

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Environmental and Sustainable Technology Evaluation: Mold-Resistant Armacell Insulation – Armacell LLC, AP Armaflex Black



Prepared by
Research Triangle Institute



For
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Research and Development- Environmental Technology Verification Program

RTI International/EPA
April 2011

Page intentionally left for EPA Review Notice

**THE ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY VERIFICATION PROGRAM
Environmental and Sustainable Technology Evaluation (ESTE)**



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency



Research Triangle Institute

ESTE Joint Verification Statement

TECHNOLOGY TYPE:	Mold-Resistant Insulation Product
APPLICATION:	Insulation
TECHNOLOGY NAME:	AP Armaflex Black
COMPANY:	Armacell LLC
ADDRESS:	Mebane, North Carolina

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has created the Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program to facilitate the deployment of innovative or improved environmental technologies through performance verification and dissemination of information. The goal of the ETV Program is to further environmental protection and sustainability by accelerating the acceptance and use of improved and cost-effective technologies. ETV seeks to achieve this goal by providing high quality, peer-reviewed data on technology performance to those involved in the purchase, design, distribution, financing, permitting, and use of environmental technologies. This verification was conducted under the Environmental and Sustainable Technology Evaluation (ESTE) element of the ETV Program that was designed to address agency priorities for technology verification.

This ESTE project involved evaluation of the mold resistance of Armacell AP Armaflex Black insulation. Tests for emissions of VOCs and formaldehyde were also performed. For this project Research Triangle Institute (RTI) was the responsible contractor for EPA Office of Research and Development, National Risk Management Research Laboratory (NRMRL).

This verification statement provides a summary of the test results for Armacell AP Armaflex Black insulation.

TECHNOLOGY DESCRIPTION

The following description of the product was provided by the vendor and was not verified. AP Armaflex Roll Insulation is a black flexible closed-cell, fiber-free elastomeric thermal insulation. It is furnished with a smooth skin on one side which forms the outer exposed insulation surface. The expanded closed-cell structure makes it an efficient insulation for ductwork, large piping, fittings, tanks and vessels. AP Armaflex products are made with Microban® antimicrobial product protection for added defense against mold on the insulation.

Figures 2-1 and 2-2 show the top and bottom surfaces of the material.



Figure S-1. Top (outer) surface of material



Figure S-2. Bottom (inner) surface of material

VERIFICATION TEST DESCRIPTION

Verification testing of the Armacell AP Armaflex Black insulation began on April 14, 2010 at the microbiology laboratories of RTI International and was completed on July 8, 2010. All tests were performed according to the ETV Program's "Test/QA Plan for Mold-Resistant Building Material Testing." Mold resistance testing was performed following the guidelines outlined in ASTM 6329. ASTM 6329 provides a quantitative endpoint for growth in a well-controlled, static chamber environment. The method has been successfully used to evaluate fungal resistance on a variety of materials including ceiling tiles and HVAC duct materials.

In overview, the Armaflex Black insulation roll was cut aseptically with a razor blade into a number of small test pieces (at least 4 cm x 4 cm). The material was not autoclaved or sterilized

prior to inoculation. Therefore, in addition to the test organism inocula, any organisms naturally on both the top and bottom surfaces of the material had the opportunity to grow if conditions were favorable for growth. The test organisms were inoculated by pipette directly onto the surface of each test material piece in sufficiently high numbers to provide an adequate challenge, but at a level that is realistic to quantify. The tests ran for 12 weeks. During the 12 week test period, data from four test dates, labeled Day 0, Week 1, Week 6, and Week 12, were evaluated. Day 0 samples provided the baseline inoculum level. A sufficient number of test pieces were inoculated simultaneously for all four test dates. All pieces for one material and one test organism were put in the same static chamber. Because ASTM 6329 calls for a reference material similar to the test material, the reference material chosen for comparison was insulation purchased in a local home improvement chain store.

Two test organisms, *Stachybotrys chartarum* and *Aspergillus versicolor* were used. The static chambers were set to 100% equilibrium relative humidity (ERH) for the tests with *S. chartarum* and to 85% ERH for *A. versicolor*. On each test date (including Day 0), five replicates of the test material pieces were removed from the chamber, each was placed separately in a container with sterile buffer, and extracted by shaking. The resulting suspension of eluted organisms was plated and microbial growth on materials was quantified by manually enumerating colony-forming units (CFU).

