

## Zooplankton Populations

Indicator #116

### Overall Assessment

Status: **Mixed**  
 Trend: **Undetermined**  
 Rationale: **Changes in community structure are occurring in Lake Michigan, Lake Huron, and Lake Ontario due to declines in cyclopoid copepods and cladocerans. Summer mean size has increased in these lakes concurrent with the increase in the percent of calanoid copepods.**

### Lake-by-Lake Assessment

#### Lake Superior

Status: Good  
 Trend: Unchanging  
 Rationale: Stable summer zooplankton community is dominated by large calanoid copepods.

#### Lake Michigan

Status: Not Assessed  
 Trend: Undetermined (changing)  
 Rationale: Total summer biomass has been declining since 2004 due to fewer *Daphnia* and cyclopoid copepods. Summer mean size of zooplankton is increasing.

#### Lake Huron

Status: Not Assessed  
 Trend: Undetermined (changing)  
 Rationale: Total summer biomass has declined dramatically since 2003 due to fewer *Daphnia*, bosminids, and cyclopoid copepods. Summer mean size of zooplankton is increasing.

#### Lake Erie

Status: Not Assessed  
 Trend: Undetermined  
 Rationale: Variable biomass and composition of summer crustacean zooplankton community in each basin. Most diverse zooplankton community in the Great Lakes. Very low biomass in Western basin in August, 2001.

#### Lake Ontario

Status: Not Assessed  
 Trend: Undetermined (changing)  
 Rationale: Lowest percentage of calanoid copepods of all Great Lakes. Total summer biomass has declined since 2004 due to a decline in cyclopoid copepods. Summer mean size of zooplankton is increasing.

### Purpose

- To directly measure changes in community composition, mean individual size and biomass of zooplankton populations in the Great Lakes basin
- To indirectly measure zooplankton production
- To infer changes in food-web dynamics due to changes in vertebrate or invertebrate predation, system productivity, the type and intensity of predation, and the energy transfer within a system

### Ecosystem Objective

Ultimately, analysis of this indicator should provide information on the biological integrity of the Great Lakes and lead to the support of a healthy and diverse fishery. Suggested metrics include zooplankton mean length, the ratio of calanoid copepod abundance to that of cyclopoid copepods plus cladocerans, and zooplankton biomass. However, the relationships between these objectives and the suggested metrics have not been fully worked out, and no specific criteria have yet been identified for these metrics.

# STATE OF THE GREAT LAKES 2007

Planktivorous fish often feed size selectively, removing larger cladocerans and copepods. High densities of planktivores result in a reduction of the mean size of zooplankton in a community. A mean individual size of 0.8 mm has been suggested as “optimal” for zooplankton communities sampled with a 153 µm mesh net, indicating a balance between planktivorous and piscivorous fish (Mills *et al.* 1987). Declines in mean size of crustacean zooplankton between spring and late summer may indicate increased predation by young fish or the presence of a greater proportion of immature zooplankton. Interpretation of deviations from this average size objective, and the universality of this objective remain unclear at this time. In particular, questions regarding its applicability to systems impacted by predaceous cladocerans and dreissenids as well as planktivorous fish have been raised.


Gannon and Stemberger (1978) found that cladocerans and cyclopoid copepods are more abundant in nutrient enriched waters of the Great Lakes, while calanoid copepods dominate oligotrophic communities. They reported that areas of the Great Lakes where the density of calanoid copepods comprises over 50% of the summer crustacean zooplankton community (or the ratio calanoids/(cyclopoids + cladocerans) is greater than 1) could be classified as oligotrophic. As with individual mean size though, clear objectives have not presently been defined.

## State of the Ecosystem

Summer biomass of crustacean zooplankton communities in the offshore waters of Lake Superior has remained at a relatively low but stable level for the past seven years (Figure 1). The plankton community is dominated by large calanoid copepods (*Leptodiaptomus sicilis* and *Limnocalanus macrurus*) that are characteristic of oligotrophic, cold water ecosystems. Biomass is generally higher in the nutrient enriched lower lakes with more annual variation produced by seasonal increases in cladocerans, primarily daphnids and bosminids. Since 2003 the biomass of cladocerans and cyclopoid copepods in Lake Huron has declined dramatically. Data from 2005 suggest that a similar decline may now be occurring in Lake Michigan. Cyclopoid abundance has also begun to decline in Lake Ontario. Mechanisms for these declines are not known at this time, but they may be related to changes in nutrient levels, phytoplankton composition, exotic species interactions, or fish predation pressure.

The proportion of calanoid copepods in Lake Superior has remained fairly stable at 70%, indicating oligotrophic conditions (Figure 2). Summer zooplankton communities in Lake Michigan and Lake Huron have shown an increasing proportion of calanoid copepods in recent years, suggesting an improved trophic state. Lake Ontario has the lowest proportion of calanoids, followed closely by the nutrient enriched western basin of Lake Erie. Values for the central and eastern basins of Lake Erie are at intermediate levels and exhibit considerable annual variation.

Historical comparisons of this metric are difficult to make because most historical data



**Figure 1.** Average composition of crustacean zooplankton biomass at Great Lakes offshore stations sampled in August of each year.

Samples were collected with 153µm mesh net tows to a depth of 100 m or the bottom of the water column, whichever was shallower.

