US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

of any SSOs in areas in which the sewer pipes have been rehabilitated, and a description of

measures that will be taken to help prevent these SSOs in the future.

1

2

3	XIII. ANNUAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS		
4			
	g y same a second the ball and the		
5	Termination Date, each Defendant shall submit to Plaintiffs, with a copy to Intervenor, an annua		
6	progress report ("Annual Report").		
7	89. Contents. Each Defendant's Annual Report shall include a summary discussion		
8	of each of the following for the period from January 1 to December 31 of the prior year:		
9	(a) Information required to be reported in the Annual Report by the		
10	Defendant, as applicable, as set forth in Sections VI through XII, as set forth below:		
11,	i) For each Defendant, beginning in 2011: Copy of Annual Report of		
12	Sanitary Sewer Overflows, annotated as necessary.		
13	ii) For the City of Oakland, beginning in 2011: Sewer Repair,		
14	Rehabilitation, and Replacement Program.		
15	iii) For the City of Alameda, beginning in 2012: Sewer Cleaning and		
16	Root Control Program.		
17	iv) For the Cities of Albany and Berkeley, beginning in 2011: Sewer		
18	Cleaning and Inspection Program.		
19	v) For the City of Emeryville, beginning in 2011: Sewer Pipe and		
20	Maintenance Hole Inspection Program.		
21	vi) For the Cities of Berkeley and Piedmont, beginning in 2011: Sewer		
22	Pipe Repair, and Rehabilitation Program.		
23	vii) For each Defendant, beginning in 2012: Inflow Identification and		
24	Reduction Program.		
25	viii) For Defendants who implement their own Private Sewer Lateral		
26	Ordinance, beginning in 2012: Private Sewer Lateral Repair and Replacement Program.		
27	ix) For the City of Oakland, beginning in 2012: Sewer Cleaning and		
28	Root Control Program.		

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2

3

4

5

6

7

x)	For the City of Oakland, beginning in 2012: Sewer Pipe	and
Maintenance Hole Inspection	Program.	

- xi) For each Defendant, beginning in 2013: Asset Management Implementation Program.
- xii) For the Cities of Alameda and Oakland, beginning in 2013: Pump Station Improvement Program Progress Report.
- (b) A list of all Deliverables submitted to Plaintiffs during the reporting period, and actions taken on those Deliverables,
- (c) A description of any known noncompliance with this Stipulated Order during the reporting period; and
- (d) Any recommended or required changes to the work required of the Defendant by the applicable provisions of Sections VI XII, including any proposed material modifications to any Deliverable, for the following year.

If the Annual Report documents that any of the obligations subject to stipulated penalties may not have been complied with, and the Defendant submitting the Annual Report takes the position that potentially applicable stipulated penalties should not be assessed or, pursuant to Paragraph 99, should be reduced or waived, the Defendant may include in the Annual Report an explanation as to why Plaintiffs should forego collecting such penalties; provided however that not including such information does not prejudice the Defendant from providing such or additional information to Plaintiffs or the Court in Dispute Resolution under Section XVII.

90. Each Annual Report shall be signed by an official of the Defendant and include the following certification:

I certify under penalty of law that this document and its attachments were prepared either by me personally or under my direction or supervision in a manner designed to ensure that qualified and knowledgeable personnel properly gathered and presented the information contained therein. I further certify, based on my personal knowledge or on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, that to the best of my knowledge and belief the information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing and willful submission of a materially false statement.

1

7 8 9

10 11

13 14

12

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23 24

25 26

28

27

The reporting requirements of this Stipulated Order do not relieve any Defendant 91. of any reporting obligations required by the CWA or the California Water Code or their implementing regulations, or by any other federal, State, or local law, regulation, permit, or other requirement.

REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF DELIVERABLES

- 92. Within 90 days of submission to EPA of any Deliverable, EPA, following consultation with the Regional Water Board, shall, in writing: (a) approve the Deliverable, (b) approve the Deliverable with conditions, (c) approve part of the Deliverable and disapprove the remainder, or (d) disapprove the Deliverable. If EPA does not do one of these four things within the 90-day period, a Defendant shall have the right to invoke the procedures set forth in Section XVII (Dispute Resolution). EPA shall use its best efforts to timely respond to any Deliverable as provided for by this Paragraph and promptly communicate with an affected Defendant at such time as it becomes aware of any constraint on timely response to a Deliverable. Consistent with the requirements of Section XXI (Notices), when a Defendant submits a Deliverable to EPA for review and approval, the Defendant shall concurrently provide the Regional Water Board and Baykeeper with a copy of the Deliverable. Baykeeper shall have no more than 21 days from receipt of any Deliverable to provide written comments on the Deliverable to EPA and the Regional Water Board. If Baykeeper provides timely comments on a Deliverable, EPA will consult with Baykeeper before making a decision as to whether and/or how to approve the Deliverable. If Baykeeper does not intend to comment on a Deliverable, it will provide notice to EPA and the Regional Water Board as soon as practicable after receipt of the Deliverable.
- 93. If a Deliverable is approved pursuant to this Section, the Defendant shall take all actions required by the Deliverable, in accordance with the schedules and requirements of the Deliverable as approved. If the Deliverable is conditionally approved or approved only in part, the Defendant shall, upon written direction of EPA, following EPA's consultation with the Regional Water Board, take all actions required by the approved Deliverable that EPA determines are technically severable from any disapproved portions, subject to the Defendant's

right to dispute only the specified conditions or the disapproved portions, under Section XVII (Dispute Resolution).

- 94. If the Deliverable is disapproved in whole or in part pursuant to this Section, the Defendant shall, within 60 days or such other time as the Parties agree to in writing, correct all deficiencies and resubmit the Deliverable, or disapproved portion thereof, for approval in accordance with the preceding Paragraphs. Alternatively, the Defendant may invoke the Dispute Resolution Section of this Stipulated Order.
- 95. If a resubmitted Deliverable, or portion thereof, is disapproved in whole or in part, EPA, following consultation with the Regional Water Board, may again require the Defendant to correct any deficiencies in accordance with the preceding Paragraphs, subject to the Defendant's right to invoke Dispute Resolution.

