



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

May 16, 2013

Mr. Henry Provencio 4FRI Team Leader 1824 South Thompson Street Flagstaff, Arizona 86001

Subject: Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Four-Forest Restoration Initiative, Coconino County, Arizona (CEQ# 20130076)

Dear Mr. Provencio:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has reviewed the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Four-Forest Restoration Initiative pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act, Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508), and Section 309 of the Clean Air Act.

The EPA recognizes the Forest Service's commitment, demonstrated in the Four-Forest Restoration Initiative (4FRI or Project) DEIS, to restoration activities within the Coconino and Kaibab National Forests. We also acknowledge the Forest Service's dedication to public outreach and collaboration during the 4FRI NEPA process, and the efforts made to incorporate the best available science into the DEIS. In particular, we appreciate the 4FRI team taking Jason Gerdes, of my staff, on a site visit of the 4FRI planning area, and working with Jason and EPA Region VIII's Richard Graham to include information in the DEIS on the potential for smoke from the proposed prescribed fire treatments to contain radioactive substances.

Based on our review of the subject DEIS, we have rated the Preferred Alternative and the document as LO-1, Lack of Objections – Adequate (see enclosed EPA Rating Definitions). The EPA acknowledges the need for the use of mechanical thinning and prescribed fire to achieve long-term restoration objectives. We commend the Forest Service for committing, in the Preferred Alternative, to strong best management practices and soil and water conservation practices to protect sensitive resources during mechanical harvest and fire treatments.

We recognize the challenge the Forest Service faces in implementing a restoration project that will rely heavily on prescribed burns and wildfire to achieve Project objectives. The "Fire Ecology Report" that the Forest Service prepared for this Project explains these challenges well. Although the planning area has good air quality and meets all federal ambient air quality standards, the fine particulate matter generated during wildland fire does present a human health risk. We recommend that the Forest Service work with the interagency Smoke Management Group and commit, in the Final EIS and Record of Decision, to implement best management practices to reduce emissions from prescribed burns and wildfires to the greatest possible extent. We also recommend that the Forest Service analyze and include a description, in the FEIS, of the potential for further reductions in air emissions from future forest treatments by lessening or eliminating pile burning of residual fuels in favor of biomass energy production. The DEIS includes a detailed and thorough description of the possible effects of climate change on the Project, and is strengthened by incorporating elements of two good planning documents: the "Kaibab National Forest's Climate Change Approach for Plan Revision," and the "Southwestern Region Climate Change Trends and Forest Planning." We recommend that the Project's adaptive management plan include a commitment to monitor, mitigate, and respond to, the effects of climate change throughout the life of the 4FRI.

We appreciate the opportunity to review this DEIS, and are available to discuss our comments. When the Final EIS is released, please send one CD copy to this office. If you have any questions, please contact me at 415-972-3521, or contact Jason, the lead reviewer for this project. Jason can be reached at 415-947-4221 or gerdes.jason@epa.gov.

Sincerely,

/s/

Kathleen Martyn Goforth, Manager Environmental Review Office

Enclosure: Summary of the EPA Rating System