

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



PORS 2009

AECOM

Anaerobic Digestion of Organic Solid Waste at WWTPs

Joerg Blischke




Outline

- Anaerobic Digestion of Organic Waste
 - » Business as Usual (BAU) - Uncontrolled Digestion
 - » Controlled Digestion
 - Suitable Feestocks & Processes
 - Waste Characteristics and Challenges
 - Pre-treatment Technologies
 - Case Study: Toronto
- Digestion of Organic Solid Waste at WWTPs
 - » The BTA Process
 - » Case Studies
 - » Cost Comparison
 - » GHG Emissions




BAU - Organic Waste Disposal

Why Diversion?



Anaerobic Digestion (AD) at WWTPs

Suitable Feedstocks and Processes



Organic Feedstocks & Properties for AD

Manure, Sewage Sludge; Food Processing Waste

Industrial Sludge (e.g. Thin Stillage from EtOH Production); FOG

Commercial Food Wastes (Restaurants; Cafeterias)

Slaughter House Wastes


Commercial Food Wastes (Groceries; Packaged Waste)

Residential Source Separated Organic Waste; Organic Fraction of MSW

Increase in total solids (TS) content

Decrease in homogeneity

Increase in contamination (non-digestible)



AD of Organic Solid Waste

Challenge

Organic solid waste (source separated organics or the organic fraction of MSW) is inhomogeneous and contains impurities


(e.g. plastic bags, textiles, cardboard, stones, sand, bones, shells, glass, metals, batteries) requiring pre-treatment prior to digestion.



Solution

Application of a "Wet Pre-Treatment Process" for effective removal of contaminants and homogenization.

Historic Development of Wet AD Technologies in Europe



Toronto's Dufferin Anaerobic Digestion Demonstration Plant w/BTA Process

- n Commissioned 2002
- n 25,000 tpy SSO(Design); 2008: >40,000 tpy*
- n Area < 1 Ha
- n Building < 2,200m²
- n BTA technology
- n City owned
- n Contractor operated

* Metric tons per year



Plastics: >10 Percent



Outline

- Anaerobic Digestion of Organic Waste
 - » Business as Usual (BAU) - Uncontrolled Digestion
 - » Controlled Digestion
 - Suitable Feestocks & Processes
 - Waste Characteristics and Challenges
 - Pre-treatment Technologies
 - Case Study: Toronto
- Digestion of Organic Solid Waste at WWTPs
 - » The BTA Process
 - » Case Studies
 - » Cost Comparison
 - » GHG Emissions






Technology Application at WWTP

- For example: California has 137 WWTPs with anaerobic digesters and an estimated excess digestion capacity of 15 to 30%*.
- WWTPs are significant energy users with growing future energy demands due to additional treatment requirements (e.g. nutrient removal, UV).
- Co-locating an organic solid waste pre-treatment facility for digestion or co-digestion at a WWTP provides multiple infrastructure and economic synergies.

Process Flow Schematic Description of the BTA Process




Process Flow Schematic

Description of the BTA Process




Inside the pulper



Organic waste slurry
to be fed into the digester

Process Flow Schematic Description of the BTA Process



Process Flow Schematic Description of the BTA Process



Odor Contr

Bulk Receiving

BTA Hydropu



Feedstock



Heavy Fraction




Light Fraction

Grit Fraction

Plastics, textiles, wood...

Liquids to
WWTP

Process Flow Schematic Description of the BTA Process



Tiny pieces of sand and grit

to
WWTP

Case Study: Pre-treatment of SSO for Co-digestion at WWTP, Leoben, A

Start-up: Spring 2009

Capacity: 18,000 MT/yr

Waste input:

- Biowaste (residential SSO w/some yard waste);
- Commercial SSO (restaurants; grocery stores, dairy sludge);
- Grass clippings





Case Study: Pre-treatment of SSO for Co-digestion at WWTP, Baden-Baden, D

Start-up: 1993

Capacity: 5,000 MT/yr

Waste input:

- Biowaste (residential SSO mixed w/some yard waste);
- Commercial SSO (primarily from restaurants and cafeterias)




