity between environmental conditions at the place of measurement and place of application.

BSAF values were assigned only to nonpolar chemicals in the NSI. This section describes how the BSAF values used for the TBP assessment were selected from recommended values for specific chemicals.

Sources of Recommended BSAFs

BSAFs used in the NSI TBP evaluation were obtained from the EPA Office of Research and Development (EPA/ORD) Environmental Research Laboratories at Duluth, Minnesota (Cook, 1995) and Narragansett, Rhode Island (Hansen, 1995). In some cases (i.e., EPA/ORD-Duluth), BSAFs were provided for specific chemicals; in other cases (i.e., EPA/ORD-Narragansett), BSAFs were provided by chemical class. Recommended BSAFs from each laboratory are described below.

EPA Environmental Research Laboratory, Duluth

BSAF recommendations obtained from EPA/ORD-Duluth included mainly chemical-specific values for:

- PCB congeners
- Pesticides
- Dioxins/Furans
- Chlorinated benzenes

The recommended values from EPA/ORD-Duluth were based on BSAF data compiled from various sites and studies. Data were selected based on the following criteria (Cook, 1995):

- The primary source of chemical exposure to food webs was through release of chemicals in sediments.
- The BSAF was derived for pelagic organisms (i.e., fish).
- Chemicals in sediments and biota were at roughly steady state with respect to environmental loadings of the chemical.

Pelagic BSAF data which predict relative bioaccumulation potentials of different chemicals are available for ecosystems in which sediments are a primary source of the chemicals to pelagic food webs through release of chemicals to the water. Little or no BSAF data exist for sites in which water and sediments are at steady-state with respect to external chemical loadings. The best BSAF data for fish are those measured for Lake Ontario and used to estimate BSAFs in the Technical Support Document (TSD) for the Great Lakes Water Quality Initiative (GLWQI) (Cook, 1995; Cook et al., 1994; USEPA, 1994a). The lake Ontario BSAFs are based on a large set of sediment and fish samples collected in 1987 (USEPA, 1990). The BSAFs for PCDDs, PCDFs and co-planar PCB congeners are available from ORD-Duluth data. Additional BSAFs for PCBs and pesticides are available from the data of Oliver and Niimi (1988). These contemporary BSAFs are estimated to be approximately 20 to 25 percent of BSAFs when Lake Ontario surface sediments and water are at steady-state with chemical loading to the ecosystem; a condition which probably existed in the 1960s. EPA has measured BSAFs in the Fox River and Green Bay in Wisconsin and find similar values despite much different species and exposure conditions (Cook, 1995).

EPA Environmental Research Laboratory, Narragansett

EPA/ORD-Narragansett provided a second source of information for selecting BSAF values. Probability distribution curves for selecting BSAFs were presented by EPA/ORD-Narragansett for three chemical classes:

- PAHs
- PCBs
- Pesticides

EPA/ORD-Narragansett researchers developed cumulative probability curves for each chemical class from their database of BSAFs (Hansen, 1995). The database from which general BSAF recommendations were summarized included data from laboratory and field studies conducted with both freshwater and marine sediments. Data must be from species that directly contact sediments or feed on organisms that live in sediments, i.e., benthic invertebrates and benthically coupled fishes.

Overall the database contained more than 4,000 BSAF observations. Cumulative probability curves summarizing the BSAF data in the database were provided by Hansen (1995) for PAHs, PCBs, and pesticides. BSAF values were tabulated for several probability percentiles. These findings have been published in Tracey and Hansen, 1996.

Approach for Selecting BSAFs from Recommended Values

The general approach for selecting a BSAF for a chemical follows:

- Use a chemical-specific value for the BSAF, if available.
- If no chemical-specific value is available, use a BSAF derived for a chemical category.
- For chemicals having no specific information on the BSAF, use a default value of 1.

The EPA/ORD-Narragansett values for the BSAF were selected as the 50th percentile of the distribution of BSAFs by chemical class (Table C-1). The BSAF values from EPA/ORD-Duluth were averages of individual data points for specific chemicals. The preference for central tendency measures reflects risk management that implies an approximate 50 percent chance of bioaccumulation to a predicted level. Other components of the EPA risk levels for fish tissue chemical residues and FDA action/tolerance/guidance, such as toxic potency (cancer potency factor and oral reference doses) and exposure frequency, reflect more precautionary and protective risk management.

Because there was some overlap between the categories of chemicals for which BSAF values were recommended, the following approach was used to assign BSAFs to specific chemicals in the NSI (Table C-2). For dioxins and furans, chemical-specific values recommended by EPA/ORD-Duluth were applied; for PCBs, the value for total PCBs recommended by EPA/ORD-Duluth was used. When using BSAFs from USEPA (1994a), values from the study by Cook et al. (1994) were preferred over values reported by Oliver and Niimi (1988).

