

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

COPY

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY
MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, et al.

Petitioners,

v.

UNITED STATES
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY,

Respondent.

No. 04-1200 (and consolidated case
nos. 04-1201, 04-1206, 04-1208,
04-1210, 04-1212, 04-1215 & 04-1266)

RECEIVED
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE D.C. CIRCUIT
2004 OCT 18 PM 6:37
FILING DEPOSITORY

**NOTICE AND STIPULATION REGARDING
EPA'S MOTION TO HOLD IN ABEYANCE CERTAIN ISSUES**

On September 24, 2004, Respondent Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") filed a motion that requested the Court to sever from these consolidated cases all issues that challenge three aspects of the "Implementation Rule" that EPA is reconsidering and to hold those issues in abeyance in a new docket, pending the completion of EPA's reconsideration process. See EPA's Motion To Hold In Abeyance Certain Issues EPA is Reconsidering ("Abeyance Motion"). EPA further proposed in that motion that the challenges in this Court to the other aspects of the Implementation Rule should proceed at this time. *Id.* at 1-2 & 5. EPA's grant of reconsideration on the three elements of the Implementation Rule was based upon an administrative petition for reconsideration that was submitted to EPA by several environmental groups that are also petitioners in these consolidated cases. *Id.* at 4 n.2. Each of those environmental petitioners (that is, the petitioners in American Lung Association, et al., v. EPA, 04-1210, and Conservation Law Foundation, et al., v. EPA, 04-1212), as well as the petitioners in Louisiana Environmental Action Network v. EPA, 04-1206, South Coast Air Quality Management District v. EPA, 04-1200, and in Commonwealth of Massachusetts, et al. v. EPA, 04-1207 (collectively referred to as the "Environmental Petitioners" for purposes of this motion), file this notice to inform the Court

that they do not oppose the relief requested by EPA in its Abeyance Motion, based upon EPA's representations herein regarding the time by which the Agency's administrative reconsideration will be completed and EPA's other representations herein.

Specifically, with regard to the three elements of the Implementation Rule on which EPA has granted reconsideration, EPA will complete its reconsideration process by May 20, 2005. EPA also represents that it will not take final action before June 15, 2005, on any State Implementation Plan submittals that it may receive that pertain to the three elements of the Implementation Rule on which EPA has granted reconsideration. Abeyance Motion at 4 & Attachment A (describing the three elements on which EPA has granted reconsideration). Further, once EPA completes its reconsideration of the three elements of the Implementation Rule on which it has granted reconsideration, EPA stipulates that any challenges in this Court to those three elements (as well as any challenges to EPA's final action on reconsideration regarding those elements) should proceed promptly (including the setting of oral argument for the earliest date practicable after the completion of briefing), consistent with this Court's scheduling requirements and a reasonable briefing format and schedule.


As EPA explained in its Abeyance Motion, EPA believes that challenges to the three aspects of the Implementation Rule that EPA is reconsidering are relatively discrete and can be logically and practicably severed from these consolidated cases and held in abeyance, thus permitting the parties and the Court to proceed with the adjudication of the other, substantial challenges to the Implementation Rule at this time. Abeyance Motion at 5. EPA and the Environmental Petitioners agree that adjudication of all other challenges to aspects of the Implementation Rule on which EPA has not granted reconsideration should proceed promptly at this time (including the setting of oral argument for the earliest date practicable after the completion of briefing), consistent with the Court's scheduling requirements and a reasonable briefing format and schedule. In accordance with the Court's previously entered scheduling order, EPA and the other parties will file their proposed briefing format and schedule for the

remaining issues within 20 days from the date that the Court resolves this and EPA's previously-filed procedural motion.^{1/}

Accordingly, based upon the foregoing, the Environmental Petitioners hereby notify the Court that they do not oppose the relief requested by EPA in its previously filed Abeyance Motion.^{2/}

Respectively submitted,

THOMAS L. SANSONETTI
Assistant Attorney General



DAVID J. KAPLAN
Environmental Defense Section
Environment and Natural Resources
Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 23986
Washington, D.C. 20026-3986

For Respondent Environmental
Protection Agency

Of Counsel

JAN TIERNEY
Office of General Counsel
United States Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460



DAVID S. BARON
Earthjustice
1625 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
Suite 702
Washington, DC 20036-2212

For the petitioners American Lung
Association, et al.



