

Brownfields Redevelopment

Indicator #7006

Overall Assessment

Status:	Mixed					
Trend:	Improving					
Rationale:	Data from multiple sources are not consistent. Inventories of existing brownfields are not available					
	in Ontario, so it is difficult to determine a trend for the redevelopment of brownfields. Since more					
	sites are being redeveloped and/or are being planned, there is some trend of an improvement in					
	the Great Lakes basin, but it is not based on a quantitative assessment.					

Lake-by-Lake Assessment

The data were not assessed on an individual lake basin scale.

Purpose

- To assess the area of redeveloped brownfields
- To evaluate over time the rate at which society remediates and reuses former developed sites that have been degraded or abandoned

Ecosystem Objective

The goal of brownfields redevelopment is to remove threats of contamination associated with these properties and to bring them back into productive use. Remediation and redevelopment of brownfields results in two types of ecosystem improvements:

- · Reduction or elimination of environmental risks from contamination associated with these properties
- Reductions in pressure for open space conversion as previously developed properties are reused

State of the Ecosystem

Brownfields are abandoned, idled, or under-used industrial and commercial facilities where expansion, redevelopment or reuse is complicated by real or perceived environmental contamination. In 1999, 21,178 brownfields sites were identified in the United States, which was equivalent to approximately 33,010 hectares (81,568 acres) of land (The United States Conference of Mayors 2000). Although similar research does not exist for Canada, and no inventory exists for either contaminated or brownfields sites in Ontario, it is estimated that approximately 50,000 to 100,000 brownfields sites may exist in Canada (Globe 2006).

All eight Great Lakes states, Ontario and Quebec have programs to promote remediation or clean-up and redevelopment of brownfields sites. Several of the brownfields clean-up programs have been in place since the mid- to late 1980s, but establishment of more comprehensive brownfields programs that focus on remediation and redevelopment has occurred during the 1990s. Today, each of the Great Lakes states has a voluntary clean-up or environmental response program and there are over 5,000 municipalities with some type of brownfields program in the U.S. (Globe 2006). These clean-up programs offer a range of risk-based, site-specific background and health clean-up standards that are applied based on the specifics of the contaminated property and its intended reuse.

In Quebec, the *Revi-Sols* program was established in 1998 and is aimed at assessing and cleaning urban contaminated sites for the purpose of reuse. Through this program, it was possible to collect some data on the number of contaminated sites in Quebec as it was compulsory for the land owner to report this information to complete the application for financing. Based on this program, more than 7,000 sites are included in this inventory.

To encourage redevelopment, Ontario's environmental legislation provides general protection from environmental orders for historic contamination to municipalities, creditors and others. Ontario Regulation 153/04, which came into effect on October 1, 2004, details the requirements that property owners must meet in order to file a record of site condition. Two technical documents are referenced by this regulation, one providing applicable site condition standards, the other providing laboratory analytical protocols for the analysis of soil, sediment and ground water. A Brownfields Environmental Site Registry offers property owners the opportunity to complete an online record of site condition, and this information is then publicly accessible. This registry is currently voluntary. As of October 2005, property owners are required to file a record of site condition before a property's use is

changed from an industrial or commercial use to a more sensitive use, such as residential. A record of site condition ensures that a property meets regulated site-assessment and clean-up standards that are appropriate for the new use (Ontario Ministry of the Environment 2006).

The 2003 enactment of the New York State Brownfield Law has resulted in increased interest by private developers and municipalities in the redevelopment of contaminated properties.

Efforts to track brownfields redevelopment are uneven among Great Lakes states and provinces. Not all jurisdictions track brownfields activities, and methods vary where tracking does take place. States, provinces and municipalities track the amount of funding assistance provided as well as the number of sites that have been redeveloped. They also track the number of applications that have been received for brownfields redevelopment funding. These are indicators of the level of brownfields redevelopment activity in general, but they do not necessarily reflect land renewal efforts (i.e., area of land redeveloped), the desired measure for this indicator. Compiling state and provincial data to report a brownfields figure that represents the collective eight states and two provinces is challenging. Several issues are prominent. First, state and provincial clean-up data reflect different types of clean-ups, not all of which are "brownfields" (e.g., some include leaking underground storage tanks and others do not). Second, some jurisdictions have more than one program, and not necessarily all relevant programs engage in such tracking. Third, program figures do not include clean-ups that have not been part of a state or provincial clean-up program (e.g., local or private clean-ups). Several states and provinces do track area of brownfields remediated, although no Great Lakes state or province tracks area of brownfields redeveloped.

