


US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



B.1 Review of Existing Regulations


 EPA
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

MACT EEE Topics

- Affected Source
- Organization of Rule
- Dates
- Standards
- Monitoring
- Notifications
- Recordkeeping and Reporting


2


This module will focus on the MACT EEE regulation. The discussion will include definition of affected source, how the rule is organized, pertinent dates, review of the standards, monitoring, notifications, record keeping and reporting required of affected source.

 **MACT EEE Training Workshop** | AECOM
Review of Existing Regulations

Rule Development Dates


- Proposed 4/19/1996, 61 *FR* 17358
- Promulgated Standards (Phase I) 9/30/1999, 64 *FR* 52828
- Interim Standards 2/13/2002, 67 *FR* 6792
- Proposed Phase II Standards 4/20/2004, 69 *FR* 21197
- Promulgated Phase II Standards 10/12/2005, 70 *FR* 59401
- Promulgated Reconsideration 10/28/2008, 73 *FR* 64068

• Changes: 64 *FR* 63211, Nov. 19, 1999 65 *FR* 42297, July 10, 2000, 65 *FR* 67271, Nov. 9, 2000, 66 *FR* 24272, May 14, 2001 66 *FR* 35103, July 3, 2001 66 *FR* 63317, Oct. 15, 2001, 66 *FR* 52361, Dec. 6, 2001 67 *FR* 6809, Feb. 13, 2002, 67 *FR* 6986, Feb. 14, 2002 67 *FR* 77691, Dec. 19, 2002 68 *FR* 37356, June 23, 2003 69 *FR* 18803, Apr. 9, 2004 70 *FR* 34555, June 14, 2005, 70 *FR* 59540, Oct. 12, 2005 70 *FR* 75047, Dec. 19, 2005 71 *FR* 20459, Mar. 23, 2006 71 *FR* 14655, Apr. 20, 2006 71 *FR* 62393, Oct. 25, 2006 73 *FR* 18979, Apr. 8, 2008, Oct. 8, 2008 73 *FR* 64068



3


Some of the important dates of the Phase I rule are its proposal on April 19, 1996 and promulgation on September 30, 1999. Following promulgation, the interim standards were promulgated on February 13, 2002. Phase II standards were proposed on April 20, 2004 followed by promulgation on October 12, 2005. Most recently, reconsideration changes were promulgated on October 28, 2008. This slide also includes a listing of changes that have been published in the Federal Register.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations

AECOM


Affected Sources

- Hazardous Waste Incinerators (HWI)
- Hazardous Waste Cement Kilns (CK)
- Hazardous Waste Lightweight Aggregate Kilns (LAK)
- Hazardous Waste Solid Fuel Boilers (SFB)
- Hazardous Waste Liquid Fuel Boilers (LFB)
- Hazardous Waste Hydrochloric Acid Production Furnaces (HCl)



4


The MACT EEE rule defines affected sources as hazardous waste incinerators, hazardous waste cement kilns, hazardous waste lightweight aggregate kilns, hazardous waste solid fuel boilers, hazardous waste liquid fuel boilers and hazardous waste hydrochloric acid production furnaces.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations

AECOM


Exempt Sources (Not Subject to MACT EEE) - Table 1

- Previous Affected Source that has stopped feeding hazardous waste, initiated closure requirements of subpart G, parts 264 or 265, begun complying with requirements of all other applicable Part 63 standards, and notified Administrator in writing that no longer an affected source
- Research, development, and demonstration source and operate for no longer than one year after first burning hazardous waste



5


Exempted sources, which are not subject to the requirements of MACT EEE, include a previous affected source that has stopped feeding hazardous waste, initiated closure requirements of subpart G of parts 264 or 265, begun complying with the requirements of other applicable Part 63 standards and has notified the Administrator in writing that the source no longer is an affected source under MACT EEE. Also, a research, development and demonstration source that operates for no longer than one year after first burning hazardous waste.

 EPA
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency


MACT EEE Training Workshop | AECOM
Review of Existing Regulations

**Exempt Sources (Not Subject to MACT EEE) -
Table 1**

- Only HW burned are exempt from regulation by §266.100(c)
- Meet definition of small quantity burner under §266.108


6

In addition, if the source has only burned hazardous wastes that are exempt from regulations according to section 266.100(c) are exempt from MACT EEE, as well as those sources that meet the definition of a small quantity burner according to section 266.108.


 EPA
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations

AECOM

MACT EEE

- Major and Area Sources
- New and Existing Sources
- Phase I and II Standards
- Reconsideration Changes
- Next?


7

The MACT EEE rule applies to sources that are classified as Major for HAPs and those that are area sources. Area sources are those that are not major HAP sources, that is, they do not emit or have the potential to emit more than 10 tons per year of a single HAP or 25 tons per year of total HAPs. The MACT EEE rule also addresses sources that are new and those that are existing. The general definition of new sources are those that have been constructed or reconstructed after the date the rule was proposed. Recent changes that came out of a reconsideration effort also have created changes to the MACT EEE rule.

EPA
 MACT EEE Training Workshop
 Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

Rule Organization of Standards

<u>Source</u>	<u>Phase I</u>	<u>Phase II</u>
• HWI	§1203	§1219
• CK	§1204	§1220
• LAK	§1205	§1221
• SFB		§1216
• LFB		§1217
• HCI		§1218



This slide lists the organization of the MACT EEE emission standards as of November 2008. Notice that Phase II changes incorporated standards for solid fuel and liquid fuel boilers and hydrochloric acid production furnaces and created Phase II standard for hazardous waste incinerators, cement kilns and lightweight aggregate kilns.


 United States Environmental Protection Agency

MACT EEE Training Workshop | AECOM

Review of Existing Regulations

Rule Organization of Other Areas

- Applicability §1200
- Definitions §1201
- Compliance Dates §1206
- Performance Testing §1207, §1208
- Monitoring §1209
- Notification §1210
- Recordkeeping, Reporting §1211
- NIC §1212


9


This slide lists the organization of the MACT EEE as of November 2008 for the areas of the rule other than the emission standards.

EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
 Review of Existing Regulations

AECOM


General Compliance Dates

- Phase I Standards (63.1203 – 1205)
 - Existing HWI, CK, LAK 9/30/03
 - New/Recon. HWI, CK, LAK 9/30/99
- Phase II Standards (63.1216 – 1221)
 - Existing HWI, CK, LAK, SFB, LFB, HCI 10/14/08
 - New/Recon HWI, CK, LAK , SFB, LFB, HCI 10/12/05
 - New/Recon. HWI, CK, LAK (more stringent) 10/14/08



10


This slide lists the compliance dates of the affected sources of the MACT EEE rule. Newly constructed or reconstructed hazardous waste incinerators, hazardous waste cement kilns, and hazardous waste lightweight aggregate kilns that had applicability to the Phase I standards were required to be in compliance with the rule in 1999, whereas units that were existing at the time the rule was proposed were required to be in compliance with the rule in 2003. Newly constructed or reconstructed units hazardous waste incinerators, hazardous waste cement kilns, and hazardous waste lightweight aggregate kilns, hazardous waste solid fuel boilers, hazardous waste liquid fuel boilers and hazardous waste hydrochloric acid production furnaces that had applicability to Phase II standards were required to be in compliance with the Phase II rule by October 2005 and more stringent standards by October 2008, whereas units that were existing at the time the rule was proposed were required to be in compliance with the rule in October 2008.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations

AECOM

Compliance with Standard


- At all times
 - After feed cut off more than residence time, comply with Subpart 63 LLL (CK), DDDDD (SFB, LFB), or NNNNN (HCl) or §129 of CAA


11

As noted, once the hazardous waste feed is shut off to the affected source and the feed material has had time to clear the combustion unit, that is the residence time, a cement kiln must then comply with Subpart LLL, solid fuel boilers and liquid feed boilers must comply with Subpart DDDDD, hydrochloric acid production furnaces must comply with Subpart NNNNN, or the unit must comply with Section 129 or the Clean Air Act, as these rules are applicable to the unit. In other words, when the combustor is not burning hazardous waste, the hazardous waste combustor MACT EEE is not applicable and the unit must comply with any other MACT rule that potentially could be applicable.


EPA		MACT EEE Training Workshop		AECOM
Review of Existing Regulations				
Emissions Standards-HWI Phase I				
Pollutant	Units	Existing	New	
D+F	ngTEQ/dscm	0.20	0.20	
D+F 400 °F	ngTEQ/dscm	0.40	N/A	
Hg	µg/dscm	130	45	
Pb+Cd	µg/dscm	240	120	
Ar+Be+Cr	µg/dscm	97	97	
CO or HC	ppmvd	100/10	100/10	
HCl+Cl ₂	ppmvd	77	21	
PM	mg/dscm	34	34	
DRE	9's	4 or 6	4 or 6	

This slide lists the Phase I Emission Standards for hazardous waste incinerators. The standards are listed for each pollutant type for existing and newly constructed or reconstructed units. The pollutants are dioxin and furans and dioxin and furans provided that the combustion gas temperature at the inlet to the initial dry particulate matter control device is 400 °F or lower based on the average of the test run average temperatures. Other pollutants are mercury, lead and cadmium, combined arsenic, beryllium, and chromium, carbon monoxide and hydrocarbons, hydrogen chloride and chlorine, particulate, and destruction and removal efficiency, the DRE, of the pollutant. Note that the DRE is 6 9's, which is 99.9999%, for dioxin and furans, whereas otherwise the DRE is 4 9's, or 99.99%.


 **MACT EEE Training Workshop** | AECOM
 Review of Existing Regulations

Emissions Standards-CK Phase I

<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Existing</u>	<u>New</u>
D+F	ngTEQ/dscm	0.20	0.20
D+F 400°F	ngTEQ/dscm	0.40	0.40
Hg	µg/dscm	120	120
Pb+Cd	µg/dscm	330	180
Ar+Be+Cr	µg/dscm	56	54
CO or HC	mg/dscm	100/10-20	100/10-50
HCl+Cl ₂	ppmvd	130	86
PM/Opc.	kg/Mg	0.15/20%	0.15/20%
DRE	9's	4 or 6	4 or 6



13

This slide lists the Phase I Emission Standards for hazardous waste cement kilns. Note that opacity is added to the cement kiln standard.


 **MACT EEE Training Workshop** | AECOM
 Review of Existing Regulations

Emissions Standards-LAK Phase I

<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Existing</u>	<u>New</u>
D+F	ngTEQ/dscm		0.20 0.20
D+F 400°F	ngTEQ/dscm		notify
	notify		
Hg	µg/dscm	120	120
Pb+Cd	µg/dscm	250	43
Ar+Be+Cr	µg/dscm	110	110
CO or HC	ppmvd	100/20-20	100/20-20
HCl+Cl ₂	ppmvd	600	600
PM	mg/dscm	57	57
DRE	9's	4 or 6	4 or 6


 14

This slide lists the Phase I Emission Standards for hazardous waste lightweight aggregate kilns.


 **MACT EEE Training Workshop** | AECOM
 Review of Existing Regulations

Emissions Standards-HWI Phase I Replacement

<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Existing</u>	<u>New</u>
D+F	ngTEQ/dscm	0.20	0.11, 0.20
D+F 400°F	ngTEQ/dscm	0.40	N/A
Hg	µg/dscm	130	8.1
Pb+Cd	µg/dscm	230	10
Ar+Be+Cr	µg/dscm	92	23
CO or HC	ppmvd	100/10-10	100/10-10
HCl+Cl ₂	ppmvd	32	21
PM	gr/dscf	0.013	0.0015
DRE	9's	4 or 6	4 or 6


 15

This slide lists the Phase II Emission Standards for hazardous waste incinerators.