FRANCES LORRAINE KEELER
South Coast Air Quality Man. District
21865 E Copley Drive
PO Box 4940
Diamond Bar, CA 91765-0940

For Petitioner South Coast Air
Quality Management District

^{1/} In addition, EPA will decide no later than January 10, 2005, whether or not it will grant reconsideration on the other aspects of the Implementation Rule on which some of the Environmental Petitioners sought administrative reconsideration. EPA does not believe, however, that these issues should be held in abeyance at this time. Rather, these issues, together with all the other issues that challenge the Implementation Rule, should proceed to adjudication at this time, as described above.

^{2/} Nothing herein shall be construed as impairing whatever rights Environmental Petitioners may have to seek a stay of the effect of the three elements of the Implementation Rule on which EPA has granted reconsideration. EPA reserves whatever rights it may have to oppose such a request for a stay.

Adam Babich / DJK

ADAM BABICH
Tulane Law School
Environmental Law Clinic
6329 Freret Street
New Orleans, LA 70118-6231

For Petitioner Louisiana Environmental
Action Network

William L. Pardee / DJK

WILLIAM L. PARDEE
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Division
One Ashburton Place, Room 1813
Boston, MA 02108-1598

For Petitioners Commonwealth
of Massachusetts, et al.

Ann Brewster Weeks / DJK

ANN BREWSTER WEEKS
JONATHAN FREDERICK LEWIS
Clean Air Task Force
18 Tremont Street
Suite 530
Boston, MA 02108

For Petitioners Conservation Law Foundation, et al.

Dated: October 18, 2004

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 18th day of October, 2004, a copy of the foregoing pleading was served by First Class United States mail upon the following counsel at the indicated address.

Frances Lorraine Keeler
South Coast AQMD
21865 E Copley Drive
PO Box 4940
Diamond Bar, CA 91765-0940

Jane Charlotte Luxton
King & Spalding
1730 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20006-4706

John E. Hennelly
Attorney General's Office of
State of Georgia
40 Capitol Square, SW
Atlanta, GA 30334-1300

Patricia T. Barmeyer
Lewis B. Jones
King & Spalding
191 Peachtree Street
Atlanta, GA 30303-1763

Leslie Sue Ritts
Lorane Frances Hebert
Hogan & Hartson
555 13th Street, NW
Columbia Square
Washington, DC 20004-1109

Charles Howland Knauss
Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman
3000 K Street, NW
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007-5116

Ann Brewster Weeks
Jonathan Frederick Lewis
Clean Air Task Force
18 Tremont Street
Suite 530
Boston, MA 02108

Richard Giles Stoll, Jr.
Foley & Lardner
3000 K Street, NW
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20007-5143

Gary E. Zausmer, Esq.
2200 One American Center
600 Congress Avenue
Austin, TX 78701-3248

David Samuel Baron
Earthjustice Legal Defense Fund
1625 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
Suite 702
Washington, DC 20036-2212

Norman William Fichthorn
Allison D. Wood
Hunton & Williams LLP
1900 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006

Dale T. Vitale
Attorney General's Office of
State of Ohio
30 East Broad Street
16th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215-3428

Adam Babich
Tulane Law School
Environmental Law Clinic
6329 Freret Street
New Orleans, LA 70118-6231

Frank S. Craig, III
Breazeale, Sachse & Wilson
2300 One American Place
Baton Rouge, LA 70821-3197

Steven Levine
Patrick O'Hara
Phelps Dunbar
445 North Boulevard
Suite 701, City Plaza
Baton Rouge, LA 70821-4412

Michael E. Ward
Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman
3000 K Street, NW
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007-5116

William L. Pardee
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Division
One Ashburton Place, Room 1813
Boston, MA 02108-1598


DAVID J. KAPLAN