

CALIFORNIA BIORESOURCES ALLIANCE 7<sup>TH</sup> ANNUAL SYMPOSIUM

# California Municipal Wastewater Digester Economic Issues

#### Robert A. Gillette, P.E., BCEE

Sacramento, California

September 11, 2012



# Some California Municipal Anaerobic Digester Statistics - 1

- Sludge generated from 90 percent of California municipal wastewater is digested
- Over 50 percent of the current plants have digesters
- Only 9 municipal wastewater plants in California with greater than 5 mgd of flow do not have digesters
- 47 plants with flow less than 5 mgd have digesters
- Over 15 percent of the plants with digesters are importing some supplemental digester feed to boost biogas production

# Some California Municipal Biogas Statistics - 2

- 71 percent of plants with digesters use the biogas
- ~ 94 percent of the sludge gas from digesters is used for anything from digester heating to pipeline injection
- 60 percent of plants with digesters generate electricity through cogeneration
  - Internal Combustion Engines 42 %
  - Turbines 6 %
  - Microturbines 5 %
  - Fuel Cells 6 %
- 3 percent of the plants with digesters inject the biogas into gas pipelines

# Many Wastewater Treatment Plants are Refocusing on Biogas Use

- Energy independence
- Offers security/ reliability
- Best use of available resources
- Supports regulatory compliance
- Sustainable
- Cost effective







# <u>BUT</u> -

- Digesters are expensive
- Air quality regulations add to the complexity, costs, and uncertainty



- Cogeneration is expensive and requires maintenance expertise
- Digested biosolids still have inherent disposal/benifical use costs and reliability concerns
- Digesters reduce the viability of gasification etc. by using much of the energy value

# **Municipal Digesters Are Expensive**

- A typical municipal anaerobic digester can cost \$7 to \$12 million
- A recent CA study found that:
  - For typical municipal anaerobic digester design with 95 feet diameter digesters and associated facilities
  - Construction cost
    - \$11 each
    - \$33 million for a 40 mgd facility digester
    - \$88 million with cogeneration and dewatering facilities
  - Highest life cycle cost of the alternatives except incineration
  - But, lowest GHG emissions of the alternatives





# Cogeneration



## **General Design Considerations**

- WWTP Digester gas can normally generate 40%-70% of WWTP's average power requirement
  - 40-50% is typical of CA plants with engines
  - 60% or more with Fuel Cells or with FOG/foodwaste addition
- Recovered heat is normally adequate to heat digesters
- Robust gas conditioning system is key to the success of all options. Must remove moisture, H2S, and siloxanes

Digester Gas Treatment System, EMWD-Moreno Valley, CA



# **Reciprocating Engines**

- Proven technology for biogas gas – 4 major manufacturers
- 60 to 400 KW generation capacities
- Strict (and getting stricter) air permit regulations
- BACT requires oxidation catalysts and SCR
- Installation now requires extensive fuel conditioning to remove contaminants – <u>no</u> <u>longer optional</u>
- Efficiency 30-40%



335-kW Digester Gas Fueled Cogeneration System, Chico, CA WWTP

### **Micro Turbines**

- Easy to permit CARB-07 compliant
- Only two manufacturer's with DG experience (Capstone, FlexEnergy-Ingersoll Rand)
- Lower efficiency than engines; approximately 25%
- Requires >50 psi fuel pressure
- 30 to 250 KW Units



250-kW Landfill Gas Fueled microturbine Cogeneration System, Lancaster, CA

## **Gas Turbines**

- Strict air permit regulations
- Limited manufacturer's with experience on DG (Solar Turbines, Rolls Royce-Allison)
- Effective fuel conditioning is required
- 3,000 to 10,000 KW Competitive only for larger installations; greater than 3MW
- Efficiency typically 25-38%
- Requires >200 psi pressure



3.5 MW gas turbine Cogeneration System

## **Fuel Cells**

- Ultra low emissions
- Expensive
  - Operational issues have significantly increased capital and O&M costs
- SGIP grant no longer favors fuel cells
- Only one manufacturer with digester gas experience
  - Fuel Cell Energy
- Very high efficiency 47%
- 250 to 2,800 KW units



250-kW Digester Gas Fueled Fuel Cells, EMWD-Moreno Valley, CA

12

# Cogeneration Can Require A Long Payback Time ~ 25 MGD Facility with Digesters

Alternative (Costs in Million \$)	No Cogeneration	Power Purchase Agreement	633 kW Engine Generator Cogen System	Mi Cog	500 kW icroturbine gen System	600 kW Fuel Cell Cogen System
Estimated Cogeneration System Project Cost	\$0	\$0	\$4.1		\$4.8	\$9.3
Estimated SGIP Grant Funding	\$0	\$0	(\$1.4)		(\$1.1)	(\$3.1)
Estimated Net Project Cost	\$0	\$0	\$2.7		\$3.7	\$6.2
Present Worth of Energy Costs	\$12.7	\$11.6	\$6.9		\$8.4	\$8.1
Total 20-Year Present Worth Costs	\$12.7	\$11.6	\$9.6		\$12.1	\$14.3
Present Worth of Net Benefit Compared to No Cogeneration System		\$1.1	\$3.2		\$0.7	(\$1.6)
Payback Period of Cogeneration System, years		N/A	8		16	20+

# **Current Biogas Sale Options in California**

- Southern California Gas is only NG utility allowing digester gas into pipeline
  - Point Loma WWTP, San Diego
- SRCSD sells gas to SMUD
  - SMUD transmits digester gas in a dedicated SMUD pipeline to Carson Ice Power Plant
- DG to vehicles
  - City of Modesto & Phoenix systems in 1980's
  - Storage and compression costly



# **Biosolids Issues Remain after Digestion**

- County land application restrictions
- Landfill limitations
  - Now
  - Future
- Public concerns
  - Odor
  - Pathogens
  - Fear of the unknown

#### What Funding Is Available?

Grants:

- DOE Energy Efficiency Block Grants
- USDA Rural Energy Program
- Self Generation Incentive Program.
- SRF Green Project Reserve Funds
  Loans:
- CEC SMART Loans
- SRF Programs
- Clean Renewable Energy Bonds (CREB's)

Externally Financed:

- Power Purchase Agreements (PPA's)
- Leasing Options





Bureau of the





U.S. Department of Energy Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD



California Public Utilities Commission QUESTIONS? Bob Gillette rgillette@carollo.com 916-565-4888