 **MACT EEE Training Workshop** | AECOM
 Review of Existing Regulations

Emissions Standards-CK Phase I Replacement

<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Existing</u>	<u>New</u>
D+F	ngTEQ/dscm	0.20	0.20
D+F 400° F	ngTEQ/dscm	0.40	0.40
Hg	ppmw	3.0	1.9
	µg/dscm	120	120
Pb+Cd	10 ⁻⁴ lb/MB	7.6	0.62
	µg/dscm	330	180
Ar+Be+Cr	10 ⁻⁵ lb/MB	2.1	1.5
	µg/dscm	56	54
CO or HC	mg/scm	100/10-20	100/10-50
HCl+Cl ₂	ppmvd	120	86
PM/Opc.	gr/dscf	0.028/20%	0.0069/20%
DRE	9's	4 or 6	4 or 6




This slide lists the Phase I Replacement Emission Standards for hazardous waste cement kilns. Note that mercury, lead and cadmium, and arsenic, beryllium and chromium have standards in different units of measure. For mercury, lead and cadmium, combined arsenic, beryllium, and chromium, both standards must be met. For particulate both the concentration and opacity standards must be met.

 **MACT EEE Training Workshop** | **AECOM**
 Review of Existing Regulations

Emissions Standards-LAK Phase I Replacement

<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Existing</u>	<u>New</u>
D+F	ngTEQ/dscm	0.20	0.20
D+F 400°F	ngTEQ/dscm	notify	notify
Hg	µg/dscm	120	120
Pb+Cd	10 ⁻⁴ lb/MB	3.0	0.37
Ar+Be+Cr	µg/dscm	250	43
	10 ⁻⁵ lb/MB	9.5	3.3
CO or HC	µg/dscm	110	110
	mg/scm	100/20-20	100/20-20
HCl+Cl ₂	ppmvd	600	600
PM/Opc.	gr/dscf	0.025	0.0098
DRE	9's	4 or 6	4 or 6




This slide lists the Phase I Replacement Emission Standards for hazardous waste lightweight aggregate kilns.

EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
 Review of Existing Regulations

AECOM

Emissions Standards-SFB Phase II

<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Existing</u>	<u>New</u>
D+F		CO/HC	CO/HC
Hg	µg/dscm	11	11
Pb+Cd	µg/dscm	180	180
Ar+Be+Cr	µg/dscm	380	190
CO or HC	ppmvd	100/10-10	100/10-10
HCl+Cl ₂	ppmvd	440	73
PM	mg/dscm	68	34
DRE	9's	4 or 6	4 or 6




18

This slide lists the Phase II Emission Standards for hazardous waste solid fuel boilers.


EPA		MACT EEE Training Workshop		AECOM
Review of Existing Regulations				
Emissions Standards-LFB Phase II				
Pollutant	Units	Existing	New	
D+F	ngTEQ/dscm	0.4	0.4	
		CO/HC	CO/HC	
Hg	µg/dscm	19	6.8	
	10 ⁻⁵ lb/MB	4.2	0.12	
Pb+Cd	µg/dscm	150	78	
	10 ⁻⁵ lb/MB	8.2	0.62	
Cr	µg/dscm	370	12	
	10 ⁻⁴ lb/MB	1.3	0.14	
CO or HC	ppmvd	100/10-10	100/10-10	
HCl+Cl ₂	ppmvd	31	31	
	10 ⁻² lb/MB	5.1	5.1	
PM	mg/dscm	80	20	
DRE	9's	4 or 6	4 or 6	

This slide lists the Phase II Emission Standards for hazardous waste liquid fuel boilers. Note that a requirement for the dioxin and furan pollutant, the carbon monoxide and hydrocarbon concentrations must be met if the unit is not equipped with a particulate control device. Also note the different units of measure for hydrogen chloride and chlorine. The parts per million standard applies to units that burn hazardous waste with an as-fired heating value less than 10,000 BTUs per pound and the pounds per million BTU standard applies to units that burn hazardous waste with an as-fired heating value of 10,000 BTUs per pound or greater.


 **MACT EEE Training Workshop** | AECOM
 Review of Existing Regulations

Emissions Standards-HCl Phase II


<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Existing</u>	<u>New</u>
D+F		CO/HC	CO/HC
Hg		HCl+Cl ₂	HCl+Cl ₂
Pb+Cd		HCl+Cl ₂	HCl+Cl ₂
Ar+Be+Cr		HCl+Cl ₂	HCl+Cl ₂
CO or HC	ppmvd	100/10-10	100/10-10
HCl+Cl ₂	ppmvd	150	25
	SRE %	99.923%	99.987%
PM		HCl+Cl ₂	HCl+Cl ₂
DRE	9's	4 or 6	4 or 6


20

This slide lists the Phase II Emission Standards for hazardous waste hydrochloric acid production furnaces. Note that for hydrogen chloride and chlorine, either a parts per million standard or System Recovery Efficiency (SRE) standards is required. Also note that mercury, lead and cadmium, combined arsenic, beryllium and chromium, and particulate pollutants must comply with the hydrogen chloride and chlorine standard.

EPA		MACT EEE Training Workshop		AECOM
Review of Existing Regulations				
Emissions Standards-Existing HWI				
Pollutant	Units	Phase I	Phase I (Rpl)	
D+F	ngTEQ/dscm	0.20	0.20	
D+F 400°F	ngTEQ/dscm	0.40	0.40	
Hg	µg/dscm	130	130	
Pb+Cd	µg/dscm	240	230	
Ar+Be+Cr	µg/dscm	97	92	
CO or HC	ppmvd	100/10	100/10	
HCl+Cl ₂	ppmvd	77	32	
PM	gr/dscf	0.015	0.013	
DRE	9's	4 or 6	4 or 6	

This slide compares the Phase I and I Replacement Emission Standards for existing hazardous waste incinerators.


 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

MACT EEE Training Workshop | AECOM


Review of Existing Regulations

Emissions Standards-New HWI

<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Phase I</u>	<u>Phase I (Rpl)</u>
D+F	ngTEQ/dscm	0.20	0.11, 0.20
D+F 400°F	ngTEQ/dscm	N/A	N/A
Hg	µg/dscm	45	8.1
Pb+Cd	µg/dscm	120	10
Ar+Be+Cr	µg/dscm	97	23
CO or HC	ppmvd	100/10	100/10
HCl+Cl ₂	ppmvd	21	21
PM	gr/dscf	0.015	0.0015
DRE	9's	4 or 6	4 or 6



22

This slide compares the Phase I and I Replacement Emission Standards for newly constructed or reconstructed hazardous waste incinerators.