Pesticides received recommendations from both laboratories. The BSAFs developed by EPA/ORD-Narragansett were for benthic organisms and demersal (bottom-dwelling) fishes. The BSAFs developed by EPA/ORD-Duluth, on

Table C-1. EPA/ORD-Narragansett Data BSAF Distributions (kg sediment organic carbon/kg lipid)

Probability Percentile	Chemical Class		
	PAHs	PCBs	Pesticides
50	0.29	1.11	1.80
70	0.55	2.26	3.34
80	0.94	3.66	4.61
90	1.71	5.83	7.31
95	2.84	9.15	10.61
100	4.19	16.46	22.63

Table C-2. Conventions for Assigning BSAFs to Nonpolar Organic Compounds in NSI

Category of Chemical	Source of BSAF	BSAF Value Used in Evaluation
Dioxins	EPA/ORD-Duluth ^a "pelagic" chemical-specific BSAF	0.059
PCBs	EPA/ORD-Duluth ^a "pelagic" BSAF for total PCBs	1.85
Pesticides	log K_{ow} < 5.5 EPA/ORD-Narragansett ^b "benthic" class-specific BSAF for 50th percentile protection level	1.80
	log K_{ow} \geq 5.5 EPA/ORD-Duluth ^a "pelagic" chemical-specific BSAF if available; otherwise, use EPA/ORD-Narragansett ^b value	See chemical-specific BSAF given in Appendix D
PAHs	EPA/ORD-Narragansett ^b "benthic" class-specific BSAF for 50th percentile protection level	0.29
Halogenated and other compounds	Default value of 1 unless chemical-specific value available from EPA/ORD-Duluth ^a	1.0

^aCook, 1995.^bHansen, 1995.

the other hand, were for benthically coupled pelagic (open-water) fishes. BSAFs from EPA/ORD-Narragansett were used for pesticides having log K_{ow} values less than 5.5. For pesticides having log K_{ow} values greater than or equal to 5.5, the BSAF values from EPA/ORD-Duluth were used. BSAF values selected by this approach are more appropriate because food web transfer to pelagic fishes is considered to be a more important process for chemicals having high log K_{ow} values. Exposure through environmental media, as in direct contact with sediments by benthic organisms, is a more important process for chemicals having low log K_{ow} values. Chemicals having no recommended BSAF values available were assigned a default BSAF of 1.

Evaluation of Tissue Lipid Content

Fish tissue lipid content enters the risk screening assessment as the normalizing factor in the numerator of the TBP equation. Normalizing by organic carbon content removes much of the site-to-site variation in the sorption of nonpolar organic chemicals by sediments (Karickhoff et al., 1979). In a similar manner, normalizing by lipid content can eliminate much site and species variation in the tendency of organisms to bioaccumulate nonpolar organic compounds (Esser, 1986). Lipid contents can vary naturally with species, site, season, age and size of fish, and trophic level. In addition, reported lipid contents can vary significantly depending on the analytical method (Randall et al., 1991).

The purpose of this section is to evaluate the percent fish lipid content data from various sources and compare these values to those selected for use in the NSI evaluation (i.e., 3.0 percent for fillets for human health TBP evaluations and 10.31 for whole body wildlife TBP evaluations).

The remainder of this section describes the lipid data sources evaluated and analysis of the lipid content data.

Sources of Lipid Data

Lipid data used for comparison with the percent lipid values selected for the NSI evaluation were obtained from three major sources:

- EPA's water monitoring database, STORET.
- *National Study of Chemical Residues in Fish*, or NSCRF (USEPA, 1992).
- U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA's) *Composition of Foods* (Dickey, 1990).

Additional sources included examples of whole fish and fillet lipid contents taken from the recent literature.

Each of the three major sources is described in the following paragraphs.

STORET

The STORET database was the single largest source of reported data on fish tissue lipid contents. Data stored under various parameter codes for lipid content in STORET were converted into units of percentage. Some screening of the data was performed as follows:

- Records were retrieved from January 1990 to March 1995.
- Reported lipid contents greater than 35 percent were eliminated because they were significantly greater than the 90th percentile.
- Only records having an anatomy code of “whole organism” or “fillet” were included. Records with a code of “fillet/skin” or “edible portion” were excluded.
- Data that appeared to be reversed (i.e., fillet percent lipid was greater than whole organism lipid) were also not considered.
- Also not considered were records in which the minimum and maximum were equal, or very nearly equal, when the number of observations was large.

There is less consistency in the data obtained from STORET relative to the NSCRF data because the analyses in STORET were conducted by numerous laboratories around the Nation. Data reported under different parameter codes (i.e., different methods for lipids) were grouped for the analysis. Moreover, the quality of the data in STORET is unknown. STORET data are compiled by species in Table C-3. The fishes are divided by trophic level and habitat into four subtables (Tables C-3a through C-3d) for the combinations of trophic levels 3 and 4 and epibenthic (bottom-dwelling) and pelagic (water column-dwelling) habitat.

National Study of Chemical Residues in Fish

The second largest database on fish tissue lipid content was available from the NSCRF (USEPA, 1992) (Table C-3). This set of lipid analysis data was taken in conjunction with analyses for dioxins/furans. An advantage of this database is that all of the lipid measurements were performed by the same laboratory using the same method. The data were screened to exclude data for fish species for which two or fewer observations were made.