XV. STIPULATED PENALTIES

- 96. Each Defendant shall be liable for stipulated penalties to Plaintiffs for the following violations of this Stipulated Order as specified below:
- 97. <u>Delays in Submission of Deliverables and Annual Reports</u>. Each Defendant shall be subject to the following stipulated penalties for each failure to timely submit to Plaintiffs a Deliverable or Annual Report under this Stipulated Order:

Period of Noncompliance	Penalty Per Day for Failure to Timely Submit
Days 1-15	\$100
Days 16-30	\$300
Days 31-60	\$500
Days over 61	\$2,000

- 98. <u>Private Sewer Lateral Inspection and Repair or Replacement Program.</u>
- A. Each Defendant shall be subject to the following stipulated penalties for failure to timely submit either a No Less Stringent Application or a description of the Defendant's cooperation with EBMUD in implementing its private sewer lateral program consistent with the requirements of Section V.C., Paragraphs 29-30 of the EBMUD SO:

_	Period of Noncompliance	Penalty Per Day for Failure to Timely Submit
2	Days 1-30	\$500
3	Days 31-60	\$1,000
4	Days over 61	\$1,500
6	B. Each Defendant shall be subject to the following stipulated penalties for	
/	failing to timely complete installation	of flow meters required by its approved flow
Q		

monitoring/I&I assessment plan:
Period of Noncompliance
Penalty Per Day for Failure to Timely Install

Days 1-30
\$1,000

Days 31-60
\$1,500

Days over 61
\$2,000

C. Each Defendant shall be subject to the following stipulated penalties for failing to test the number of miles of sewers and laterals scheduled for routine inflow testing as set forth in the Defendant's approved Inflow Identification and Reduction Program in any year:

Number of Miles Not Tested	Penalty Per Mile Not Tested
Miles 1-5	\$500
Miles over 5	\$1,500

D. Each Defendant with an approved "No Less Stringent" application to administer its private lateral program shall be subject to the following stipulated penalties for failing to take action to require property owners to obtain a Compliance Certificate upon transfer of title of the structure, or prior to construction or significant modification of such structure as required by its approved program:

Certificates Not Obtained and No Compliance Action	Penalty Per Certificate Each Year
25 – 50 Certificates	\$100

Over 50 Certificates

\$200

E. Each Defendant (other than Stege Sanitary District) without an approved "No Less Stringent" application to administer its private lateral program shall be subject to the following stipulated penalties for failing to provide notice to EBMUD of property owners required to obtain a Compliance Certificate prior to construction or significant modification of such structure, unless the Defendant has in place a city building permitting process that requires a Compliance Certificate prior to receiving a final permit, and has provided EPA with a description of such process:

Notices Not Provided	Penalty Per Notice Each Year
25-50 Notices	\$100 per notice over 24
Over 50 Notices	\$200 per notice over 50

F. If a Defendant without an approved "No Less Stringent" application to administer its private lateral program has in place a city building permitting process that requires a Compliance Certificate prior to receiving a final inspection and has provided EPA with a description of such process, such a Defendant shall be subject to the following stipulated penalties for conducting final inspections without first requiring a Compliance Certificate:

Final Inspections Conducted	Penalty Per Inspection Each Year
Without First Requiring	•
Compliance Certificate	
25 50 '	¢100
25-50 inspections	\$100 per inspection over 24
Over 50 inspections	\$200 per inspection over 50

G. The City of Alameda shall be subject to the following stipulated penalties for failing to timely Complete Renovation of each pump station as required by its Pump Station Renovation Plan:

Period of Noncompliance	Penalty Per Day Per Pump Station
Days 1-15	\$0

1	Days 16-90	\$500	
2	Days over 90	\$1,500	
3			
4	H. The City of Oak	land shall be subject to the follow	ing stipulated penalties
5	for failing to timely Complete Renovat	tion of each pump station and force	e main as required by its
6	approved Pump Station and Force Main	n Renovation Plan:	
7	Period of Noncompliance	Penalty Per Day Per Pump Sta	ution
8	Days 1-15	\$0	
9`	Days 16-90	\$500	
10	Days over 90	\$1,500	
11			
12	I. The City of Alba	any and the City of Berkeley shall	be subject to the
13	following stipulated penalties for each percentage point below 20% of its Collection System it		s Collection System it
14	fails to clean or inspect in any year:		
15	Percent Below 20%	Penalty Per % Per Year	
16	1%-5%	\$1,000	
17	5%-10%	\$2,500	
18	10%-20%	\$7,500	
19			
20	J. The City of Alar	meda shall be subject to the follow	ving stipulated penalties
21	for failing in any year to clean the pipe mileage required by its approved Sewer Cleaning and		
22	Root Control Program:		
23	Required Mileage Not Cleaned	Penalty Per Mile Not Cleaned	
24	5 miles to 50 miles	\$500	
25	Over 50 miles	\$2,000	
26	·		
27			
28			
		76	Case No. C 09-05684 RS

STIPULATED ORDER FOR PRELIMINARY RELIEF

Case3:09-cv-05684-RS Document56-3 Filed03/15/11 Page7 of 39

K. The City of Oakland shall be subject to the following stipulated penalties for failing in any year to clean the pipe mileage required by its approved Sewer Cleaning and Root Control Program:

Required Mileage Not Cleaned	Penalty Per Mile Not Cleaned
5 miles to 50 miles	\$500
Over 50 miles	\$2,000

- 99. Stipulated penalties under this Section shall begin to accrue on the day after performance is due or on the day a violation subject to stipulated penalties occurs, whichever is applicable, and shall continue to accrue until performance is satisfactorily completed or until the violation ceases. Either Plaintiff may, in the un-reviewable exercise of its discretion, reduce or waive stipulated penalties otherwise due to it under this Stipulated Order. Any Defendant may provide information for consideration as to whether a violation resulted from events outside the control of the Defendant on whom the penalty may be imposed, and the effect of the amount of the penalty on that Defendant's ability to comply with the requirements of this Stipulated Order.
- Water Board within 30 days of receiving a written demand from either Plaintiff, or both jointly; only one demand shall be made. The Defendant shall pay fifty percent (50%) of the total stipulated penalty amount due to the United States, and fifty percent (50%) to the Regional Water Board, using the penalty payment procedures set forth in the following Paragraph. Plaintiffs may modify these payment procedures through written notice to Defendants. Any demand for payment of a stipulated penalty shall be simultaneously sent to all other parties.
- 101. Defendants shall pay stipulated penalties owing to the United States by FedWire Electronic Funds Transfer ("EFT") to the U.S. Department of Justice, in accordance with written instructions to be provided to Defendants by the Financial Litigation Unit of the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Northern District of California, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, 11th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94102. At the time of payment, Defendants shall send a copy of the EFT authorization form and the EFT transaction record, together with a transmittal letter, which shall

state that the payment is for stipulated penalties owed pursuant to the Stipulated Order in United
States et al. v. City of Alameda, et al., and shall reference the civil action number and DOJ Case
No. 90-5-1-1-09361/1, to the United States in accordance with Section XXI of this Stipulated
Order (Notices); by email to acctsreceivable.CINWD@epa.gov; and by mail to:

