

Clean Air Act, Section 110 Federal Implementation Plan for Interstate Ozone Transport Rulemaking

Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act Outreach Meeting April 14, 1998

United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of Management and Budget Small Business Administration

CLEAN AIR ACT OF 1990

TITLE I...ATTAINMENT/MAINTENANCE OF NATIONAL AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS

TITLE II...MOBILE SOURCES

TITLE III...AIR TOXICS

TITLE IV...ACID DEPOSITION CONTROL

TITLE V...PERMITS

TITLE VI...STRATOSPHERIC OZONE/GLOBAL CLIMATE PROT.

TITLE VII...ENFORCEMENT

TITLE VIII...MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

TITLE IX...CLEAN AIR RESEARCH

TITLE X...DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS CONCERNS

TITLE XI...EMPLOYMENT TRANSITION ASSISTANCE



Ozone-Related Health Effects of Concern

- Difficulty in breathing, shortness of breath
- Aggravated/prolonged coughing and chest pain
- Increased aggravation of asthma, susceptibility to respiratory infection resulting in increased hospital admissions and emergency room visits
- Repeated exposures could result in chronic inflammation and irreversible structural changes in the lungs, that can lead to premature aging of the lungs and illness such as bronchitis and emphysema
- Growing evidence suggests association with premature death

ARCHIVE DOCUMENT EPA SN



NOx EMISSIONS...EXAMPLES



MOTOR

FUEL COMBUSTION

MOTOR VEHICLES



DOCUMENT ARCHIVE EPA SI

OZONE CAN BE TRANSPORTED....





1-Hour Ozone Problem Areas



Ozone Transport Region (OTR) & Commission

- Established by US Congress to provide a forum for addressing regional ozone nonattainment issues in NE.
- Consists of 12 northeastern states plus DC. USEPA is a non-voting member.

Purpose is to:

- to assess interstate transport of ozone/precursors in OTR, and
- recommend strategies for controlling the interstate transport of pollution

- Agreed to by eleven NE States and DC
 - Emission decreases at boilers above 250 mmBtu/hr
 - Emissions cap at electric utility generating facilities 15 megawatts (MW) or greater
- Control levels (boilers above 250 mmBtu) in 2003:
 - For most States, either 0.15 lbs/mmBtu or a 75% reduction from 1990 NOx levels
 - In certain areas, either 0.20 lbs/mmBtu rate or a 55% reduction

Ozone Transport Assessment Group (OTAG)

37 States and DC



OTAG Goal

To identify and recommend reductions in transported ozone and its precursors which, in combination with other measures, will enable attainment and maintenance of the national ambient ozone standard.

- Regional NOx reductions are effective ... the more NOx reduced, the greater the ozone benefit.
- Ozone benefits are greatest where emission reductions are made ... benefits decrease with distance.
- Elevated and low-level NOx reductions are both effective.
- VOC controls are effective in reducing ozone locally and are most advantageous to urban nonattainment areas.

OTAG control recommendations

- Utility controls: up to 0.15 lb/mmBtu or 85% reduction on large sources
- Non-utilities: up to 70% reduction on large
- National Measures....AIM coatings, consumer & commercial products, autobody refinishing, reformulated gasoline, small engine standards, heavy duty highway 2g standard, heavy duty nonroad diesel standard, and locomotive standard with rebuild.
- **National Low Emission Vehicle**
- Vehicle Emission Inspection and Maintenance Controls

November 7, 1997 ... EPA Proposal

- Makes finding of significant contribution to nonattainment in downwind States.
- Assigns NOx emissions budgets for each State.
- Suggests additional controls for large point sources.
- Permits States to choose what NOx measures to adopt to meet the State-wide emission budget.
- Requires 22 States & D.C. to revise their State air plans to mitigate transport in eastern half of US ...

22 States and DC received EPA's SIP call



How is EPA developing NOx budgets?

- Apply reasonable, cost-effective controls
- Continue to develop new federal programs to reduce emissions from cars and other mobile sources
- Budgets include projected growth through 2007
- EPA used the upper range of the Ozone Transport Assessment Group's recommendation for point sources:
 - For large utilities, 0.15 lb. NOx/mmBtu (about 85% decrease from 1990 levels)
 - For other large point sources, about 70% decrease

What is the timing?

- Propose NOx SIP call November 7, 1997
- Publish a supplemental proposal in Spring of 1998
- Receive public comments
- Finalize SIP call September 1998
- State SIPs due to EPA September 1999
- Compliance with stationary source emission limits September 2002

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



In What Instances Would a FIP Be Required?



The Administrator is required to promulgate a FIP within 2 years of:

(1) finding that a State has failed to make a required submittal,

(2) finding that a submittal is not complete, or

(3) disapproving a SIP submittal.

SIP & FIP Schedules

- SIP call proposal: November 7, 1997
- SIP call final rulemaking: September 30, 1998
- FIP proposal: September 30, 1998
- SIP revisions due to EPA: September 30, 1999
- Final FIP rulemaking: immediately after the due date of September 30, 1999 for States that fail to respond to the SIP call.

What's included in the FIP?

- The FIP would set an emissions budget for each affected State at the same level as the final SIP call.
- EPA's expects to focus controls more on the larger stationary sources due to administrative feasibility.
- EPA plans to establish in any FIP an interstate emissions trading program.

Options That Might Reduce Impacts of a FIP

- Assuming that, in general, SBREFA "small entities" are also small emitters of NOx emissions.
 - Should EPA set an emissions cutoff in the FIP, exempting small emitters?
 - ► What levels should EPA consider?

Options That Might Reduce Impacts of a FIP (continued)

- EPA intends to include in a FIP an emissions trading program for all large boilers and gas turbines.
 - Is a trading program the right approach for this group of sources?
 - How should EPA develop a trading program so that it would best ensure reducing costs?
 - Alternatively, is setting requirements on a source-by-source basis better?

Options That Might Reduce Impacts of a FIP (continued)

- How should point sources outside the trading program be handled (e.g., process heaters or cement plants)?
 - Should EPA exempt sources where the cost-effectiveness of contol exceeds a certain level? On a per source category basis or averaged over several source categories?
 - What level of cost-effectiveness could EPA consider; levels similar to the trading program or similar to other controls adopted by regulatory agencies?

Options That Might Reduce Impacts of a FIP (continued)

- Similarly for point sources outside the trading program, should EPA propose to control only sources that can achieve a moderate to high level of emissions reduction?
- What minimum level of reductions is appropriate?
- Should reduction levels be similar to those achieved under the trading program?
- Should EPA consider the overall level of reductions applied to a variety of source categories or on a per source category basis?