USDA Report on Composition of Foods

A summary of a relatively small database on the composition of fish and shellfish foods and food products was available from USDA (Dickey, 1990). The section on fish and shellfish in the report coordinated by Dickey (1990) came from an earlier USDA report by Exler (1987). Data presented by Exler (1987) for various fish species were summarized from the USDA's Nutrient Data Bank (NDB). Records in the NDB are based primarily on published scientific reports and technical journal articles. To a lesser extent, the NDB contains unpublished data from industrial, government, and academic institutions under contract with the Human Nutrition Information Service. Lipids data are given in percentage of edible portion, where “edible portion” is the part of food customarily considered edible in the United States. Records were available for 32 fishes.

Table C-3a. Lipid Contents of Trophic Level 3, Epibenthic Fishes

Species Name	Common Name	Whole Fish Lipid Content, Percent (size)	Fillet Lipid Content, Percent (size)	Reference, Comments
<i>Aplodinotus grunniens</i>	freshwater drum		mean = 1.9 (1.3 to 2.5, 3 obs)	EPA (1992)
<i>Aplodinotus grunniens</i>	freshwater drum		mean = 4.93, standard (error = 0.103, 905 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Carpoides carpio</i>	river carpsucker	mean = 5.8 (0.5 to 15.0, 3865 obs)	mean = 4.4 (1.8 to 9.2, 184 obs)	STORET
<i>Carpoides cyprinus</i>	quillback	mean = 5.1 (0.3 to 13.0, 780 obs)	mean = 3.2 (0.4 to 4.89, 78 obs)	STORET
<i>Catostomus ardens</i>	Utah sucker	mean = 3.5 (1.1 to 8.2, 356 obs)	mean = 1.6 (0.1 to 6.7, 695 obs)	STORET
<i>Catostomus catostomus</i>	longnose sucker (FW)		0.8 to 3.8 (not given)	Owens et al. (1994)
<i>Catostomus catostomus</i>	longnose sucker	mean = 3.9 (2.5 to 7.2, 298 obs)	mean = 7.05 (6.4 to 7.7, 32 obs)	STORET
<i>Catostomus columbianus</i>	bridgelip sucker	mean = 4.6 (0.7 to 10.4, 309 obs)		STORET
<i>Catostomus commersoni</i>	white sucker		5.41 ± 1.18 1.07 ± 0.23 1.36 ± 0.17 0.99 ± 0.22 2.25 ± 0.65 (not given)	Servos et al. (1994)
<i>Catostomus commersoni</i>	white sucker	mean = 6.1 (1.4 to 21.8, 39 obs)		USEPA (1992)
<i>Catostomus commersoni</i>	white sucker	mean = 4.3 (0.2 to 12.0, 4102 obs)	mean = 1.7 (0.2 to 9.1, 586 obs)	STORET
<i>Catostomus commersoni</i>	white sucker		mean = 2.32 (standard error = 0.069, 157 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Catostomus macrocheilus</i>	largescale sucker	mean = 6.7 (0.3 to 13.0, 752 obs)	mean = 1.6 (0.1 to 5.26, 482 obs)	STORET
<i>Catostomus occidentalis</i>	Sacramento sucker	mean = 9.8 (1.7 to 18.5, 3 obs)		USEPA (1992)
<i>Cottus cognatus</i>	sculpin (FW)	8 (5.4 g)		USEPA (1994a)
<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	carp	9 (15 g)		Cook et al. (1991)
<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	carp	18.7 (69.5 g) 15.7 (56.0 g) 13.0 (37.5 g) 16.6 (36.5 g) 17.5 (29.0 g)		Kuehl et al. (1987)

Table C-3a. (Continued)

Species Name	Common Name	Whole Fish Lipid Content, Percent (size)	Fillet Lipid Content, Percent (size)	Reference, Comments
<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	carp	18.7 (69.5 g) 15.7 (56.0 g) 13.0 (37.5 g) 16.6 (36.5 g) 17.5 (29.0 g)		Kuehl et al. (1987)
<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	carp	mean = 9.3 (0.5 to 25.1, 145 obs)	mean = 9.0 (2.0 to 19.6, 6 obs)	USEPA (1992)
<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	carp	mean = 6.5 (0.3 to 17.0, 70002 obs)	mean = 4.3 (0.02 to 21.6, 16139 obs)	STORET
<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	carp		mean = 5.60 (standard error = 0.207, 163 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i>	grass carp		mean = 5.2 (3 obs)	USEPA (1992)
<i>Erimyzon oblongus</i>	creek chubsucker	mean = 3.9 (3.9 to 4.0, 3 obs)		USEPA (1992)
<i>Hypentelium nigricans</i>	northern hogsucker	mean = 4.4 (0.8 to 8.98, 637 obs)	mean = 0.7 (0.5 to 0.99, 70 obs)	STORET
<i>Ictalurus furcatus</i>	blue catfish	mean = 7.3 (5.3 to 10.4, 5 obs)	mean = 2.7 (2.0 to 3.0, 4 obs)	USEPA (1992)
<i>Ictalurus furcatus</i>	blue catfish		mean = 6.0 (1.5 to 12.0, 56 obs)	STORET
<i>Ictalurus melus</i> (<i>Ameiurus melas</i>)	black bullhead	mean = 2.9 (0.9 to 6.2, 911 obs)	mean = 1.4 (0.15 to 5.1, 573 obs)	STORET
<i>Ictalurus natalis</i> (<i>Ameiurus natalis</i>)	yellow bullhead	mean = 2.8 (0.5 to 7.5, 235 obs)	mean = 0.96 (0.1 to 3.2, 294 obs)	STORET
<i>Ictalurus nebulosus</i> (<i>Ameiurus nebulosus</i>)	brown bullhead	mean = 2.2 (1.3 to 4.1, 133 obs)	mean = 1.5 (0.4 to 3.3, 107 obs)	STORET
<i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>	channel catfish	mean = 9.8 (3.4 to 23.0, 22 obs)	mean = 5.1 (1.1 to 11.5, 17 obs)	USEPA (1992)
<i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>	channel catfish	mean = 7.1 (0.3 to 15.0, 7512 obs)	mean = 5.1 (0.2 to 17.3, 20655 obs)	STORET
<i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>	channel catfish		mean = 4.26 (standard error = 0.417, 59 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Ictiobus bubalus</i>	smallmouth buffalo	mean = 5.7 (2.2 to 11.9, 6 obs)		USEPA (1992)

