

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
National Advisory Council for Environmental Policy and Technology (NACEPT)
Subcommittee on Promoting Environmental Stewardship (SPES)

May 5-6, 2010

George Washington University Law School
Burns Building, 2000 H Street NW
(Enter at 716 20th St., NW)
Faculty Conference Center, Fifth Floor
Washington DC 20052

Proposed Agenda

Desired Outcomes:

- Review and agree on draft subcommittee recommendations
- Determine approach for any items that do not represent full consensus
- Review and discuss need for supporting documents/attachments
- Determine next steps for finalizing subcommittee recommendations

DAY 1 – Wednesday, May 5, 2010

8:00 – 8:30 **Coffee/Tea**

8:30 – 9:00 **Welcome, Introductions, Meeting Objectives, and Agenda**

Welcome and Opening Remarks [5 min]

Subcommittee Introductions [10 min]

Meeting Objectives, Agenda, and Ground Rules [15 min]

Jennifer Peyser, RESOLVE Facilitator

9:00 – 9:15 **Recap and Review of Recommendations Development**

Objective: Reminder/review of subcommittee and work group efforts to draft recommendations. Overview of recommendations and supporting documents.

Lee Paddock and David Paylor, Co-chairs

Jennifer Peyser, RESOLVE Facilitator

9:15 – 11:45 **Draft Subcommittee Recommendations**

(with break)

Objective: Review, discuss, and finalize recommendations to the greatest extent possible.

- Review draft SPES document, including stewardship definition, articulated need for stewardship, and recommendations
- Ask clarification questions
- Identify areas of agreement and finalize language (using “I can live with it” standard)
- For issues that do not represent consensus, determine approach for addressing in the document (i.e., remove, articulate majority/minority view)

Facilitated Discussion

11:45 – 12:00 **Review Progress**

Objective: Review morning’s progress and determine approach for afternoon discussions, including plenary and smaller breakout discussions, if needed.

12:00 – 1:15 **Lunch**

1:15 – 2:45 **Draft Energy Example**

Objective: Review draft energy example and finalize to greatest extent possible.

- Review draft energy example and ask clarification questions
- Identify areas of agreement and finalize language (using “I can live with it” standard)
- For issues that do not represent consensus, determine approach for addressing (i.e., remove, articulate majority/minority view)

2:45 – 3:00 **Break**

3:00 – 4:30 **Draft Subcommittee Recommendations – Plenary or Breakout Session Discussions**

Objective: In plenary or breakout sessions, continue review and discussion of subcommittee recommendations draft, including focus on finalizing language and articulating areas of agreement/disagreement.

4:30 – 4:45

Break

4:45 – 5:00

Public Comment

(Those interested in making comments during this designated period should register with EPA upon arrival. Time allowed for individual public comments may be limited depending on number of speakers.)

5:00 – 5:30 **Reflections on Day 1 Discussions and Looking Ahead to Day Two**

Objective: Review key points from day one discussions and approach for day two. If needed, breakout session representatives will share key points from afternoon discussions.

Lee Paddock and David Paylor, Co-chairs

5:30 **Adjourn for the day**

6:30 **Optional Group Happy Hour / Dinner at Circle Bistro**
(In the One Washington Circle Hotel)

DAY 2 – Thursday, May 6, 2010

8:00 – 8:30 **Coffee/Tea**

8:30 – 9:00 **Review Progress and Key Day Two Topics**

Objective: Review changes to recommendations document and energy example, and day two approach to address these and other supporting documents.

- Report/overview of edits to recommendations and energy example from day one afternoon breakout sessions (if needed)
- Discuss ideas/needs for other supporting documents (e.g., previous subcommittee work group drafts)
- Review items needing further work before conclusion of meeting

Facilitated Discussion

9:00 – 11:45 **Continue Work on Draft Documents**

(with break)

Objective: Continue discussion and finalization of recommendations document, energy example, and other supporting documents.

- Identify areas of agreement and finalize language (using “I can live with it” standard)
- For issues that do not represent consensus, determine approach for addressing in the document (i.e., remove, articulate majority/minority view)

Facilitated Discussion

11:45 – 12:00 **Review Progress and Determine Afternoon Agenda**

Objective: Review morning’s progress and determine approach for afternoon discussions.

12:00 – 1:15 **Lunch**

1:15 – 2:30 **Continue Work on Draft Documents**

2:30 – 2:45 **Break**

2:45 – 3:00 **Public Comment**
(Those interested in making comments at this meeting should register with EPA upon arrival. Time allowed for individual public comments may be limited depending on number of speakers.)

3:00 – 4:00 **Next Steps on Finalizing Recommendations**
Objective: Reflect on subcommittee process and determine specific steps and assignments for concluding the subcommittee recommendations.
Lee Paddock, Co-chair

4:00 **Adjourn**