EPA Cincinnati Finance Office 26 Martin Luther King Drive Cincinnati, OH 45268

Defendants shall pay stipulated penalties owing to the Regional Water Board by sending a certified check or warrant payable to "California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region." At the time of payment, Defendant shall state in its transmittal letter that the payment is for stipulated penalties owed pursuant to the Stipulated Order in *United States et al. v. City of Alameda, et al.*, and shall address it to:

Executive Officer
California Regional Water Quality Control Board
San Francisco Bay Region
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400
Oakland, CA 94612

- 102. If any Defendant fails to pay stipulated penalties according to the terms of this Stipulated Order, that Defendant shall be liable for interest on such penalties, as provided for in 28 U.S.C. § 1961, accruing as of the date payment became due, subject to Paragraph 103 below. Nothing in this Paragraph shall be construed to limit the United States or the Regional Water Board from seeking any remedy otherwise provided by law for a Defendant's failure to pay any stipulated penalties.
- Defendant may dispute its liability for such stipulated penalty pursuant to the Dispute Resolution Section of this Stipulated Order. Pending resolution of any such dispute, stipulated penalties continue to accrue if the obligation at issue has not been met and interest on any unpaid penalties accrues pursuant to the terms of the preceding Paragraph; provided that Defendants may argue to the Court that stipulated penalties shall not run after the matter has been fully briefed. Upon the completion of dispute resolution, any stipulated penalties that are ultimately determined to be due, plus interest as applicable, shall be paid within 30 days of (1) the date a motion must be

filed under Paragraph 112 if the Defendant does not initiate Judicial Dispute Resolution pursuant to Paragraph 112, or (2) any Court order directing payment.

obligation to complete the performance of all activities required under this Stipulated Order. Payment of stipulated penalties pursuant to this Section shall be in addition to any other rights or remedies that shall be available to Plaintiffs by reason of a Defendant's failure to comply with the requirements of this Stipulated Order, or any other applicable federal, State or local laws, regulations, NPDES permits, and all other applicable permits. Where a violation of this Order is also a violation of the Clean Water Act, or comparable State law, the Defendant shall be allowed a credit for any stipulated penalties paid against any statutory penalties imposed for such violation. The payment of stipulated penalties under this Stipulated Order shall not be deemed an admission of a violation of any law, regulation, or any Defendant's NPDES permit.

XVI. FORCE MAJEURE

- 105. A "force majeure event" is any event beyond the control of a Defendant, its contractors, or any entity controlled by a Defendant that delays the performance of any obligation under this Stipulated Order despite the Defendant's best efforts to fulfill the obligation. "Best efforts" includes anticipating reasonably foreseeable force majeure events and taking appropriate preventive actions before a force majeure event occurs. "Best efforts" also includes addressing the effects of any force majeure event (a) as it is occurring and (b) after it has occurred, to prevent or minimize any resulting delay to the extent reasonably practicable. "Force Majeure" does not include a Defendant's financial inability to perform any obligation under this Stipulated Order.
- 106. A Defendant shall provide written notice, as provided in Section XXI of this Stipulated Order (Notices), within 30 days of the time a Defendant first knew of, or by the exercise of due diligence, should have known of, a claimed force majeure event. The notice shall state the anticipated duration of any delay, its cause(s), the Defendant's past and proposed actions to prevent or minimize any delay, a schedule for carrying out those actions, and the Defendant's rationale for attributing any delay to a force majeure event. Failure to provide

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

12 13

11

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

27 28

26

written notice as required by this Paragraph shall preclude the Defendant from asserting any claim of force majeure.

- 107. If EPA, following consultation with the Regional Water Board, agrees that a force majeure event has occurred, it may agree to extend the time for a Defendant to perform the affected requirements for the time necessary to complete those obligations. An extension of time to perform the obligations affected by a force majeure event shall not, by itself, extend the time to perform any other obligation. Where EPA, following consultation with the Regional Water Board, agrees to an extension of time, the appropriate modification shall be made pursuant to Section XXIV of this Stipulated Order (Modification).
- If EPA, following consultation with the Regional Water Board, does not agree 108. that a force majeure event has occurred, or does not agree to the extension of time sought by a Defendant, EPA's position shall be binding, unless the Defendant invokes Dispute Resolution under Section XVII of this Stipulated Order. In any such dispute, the Defendant bears the burden of proving, by a preponderance of the evidence, that each claimed force majeure event is a force majeure event, that the Defendant gave the notice required hereunder, that the force majeure event caused any delay the Defendant claims was attributable to that event, and that the Defendant exercised best efforts to prevent or minimize any delay caused by the event.

XVII. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 109. Unless otherwise expressly provided for in this Stipulated Order, all disputes under this Stipulated Order are subject to dispute resolution, and the dispute resolution procedures of this Section shall be the exclusive mechanism to resolve disputes arising under or with respect to this Stipulated Order. However, such procedures shall not apply to actions by the United States and the Regional Water Board to enforce obligations of the Satellites that have not been disputed in accordance with this Section.
- 110. <u>Informal Dispute Resolution</u>. Any dispute subject to dispute resolution under this Stipulated Order shall first be the subject of informal negotiations. The dispute shall be considered to have arisen when a Defendant or Baykeeper sends Plaintiffs a written notice of dispute ("Notice of Dispute"). Such Notice of Dispute shall state clearly the matter in dispute.

The period of informal negotiations shall not exceed 20 days from the date the Notice of Dispute was sent, unless that period is modified by written agreement. If the Parties cannot resolve a dispute by informal negotiations, then the position advanced by the United States; or, in the case of a demand for stipulated penalties made solely by the Regional Water Board, the position advanced by the Regional Water Board, shall be considered binding unless, within 30 days after the conclusion of the informal negotiation period, the Defendant or Baykeeper invokes the dispute resolution procedures as set forth in the following Paragraph.