 **MACT EEE Training Workshop** | AECOM
 Review of Existing Regulations

Emissions Standards-Existing CK

<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Phase I</u>	<u>Phase I (Rpl)</u>
D+F	ngTEQ/dscm	0.20	0.20
D+F 400 °F	ngTEQ/dscm	0.40	0.40
Hg	µg/dscm	120	120
Pb+Cd	µg/dscm	330	330
Ar+Be+Cr	µg/dscm	56	56
CO or HC	mg/scm	100/10-20	100/10-20
HCl+Cl ₂	ppmvd	130	120
PM/Opc.	kg/Mg-gr/dscf	0.15/20%	0.028/20%
DRE	9's	4 or 6	4 or 6



23

This slide compares the Phase I and I Replacement Emission Standards for existing hazardous waste cement kilns.


 **MACT EEE Training Workshop** | AECOM
 Review of Existing Regulations

Emissions Standards-New CK

<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Phase I</u>	<u>Phase I (Rpl)</u>
D+F	ngTEQ/dscm	0.20	0.20
D+F 400°F	ngTEQ/dscm	0.40	0.40
Hg	µg/dscm	120	120
Pb+Cd	µg/dscm	180	180
Ar+Be+Cr	µg/dscm	54	54
CO or HC	mg/scm	100/10-50	100/10-50
HCl+Cl ₂	ppmvd	86	86
PM/Opc.	kg/Mg-gr/dscf	0.15/20%	0.0023/20%
DRE	9's	4 or 6	4 or 6


 24

This slide compares the Phase I and I Replacement Emission Standards for newly constructed or reconstructed hazardous waste cement kilns.


 **MACT EEE Training Workshop** | AECOM
 Review of Existing Regulations

Emissions Standards-Existing LAK

<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Phase I</u>	<u>Phase I (Rpl)</u>
D+F	ngTEQ/dscm	0.20	0.20
D+F 400°F	ngTEQ/dscm	notify	notify
Hg	µg/dscm	120	120
Pb+Cd	µg/dscm	250	250
Ar+Be+Cr	µg/dscm	110	110
CO or HC	ppmvd	100/20-20	100/20-20
HCl+Cl ₂	ppmvd	600	600
PM	gr/dscf	0.025	0.025
DRE	9's	4 or 6	4 or 6



25

This slide compares the Phase I and I Replacement Emission Standards for existing hazardous waste lightweight aggregate kilns.


 **MACT EEE Training Workshop** | AECOM
 Review of Existing Regulations

Emissions Standards-New LAK

<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Phase I</u>	<u>Phase I (Rpl)</u>
D+F	ngTEQ/dscm	0.20	0.20
D+F 400°F	ngTEQ/dscm	notify	notify
Hg	μg/dscm	120	120
Pb+Cd	μg/dscm	43	43
Ar+Be+Cr	μg/dscm	110	110
CO or HC	ppmvd	100/20-20	100/20-20
HCl+Cl ₂	ppmvd	600	600
PM	gr/dscf	0.025	0.0098
DRE	9's	4 or 6	4 or 6




This slide compares the Phase I and I Replacement Emission Standards for newly constructed or reconstructed hazardous waste lightweight aggregate kilns.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations

AECOM


Monitoring

- CEMS – CO, HC, O₂
 - correct to 7% O₂
 - PS 4B for CO and O₂
 - PS 8A for HC
- CEMS – PM
 - when EPA completes a rulemaking establishing operational requirements
- COMS (CK) – Opacity
 - 6-minute block average
 - PS 1



27

This slide identifies the continuous emissions monitoring systems, or CEMS, and continuous opacity monitoring systems, or COMS, for MACT EEE. CEMS monitoring of carbon monoxide and oxygen must follow Performance Specification 4B and monitoring of hydrocarbons must follow Performance Specification 8A. The oxygen CEMS data are used to correct various pollutant measurements to 7 percent oxygen. Particulate CEMS must follow the requirements for PM CEMS when EPA completes rulemaking that establishes operational requirements. COMS, which monitor opacity, record opacity in 6-minute block averages and must follow Performance Specification 1.


 **EPA** U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

MACT EEE Training Workshop | **AECOM**


Review of Existing Regulations

Monitoring- CO Exceeding Span

- If CO CEMS 1-minute average reaches the 3,000 ppmv span level (PS 4B), 1-minute average must be recorded as 10,000 ppmv
- If CO CEMS uses 10,000 ppmv span
 - Cal Drift must be < 300 ppmv and
 - Cal Error must be < 500 ppmv

 28


When monitoring carbon monoxide and 1-minute averages reach the 3000 part per million span level, the 1-minute average value must be recorded as 10,000 parts per million. If the carbon monoxide CEMS uses a 10,000 parts per million span, then the calibration drift must be less than 300 parts per million and the calibration error must be less than 500 parts per million.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations

AECOM


Monitoring- HC Exceeding Span

- If HC CEMS 1-minute average reaches 100 ppmv span level (PS 8A), record 1-minute as 500 ppmv
- If HC CEMS uses 500 ppmv span,
 - Different Cal Gases must be used
 - Cal must not differ more than ± 15 ppmv after each 24-hour period of 7-day test at zero and high levels
 - Cal Error must be < 25 ppmv



29


If the hydrocarbon CEMS 1-minute average value reaches a 100 parts per million span level, the recorded value must be 500 parts per million. If the hydrocarbon CEMS uses a 500 parts per million span, a different calibration gas must be used, the calibration must not differ more than plus or minus 15 parts per million after each 24-hour period of a 7 day test at zero and high levels, and the calibration error must be less than 25 parts per million.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

Other CMS Monitoring

- Thermocouples, Pyrometers
 - Cal with manufacturer's specifications
 - Cal at least once per year
- Weight measurement of carbon injection
 - Accuracy must be ± 1 %
 - Cal at least once per quarter


30


For other continuous monitoring systems such as thermocouples and pyrometers, the sensors must be calibrated to the manufacturer's specifications and calibrated at least once per year. For weight measurement of carbon injection systems, the accuracy of weighing must be within 1 percent and the device must be calibrated once each 3 month period.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations

AECOM

Other CMS Monitoring

- Sample and interpret once each 15 seconds
- Compute and record averages every 60 seconds
- Span must not be exceeded
- Interlock span limits with AWFCO system


31

Other requirements include that monitoring systems must sample and interpret the measured data once each 15 seconds. The systems must compute and record averages every 60 seconds. The required span value for the instrument must not be exceeded and span limits must have an interlock with the automatic waste feed cutoff system to stop hazardous waste feed when the span value is exceeded.