The numbers of CFU eluted on test dates Weeks 1, 6, and 12 were compared to the baseline at Day 0. The numbers of CFU were expressed as \log_{10} . The results are reported as the \log_{10} change in CFUs between Day 0 and Week 1, Day 0 and Week 6, and Day 0 and Week 12.

Additional measurements included VOC and aldehyde emissions; these were performed by RTI following ASTM D5116-06.

VERIFICATION OF PERFORMANCE

The results for the Mold Resistance tests are presented in the Figures S-3 and S-4. Growth is measured by sporulation and is defined as at least a 1 \log_{10} increase in culturable organisms over the baseline which was determined on Day 0.

Figure S-3 shows the log change in *A. versicolor* and Figure S-4 shows the log change in *S. chartarum* on both the test and reference materials as well as the growth of naturally occurring fungi on the reference material.

Neither the test material nor the reference material inoculated with *A. versicolor* and incubated at 85% ERH showed growth during the 12 weeks of the test. It was important to check that none of the changes made to the test material to make it mold resistant actually enhanced the ability of mold to grow over the reference material.

Neither the test material nor the reference material inoculated with *S. chartarum* and incubated at 100% ERH showed growth during the 12 weeks of the test. The growth of a variety of fungal species on some pieces (naturally occurring on the sample) made it difficult to accurately assess the *S. chartarum* growth on the reference material.

At Day 0 the numbers of naturally occurring fungi were below the detection limit on both the test and the reference materials. However, the growth of the naturally occurring fungi on the reference material became a notable quantity by week 6.

The quality assurance officer reviewed the test results and the quality control data and concluded




Figure S-3. Log change in *Aspergillus versicolor* inoculated on the test material over 12 weeks on the insulation reference material and Armacell.




Figure S-4. Log change in *Stachybotrys chartarum* inoculated on the test material over 12 weeks on the insulation reference material and Armacell.

that the data quality objectives given in the approved test/QA plan were attained.

The emissions of VOCs and formaldehyde test results are presented in Table S-1.

Table S-1. Test results for VOCs and formaldehyde emissions from Armacell

VOCs and Formaldehyde Emissions*	
Emission Types	Minimum emission results
Total VOCs	< 0.5 mg/m ³
Formaldehyde	<0.1 ppm
Individual VOCs	< 0.1 TLV

*Individual pollutants must produce an air concentration level no greater than 1/10 the threshold limit value (TLV) industrial workplace standard (Reference: American Conference of Government Industrial Hygienists, 6500 Glenway, Building D-7, Cincinnati, OH 45211-4438.

This verification statement discusses two aspects of Mold-Resistant Building Material Testing, mold resistance and emissions of VOCs and formaldehyde. Users of this technology may wish to consider other performance parameters such as fire resistance, service life, and cost when selecting a building material. According to the test/QA plan, this verification statement is valid for 3 years following the last signature added on the verification statement.

Details of the verification test design, measurement test procedures, and Quality Assurance/Quality Control Procedures can be found in the Test Plan titled *Test/QA Plan for Mold-Resistant Building Material Testing* (RTI 2008). Detailed results of the verification are presented in the Final Report titled *Environmental and Sustainable Technology Evaluation: Mold-Resistant Armacell Insulation – Armacell LLC, AP Armaflex Black* (NRMRL-RTP-460). Both can be downloaded from the ETV Program website (<http://www.epa.gov/etv/este.html#mrbmgw>).

“original signed by Sally Gutierrez 10/14/11”
Sally Gutierrez
NRMRL Laboratory Director

“original signed by Karin Foarde 11/21/11”
Karin Foarde
Center for Microbial Community Systems and
Health Director
Research Triangle Institute

Office of Research and Development

NOTICE: ETV verifications are based on an evaluation of technology performance under specific, predetermined criteria and the appropriate quality assurance procedures. EPA and RTI make no expressed or implied warranties as to the performance of the technology and do not certify that a technology will always operate as verified. The end user is solely responsible for complying with any and all applicable federal, state, and local requirements. Mention of commercial product names does not imply endorsement.

EPA REVIEW NOTICE This report has been peer and administratively reviewed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.