Source: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Great Lakes National Program Office

# STATE OF THE GREAT LAKES 2007


on zooplankton populations in the Great Lakes seem to have been generated using shallow (20 m) tows. Calanoid copepods tend to be deep living organisms. Therefore, the use of data generated from shallow tows would tend to contribute a strong bias to this metric. This problem is largely avoided in Lake Erie, particularly in the western and central basins, where most sites are shallower than 20 m. Comparisons in those two basins have shown a statistically significant increase in the ratio calanoids/(cladocerans + cyclopoids) between 1970 and 1983-1987, with this increase sustained throughout the 1990s. A similar increase was seen in the eastern basin, although some of the data used to calculate the ratio were generated from shallow tows and are therefore subject to doubt.

Mean length of crustacean zooplankton in the offshore waters of the Great Lakes is generally greater in the spring than during the summer (Figure 3). In the spring, mean zooplankton size in all of the Great Lakes is near the suggested level of 0.8 mm. Mean length in Lake Superior declines during the summer due to the production of immature copepodids, but it is still above the criterion. Summer mean lengths in Lake Huron and Lake Michigan remain high and have begun to show an increase in recent years. In Lake Erie and Lake Ontario, the mean length of zooplankton declines considerably in the summer. Whether this decline is due to predation pressure or to the increased abundance of bosminids (0.4 mm mean length) and immature cyclopoids (0.65 mm mean length) is unknown.

Historical data from the eastern basin of Lake Erie, from 1985 to 1998, indicate a fair amount of interannual variability in zooplankton mean length, with values from offshore sites ranging from about 0.5 to 0.85 mm (Figure 4). As noted above, interpretation of these data is currently problematic.

## Pressures


The zooplankton community might be expected to respond to changes in nutrient and phytoplankton concentrations in the lakes, although the potential magnitude of such “bottom up” effects is not well understood. The most immediate potential threat to the zooplankton communities of the Great Lakes is posed by invasive species. The continued proliferation of dreissenid populations can be expected to impact zooplankton communities through the alteration of the structure and abundance of the phytoplankton community, upon which many zooplankton depend for food. Predation from the exotic cladocerans *Bythotrephes longimanus* and *Cercopagis pengoi* may also have an impact on zooplankton abundance and community composition. *Bythotrephes* has been in the Great Lakes for approximately twenty years and is suspected to have had a major impact on zooplankton community structure.



**Figure 2.** Average percentage of calanoid copepods (by abundance) in crustacean zooplankton communities from Great Lakes offshore stations sampled in August of each year.

Samples were collected with 153µm mesh net tows to a depth of 100 m or the bottom of the water column, whichever was shallower. Line at 50% level is the suggested criterion for oligotrophic lakes.

Source: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Great Lakes National Program Office



**Figure 3.** Average individual mean lengths of crustacean zooplankton in the Great Lakes in May and August.

Length estimates were generated from data collected with 153µm mesh net tows to a depth of 100 m or the bottom of the water column, whichever was shallower. Values are the indicate arithmetic averages of all sites sampled. Line at 0.8 mm is the suggested criterion for balanced fish community.

Source: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Great Lakes National Program Office

*Cercopagis pengoi* was first noted in Lake Ontario in 1998 and has now spread to the other lakes, although in much lower densities. Continuing changes in predation pressure from planktivorous fish may also impact the system

### Management Implications

Continued monitoring of the offshore zooplankton communities of the Great Lakes is critical, particularly considering the current expansion of the range of the non-native cladoceran *Cercopagis* and the probability of future invasive non-native zooplankton and fish species.

### Comments from the author(s)

Currently the most critical need is for the development of quantitative, objective criteria that can be applied to the zooplankton indicator. The applicability of current metrics to the Great Lakes is largely unknown, as are the limits that would correspond to acceptable ecosystem health.

The implementation of a long-term monitoring program on the Canadian side is also desirable to expand both the spatial and the temporal coverage currently provided by American efforts. Since the interpretation of various indices is dependent to a large extent upon the sampling methods employed, coordination between these two programs, both with regard to sampling dates and locations, and especially with regard to methods, would be highly recommended.

### Acknowledgments

Authors and Contributors:

Mary Balcer, University of Wisconsin-Superior, Superior, WI, mbalcer@uwsuper.edu

Richard P. Barbiero, Computer Sciences Corporation, Chicago, IL, Chicago, IL

Marc L. Tuchman, Great Lakes National Program Office (USEPA), Chicago, IL

Ora Johannsson, Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Burlington, Ontario Canada

### Sources

Gannon, J.E. and Stemberger, R.S. 1978. Zooplankton (Especially Crustaceans and Rotifers) as Indicators of Water Quality, *Trans. Amer. Micros. Soc.* 97, 16–35.


Johannsson, O.E., Dumitru, C., and Graham, D.M. 1999. Examination of zooplankton mean length for use in an index of fish community structure and application in Lake Erie. *J. Great Lakes Res.* 25:179-186.

Mills, E.L., Green, D.M., and Schiavone, A. 1987. Use of zooplankton size to assess the community structure of fish populations in freshwater lakes. *N. Am. J. Fish. Manage.* 7:369-378.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Great Lakes National Program Office, Chicago, IL, Biological Open Water Surveillance Program of the Laurentian Great Lakes, unpublished data (2000-2005), produced through cooperative agreement GL-96513791 with the University of Wisconsin-Superior.

### Last Updated

State of the Great Lakes 2007



**Figure 4.** Trend in Jun27-Sep30 mean zooplankton length.

New York Department of Environmental Conservation data (circles) collected with 153µm mesh net, Department of Fisheries and Oceans (Canada) data (diamonds) converted from 64µm to 153µm mesh equivalent. Open symbols = offshore, solid symbols = nearshore (<12m). 1985-1988 are means +/- 1 S.E.

Source: Johannsson *et al.* (1999)