US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Facility Name:	
EPA ID #:	

1.	(a)	Is this facility a permitted TSD, interim Status TSD or Large Quantity Generators (LQG)?	YES	NO
	(b)	Type?If permitted, date of permit		
2.	(a)	Is this facility subject to the CC Rule?	YES	NO
	(b)	If the answer is no, what is the reason? (Ref. 40 CFR 265.1080(b) (264.1080(b) exceptions or (265.1083(c) (264.1080(b) exceptions))	264.1082(c	2))
	exemp	aptions, or the general exclusions in 265.1(g) (264.1(g)), as applicable.)		

40 CFR 1080(b) exceptions	40 CFR 265.1083(c) exemptions:	40 CFR 265.1 general excl/exempt:		
Unit did not receive HW after 12/6/96	Waste stream less than 500 ppmw average VO(c)(1). If so, was waste determination done per 265.1084?	Hazardous waste recycling unit exemption		
Using containers of less than 26 gallons capacity	Organic content of waste already reduced by treatment. ((c)(2)(ii))	Satellite accumulation area		
Unit undergoing closure	Tank is used for biological treatment ((c)(2)(iv)(A) or (B))	Totally enclosed treatment facility exemption		
Units used in an on-site RCRA or CERCLA clean-up	All waste placed in unit meets 268.40 (LDR) limits ((c)(4)(i))	Elementary neutralization unit (corrosive)		
Mixed Radioactive and hazardous waste	All waste placed in unit has been treated to limits as specified in 268.42((c)(4)(ii))	Waste water treatment in tanks exemption		
Units with CAA, NESHAPS or NSPS controls	Tank is used for bulk feed to incinerator and requirements of 265.1083(5)(i)-(iii) are met	Emergency or spill management exemption		
Tanks with process vents (Subject to Subpart AA)	Mass removal rate ((c)(2)(iii))			
	Miscellaneous treatment ((c)(2)(v))			
	Organic reduction efficiency ((c)(2)(vi))			
	Combustion Processes ((c)(2)(vii) or (viii))			

If answer to question 2 is NO, do not complete the remainder of checklist.

Facility Name:	
EPA ID #:	

deter	e average volatile organic concentration of each waste management unit more than 500 ppmw mined on an average annual basis at point of waste origination? s, list the number of the units and the concentration in the space below.	YESNONA
If no	is the determination in the facility operating record?	YESNONA
How	was waste determination done?KnowledgeSampling (Ref 40 CFR 265.	1084 (264.1083)
(a)	If Knowledge was used, is there any documentation on file?	YESNONA
(b)	Is it adequate?	YESNONA
(c)	If facility used sampling, was the sampling done by an EPA approved method?	YESNONA
Whic	h Method?	
(d)	Does the facility have a written sampling plan?	YESNONA
(e)	Is it adequate?	YESNONA
(f)	Has the waste stream changed since the initial waste determination was done which would	
	cause the character of the waste to change or to exceed the threshold levels for applicability	
	of Subpart CC?	YESNONA
(g)	If so, was a new waste determination done?	YESNONA
Did t	he facility install controls on the units that are subject to the Subpart CC rule by December 6, 1996?	
	CFR 265.1082(a)(1))	YESNONA
If ye	s, proceed to question (5). If no, then answer the next question.	
(a)	Did the facility have an implementation schedule in its on-site operating record? (40 CFR 265.1082)	2) YES NO NA
(b)	Was it in the operating record by December 6, 1996?	YES NO NA
(c)	Did the implementation schedule contain the following information:	
	(1) Installation could not be completed by 12/6/96	YESNONA
	(2) Show dates by which design and construction will be initiated & completed, and	
	include supporting information, e.g., contract awards, purchase orders and	
	performance tests.	YESNONA
	(3) Install equipment as soon as possible, but no later than 12/8/97	YESNONA
(d)	Is facility meeting implementation schedule?	YESNONA
(e)	If no, is there documentation in the operating record that any schedule change cannot	
	reasonably be avoided?	YESNONA
(f)	Has the RA extended the compliance schedule beyond 12/8/97, or was such a request submitted?	YESNONA

Facility Name:	
EPA ID #:	