- resolution procedures of this Paragraph within the time period provided in the preceding Paragraph by serving on Plaintiffs (with a copy to the other Parties) a written statement of position ("Statement of Position") regarding the matter in dispute. The Statement of Position shall include, but may not necessarily be limited to, any factual data, analysis, or opinion supporting the position and any supporting documentation relied upon by the Defendant or Baykeeper. The Defendant may argue that no stipulated penalties or interest should be imposed.
- A. As to all disputes other than disputes concerning demand for stipulated penalties made solely by the Regional Water Board, EPA, following consultation with the Regional Water Board, shall serve the United States' Statement of Position within 45 days after service of a Defendant's Statement of Position. Any Defendant may also serve a Statement of Position responsive to Baykeeper or to another Defendant during this period. The United States' Statement of Position shall include, but may not necessarily be limited to, any factual data, analysis, or opinion supporting that position and all supporting documentation relied upon by the United States and the Regional Water Board. The United States' Statement of Position shall be binding unless the Defendant or Baykeeper files a motion for judicial review of the dispute in accordance with the following Paragraphs. If the United States does not serve a Statement of Position within the specified time period, the Party invoking dispute resolution may initiate Judicial Dispute Resolution under Paragraph 112.
- B. As to a dispute concerning a demand for stipulated penalties made solely by the Regional Water Board, the Regional Water Board shall serve its Statement of Position

within 45 days after service of a Defendant's Statement of Position. The Regional Water Board's Statement of Position shall include, but may not necessarily be limited to, any factual data, analysis, or opinion supporting that position and all supporting documentation relied upon by the Regional Water Board. The Regional Water Board's Statement of Position shall be binding unless the Defendant files a motion for judicial review of the dispute in accordance with the following Paragraphs. If the Regional Water Board does not serve a Statement of Position within the specified time period, the Party invoking dispute resolution may initiate judicial dispute resolution under Paragraph 112.

- of the dispute against Plaintiffs by filing with the Court and serving on Plaintiffs (with copies to the other Parties in accordance with Section XXI Notices), a motion requesting judicial resolution of the dispute. The motion must be filed within 60 days after service of the Statement of Position by the United States or the Regional Water Board pursuant to the preceding Paragraph or within 60 days after the Statement of Position was due. The motion shall contain a written statement of the Defendant's or Baykeeper's position on the matter in dispute, as set forth in its Statement of Position, including any supporting factual data, analysis, opinion, or documentation, and shall set forth the relief requested and any schedule within which the dispute must be resolved for orderly implementation of this Stipulated Order. The United States, the Water Boards, and any other non-moving party participating in the dispute shall have at least 60 days in which to respond to Defendant's or Baykeeper's motion. The Defendant or Baykeeper may file a reply memorandum to the extent permitted by the Local Rules.
- 113. In any dispute in District Court under this Section XVII, the Court shall first rule on the dispute (if any) between the Defendant and the United States (or the Regional Water Board in the case of a dispute under Paragraph 111(B)). If the Defendant's position prevails over the United States' or the Regional Water Board's position as to any issue, the dispute resolution as to that issue shall end. If the position of the United States or the Regional Water Board prevails over the position of the Defendant, the Court shall then consider any remaining dispute between the United States or the Regional Water Board and Baykeeper.

114.	Except as otherwise provided in this Stipulated Order, in any dispute in Distric
Court under the	his Section XVII, a Defendant shall bear the burden of demonstrating by a
preponderance	e of the evidence that the Defendant's position on the issues in dispute best
complies with	this Stipulated Order and better furthers the Objectives of this Stipulated Order
In any dispute	e in District Court under this Section XVII, Baykeeper shall bear the burden of
demonstrating	g that the United States' position is arbitrary and capricious.

115. <u>Effect on Stipulated Order Obligations</u>. The invocation of dispute resolution procedures under this Section shall not, by itself, extend, postpone, or affect in any way any obligation of a Defendant under this Stipulated Order, unless and until the final resolution of the dispute so provides. Stipulated penalties with respect to the disputed matter shall continue to accrue from the first day of noncompliance, but payment shall be stayed pending resolution of the dispute as provided in Section XV. If a Defendant does not prevail on the disputed issue, stipulated penalties shall be assessed and paid as provided in Section XV.

XVIII. INFORMATION COLLECTION AND RETENTION

- 116. Plaintiffs and their representatives, including attorneys, contractors, and consultants, shall have the right of entry on Defendants' property at all reasonable times, upon presentation of credentials, to:
 - A. monitor the progress of activities required under this Stipulated Order;
 - B. verify any data or information submitted to Plaintiffs in accordance with the terms of this Stipulated Order;
 - C. obtain documentary evidence, including photographs and similar data; and
 - D. assess a Defendant's compliance with this Stipulated Order.
- 117. Until the termination of this Stipulated Order and any subsequent order or decree entered in this matter, Defendants shall retain, and shall instruct its contractors and agents to preserve, unless prohibited by law, all final versions of records and documents (including records or documents in electronic form) in its or its contractors' or agents' possession or control, or that come into its or its contractors' or agents' possession or control, that document a Defendant's performance of its obligations under this Stipulated Order. This record retention requirement

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

118. This Stipulated Order in no way limits or affects any right of entry and inspection, or any right to obtain information, held by Plaintiffs pursuant to applicable federal or State laws, regulations, or permits, nor does it limit or affect any duty or obligation of Defendants to maintain records or information imposed by applicable federal or State laws, regulations, or permits.

XIX. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT/RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

This Stipulated Order is a partial remedy for the civil claims of the United States, 119. the Water Boards and Baykeeper for the violations alleged in the Complaints filed in this action. Therefore, this Stipulated Order does not resolve these civil claims and is without prejudice to the rights of the United States, the Water Boards and Baykeeper to seek further relief to address these claims or any future claims, including, but not limited to, further injunctive relief, and civil penalties, and the right of the United States and the Water Boards to seek further administrative relief to address these claims. The Parties intend to negotiate a subsequent agreement to resolve the civil claims of the United States, the Water Boards and Baykeeper for the violations alleged in the Complaints. However, the Parties recognize that such negotiations may not result in agreement and that the United States, the Water Boards and Baykeeper reserve the right to take such actions as they deem appropriate and necessary to resolve these claims and any future claims. In this and any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the United States, the Water Boards and/or Baykeeper for injunctive relief, civil penalties, or other appropriate relief relating to Defendants' compliance with the Clean Water Act and/or the California Water Code, the Parties shall not assert that another Party's claims or defenses in such subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding are barred or waived solely because the Party entered into this Stipulated Order and did not raise such claims or defenses in the instant case.