 EPA
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

Feedstream Analysis

- Analyze each feedstream to document compliance with feedstream limits
- Develop and implement a Feedstream Analysis Plan


32

MACT EEE requires that each feedstream be analyzed in order to document that the feedstream is compliant with the required feedstream limits. A Feedstream Analysis Plan is required to be developed and implemented.


 **EPA** U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

MACT EEE Training Workshop | **AECOM**


Review of Existing Regulations

DRE Compliance

- Establish operating limits during CPT for parameters below
 - Minimum hourly combustion chamber temperature
 - Maximum hourly flue gas flow rate or production rate (gas residence time indicator)
 - Maximum hourly hazardous waste feedrate
 - Operation of waste firing system


33


During the comprehensive performance test, operating limits must be established for the minimum hourly combustion chamber temperature, the maximum hourly flue gas flow rate or the production rate as an indicator of the gas residence time, the maximum hourly hazardous waste feedrate and the parameters of operating the waste firing system.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations

AECOM

D+F Compliance

- Establish and comply with operating parameter limits:
 - Maximum hourly gas temperature at inlet to dry Particulate control device
 - Minimum hourly combustion chamber temperature
 - Maximum flue gas flow rate or production rate
 - Maximum hourly hazardous waste feedrate

 34

To comply with the Dioxin and Furan emission standard, MACT EEE requires the establishment and compliance with operating parameter limits for the maximum hourly gas temperature at the inlet to the dry particulate control device, the minimum hourly combustion chamber temperature, the maximum flue gas flow rate, or the maximum production rate, and the maximum hourly hazardous waste feed rate.


Carbon Injection Compliance

- If activated carbon injection system used, establish
 - Minimum hourly Carbon feed rate at each injection location
 - Minimum hourly carrier fluid flow rate or pressure drop
 - Specify manufacturer and type of carbon used during CPT or equivalent substitute



35

If an affected unit uses a carbon injecting system, MACT EEE requires establishment of the minimum hourly carbon feed rate at each injection location, the minimum hourly carrier fluid flow rate or minimum pressure drop, and specification of the manufacturer and type of carbon used during the comprehensive performance test, or an equivalent carbon substitute.


 EPA
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

Carbon Bed Compliance

- Monitor bed life
- Carbon specification
- Monitor maximum hourly rolling average temperature


36


For use of a carbon bed, the carbon bed life must be monitored, the carbon used must be specified, and the maximum hourly rolling average temperature of the carbon bed must be established.

 EPA
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency


MACT EEE Training Workshop | AECOM
Review of Existing Regulations

Particulate Matter Compliance

- Control device operating parameter limits (OPLs)
- Maximum flue gas flow rate or production rate (as indicator of gas residence time)
- Maximum ash feedrate


37


For compliance with the Particulate Matter standard, control device operating parameter limits, or OPLs, maximum flue gas flow rate or production rate, and maximum ash feed rate must be established during the comprehensive compliance test or according to the manufacturer's specifications.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

SVM and LVM Compliance

- Semi-volatile Metals (SVM) – Cd+Pb
- Low Volatility Metals (LVM) – Ar+Be+Cr
- Maximum hourly inlet temperature to dry particulate control device
- Maximum hourly feed rate of SVM and LVM
- Control device operating parameter limits (OPLs) for particulate
- Maximum 12-hour total chlorine and chloride feedrate
- Maximum hourly flue gas flow rate or production rate


38


Semi-volatile metals (SVM) include cadmium and lead and low volatility metals (LVM) include arsenic, beryllium, and chromium. For compliance with the SVM and LVM standards, operating parameter limits must be established for maximum hourly temperature at the inlet to the particulate control device, the maximum hourly feed rate of SVM and LVM pollutants, the operating parameter limits, particulate, of the control device, the maximum 12-hour total chlorine and chloride feed rate, and the maximum hourly fuel gas flow rate or production rate.

 EPA
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency


MACT EEE Training Workshop | AECOM
Review of Existing Regulations

Hydrogen Chloride and Chlorine Compliance

- 12-hour feed rate of total chlorine and chloride
- Maximum hourly flue gas flow rate or production rate
- Wet scrubber – minimum pressure drop, minimum liquid feed pressure, minimum pH, minimum L/G ratio
- Dry scrubber – minimum sorbent feed rate, minimum carrier fluid flow rate or nozzle pressure drop, sorbent specifications


39


To comply with the hydrogen chloride and chlorine gas standards, operating parameter limits must be established and complied with for 12-hour feed rate of total chlorine and chloride, maximum hourly flue gas flow rate of production rate, and for a wet scrubber, the minimum pressure drop, the minimum liquid feed pressure, minimum pH, and minimum liquid to gas ratio, or for a dry scrubber, the minimum sorbent feed rate, minimum carrier fluid flow rate or nozzle pressure drop, and specifications for the sorbent.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

Other Compliance Requirements

- Maximum combustion chamber pressure must be lower than ambient or AWFCO activated when negative pressure not maintained
- Operating under different modes of operation
- Averaging periods