Case Study: Pre-treatment of OFMSW for Co-digestion at WWTP, Puławy, PL

Start-up: 2001

Capacity: 22,000 MT/yr

Waste input: Organic fraction of MSW




Cost Comparison – Co-digestion vs. Conventional Biowaste Treatment

| Type of Installation | Throughput [MT (wet)/yr] | Costs [Euro/MT (wet)] |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Co-digestion Baden-Baden WWTP ^a | 5,000 | ~ 56 |
| Co-digestion Radeberg WWTP ^a | 15,000 | ~ 50 |
| Composting ^b | 15,000 | 85 - 130 |
| | 30,000 | 80 - 110 |
| SSO AD ^b | 10,000 | 125 - 185 |
| | 20,000 | 100 - 135 |
| | 30,000 | 85 - 110 |

^a Including disposal cost for additionally generated biosolids

^b Typically without disposal cost for residuals

Anaerobic Digestion – Greenhouse Gas Emissions



- Greenhouse Gas Emission Mitigation Strategy
- Avoided Methane Emissions through AD of SSO or OFMSW most likely Eligible for Registration with Climate Action Reserve



Additional Slides



Characterizing Features of AD Processes

- **Number of Stages**
 - » Single-Stage
 - » Two-Stage
- **Feed Total Solids (TS) Content**
 - » Wet Process (<20% TS)
 - » Dry Process (>20% TS)
- **Operating Temperature**
 - » Mesophilic Process (approx. 93 - 98 °F or 34 - 37 °C, respectively)
 - » Thermophilic Process (approx. 131 - 140 °F or 55 to 60 °C, respectively)
- **Mixing**
 - » Gas Injection
 - » Internal Mechanical Components (Agitator)
 - » Re-Pumping / Re-Circulation
- **Reactor / Digester Type**
 - » Vertical Positioning
 - » Horizontal Positioning
- **Process Flow**
 - » Continuous Process Flow (fully mixed or plug flow)
 - » Discontinuous/Batch Process Flow

Organic Waste Recovery

- Commercial & Residential Source Separated Organics
- Objectives & Measures:
- Increase Waste Diversion towards the Zero Waste Goal
- Close the Carbon and Nutrient Loop
- Provide cheap feedstock for renewable energy production
- Develop and implement ordinance & organics collection program
- Educate food establishments, food processors, retailers and residents about organics collection



Case Study Toronto: Green Bin Program

- Part of City's "Zero Waste to Landfill by 2012 Initiative"
- Green Bin Collection (weekly)
- **Objective:** Maximize Recovery of Source Separated Organics (SSO) through:
 - » User convenience; nothing else to buy
 - » Inclusive material list
 - » Allow for plastic containers (difficult for composting)
 - » Bi-weekly residual waste collection to encourage diversion

Accepted Material:

- § fruits
- § meat, shellfish, fish products
- § pasta, bread, cereal
- § dairy products, egg shells
- § coffee grounds, filters, tea bags
- § soiled paper towels, tissues
- § soiled paper food packaging: fast food paper packaging, ice cream boxes, muffin paper, flour and sugar bags
- § paper coffee cups, paper plates
- § household plants, including soil
- § diapers, sanitary products
- § animal waste, bedding (e.g. from bird/hamster cages), kitty litter
- § pet food

Toronto's Multi-Unit Residential Organics Collection Pilot Projects



Green Bins



Deep Collection



Anaerobic Digestion – Aspects to Consider

- Utilization of Additional Biogas On-Site (boiler, CHP)
 - » Challenging due to permitted emission limits
- Effective Odor Control System Required to Comply with AQ Regulations
 - => Public Nuisance
- AQ Regulations Limit the Amount of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) Emitted from Composting Operations
 - » AD reduces the volatile solids content of organic waste prior to composting
 - => Improvement in air quality and air quality compliance
- Effective Digester Mixing Required:
 - » Prevent formation of dead zones, sedimentation, blankets and foam
 - => Evaluation and Enhancement