Information on area of brownfields remediated from Illinois, Minnesota, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Quebec and Ontario indicate that, as of August, 2002, a total of 13,413 hectares (33,143 acres) had been remediated (Table 1). Available data from eight GreatLakes states, Quebec and Ontario indicate that almost 27,000 brownfields sites have participated in brownfields clean-up programs since the mid-1990s, although the degree of remediation varies considerably. In Ontario, brownfields redevelopment is planned for 108 hectares (267 acres) of land between 2006 and 2008 for the municipalities that participated in this assessment.

State/Province	Acres remediated	Hectares remediated	Time frame	Sites remediated	Time frame
WI	1,220	494	2004-2006	18,000	1994-2005
PA	13,229	5354	2000-2006	1,097	1996-2002
OH	4,204	1701	1994-2006	156	1996-2002
MI	not tracked	not tracked		5,539†	1995-2002
IN	104	42	2006	32*	2006
MN	7,047	2852	1998-2002	462	1998-2002
IL	6,412	2595	1990-2001	899	1990-2001
NY	55	22	2000-2002	16	2000-2002
ON	235	95	2002-2005	13	2002-2005
QC	741	300	1998-2002	309	1998-2005
Total	33,247	13,455		26,523	

Table 1. Summary of acres remediated and number of sites remediated in the Great Lakes basin states and the provinces of Ontario and Quebec, 1990 – 2006.

†Reflects number of sites that have been subject to a baseline environmental assessment, but not necessarily remediation

*Total reflects number of sites that have been remediated and/or have received closure with the use of Environmental Restrictive Covenants.

Source: Various state, municipal and provincial brownfields coordinators and city planners

Remediation is a necessary precursor to redevelopment. Remediation is often used interchangeably with "clean-up," though brownfields remediation does not always involve removing or treating contaminants. Many remediation strategies utilize either engineering or institutional controls (also known as exposure controls) or adaptive reuse techniques that are designed to limit the spread of, or human exposure to, contaminants left in place. In many cases, the cost of treatment or removal of contaminants would prohibit reuse of land. All Great Lakes states and provinces allow some contaminants to remain on site as long as the risks of being exposed to those contaminants are eliminated or reduced to acceptable levels. Capping a site with clean soil or restricting the use of groundwater are examples of these "exposure controls" and their use has been a major factor in advancing brownfields redevelopment. Several jurisdictions keep track of the number and location of sites with exposure controls, but monitoring the effectiveness of such controls occurs in only three out of the ten jurisdictions.

Redevelopment is a criterion for eligibility under many state brownfields clean-up programs. Though there are inconsistent and inadequate data on area of brownfields remediated and/or redeveloped, available data indicate that both brownfields clean-up and redevelopment efforts have risen dramatically in the mid-1990s and steadily since 2000. The increase is due to risk-based clean-up standards and the widespread use of state liability relief mechanisms that allow private parties to redevelop, buy or sell properties without being liable for contamination they did not cause. Canadian law does not provide liability exemptions for new owners such as those in the U.S. Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act (Globe 2006). Environmental liability is a major barrier to successful brownfields redevelopment in Canada. Current owners do not want to sell brownfields sites for fear of liability when they become site owners (City of Hamilton Planning and Development Department 2007). The Ontario Ministry of Finance has proposed changes under Bill 130 (Municipal Statute Law Amendment Act, 2006) which would allow brownfields to be advertised as "free" of any provincial crown liens if a municipality assumes ownership of a property with a failed tax sale. Also, under certain circumstances, this new policy will allow for the removal of crown liens on brownfields properties at tax sale. If passed, this change in legislation would reduce some of the issues related to civil and regulatory liabilities. One recommendation is that once a property owner has met regulatory standards in the cleanup phase that they are not forced to meet stricter standards in the future.