40


Other compliance that is required by MACT EEE includes monitoring the combustion chamber pressure so that it is always lower than the ambient pressure and activating the automatic waste feed cut off when the combustion chamber pressure is not maintained below ambient pressure. Also, if the combustion unit operates under different modes of operation, operating parameter limits must be established under each mode of operation. Averaging periods allowed in the rule are not to exceed periods meaning that a 12-hour required averaging period can be averaged over a shorter time period like 1-hour.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

Notifications

- Initial notification (subject to Subpart EEE)
- Subject to special compliance requirements
- Any change in information already provided
- Changes in design, operation, or maintenance
- Excessive bag leak detection system exceedances
- Performance test, CMS evaluation (performance test plan, CMS performance evaluation plan)
- Intent to Comply
- Notification of Compliance (results of performance tests, CMS performance evaluations)


41


MACT EEE has several required notifications. The initial notification that the emission unit is subject to Subpart EEE is the first notification. Other notifications include that a unit is subject to special compliance requirements, changes in information previously provided to a permitting authority, excessive bag leak detection system exceedances, the performance test plan and compliance monitoring system performance evaluation plan, the intent to comply with the standards, and notification of compliance including the results of performance tests and compliance monitoring systems performance evaluations.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

Contingent Notification

- Request adjustment to time periods or postmark deadlines for submittal and review of required information
- Request to reduce frequency of excess emissions and CMS performance reports
- Request to waive recordkeeping or reporting requirements
- Election to comply with emission averaging requirements for cement kilns with in-line raw mills


42


MACT EEE includes several notification requirements that are contingent on other situations. A notification request for adjustment to time periods or postmark deadlines for submittal and review of required information. Another notification is a request to reduce the frequency of reports on excess emissions and CMS performance. Also a notification can be made for a request to waive record keeping or reporting requirements. Another notification is for the election to comply with emission averaging requirements for cement kilns with in-line raw mills.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

Contingent Notification

- Election to comply with emission averaging requirements for preheater or preheater/precalciner kilns with dual stacks
- Request extension of compliance date
- Request to burn hazardous waste for more than 720 hours and for purposes other than testing or pretesting after making change in design or operation that could affect compliance with emission standards and prior to submitting revised Notification of Compliance


43


More notifications include the election to comply with emission averaging requirements for preheater or preheater/precalciner kilns with dual stacks. Another notification is for a request to extend the compliance date. Also a notification can be made for a request to burn hazardous waste for more than 720 hours and for purposes other than testing or pretesting after making a change in design or operation that could affect compliance with emission standards and prior to submitting a revised Notification of Compliance.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations

AECOM


Contingent Notification

- Request approval to have particulate matter and opacity standards and associated operating limits and conditions waived for more than 96 hours for correlation test
- Request approval of alternative emission standards for mercury, semivolatile metal, low volatile metal, and hydrogen chloride/chlorine gas under certain conditions for LAK
- Request approval of alternative emission standards for mercury, semivolatile metal, low volatile metal, and hydrogen chloride/chlorine gas under certain conditions for CK



44


Other contingent notifications include a request for approval to have particulate matter and opacity standards and associated operating limits and conditions waived for more than 96 hours for a correlation test and a request for approval of alternative emission standards for mercury, semi-volatile metals, low volatile metals and hydrogen chloride/chlorine gas under certain conditions for lightweight aggregate kilns or cement kilns.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

Contingent Notification

- Election to comply with alternative to particulate matter standard for HWI
- Request to comply with alternative to interim standards for mercury for CK and LAK
- Request to make changes to startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan
- Request alternative means of control to provide control of combustion system leaks
- Request other techniques to prevent fugitive emissions without use of instantaneous pressure limits


45


Other contingent notification includes the notification that the site has elected to comply with an alternative to the particulate matter standard for a hazardous waste incinerator or a request to comply with an alternative to the interim standards for mercury for cement and lightweight aggregate kilns. Also, a notification of a request to make changes to a SSM plan, use of an alternative control of combustion system leaks, or use of other techniques to prevent fugitive emissions without use of instantaneous pressure limits.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

Other Notification

- Request to base initial compliance on data in lieu of comprehensive performance test
- Request more than 60 days to complete a performance test if additional time is needed for reasons beyond control
- Request time extension if Administrator fails to approve or deny your test plan
- Request to waive current operating parameter limits during pretesting for more than 720 hours


46


Other notifications required are a request to base the initial compliance on data in lieu of the comprehensive performance test, a request for more than 60 days to complete a performance test if additional time is needed for reasons that are beyond the site's control, a request for a time extension if the Administrator fails to approve or deny a test plan, and a request to waive the current operating parameter limits during a pretesting period of more than 720 hours duration.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations

AECOM


Other Notification

- Request reduced hazardous waste feedstream analysis for organic hazardous air pollutants if reduced analysis continues to be representative of organic hazardous air pollutants in hazardous waste feedstreams.
- Request to operate under wider operating range for parameter during confirmatory performance testing
- Request one-year time extension for conducting performance test (other than initial comprehensive performance test) to consolidate testing with other state or federally-required testing



47


Additional notifications include a request for reduced hazardous waste feedstream analysis for organic HAPs if the reduced analysis will continue to be representative of the organic HAPs in the hazardous waste feedstreams, a request to operate under a wider operating range for parameter during confirmatory performance testing, and a request for a one-year extension for conducting a performance test other than the initial comprehensive performance test to consolidate testing with other state of federally-required testing.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations

AECOM


Other Notification

- Request more than 90 days to submit Notification of Compliance after completing performance test if additional time is needed for reasons beyond control
- After failure of performance test, request to burn hazardous waste for more than 720 hours and for purposes other than testing or pretesting
- Request: (1) Approval of alternative monitoring methods for compliance with standards that are monitored with CEMS; and (2) approval to use CEMS in lieu of operating parameter limits



48


More notifications possible under MACT EEE are a request for more than 90 days to submit the Notification of Compliance after completing a performance test if additional time is need for reasons that are beyond the site's control, a request to burn hazardous waste for more than 720 hours and for purposes other than testing after a failure of a performance test, and to request approval of alternative monitoring methods for compliance with standards that are monitored with CEMS and approval to use CEMS in lieu of operating parameter limits.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations

AECOM


Other Notification

- Request approval of: (1) Alternatives to operating parameter monitoring requirements, except for standards that you must monitor with CEMS and except for requests to use CEMS in lieu of operating parameter limits; or (2) waiver of operating parameter limit
- Request to extrapolate mercury feedrate limits
- Request to extrapolate semivolatile and low volatile metal feedrate limits
- Request to use data compression techniques to record data on a less frequent basis than required by §63.1209



49


Finally, other notifications that can be made are a request for approval of alternatives to operating parameter monitoring requirements, except for standards that must be monitored with CEMS and except for requests to use CEMS in lieu of operating parameter limits or for a waiver of an operating parameter limit, a request to extrapolate mercury feedrate limits, a request to extrapolate SVM or LVM feedrate limits, and a request to use data compression techniques to record data on a less frequent basis that required by the rule.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

Recordkeeping Requirements

- Information required to document and maintain compliance with Subpart EEE regulations, including data recorded by CMS, and copies of notifications, reports, plans, and other documents submitted to Administrator
- Documentation of mode of operation changes for cement kilns with in-line raw mills
- Documentation of compliance with emission averaging requirements for cement kilns with in-line raw mills

 50


We will now look at the many recordkeeping requirements of MACT EEE. Information required to document and maintain compliance with MACT EEE regulations, including data recorded by CMS, and copies of notifications, reports, plans, and other documents submitted to the Administrator must be maintained. Also, documentation of the mode of operation changes for cement kilns with in-line raw mills, and documentation of compliance with emission averaging requirements for cement kilns with in-line raw mills.

 EPA
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency


MACT EEE Training Workshop | AECOM
Review of Existing Regulations

Recordkeeping Requirements

- Documentation of compliance with emission averaging requirements for preheater or preheater/precalciner kilns with dual stacks
- If election to comply with applicable requirements and standards promulgated under authority of Clean Air Act, e.g. Sections 112 and 129, in lieu of Subpart EEE requirements when not burning hazardous waste, document in operating record that those requirements are in compliance
- Documentation that change will not adversely affect compliance with emission standards or operating requirements


51

Other recordkeeping requirements include documentation of compliance with emissions averaging requirements for preheater or preheater-precalciner kilns with dual stacks, documentation of different requirements of other standards when not burning hazardous waste, and documentation that a change will not adversely affect compliance with emission standards or operating requirements.


 EPA
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

Recordkeeping Requirements

- Calculation of hazardous waste residence time
- Startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan
- Documentation of investigation and evaluation of excessive exceedances during malfunctions
- Corrective measures for any automatic waste feed cutoff that results in exceedance of emission standard or operating parameter limit
- Documentation and results of automatic waste feed cutoff operability testing
- Emergency safety vent operating plan


52


Additional recordkeeping requirements include calculation of hazardous waste residence time, startup, shutdown and malfunction plan, documentation of investigation and evaluation of excessive exceedances during malfunctions, corrective measures for any automatic waste feed cutoff that results in exceedance of emission standard or operating parameter limit, documentation and results of automatic waste feed cutoff operability testing, and emergency safety vent operating plan.

 EPA
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency


MACT EEE Training Workshop | AECOM
Review of Existing Regulations

Recordkeeping Requirements

- Corrective measures for emergency safety vent opening
- Method used for control of combustion system leaks
- Operator training and certification program
- Operation and maintenance plan
- Feedstream analysis plan


53


More recordkeeping requirements include records of corrective measures for emergency safety vent opening, method used for control of combustion system leaks, operator training and certification program, operation and maintenance plan, and feedstream analysis plan.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

Recordkeeping Requirements

- Documentation that substitute activated carbon, dioxin/furan formation reaction inhibitor, or dry scrubber sorbent will provide same level of control as original material
- Results of carbon bed performance monitoring
- Documentation of changes in modes of operation
- Documentation of compliance


54


Finally, the remaining recordkeeping requirements include documentation that substitute activated carbon, dioxin and furan formation reaction inhibitor, or dry scrubber sorbent will provide same level of control as original material, results of carbon bed performance monitoring, documentation of changes in modes of operation, and documentation of compliance.

 EPA
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

MACT EEE Training Workshop | AECOM
Review of Existing Regulations


Reporting Requirements

- Compliance progress reports, if required for extension of compliance date under §63.6(i)
- Periodic SSM reports
- Immediate SSM reports
- Excessive emissions and continuous monitoring system performance report and summary report
- SSM plan (complying with §270.235 requirements)
- Excessive exceedances reports
- Emergency safety vent (ESV) opening reports



55


This slide lists the reporting requirements for MACT EEE. Compliance progress reports, if required for extension of compliance date under section 63.6(i), periodic and immediate SSM reports, excessive emissions and continuous monitoring system performance report and summary report, SSM plan (if complying with section 270.235 requirements), excessive exceedances reports, and emergency safety vent (ESV) opening reports.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations

AECOM


Reconsideration Final Rule

- Promulgated October 28, 2008
- 73 *Federal Register* 64068
- Revised Provisions effective immediately
- Does not change October 14, 2008, compliance date established by October 2005 rule
- Final action regarding eight reconsideration issues, addresses comments received in response to September 2007 notice and clarified several provisions



56

In a more recent action, EPA promulgated final action on eight reconsideration issues that address comments received in response to a September 2007 notice on October 28, 2008. The revised provisions of the reconsideration rule became effective immediately upon promulgation. The final changes to the rule did not change the October 14, 2008 compliance date established by the earlier rule. In addition to addressing comments received from the September 2007 notice, EPA also clarified several provisions of the rule.