120. The Parties have concurrently filed, with this Stipulated Order, a Stipulation and Proposed Order for Stay of Proceedings ("Proposed Stay Order"). Upon entry of the Proposed

- Stay Order, further proceedings on the claims in the Complaints will be stayed until this

 Stipulated Order is terminated as to any Defendant under Section XXV (Termination) and the

 Court issues an order lifting the stay as to that Defendant, except for motions filed with this

 Court by Baykeeper for interim attorneys' fees and costs, and any opposition filed by Defendants in response to such motions. This Stipulated Order will not take effect unless the Proposed Stay

 Order is granted in substantially the form filed with the Court.
- 121. This Stipulated Order also does not resolve the claims of the Water Boards for litigation costs (including attorneys fees) pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 1021.8.
- 122. The United States, the Water Boards, and Baykeeper reserve all legal and equitable remedies available to enforce the provisions of this Stipulated Order. This Stipulated Order shall not be construed to prevent or limit the rights of the United States, the Water Boards, or Baykeeper to obtain penalties or injunctive relief under the CWA or implementing regulations, or under other federal or State laws, regulations, or permit conditions. Defendants reserve all legal and equitable defenses to the allegations in the Complaints, except to the extent they are waived for purposes of entering into and implementing this Stipulated Order.
- 123. This Stipulated Order is not a permit, or a modification of any permit, under any federal, State, or local laws or regulations. Defendants are responsible for achieving and maintaining complete compliance with all applicable federal, State, and local laws, regulations, and permits. The United States, the Water Boards and Baykeeper do not, by their consent to the entry of this Stipulated Order, warrant or aver in any manner that Defendants' compliance with any aspect of this Stipulated Order will result in compliance with provisions of the CWA or the California Water Code.
- 124. Nothing in this Stipulated Order shall constitute an admission of any fact or of any liability or a waiver of any right unless explicitly set forth herein. EPA, the Water Boards and Defendants agree that, from the commencement of this action through the termination of this Stipulated Order, Plaintiffs are "diligently prosecuting" this action as that term is used in CWA § 505(b)(1)(B), 33 U.S.C. §1365(b)(1)(B). Baykeeper contends that whether Plaintiffs are diligently prosecuting this action will remain a question of fact dependent on future

- 125. This Stipulated Order does not limit or affect the rights of Defendants, Baykeeper, or the Plaintiffs against any third parties not party to this Stipulated Order, nor does it limit the rights of third parties not party to this Stipulated Order against Defendants, except as otherwise provided by law.
- 126. This Stipulated Order shall not be construed to create rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any third party not party to this Stipulated Order.
- 127. Nothing in this Stipulated Order shall limit Defendants' ability to modify its program for the design, planning, construction, operation, and maintenance of its facilities in any fashion not inconsistent with this Stipulated Order.
- 128. Upon entry of this Stipulated Order, EPA Administrative Orders Docket Nos. CWA 309(a)-10-005 through CWA 309(a)-10-011, issued to Defendants on November 18, 2009, are terminated without any further action on the part of EPA. Any submission by a Defendant pursuant to the terms of its above-referenced Administrative Order that has not yet been approved, or has been approved subject to conditions by EPA, shall be treated as a Deliverable pursuant to the terms of this Stipulated Order.

XX.COSTS

129. The Parties (except Baykeeper) shall bear their own costs of this action, including attorneys' fees, except Plaintiffs shall be entitled to collect the costs (including attorneys' fees) incurred in any action necessary to collect any stipulated penalties due but not paid by a Defendant (for the purposes of this Paragraph, stipulated penalties are not "due" until after the conclusion of dispute resolution proceedings regarding the stipulated penalties pursuant to the Dispute Resolution Section of this Stipulated Order, if any). Baykeeper's right, if any, to attorneys' fees and costs under 33 U.S.C. §1365(d) related to this Action will be resolved by motion in this Action.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

XXI. NOTICES

	130.	A Defendant shall provide Baykeeper and the Water Boards with a copy of any
report,	notice,	or Deliverable submitted to EPA under this Stipulated Order at the time it submits
the doc	cument	to EPA. Unless otherwise specified herein, whenever notifications, submissions,
or com	munica	tions are required by this Stipulated Order they shall be made in writing and
addres	sed as fo	ollows:

A. To EPA:

Chief, Clean Water Act Compliance Office (WTR-7), Water Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

B. To the Regional Water Board:

Executive Officer
San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400
Oakland, CA 94612

and

John Davidson Supervising Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102

C. To the United States:

Chief, Clean Water Act Compliance Office (WTR-7), Water Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

and

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice Box 7611 Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044-7611 Re: DOJ No. 90-5-1-1-09361/1

D. To the State Water Board:

Executive Director State Water Resources Control Board P.O. Box 100 Sacramento, CA 95812-0100

87

Case No. C 09-05684 RS

1	E.	To Plaintiffs:	
2	To th	e United States and the Water Boards as indicated in "B	," "C" and "D"
3	above	e.	
4			
5			
6			
7	F.	To City of Alameda	
8		Donna Mooney, Acting City Attorney	•
9		City of Alameda 2263 Santa Clara Avenue, Room 280	
10		Alameda, CA 94501 (510) 747-4750	
11		DMOONEY@ci.alameda.ca.us and	
12			
13		Matthew T. Naclerio, Director of Public Works City of Alameda City Hall West	
14		950 W. Mall Square, Room 110 Alameda, CA 94501	
15		(510) 749-5840 mnaclerio@ci.alameda.ca.us	
16	G.	To City of Albany	
17		Robert Zweben	
18		Law Offices of	
19		1730 Solano Avenue Berkeley, CA 94707	
20		(510) 528-5858 rjzlaw@aol.com	
21		, -	•
22		and	
23		Richard Cunningham, Public Works Manager City of Albany	
24		1000 San Pablo Avenue	
25		Albany, CA 947006 (510) 524-9543	
26		rcunningham@albanyca.org	
27	Н.	To City of Berkeley	
28		Claudette Ford	
		88	Case No. C 09-05684 RS

1		Director of Public Works
2		2180 Milvia Street Third Floor
3		Berkeley, CA 94704
4		and
5		
6		
77		Zach Cowan
8		City Attorney 2180 Milvia Street
9		Fourth Floor Berkeley, CA 94704
10		
11	I.	To City of Emeryville
12		Michael G. Biddle, City Attorney
13		City of Emeryville 1333 Park Avenue
14		Emeryville, CA 94608 mbiddle@emeryville.org
15		moradic (weiner y vine.org
16	J.	To City of Oakland
17		John Russo, City Attorney Celso Dolores Ortiz, Deputy City Attorney
18		City of Oakland One Frank Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor
19		Oakland, CA 94612 (510) 510-238-6236
20		COrtiz@oaklandcityattorney.org
21		and
22		Marilee J. Allan
23		Bingham McCutchen LLP Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 04111 4067
24		San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 (415) 393-2364 marilee.allan@bingham.com
25	K.	To City of Piedmont
26		Thomas R. Curry, Esq.
27		Burke, Williams & Sorensen LLP 1901 Harrison Street, Suite 900
28		Oakland, CA 94612-3501