Table C-3a. (Continued)

Species Name	Common Name	Whole Fish Lipid Content, Percent (size)	Fillet Lipid Content, Percent (size)	Reference, Comments
<i>Ictiobus bubalus</i>	smallmouth buffalo	mean = 9.7 (2.8 to 17.3, 886 obs)	mean = 4.8 (0.2 to 14.5, 595 obs)	STORET
<i>Ictiobus cyprinellus</i>	bigmouth buffalo	mean = 15.1 (5.7 to 22.6, 3 obs)		USEPA (1992)
<i>Ictiobus cyprinellus</i>	bigmouth buffalo	mean = 5.8 (0.4 to 16.2, 675 obs)	mean = 4.1 (0.3 to 15, 1678 obs)	STORET
<i>Ictiobus niger</i>	black buffalo		mean = 3.5 (1.2 to 7.1, 42 obs)	STORET
<i>Minytrema melanops</i>	spotted sucker	mean = 4.5 (0.9 to 7.4, 9 obs)		USEPA (1992)
<i>Minytrema melanops</i>	spotted sucker	mean = 3.7 (0.7 to 5.9, 188 obs)	mean = 1.5 (0.9 to 3.2, 197 obs)	STORET
<i>Moxostoma anisurum</i>	silver redhorse	mean = 8.2 (6.2 to 8.5, 180 obs)	mean = 2.1 (1.3 to 2.7, 7 obs)	STORET
<i>Moxostoma carinatum</i>	river redhorse	mean = 5.1 (1.9 to 5.9, 193 obs)	mean = 1.3 (0.5 to 2.4, 170 obs)	STORET
<i>Moxostoma duquesnei</i>	black redhorse	mean = 5.0 (0.3 to 9.7, 1774 obs)	mean = 0.97 (0.7 to 1.8, 58 obs)	STORET
<i>Moxostoma erythrurum</i>	golden redhorse	mean = 6.0 (0.8 to 16.1, 2018 obs)	mean = 1.8 (0.6 to 2.8, 154 obs)	STORET
<i>Moxostoma macrolepidotum</i>	shorthead redhorse	mean = 19.8 (10.8 to 31.9, 4 obs)		USEPA (1992)
<i>Moxostoma macrolepidotum</i>	shorthead redhorse	mean = 6.5 (0.4 to 10.9, 683 obs)	mean = 3.0 (1.4 to 13.5, 342 obs)	STORET
<i>Mugil cephalus</i>	striped mullet		mean = 3.79 (standard error = 0.357, 43 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Mylocheilus caurinus</i>	peamouth	mean = 11.0 (9.36 to 12.91, 162 obs)		STORET
<i>Ptychocheilus oregoni</i>	northern squawfish	mean = 5.6 (0.8 to 12.0, 812 obs)	mean = 1.3 (0.7 to 3.0, 117 obs)	STORET
<i>Ptychocheilus</i>	squawfish		mean = 2.2 (0.5 to 3.0, 7 obs)	USEPA (1992)
<i>Scaphirhynchus platorhynchus</i>	shovelnose sturgeon		mean = 7.4 (1.1 to 20.3, 392 obs)	STORET

Table C-3b. Lipid Contents of Trophic Level 3, Pelagic Fishes

Species Name	Common Name	Whole Fish Lipid Content, Percent (size)	Fillet Lipid Content, Percent (size)	Reference, Comments
<i>Acipenser</i> sp.	sturgeon (unknown)		mean = 4.04 (7 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Acrocheilus alutaceus</i>	chiselmouth	mean = 5.0 (3.2 to 6.8, 47 obs)	mean = 0.55 (0.19 to 1.00, 91 obs)	STORET
<i>Alosa pseudoharengus</i>	alewife	7 (32 g)		USEPA (1994a)
<i>Alosa pseudoharengus</i>	alewife	mean = 8.9 (3.7 to 15.2, 128 obs)		STORET
<i>Alosa sapidissima</i>	American shad	mean = 6.55 (5.9 to 7.6, 270 obs)		STORET
<i>Alosa sapidissima</i>	American shad		mean = 13.77 (standard error = 1.00, 11 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Anguilla rostrata</i>	American eel		mean = 11.66 (standard error = 0.885, 14 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Aplodinotus grunniens</i>	freshwater drum	mean = 5.5 (1.0 to 19.7, 574 obs)	mean = 4.8 (0.3 to 21.2, 459 obs)	STORET
<i>Archosargus probatocephalus</i>	sheepshead		mean = 2.41 (standard error = 0.040, 5 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Coregonus artedii</i>	cisco (lake herring)		mean = 1.91 (standard error = 0.149, 69 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Coregonus clupeaform</i>	lake whitefish		mean = 5.86 (standard error = 0.451, 68 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Coregonus hoyi</i>	bloater	mean = 21.1 (16 to 25.5, 52 obs)	mean = 8.3 (3.2 to 17.0, 98 obs)	STORET
<i>Dorosoma cepedianum</i>	gizzard shad	mean = 7.4 (1.3 to 18.0, 189 obs)		STORET
<i>Dorosoma petenense</i>	threadfin shad	mean = 3.0 (0.5 to 18.0, 9 obs)		STORET
<i>Gadus macrocephalus</i>	true or Pacific cod		mean = 0.63 (standard error = 0.031, 18 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Hiodon alosoides</i>	goldeye	mean = 3.2 (3.5 to 2.8, 74 obs)		STORET