In 2005, the Government of Canada allocated \$150 million for brownfields remediation. Other initiatives include the Sustainable Technologies Canada Funding, and the Federal Contaminated Sites Action Plan. Also, more financial tools for brownfields redevelopment are available though a Community Improvement Plan (CIP), which allows municipalities to encourage brownfields redevelopment by offering financial incentives. Other grants and loans can be provided to supplement the CIP including an exemption or a reduction in the cost of fees associated with permits, parkland dedications and zoning amendments. Tax incentives can also be provided by municipalities to encourage the cleanup of contaminated sites (Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, 2006).

Data also indicate that the majority of clean-ups in the Great Lakes states and provinces are occurring in older urbanized areas, many of which are located on the shoreline of the Great Lakes and in the basin. Based on the available information, the state of brownfields redevelopment is mixed and improving.

Pressures

Laws and policies that encourage new development to occur on undeveloped land instead of on urban brownfields are significant and on-going pressures against brownfield development can be expected to continue. Programs to monitor, verify and enforce effectiveness of exposure controls are in their infancy, and the potential for human exposure to contaminants may inhibit the redevelopment of brownfields. Several Great Lakes states allow brownfields redevelopment to proceed without cleaning up contaminated groundwater as long as no one is going to use or come into contact with that water. However, where migrating groundwater



Figure 1. Redeveloped brownfields site, Spencer Creek, Hamilton, Ontario. Source: City of Hamilton

plumes ultimately interface with surface waters, some surface water quality may continue to be at risk from brownfields contamination even where brownfields have been remediated.

Management Implications

Programs to monitor and enforce exposure controls need to be fully developed and implemented. More research is needed to determine the relationship between groundwater supplies and Great Lakes surface waters and their tributaries. Because brownfields redevelopment results in both reduction or elimination of environmental risks from past contamination and reduction in pressure for open space land conversion, data should be collected that will enable an evaluation of each of these activities. For every hectare (2.5 acres) developed in a brownfields project, it can save an estimated minimum of 4.5 hectares (11 acres) of land from being developed in an outlying area (National Roundtable on the Environment and the Economy 2003).

Ontario is expected to add 3.7 million more people to its population in the next 25 years with most of the growth occurring in the Greater Golden Horseshoe (western end of Lake Ontario) (Ontario Ministry of Public Infrastructure Renewal 2006). Brownfields redevelopment needs to be a part of the planning and development reform in order to address the issue of urban sprawl.

Funding and liability issues are obstacles for brownfields redevelopment and can hinder progress.

Comments from the author(s)

Great Lakes states and provinces have begun to track brownfields remediation and or redevelopment, but the data are generally inconsistent or not available in ways that are helpful to assess progress toward meeting the terms of the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement. Though some jurisdictions have begun to implement web-based searchable applications for users to query the status of brownfields sites, the data gathered are not necessary consistent, which presents challenges for assessing progress in the entire basin. States and provinces should develop common tracking methods and work with local jurisdictions incorporating local data to online databases that can be searched by: 1) area remediated; 2) mass of contamination removed or treated (i.e., not requiring an exposure control); 3) type of treatment; 4) geographic location; 5) level of urbanization; and 6) type of reuse (i.e., commercial, residential, open, none, etc.). A recent development in the province of Ontario is the designation of a Provincial Brownfields activities and provide a single point of access on brownfields in Ontario.

Acknowledgments

Author:

Victoria Pebbles, Senior Project Manager, Transportation and Sustainable Development, Great Lakes Commission, Ann Arbor, MI. vpebbles@glc.org, www.glc.org.

Updated by:

Stacey Cherwaty-Pergentile, A/Science Liaison Officer, Environment Canada, Burlington, ON. Stacey.Cherwaty@ec.gc.ca Elizabeth Hinchey Malloy, Great Lakes Ecosystem Extension Specialist, Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant, Chicago, IL. Hinchey. Elizabeth@epa.gov

Contributors: Personal communication with Great Lakes State Brownfields/Voluntary Cleanup Program Managers: David E. Hess, Director, Land Recycling Program, Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection

Andrew Savagian, Outreach Specialist, Remediation and Redevelopment (RR) Program, Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

Ron Smedley, Brownfield Redevelopment Coordinator, Michigan DEQ Remediation and Redevelopment Gerald Stahnke, Project Leader, Voluntary Investigation and Cleanup Unit, Minnesota Pollution Control Agency Susan Tynes Harrington, Indiana Brownfields Program, Indiana Finance Authority Amy Yersavich, Manager, Voluntary Action Program, Ohio EPA