	1	s s	
1		(510) 273-8780 tcurry@bwslaw.com	
2		and	
3			
4		Geoffrey Grote City Administrator	
5		City of Piedmont 120 Vista Avenue	
6	·	Piedmont, CA 94611 (510) 420-3042	
7		ggrote@ci.piedmont.ca.us	
8		and	
9		Chester Nakahara Acting Public Works Director	
10		City of Piedmont 120 Vista Avenue	
		Piedmont, CA 94611	
11		(510) 420-3062 cnakahara@ci.piedmont.ca.us	
12			
13	L.	To Stege Sanitary District	
14		Dona Hymnhaey District Manager	
15		Doug Humphrey, District Manager 7500 Schmidt Lane	
16	·	El Cerrito, CA 94530-0537 (510) 524-4668	
17		doug@stegesd.dst.ca.us	
18		and	
19		Mantan I. Alm	
20		Kenton L. Alm Meyers, Nave, Riback, Silver & Wilson	
		555 12th Street, Suite 1500 Oakland, CA 94607	
21		(510) 808-2081	
22		kalm@meyersnave.com	
23	M.	Baykeeper	
24		Jason Flanders, Staff Attorney San Francisco Baykeeper	
25		785 Market St., Ste. 850	
26		San Francisco, CA 94103 Jason@Baykeeper.org (email delivery only preferred)	
27		and	
28		Christopher A. Sproul	
		90	Case No. C 00 05684 PS

Environmental Advocates 5135 Anza Street San Francisco, CA 94121 csproul@enviroadvocates.com (email delivery only preferred)

- 131. Any Party may, by written notice to the other Parties, change its designated notice recipient(s) or notice address(es) provided above.
- 132. Notices submitted pursuant to this Section shall be deemed submitted upon mailing or emailing, unless otherwise provided in this Stipulated Order or by mutual agreement of the Parties in writing.

XXII. EFFECTIVE DATE

133. The Effective Date of this Stipulated Order shall be the date upon which this Stipulated Order is entered by the Court or a motion to enter is granted, whichever occurs first, as recorded on the Court's docket; provided however, that this Stipulated Order will not take effect unless the Proposed Stay Order is granted in substantially the form filed with the Court, as provided in Paragraph 120. Defendants hereby agree that they shall be bound to perform duties scheduled to occur prior to the Effective Date. In the event that the United States withdraws or withholds consent to this Stipulated Order prior to entry, or the Court declines to enter the Stipulated Order, then the preceding requirement to perform duties scheduled to occur before the Effective Date shall terminate.

XXIII. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

134. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over this case for the purpose of resolving disputes arising under this Stipulated Order pursuant to the Dispute Resolution Section of this Stipulated Order, entering orders modifying this Stipulated Order pursuant to the Modification Section of this Stipulated Order, or effectuating or enforcing compliance with the terms of this Stipulated Order.

XXIV. MODIFICATION

135. The terms of this Stipulated Order may be modified by a subsequent written agreement signed by all the Parties. Where the modification would constitute a material change to any term of this Stipulated Order, the modification shall be effective only upon approval by

the Court. Extensions of time and modifications to Deliverables shall not be construed as material changes to this Stipulated Order. Any disputes concerning modification shall be resolved pursuant to Section XVII of this Stipulated Order (Dispute Resolution); provided, however, that instead of the burden of proof provided by Paragraph 114, the Party seeking the modification bears the burden of demonstrating that it is entitled to the requested modification in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b).

XXV. TERMINATION

- terminate this Stipulated Order at any time after January 1, 2013, should EPA determine in writing that this Stipulated Order cannot be effectively implemented to accomplish the objectives of this Stipulated Order, as set forth in Section III and Paragraph 19. If a Defendant or Baykeeper objects to termination of this Stipulated Order pursuant to this Paragraph, it may invoke Section XVII (Dispute Resolution).
- 137. A Defendant may move the Court to terminate its own obligations under this Stipulated Order. However, no Defendant shall seek to terminate its obligations under this Stipulated Order prior to approval of its AMIP (either directly by Plaintiffs or indirectly as a result of a Dispute Resolution process pursuant to Section XVII). If, following the approval of a Defendant's AMIP, Plaintiffs and that Defendant cannot agree as to whether this Stipulated Order should be terminated as to that Defendant, the Defendant may move the Court for relief from this Stipulated Order. Any Defendant seeking to terminate its obligations under this Stipulated Order shall provide a report on the status of its compliance with this Stipulated Order to accompany such motion to terminate. The Court shall decide the motion to terminate under the standard of review articulated in Paragraph 114. If the Court grants a Defendant's motion to terminate its obligations under this Stipulated Order, this Stipulated Order shall remain in full effect with respect to the other Defendants.
- 138. Notwithstanding Paragraphs 136 and 137, the Parties may jointly move to terminate this Stipulated Order with the approval of the Court. Each Defendant shall provide a

report on the status of its compliance with this Stipulated Order to accompany any such motion to terminate.

139. No Defendant shall seek relief from this Stipulated Order unless it certifies to Plaintiffs and the Court that there are no outstanding stipulated penalty assessments pending pursuant to this Stipulated Order. This shall in no way constrain the ability of the Parties to enter into a subsequent agreement regarding the Defendants' Collection Systems.

XXVI. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

140. This Stipulated Order shall be lodged with the Court for a period of not less than 30 days for public notice and comment in accordance with 28 C.F.R. § 50.7. Plaintiffs reserve the right to withdraw or withhold their consent if comments regarding this Stipulated Order disclose facts or considerations indicating that this Stipulated Order is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. Each Defendant consents to entry of this Stipulated Order without further notice.

XXVII. <u>SIGNATORIES/SERVICE</u>

- 141. Each undersigned representative of each Defendant, the Water Boards,
 Baykeeper, and the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources
 Division of the Department of Justice certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Stipulated Order and to execute and legally bind the Party he or she represents to this document.
- 142. This Stipulated Order may be signed in counterparts, and its validity shall not be challenged on that basis.