Table C-3b. (Continued)

Species Name	Common Name	Whole Fish Lipid Content, Percent (size)	Fillet Lipid Content, Percent (size)	Reference, Comments
<i>Platygobia</i> (<i>Hybopsis</i> in database) <i>gracilis</i>	flathead chub		mean = 3.3 (0.68 to 8.14, 75 obs)	STORET
<i>Lepomis auritis</i>	redbreast sunfish	mean = 3.6 (1.3 to 8.1, 550 obs)		STORET
<i>Lepomis cyanellus</i>	green sunfish	mean = 3.2 (2.2 to 7.8, 376 obs)		STORET
<i>Lepomis gibbosus</i>	pumpkinseed	mean = 3.9 (2.2 to 7.7, 126 obs)		STORET
<i>Lepomis gibbosus</i>	pumpkinseed		mean = 0.70 (standard error = 0.071, 8 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Lepomis megalotis</i>	longear sunfish	mean = 2.8 (1.0 to 7.2, 536 obs)		STORET
<i>Osmerus mordax</i>	rainbow smelt	4 (16 g)		USEPA (1994)
<i>Osmerus mordax</i>	rainbow smelt		mean = 2.42 (standard error = 0.107, 52 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	fathead minnow	19 (1 g)		Cook et al. (1991)
<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	bluegill sunfish	mean = 3.5 (2.4 to 4.6, 4 obs)		USEPA (1992)
<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	bluegill sunfish	mean = 4.4 (0.1 to 8.7, 1034 obs)		STORET
<i>Lota lota</i>	burbot		0.35 to 0.7	Owens et al. (1994)
<i>Lota lota</i>	burbot		mean = 0.2 (0.1 to 0.3, 18 obs)	STORET
<i>Lota lota</i>	burbot		mean = 0.81 (standard error = 0.059, 13 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Oryzias latipes</i>	medaka	8 (0.175 g)		Schmieder et al. (1992)
<i>Phoxinus erythrogaster</i>	southern redbelly dace	mean = 5.6 (2.2 to 10.0, 762 obs)		STORET

Table C-3b. (Continued)

Species Name	Common Name	Whole Fish Lipid Content, Percent (size)	Fillet Lipid Content, Percent (size)	Reference, Comments
<i>Pomoxis annularis</i>	white crappie		mean = 1.0 (0.5 to 2.0, 7 obs)	USEPA (1992)
<i>Pomoxis annularis</i>	white crappie	mean = 2.1 (0.4 to 5.8, 622 obs)	mean = 0.4 (0.08 to 2.6, 936 obs)	STORET
<i>Pomoxis nigromaculatus</i>	black crappie		mean = 1.1 (0.5 to 1.5, 3 obs)	USEPA (1992)
<i>Pomoxis nigromaculatus</i>	black crappie	mean = 2.7 (0.7 to 8.4, 457 obs)	mean = 1.4 (0.13 to 5.3, 118 obs)	STORET
<i>Prosopium williamsoni</i>	mountain whitefish	mean = 8.5 (0.5 to 13.8, 327 obs)	mean = 1.6, (0.2 to 4.1, 532 obs)	STORET
<i>Prosopium williamsoni</i>	mountain whitefish		3.4 to 11.8 (not given)	Owens et al. (1994)
<i>Richardsonius balteatus</i>	redside shiner		mean = 0.9 (0.85 to 0.96, 50 obs)	STORET
<i>Sebastes auriculatus</i>	brown rockfish		mean = 1.57 (81 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Sebastes marinus</i>	redfish		mean = 1.63 (standard error = 0.092, 208 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Semotilus atromaculatus</i>	creek chub	mean = 3.9 (1.0 to 5.0, 815 obs)		STORET
<i>Semotilus corporalis</i>	fallfish	mean = 1.9 (0.25 to 3.9, 100 obs)		STORET

Table C-3c. Lipid Contents of Trophic Level 4, Epibenthic Fishes

Species Name	Common Name	Whole Fish Lipid Content, Percent (size)	Fillet Lipid Content, Percent (size)
<i>Pylodictis olivaris</i>	flathead catfish	mean = 3.1 (0.5 to 8.1, 829 obs)	mean = 3.0 (0.2 to 21.1, 1315 obs)
<i>Pylodictis olivaris</i>	flathead catfish	mean = 6.0 (1.6 to 8.7, 3 obs)	mean = 1.9 (0.6 to 3.1, 4 obs)