 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations

AECOM

Reconsideration Final Rule


Final action regarding eight reconsideration issues:

- Sub-categorization of LFB by Heating Value
- Correcting Total Chlorine Data to 20 ppmv
- Use of PS-11 and Proc. 2 as Guidance for Extrapolating Alarm Set-Point of PM Detection System
- Tie-Breaking Procedure for New Source Standards
- New Source PM Standard for New Cement Kilns
- Beyond-the-Floor Analyses to Consider Multiple HAP that are Similarly Controlled
- Dioxin/Furan Standard for Incinerators with Dry APCD
- Provisions of Health-Based Compliance Alternative



57


The final action EPA took was regarding the following eight reconsideration issues: Sub-categorization of Liquid Fuel Boilers by Heating Value, correcting Total Chlorine Data to 20 parts per million, use of Performance Specification 11 and Procedure 2 as guidance for extrapolating alarm set-point of PM detection system, tie-breaking procedure for new source standards, a new PM standard for New Cement Kilns, beyond-the-floor analyses to consider multiple HAP that are similarly controlled, Dioxin and Furan standard for Incinerators with dry APCD, and provisions of health-based compliance alternative.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

Sub-categorization of LFB by Heating Value

- Oct. 12, 2005 rule divided LFB into subcategories based on heating value for metals and total chlorine standards
- Fuel gas or non-waste input may contain HAP and is contrary to *Brick MACT* decision that MACT must apply to all HAP regardless of input
- EPA intends to amend these standards


58


The October 12, 2005 rule divided Liquid Fuel Boilers into subcategories based on heating value for metals and total chlorine standards. Because fuel gas or non-waste input may contain HAP, not counting for these HAP is contrary to the Brick MACT decision that MACT must apply to all HAP regardless of input. EPA intends to amend these standards at a later time.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

Correcting Total Chlorine (TCI) Data

- EPA corrected all TCI emissions in database below 20 ppmv to 20 ppmv to reflect biases of Method 0050
- EPA reaffirmed that correction approach was appropriate and no change made


59


The correction of Total Chlorine data involved EPA corrected all Total Chlorine emissions in the database below 20 ppmv to 20 ppmv to account for potential negative biases of Method 0050. In the reconsideration rule, EPA reaffirmed that correction approach was appropriate and no change made.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

PS-11 and Proc. 2 as Guidance for PMDS

- Revised procedures to extrapolate PMDS alarm set-point less prescriptive than proposed
- Excessive exceedance notification for PMSD if set-point is exceeded for more than 5% during any 6-month period must also include time PMDS malfunctions and set-point is exceeded
- If alarm set-point is exceeded or PMDS malfunctions, must take corrective measures specified in O&M Plan


60


EPA reconsidered use of Performance Specification 11 and Procedure 2 as Guidance for extrapolating the alarm set-point of a PM Detection System. The action taken was that EPA revised procedures to extrapolate PMDS alarm set-point that are less prescriptive than proposed. Also with respect to excessive exceedance notification for PMSD, if set-point is exceeded for more than 5% during any 6-month period, the source must also include time PMDS malfunctions and set-point is exceeded. Finally, if the alarm set-point is exceeded or PMDS malfunctions, the source must take corrective measures specified in O&M Plan

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

Tie-Breaking Procedure, New Source Stds

- Tie-breaking procedure in setting MACT floor was the selection of source with lowest emissions of tied sources
- EPA reaffirmed validity of determination made at promulgation and made no changes to final rule


61


Tie-breaking procedure that was used in setting MACT floor was the selection of source with lowest emissions of tied sources. In the reconsideration rule, EPA reaffirmed validity of determination made at promulgation and made no changes to final rule.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

New Source PM Standard for New CK

- Promulgated revised PM standards for new sources
 - CK PM Standard 0.0069 gr/dscf at 7% O₂
 - HWI PM Standard 0.0016 gr/dscf at 7% O₂
- Did not revise LFB PM standard as proposed
- New CK compliance date changed to Oct. 28, 2008 or on start up

 62


EPA promulgated revised PM standards for new sources as follows: The Cement Kiln PM Standard was changed to 0.0069 gr/dscf at 7% O₂ and the PM Standard for Hazardous Waste Incinerators was change to 0.0016 gr/dscf at 7% O₂. EPA did not revise the Liquid Fuel Boiler PM standard as previously proposed. Also EPA change the new Cement Kiln compliance date to October 28, 2008 or upon start up.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

Beyond-the-Floor Analyses

- Beyond-the-Floor Analyses to Consider Multiple HAP that are Similarly Controlled
- No changes to final rule were made as a result of reconsideration of beyond-the-floor standards


63

The Beyond-the-Floor analyses was to consider multiple HAP that are similarly controlled. EPA made no changes to final rule as a result of reconsideration of beyond-the-floor standards.


 United States Environmental Protection Agency

MACT EEE Training Workshop | AECOM


Review of Existing Regulations

D/F Std for Incinerators with dry APCD

- Dioxin and Furan standard was retained as promulgated at §63.1219(a)(1)

 64


EPA reviewed the Dioxin-Furan standard for incinerators with dry air pollution control devices; however, the Dioxin/Furan standard was retained as promulgated without change.

 EPA
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency


MACT EEE Training Workshop | AECOM
Review of Existing Regulations

Provisions of Health-Based Compliance Alt.

- Promulgated revisions to the Health-Based Compliance Alternative as proposed
- Revised provisions are effective immediately


65


EPA also promulgated revisions to the health-based compliance alternative as it was proposed. The revised provisions were effective immediately.

 EPA
MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations


AECOM

Corrections

- §63.1207(d) revised to clarify “replacement” standards are §§63.1219, 63.1220, and 63.1221
- §63.1207(m)(1) revised to remove 12-hour rolling average requirement in 10/2005 rule
- Removed §63.1220(a)(2)(iii) and (b)(2)(iii), which were incorporated in §63.1220(a)(2)(ii) and (b)(2)(ii)


66

EPA made several revisions to correct parts of the rule as listed in this slide.


 EPA
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

MACT EEE Training Workshop
Review of Existing Regulations

AECOM

Clarifications

- Clarified comments on combined non-combustion clinker cooler gases with kiln exhaust gases
- May submit site-specific compliance procedures to eliminate effect of clinker cooler exhaust gas on oxygen correction calculation


67

EPA made several revisions to clarify parts of the MACT EEE rule as listed in this slide.

This ends the presentation of this module.