XXVIII. INTEGRATION

143. This Stipulated Order constitutes the final, complete, and exclusive agreement and understanding among the Parties with respect to the settlement embodied in this Stipulated Order, and this Stipulated Order supersedes all prior agreements and understandings, whether oral or written, concerning the settlement embodied herein. Other than Deliverables that are subsequently submitted pursuant to this Stipulated Order, and the concurrently-filed Proposed Stay Order, no other document and no other representation, inducement, agreement,

understanding, or promise constitutes any part of this Stipulated Order or the settlement it represents, nor shall they be used in construing the terms of this Stipulated Order.

XXIX. <u>HEADINGS</u>

144. Headings to the sections and subsections of this Stipulated Order are provided for convenience and do not affect the meaning or interpretation of the provisions of this Stipulated Order.

XXX. PARTIAL JUDGMENT

Order shall constitute a partial judgment of the Court as to the Parties. The Parties recognize that final resolution of the claims set forth in the Complaints will require further remedial action, and this Stipulated Order is without prejudice to the Parties' positions as to the merits of any such further relief.

Dated and entered this ____ day of ______, 2011.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Northern District of California

1	WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of this Stipulated	d Order, subject to the public notice and
2	comment provisions of 28 C.F.R. § 50.7:	
3	For Plaintiff the United States of America:	
4		rcia S. Meno
5	IONACIA	A S. MORENO
6	Environm	Attorney General ent and Natural Resources Division
7	7 U.S. Department	artment of Justice
8	3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
9		
10	Trial Atto	
11	U.S. Depa	ental Enforcement Section artment of Justice
12	Dell Traile	klin Station
13		on, D.C. 20044-7611
14	Attorneys	for Plaintiff, United States of America
15	5	
16	5	
17		
18	3	
19) -	
20		
21		
22		
23	3	
24		
25		
26	5	
27		
28		

1	WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of this	Stipulated Order, subject to the public notice and	
2	comment provisions of 28 C.F.R. § 50.7:		
3	For Plaintiff the United States of America (co	ont'd):	
4 5	Dated: 2-28-11	MARK POLLINS, Director	
6	·	Water Enforcement Division Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance	
7	*:	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	
8			
9			
10	·	JARED BLUMENFELD Regional Administrator	
11		U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9	
12			
13	Of Counsel:		
14	HUGH BARROLL Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Regi		
15	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Regi	on 9	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	•		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		96 Case No. C 09-05684 RS	

1	WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of this Stipulated Order, subject to the public notice and
2	comment provisions of 28 C.F.R. § 50.7:
3	For Plaintiff the United States of America (cont'd):
4	,
5	Dated: MARK POLLINS, Director
6	Water Enforcement Division Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance
7	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
8	
9	from -
10	ARED BLUMENIELD 3/8/// Regional Administrator
11	Regional Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9
12	
13	Of Counsel:
14	HUGH BARROLL Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9
15	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	96 Cose No. C 00.05684 PS

1	WE HEI	REBY CONSENT to the entry	of this	s Stipulated Order:
2	For Plaintiff People of the State of California ex rel. California State Water Resources Control Board and California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region:			
3				
4 5				KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of the State of California
			. (
6 7	Dated:	2/4/11		Dond
8				JOHN DAVIDSON Supervising Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
9				San Francisco, CA 94102
10				Attorneys for Plaintiff People of the State of California ex rel. California State Water Resources Control Board and California
11				Regional Water Quality Control Board, San
12	,			Francisco Bay Region
13				
14	Dated:	·	· .	DDUCE II WOLFE
15	.7			BRUCE H. WOLFE Executive Officer
16	·			California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region
17				
18	Dated:			
19	_ ····		•	THOMAS HOWARD Executive Director
20				California State Water Resources Control Board
21				
22				
23			4	
24				
25				
	. "			
26	·			
27				
28		7		

1	WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of this Stipulated Order:		
2 3		fornia ex rel. California State Water Resources Control Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region:	
4		KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of the State of California	
5			
6	Dated:		
7 8		JOHN DAVIDSON Supervising Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102	
9		Attorneys for Plaintiff People of the State of	
11		California ex rel. California State Water Resources Control Board and California	
12		Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region	
13		D = (10/1/	
14	Dated: 3/2/11	Buc A. Wolfe	
15		BRUCE H. WOLFE Executive Officer California Regional Water Quality Control	
16 17		Board, San Francisco Bay Region	
18	D-4-1		
19	Dated:	THOMAS HOWARD	
20		Executive Director California State Water Resources Control Board	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26	·		
27	·		
28		97 Case No. C 09-05684 RS	

1	WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of thi	s Stimulated Order
_		
3	Board and California Regional Water Quality	a ex rel. California State Water Resources Control y Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region:
-		
4		KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of the State of California
5	i principal di mangantan di mang Mangantan di mangantan di mangan	
6.	Dated: White the property of the control of the con	
7		JOHN DAVIDSON Supervising Deputy Attorney General
8		455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102
9		Attorneys for Plaintiff People of the State of
10		California ex rel. California State Water Resources Control Board and California
11		Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region
12		Trancisco Bay Region
13		
14	Dated:	DDUCE II WOLFE
15		BRUCE H. WOLFE Executive Officer
16		California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region
17		
18	Dated: 3/3/2011	Thomas Howard
19	7	THOMAS HOWARD Executive Director
20	·	California State Water Resources Control Board
21		
22	•	
23		
24		
25		
26		
. 27		
28		
_0	ll	07

Case3:09-cv-05684-RS Document56-3 Filed03/15/11 Page32 of 39

1	WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of	of this Stipulated Order:	
2	For Defendant City of Alameda:		
3	Dated: 1/26/11	Deser	
4		By: Donna Mooney Acting City Attorney	
5			
6	For Defendant City of Albany:		
7			
8	Dated:	By: Robert Zweben	
9		City Attorney	
10			
11	For Defendant City of Berkeley:		
12	Dated:		
13		By: Zach Cowan City Attorney	
14		City Tittorney	
15	For Defendant City of Emeryville:		
16	Dated:		
17	Dated.	By: Michael G. Biddle City Attorney	
18		City Attorney	
19	For Defendant City of Oakland:		
20	D . 1		
21	Dated:	By: Dan Lindheim City Administrator	_
22		City Administrator	
23	For Defendant City of Piedmont:		
24			
25	Dated:	By: Geoffrey Grote City Administrator	—
26		City Administrator	
27			
28			
- 11		98 Case No. C 09-0568	4 RS