Table C-3d. Lipid Contents of Trophic Level 4, Pelagic Fishes

Species Name	Common Name	Whole Fish Lipid Content, Percent (size)	Fillet Lipid Content, Percent (size)	Reference, Comments
<i>Ambloplites rupestris</i>	rock bass		mean = 1.0 (0.8 to 1.2, 3 obs)	USEPA (1992)
<i>Ambloplites rupestris</i>	rock bass	mean = 2.3 (0.6 to 4.4, 759 obs)	mean = 0.7 (0.4 to 0.98, 129 obs)	STORET
<i>Amia calva</i>	bowfin		mean = 0.5 (0.04 to 1.4, 230 obs)	STORET
<i>Centropristis striata</i>	black sea bass		mean = 2.00 (standard error = 0.221, 40 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Esox lucius</i>	northern pike		mean = 1.4 (0.6 to 2.6, 5 obs)	USEPA (1992)
<i>Esox lucius</i>	northern pike	mean = 1.9 (0.1 to 9.8, 810 obs)		STORET
<i>Esox lucius</i>	northern pike		mean = 0.69 (standard error = 0.005, 224 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Esox niger</i>	chain pickerel		mean = 1.3 (0.6 to 2.0, 5 obs)	USEPA (1992)
<i>Leiostomus xanthurus</i>	spot	mean = 5.2 (3.3 to 7.9, 300 obs)		STORET
<i>Leiostomus xanthurus</i>	spot		mean = 4.90 (standard error = 2.93, 10 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Lutjanus campechanus</i>	red snapper		1.34 (55 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Micropogonias undulatus</i>	Atlantic croaker		3.17 (standard error = 0.529, 8 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Micropterus dolomieu</i>	smallmouth bass		mean = 1.6 (0.8 to 4.4, 19 obs)	USEPA (1992)
<i>Micropterus dolomieu</i>	smallmouth bass	mean = 3.4 (0.3 to 8.8, 1166 obs)	mean = 0.6 (0.01 to 2.3, 848 obs)	STORET
<i>Micropterus punctulatus</i>	spotted bass		mean = 2.8 (0.9 to 4.5, 4 obs)	USEPA (1992)
<i>Micropterus punctulatus</i>	spotted bass	mean = 2.4 (0.6 to 4.9, 322 obs)	mean = 0.7 (0.1 to 1.8, 353 obs)	STORET

Table C-3d. (Continued)

Species Name	Common Name	Whole Fish Lipid Content, Percent (size)	Fillet Lipid Content, Percent (size)	Reference, Comments
<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>	largemouth bass		mean = 1.6 (0.4 to 7.6, 54 obs)	USEPA (1992)
<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>	largemouth bass	mean = 4.1 (0.3 to 10.6, 2924 obs)	mean = 0.7 (0.04 to 9.2, 4548 obs)	STORET
<i>Morone americana</i>	white perch	mean = 4.5 (2.6 to 7.1, 249 obs)		STORET
<i>Morone chrysops</i>	white bass		mean = 2.7 (0.7 to 4.8, 11 obs)	USEPA (1992)
<i>Morone chrysops</i>	white bass	mean = 4.6 (0.3 to 15.4, 615 obs)	mean = 3.9 (0.01 to 8.1, 847 obs)	STORET
<i>Morone saxatilis</i>	striped bass		mean = 2.33 (standard error = 0.381, 14 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Oncorhynchus gorbuscha</i>	pink salmon		mean = 3.45 (standard error = 0.141, 144 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	coho salmon		mean = 2.7 (0.4 to 10.7, 383 obs)	STORET
<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	coho salmon		mean = 5.92 (standard error = 0.162, 217 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	rainbow trout	11 (35 g)		Branson et al. (1985)
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	rainbow trout		mean = 5.0 (4.1 to 5.6, 3 obs)	USEPA (1992)
<i>Oncorhynchus nerka</i>	sockeye salmon		mean = 8.56 (standard error = 0.392, 48 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	chinook salmon	mean = 3.7 (2.4 to 5.1, 52 obs)	mean = 2.2 (0.04 to 17.7, 1957 obs)	STORET
<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	chinook salmon		mean = 10.44 (standard error = 1.692, 10 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Perca flavescens</i>	yellow perch	mean = 3.6 (1.2 to 9.1, 112 obs)	mean = 0.5 (0.1 to 4.6, 280 obs)	STORET
<i>Pomatomus saltatrix</i>	bluefish		mean = 4.27 (3 obs)	Exler (1987)

Table C-3d. (Continued)