Personal communication with Provincial as well as Canadian municipalities within the Great Lakes basin including: City of Barrie, Nancy Farrer, Policy Planner

City of Cornwall, Ken Bedford, Senior Planner

City of Hamilton, Carolynn Reid, Brownfields Coordinator

City of Mississauga, Jeff Smylie, Environmental Engineer

City of Kingston, Joseph Davis, Manager, Brownfields and Initiatives

City of Kitchener, Terry Boutilier, Brownfields Coordinator

City of London, Terry Grawey, Planning Division City of Thunder Bay, Katherine Dugmore, Manager of Planning Division City of Toronto, Glenn Walker, Economic Development Officer City of Toronto Economic Development Corporation (TEDCO) Province of Quebec, Michel Beaulieu

Sources

References Cited

City of Hamilton Planning and Development Department, 2007. *Brownfields Redevelopment versus Greenfield Development*, <u>http://www.myhamilton.ca/NR/rdonlyres/AF1FEA4C-333C-440D-9E12-1738401841F5/0/PTPBrownfieldvsGreenfieldDevelopment.pdf</u>, last accessed 30 May 2007.

Globe 2006. Bureau of National Affairs, Inc., Washington, D.C., 27(7):254-259.

Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, 2006. *Financial Tools for Brownfields Redevelopment*, Available online at: <u>www.brownfields.ontario.ca</u>.

Ontario Ministry of Public Infrastructure and Renewal, 2006. *Places to Grow: Better Choices, Bright Futures – Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe.*

Ontario Ministry of the Environment, 2006. Ontario's Brownfields Legislation Promotes Stronger, Healthier Communities – News Release, <u>www.ene.gov.on.ca/envision/news/2005/062201.htm</u>, last accessed 11 October 2006.

National Round Table on the Environment and the Economy 2003. *Cleaning Up the Past, Building the Future. A National Brownfields Redevelopment Strategy for Canada.*, ISBN 1-894737-05-9, <u>http://www.nrtee-trnee.ca/Publications/HTML/SOD</u>Brownfields-Strategy_E.htm, last accessed 11 October 2006. *[Editor's note: This publication is now available at, http://www.nrtee-trnee.ca/eng/publications/brownfield-redevelopment-strategy/Brownfield-Redevelopment-Strategy-eng.htm.]*

The United States Conference of Mayors, 2000. A National Report on Brownfields Redevelopment - Volume 3. Feb. 2000, pp.12.

Other Selected Resources

Association of Municipalities of Ontario Report on Brownfields Redevelopment 2006. *What has been Achieved, What Remains to be done,* <u>http://www.amo.on.ca/AM/Template.cfm?Section=Events1&Template=/CM/HTMLDisplay.cfm&ContentID=65396,</u> last accessed 11 October 2006.

Delcan, Golder Associates Ltd., and McCarthy – Tetrault. (1997) Urban Brownfields: Case Studies for Sustainable Economic Development. The Canadian Example. Canada Mortgage and Housing, p. 1.

Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, 2006a. Brownfields Redevelopment in Small Urban and Rural Municipalities. Available online at: <u>www.brownfields.ontario.ca</u> [Editor's note: A PDF of the document is also available at, <u>http://www.mah.gov.on.ca/AssetFactory.aspx?did=1511.</u>]

Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, 2006b *Brownfields Ontario* <u>www.mah.gov.on.ca/userfiles/HTML/nts_1</u> <u>3305_1.html</u>, last accessed 11 October 2006. *[Editor's note: If the link is inoperative, the* Brownfields Ontario page can also be found at, <u>http://www.mah.gov.on.ca/Page220.aspx.]</u>

Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, 2006c. Remarks from Honourable John Gerretsen, Association of Municipalities of Ontario Annual Conference, August 15, 2006. www.mah.gov.ca/userfiles/HTML/nts_1_27611_1.html, last accessed 11 October 2006.

Stakeholders Urge Government to Limit Brownfields Liability, 2006. <u>http://www.willmsshier.com/newsletters.asp?id=30</u>, last accessed 11 October 2006.

Last Updated

State of the Great Lakes 2007