Case No. C 09-05684 RS

1	WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of the	nis Stipu	alated Order:
2	For Defendant City of Alameda:		
3	Dated:		
4	·	By:	Donna Mooney Acting City Attorney
5			
6	For Defendant City of Albany:		
7	Dated: $2 - 28 - 11$	By:	Kenton L. Alm
9	For Defendant City of Albany:		Special Counsel
10	For Defendant City of Albany.		Kilma Porting
11	Dated: 3/1/3011	D-::	Pickerd Commissions
12		Ву:	Richard Cunningham City of Albany
13	For Defendant City of Berkeley:		
14	Dated:		
15	Dated:	By:	Zach Cowan City Attorney
16	·		
17	For Defendant City of Emeryville:		
18	Dated:		
19		By:	Michael G. Biddle City Attorney
20			-
21	For Defendant City of Oakland:		
22	Dated:		
2324		By:	Dan Lindheim City Administrator
25			
26	For Defendant City of Piedmont:		
27	Dated:		
28		By:	Geoffrey Grote City Administrator
20	II	98	Cace No. C 09 05684 PS

		* *	
1	WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of this	s Stipu	lated Order:
2	For Defendant City of Alameda:		
3	Dated:		
4		By:	Teresa L. Highsmith City Attorney
5			
6	For Defendant City of Albany:		
7			
8	Dated:	By:	Robert Zweben
9			City Attorney
10	E D- Cdt City of Doubelow		
11	For Defendant City of Berkeley:	_	
12	Dated: 1/5/11	1	
13		By:	Zach Cowan City Attorney
14			
15	For Defendant City of Emeryville:		e de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la co
16	Dated:		301 10 P:11
17		By:	Michael G. Biddle City Attorney
18			
19	For Defendant City of Oakland:	•	
20	Dated:		
21		By:	Dan Lindheim City Administrator
22			
23	For Defendant City of Piedmont:		
24	Dated:	- 	
25		By:	Geoffrey Grote City Administrator
26			
27			
28	A /70407702 1		
	A/73497793.1	98	Case No. C 00-05684 PS

1	WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of this	Stipu	lated Order:
2	For Defendant City of Alameda:		
3	Dated:		
4		By:	Teresa L. Highsmith City Attorney
5			
6	For Defendant City of Albany:		
7			
8	Dated:	By:	Robert Zweben
9			City Attorney
10	For Defendant City of Berkeley:		
11	To Beleikaan City of Belkeley.		
12	Dated:	D	
13		By:	Zach Cowan City Attorney
14			
15	For Defendant City of Emeryville:		
16	Dated: 1/5/2011		Muhail 6 Bidde
17		By:	Michael G. Biddle City Attorney
18			
19	For Defendant City of Oakland:		
20	Dated:	_	
21		Ву:	Dan Lindheim City Administrator
22			
23	For Defendant City of Piedmont:		
24	Dated:	_	
25		By:	Geoffrey Grote City Administrator
26			
27			
28			
	A/73497793.1	Oδ	O NI O-00 0000 P.O

1	WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of th	is Stipulated Order:
2	For Defendant City of Alameda:	
3	Dated:	
4		By: Donna Mooney Acting City Attorney
5		
6	For Defendant City of Albany:	
7		
8	Dated:	By: Robert Zweben City Attorney
9		
10	For Defendant City of Berkeley:	
11		
12	Dated:	By: Zach Cowan
13		City Attorney
14		
15	For Defendant City of Emeryville:	
16	Dated:	By: Michael G. Biddle
17		City Attorney
18	·	
19	For Defendant City of Oakland:	
20	Dated: 3/1/11	By: Dan Lindheim
21		City Administrator
22		
23	For Defendant City of Piedmont:	
24	Dated:	Dv. Gooffrey Grote
25		By: Geoffrey Grote City Administrator
26		
27		
28		

Case No. C 09-05684 RS

1	WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of	f this Stipulated Order:	
2	For Defendant City of Alameda:		
3	Dated:		
4		By: Donna Mooney Acting City Attorney	
5			
6	For Defendant City of Albany:		
7	Describ		
8	Dated:	By: Robert Zweben City Attorney	
9		City Attomey	
10	For Defendant City of Berkeley:		
11	To Dolondan Only of Bondoy.		
12	Dated:	By: Zach Cowan	
13	. '	City Attorney	
14			•
15	For Defendant City of Emeryville:		
16	Dated:	By: Michael G. Biddle	
17		City Attorney	
18			
19	For Defendant City of Oakland:		
20	Dated:	By: Dan Lindheim	·
21		City Administrator	
22			
23	For Defendant City of Piedmont:	Hall & H	
2425	Dated:/21///	By: Geoffrey Grote City Administrator	<u>le</u>
26		City Administrator	
20 27			,
28	C:\Documents and Settings\curry-\\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK-\\UENV_ENFORCEMENT-#1835910-v\-1-	98 Case No	o. C 09-05684 RS
	EBMUD Satellites Pre-Approved Version (1_20_11).DOC		

1	For San Francisço Baykeeper:	
2	Dated: $\frac{2}{2}$ $\frac{2011}{2}$	Drug Macha Elfadora
3		By: Fason Flanders Staff Attorney, San Francisco Baykeeper
4		V
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		• •
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

For San Francisco Baykeeper: Dated: Dated: Dated: Dated: Dated: Dated: Dated: Dated: Dated: Dated: Dated: Dated: Dated: Dated: Dated: Dated: Dated: Dated: Dated: Da		
For San Francisco Baykeeper: Dated: By: Deb Self Executive Director For Defendant Stege Sanitary District: Dated: Dated: Dated: By: Douglay Tumphrey District Manager By: Douglay Tumphrey District Manager 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	1	
By: Deb Self Executive Director For Defendant Stege Sanitary District: Dated: Dated: By: Douglas Humphrey District Manager By: Doug	ľ	For San Francisco Baykeeper:
For Defendant Stege Sanitary District: Dated: Proposition Propositi	1	Dated:Bu: Deb Self
For Defendant Stege Sanitary District: Dated: Dated: Dougla Flumphrey		Executive Director
By: Douglas/Humphrey District Manager By: Douglas/Humphr		For Defendant Stege Sanitary District:
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	1	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		District Manager
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
11	1	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	-	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	li	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
23 24 25 26 27 28	l	
24 25 26 27 28	22	
25 26 27 28	23	
26 27 28		
27 28		
28	26	
28	27	
	28	A-77.497797.1 99 Case No. C 09-05684 RS