Species Name	Common Name	Whole Fish Lipid Content, Percent (size)	Fillet Lipid Content, Percent (size)	Reference, Comments
<i>Salmo clarki</i> (<i>Onchorhynchus clarki</i>)	cutthroat trout		mean = 1.0 (0.2 to 1.7, 378 obs)	STORET
<i>Salmo gairdneri</i> (<i>Onchorhynchus mykiss</i>)	rainbow trout		mean = 3.36 (standard error = 0.256, 24 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Salmo salar</i>	Atlantic salmon		mean = 6.34 (standard error = 1.72, 7 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Salmo trutta</i>	brown trout		mean = 4.0 (1.6 to 8.1, 6 obs)	USEPA (1992)
<i>Salmo trutta</i>	brown trout	mean = 6.0 (1.5 to 8.9, 112 obs)	mean = 5.0 (0.14 to 14.8, 741 obs)	STORET
<i>Salvelinus namaycush</i> , <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> , <i>Oncorhynchus</i> spp.	salmonids	11 (2410 g)		USEPA (1994a)
<i>Salvelinus malma</i>	Dolly Varden	mean = 7.1 (2.1 to 9.9, 3 obs)		USEPA (1992)
<i>Salvelinus namaycush</i>	lake trout	mean = 15.9 (12.6 to 18.3, 42 obs)	mean = 7.8 (2.5 to 20.0, 1883 obs)	STORET
<i>Scomberomorus cavall</i>	king mackerel		mean = 2.00 (standard error = 0.188, 6 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Scomberomorus macula</i>	Spanish mackerel		mean = 6.30 (standard error=3.810, 3 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Stizostedion canadense</i>	sauger	mean = 6.0 (0.8 to 16.3, 139 obs)	mean = 1.7 (0.3 to 10.0, 195 obs)	STORET
<i>Stizostedion vitreum</i>	walleye		0.6 to 0.7	Owens et al. (1994)
<i>Stizostedion vitreum</i>	walleye	mean = 6.2 (0.3 to 15, 1089 obs)	mean = 1.3 (0.3 to 6.0, 440 obs)	STORET
<i>Stizostedion vitreum</i>	walleye		mean = 1.22 (standard error = 0.162, 14 obs)	Exler (1987)
<i>Stizostedion vitreum</i>	walleye		mean = 1.6 (0.7 to 2.6, 13 obs)	USEPA (1992)

Analysis of Lipids Data

Lipids data were analyzed for comparison with the screening value selected for the NSI evaluation by computing averages. Eight averages of data for fishes of the following categories for data in STORET (Table C-4a) and the NSCRF (Table C-4b) were computed (and labeled A-H):

- A. Trophic levels 3 and 4, whole body
- B. Trophic levels 3 and 4, whole body, excluding migratory and saltwater fishes
- C. Trophic level 4, pelagic, fillet
- D. Trophic level 4, pelagic, fillet, excluding migratory and saltwater fishes
- E. Resident, freshwater, demersal fishes, whole body
- F. Resident, freshwater, pelagic fishes, whole body
- G. Resident, freshwater, demersal fishes, fillet
- H. Resident, freshwater, pelagic fishes, fillet.

Data for fillets and whole fish were evaluated separately. All analyses except “A” were of fishes in the NSI exclusively. Summary statistics reported include the mean, standard error, range, and number of observations. The matrices in Tables C-4a and C-4b indicate the categories of fishes averaged. The average of edible portions from USDA data was 4.1 percent lipid.

The mean fillet percent lipid content for various groups of fish species in the STORET database ranged from 0.753 to 4.49 percent; in the NSCRF, mean fillet values ranged from 1.6 to 4.9 percent. The mean whole-body percent lipid content for various groups of fish species in the STORET database ranged from 3.757 to 6.33 percent; in the NSCRF, mean whole-body values ranged from 4.6 to 8.8 percent.

Table C-4a. Lipid Analysis - STORET

Analysis	Matrix of Fishes Included in Average								Tissue/ Organ	Lipid Content, %			
	Trophic Level		Position in Water Column		Mobility		Habitat			Mean	Standard Error	Number of Observations	Range
	3	4	Demersal	Pelagic	Resident	Migratory	Freshwater	Saltwater					
A	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	whole	5.97		113,978	0.1-26.7
B	●	●	●	●	●		●		whole	5.97	0.010	110,998	0.1-26.7
C		●		●	●	●	●	●	fillet	2.5		13,293	0.01-20
D		●		●	●		●		fillet	0.753	0.010	6793	0.01-10
E	●	●	●		●		●		whole	6.33	0.011	91867	0.22-26.7
F	●	●		●	●		●		whole	3.757	0.020	13025	0.10-16.3
G	●	●	●		●		●		fillet	4.49	0.018	42687	0.02-24
H	●	●		●	●		●		fillet	1.06	0.021	9378	0.01-21.07

Table C-4b. Lipid Analysis - NSCRF

Analysis	Matrix of Fishes Included in Average								Tissue/ Organ	Lipid Content, %			
	Trophic Level		Position in Water Column		Mobility		Habitat			Mean	Standard Error	Number of Observations	Range
	3	4	Demersal	Pelagic	Resident	Migratory	Freshwater	Saltwater					
A	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	whole	8.5		249	0.5-31.9
B	●	●	●	●	●		●		whole	8.6	0.328	246	0.5-31.9
C		●		●	●	●	●	●	fillet	1.9		122	0.4-8.1
D		●		●	●		●		fillet	1.6	0.116	103	0.4-7.6
E	●	●	●		●		●		whole	8.8	0.338	233	0.5-31.9
F	●	●		●	●		●		whole	4.6	1.02	7	1.6-8.7
G	●	●	●		●		●		fillet	4.9	0.697	34	0.5-19.6
H	●	●		●	●		●		fillet	1.6	0.106	117	0.4-7.6

Data for fillets and whole fish were evaluated separately. All analyses except "A" were of fishes in the NSI exclusively. Summary statistics reported include the mean, standard error, range, and number of observations. The matrices in Tables C-4a and C-4b indicate the categories of fishes averaged. The average of edible portions from USDA data was 4.1 percent lipid.

The mean fillet percent lipid content for various groups of fish species in the STORET database ranged from 0.753 to 4.49 percent; in the NSCRF, mean fillet values ranged from 1.6 to 4.9 percent. The mean whole-body percent lipid content for various groups of fish species in the STORET database ranged from 3.757 to 6.33 percent; in the NSCRF, mean whole-body values ranged from 4.6 to 8.8 percent.

References

- Branson, D.R., I.T. Takahashi, W.M. Parker, and G.E. Blau. 1985. Bioconcentration of 2, 3, 7, 8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in rainbow trout. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 4:799-788.
- Cook, P.M. 1995. Pelagic BSAFs for NSI methodology. Memorandum from P.M. Cook, ERL, Duluth, to C. Fox, USEPA Office of Water, March 29, 1995.
- Cook, P.M., G.T. Ankley, R.J. Erickson, B.C. Butterworth, S.W. Kohlbry, P. Marquis, and H. Corcoran. 1994. *The biota-sediment accumulation factor (BSAF): Evaluation and application to assessment of organic chemical bioaccumulation in the Great Lakes*. In preparation. (cited in: USEPA, 1994a)
- Dickey, L.E. 1990. *Composition of foods, raw, processed, prepared—1990 supplement*. Agriculture Handbook 8, 1990 Supplement. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Human Nutrition Information Service, Washington, DC.
- Esser, H.O. 1986. A review of the correlation between physicochemical properties and bioaccumulation. *Pestic. Sci.* 17:265-276. (as cited by Randall et al., 1991).
- Exler, J. 1987. *Composition of foods: Finfish and shellfish products*. Agriculture Handbook No. 8-15. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Human Nutrition and Information Service, Washington, DC.
- Flint, R.W. 1986. Hypothesized carbon flow through the deep water Lake Ontario food web. *J. Great Lakes Res.* 12:344-354.
- Hansen. 1995. Assessment tools that can be used for the National Sediment Inventory. Memorandum from D.J. Hansen, ERL, Narragansett, to C. Fox, USEPA Office of Water, February 28, 1995.
- Karickhoff, S.W., D.S. Brown, and T.A. Scott. 1979. Sorption of hydrophobic pollutants on natural sediments. *Water Res.* 13: 241-248.
- Kuehl, D.W., P.M. Cook, A.R. Batterman, D.B. Lothenbach, and B.C. Butterworth. 1987. Bioavailability of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans from contaminated Wisconsin River sediment to carp. *Chemosphere* 18:1997-2014.
- Oliver, B.G., and A.J. Niimi. 1988. Trophodynamic analysis of polychlorinated biphenyl congeners and other chlorinated hydrocarbons in the Lake Ontario ecosystem. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 22:388-397.
- Owens, J.W., S.M. Swanson, and D.A. Birkholz. 1994. Bioaccumulation of 2, 3, 7, 8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, 2, 3, 7, 8-tetrachlorodibenzofuran and extractable organic chlorine at a bleached kraft mill site in a northern Canadian river system. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 13:343-354
- Randall, R.C., H. Lee II, R.J. Ozretich, J.L. Lake, and R.J. Pruell. 1991. Evaluation of selected lipid methods for normalizing pollutant bioaccumulation. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 10:1431-1436.
- Tracey, G.A. and D.J. Hansen. 1996. Use of biota-sediment accumulation factors to assess similarity of nonionic organic chemical exposure to benthically-coupled organisms of differing trophic mode. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 30:467-475.
- Schmeider, P., D. Lothenbach, R. Johnson, R. Erickson, and J. Tietge. 1992. Uptake and elimination kinetics of ³H-TCDD in medaka. *Toxicologist* 12:138.
- Servos, M.R., S.Y. Huestis, D.M. Whittle, G. J. Van Der Kraak, and K.R. Munkittrick. 1994. Survey of receiving-water environmental impacts associated with discharges from pulp mills. 3. Polychlorinated dioxins and furans in muscle and liver of white sucker (*Catostomus commersoni*). *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 13:1103-1115.

- USEPA. 1990. *Lake Ontario TCDD bioaccumulation study—Final report*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2, New York, NY.
- USEPA. 1992. *National study of chemical residues in fish*. 2 vols. EPA 823-R-92-008a,b. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Science and Technology, Washington, DC.
- USEPA. 1994a. *Great Lakes Water Quality Initiative technical support document for the procedure to determine bioaccumulation factors—July 1994*. EPA-822-R-94-002. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology, Washington, DC.
- USEPA, 1994b. *Health effects assessment summary tables FY 1994. Supplement number 2*. EPA/540/R-94/114, NTIS PB94-921102. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, Washington, DC. November.
- USEPA, 1995. *Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS)*. Online. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Health and Environmental Assessment, Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office, Cincinnati, OH.