TANKS

(1)	(a)		e facility have any waste stabilization tanks on site?	YES_		_
	(b) (c)		trols installed? vill controls be installed?	YES	NO	_NA
	(0)	WHEHW	The controls of histanea?			
Note:	Waste	stabilizatio	on tanks had until 12/8/98 to install controls.			
Tank m			as for level 1 control:			
	(1)		naximum organic vapor pressure less than cutoff for tank design capacity			
	(2)		ing to or above temperatures at which vapor pressure is determined			
	(3)	No was	te stabilization in tank			
Vapor p Comme		determine	ed by knowledge or by measurement.			
(2)			average VO concentration of more than 500 ppmw placed in a tank with level 1 control?			
		R 265.108		YES	NO	NA
(3)		-	average VO concentration of 500 ppm or more being placed in a tank with level			
	2 contr	ols?		YES	_NO	_NA
	(a)	OPTIO	N 1. Fixed roof with internal floating roof (IFR)			
		(i)	Is the IFR designed so that it floats on the liquid surface? (Exception- when roof is			
			supported by leg supports)	YES	NO	_NA
		(ii)	Is there a continuous seal between the wall of the tank and the floating edge that meets			
			either of the following requirements:			
			(A) A liquid-mounted seal, a metallic seal			
		If aboic	(B) Two continuous seals mounted one over the other.	ting roof odge	•	
		(iii)	e is B, then the lower seal may be vapor mounted between the wall of the tank and the float Specifications	YES		NΙΛ
		(iv)	Are all the openings in the IFR closed at all times?	YES_		
		(v)	Are the automatic bleeder vents closed when the roof is floating, except when roof is	125	.,,	_1 1/2 1
		(.,	being floated off or is landed on its leg supports?	YES	NO	NA
		(vi)	Prior to filling the each tank, are the cover, access hatch, gauge float well, and lid			_
			openings bolted or fastened closed i.e. no visible gaps?	YES	NO	NA
		(vii)	Are rim spaces open only when floating roof is not floating or when pressure beneath			
			the rim exceeds the manufacture recommended setting?			
		(viii)	Is filling or emptying continuous when IFR is sitting on leg supports?		NO	_
		(ix)	Was the safety device in a closed position during normal operations?			_NA
		(x)	Was the safety device activated recently?	YES	NO	_NA
		(vi)	Why? Were any of the defects applicable to the IFR:		-	
		(xi)	(A) The internal floating roof is not floating on the surface of the liquid			
			(B) liquid has accumulated on top of IFR			
			(C) any portion of the roof seals have detached from the roof rim			
			(D) holes, tears, or other openings are visible in seal fabric			
			(E) gaskets no longer close off waste surfaces from the atmosphere; or			
			(F) the slotted membrane has more than 10 % open area			
			If the answer to any of the above is yes, was leak repair done?	YES	NO	NA
		(xii)	Was a defect detected during the facility's last inspection?	YES		
		(xiii)	If yes, what was done?			_
		(xiv)	Did the facility notify the Regional Administrator of its annual inspection in writing			
			at least 30 days before the inspection?	YES	NO	NA_
		(xv)	Did the facility empty or degas the IFR?		NO	_NA
			If yes, was the Regional Administrator informed?			_NA
		(xvi)	Was there an unplanned inspection?			_NA
			If yes was the Regional Administrator informed?	YES	NO	NA

Facility Name:	
EPA ID #:	

YES__NO__NA

RCRA SUBPART CC CHECKLIST FOR AIR EMISSIONS AT TSDs AND LARGE QUANTITY GENERATORS

CONTAINERS

Light liquid service: For a hazardous waste to be in light liquid service, the vapor pressure of one or more of the organic constituents in the material must greater than 0.3 Kilopascals at 20 degrees C and the total concentration of pure organic constituents having a vapor pressure greater than 0.3 Kilopascals at 20 degrees Centigrade is equal to or than 20 percent by weight.

LEVEL ONE:

Containers must be > 0.1 cubic meters (26.4 gal) and < or = to 119 gallons . If the organic waste is not in light liquid service, it can be above 119 gallons.

- Meet DOT standards
- Use a cover and closure device on the container and ensure that there are no visible gaps into the interior of the container. OR
- Use vapor suppressing barrier on or above the hazardous waste in the container.

LEVEL TWO:

- Containers are larger than 0.46 cubic meters (122 gal) and are in light liquid service.
- The container must meet DOT specifications.
- Operates with no detectable emissions from the container under Method 21.
- Demonstrated to be vapor tight within the last twelve months using Method 27.

LEVEL THREE

Container can be used for waste stabilization.

- Vent vapors from containers and remove or destroy them in a control device.
- Put container in a Procedure T enclosure and, vent vapors, and destroy them in a control device.

	(1)		
		Level One Level Two	Level Three
	(2)	Is the facility in compliance?	YESNONA
	List the	e option that the facility is complying with.	
* NOTE	E: Most fa	acilities will be in compliance if they store their waste in DOT approved 55 gallon drums.	
	(3)	Is HW having an average Volatile Organic Concentration of more than 500 ppmw placed in containers with capacity above 0.1 cubic meter?	YESNONA
	(4)	Are the containers equipped with a cover which operates with no detectable organic emissions when all container openings (e.g., lids, bungs, container openings, etc.,) are secured in a closed, sealed position?	YESNONA
	(5)	Were all containers checked for leaks by Method 27 before HW was first placed into them?	YESNONA
NA	(6)	Is HW having an average Volatile Organic Concentration of more than 500 ppmw placed in containers with capacity above 0.46 cubic meter?	YESNO
	(7)	Is that container equipped with a cover and complies with all the applicable DOT on packaging HW for transport under 49 CFR part 178?	YESNONA
Note: subject		ainer that is managed in accordance with the requirements of 49 CFR part 178 for the purpose of conceptions to the 49 CFR part 178 except as noted in paragraph (b).	nplying with this subpart is not
	(8)	Concentration of more than 100 ppmy placed in a container that is attached to or forms a part	

of any truck, trailer, or railcar?

Note:

		1	Facility Name: EPA ID #:			
(9)	organic vapor tigh	nstrated within the last 12 months that the container referenced at when all container closings are in a closed, sealed position (or or lids are gasketed and latched)?		YES_	_NO_	_NA
of not n	nore than 750 pascal	the requirements of this paragraph, a container is organic vapos within 5 minutes after it has been pressurized to a minimum 27 of 40 CFR part 60.				
(10)		e facility treating HW in a container by either a waste stabilizar requires heating, or any process that produces an exothermic re-		YES	_NO_	_NA
(11)		ne question above is yes, is the container located in an enclosur I vent system to a control device when it is open?	e that is	YES	NO_	_NA
(12)	Is the enclosure a (b)(2)(A)&(B)?	structure that has been designed and operated with requirement	its	YES	_NO_	_NA
(13)	Is the closed vent § 264.1087 of this	system designed and operated in accordance with the requirent subpart?	nents of	YES	_NO_	_NA
(14)		waste into a container having a capacity greater than 0.46 cubairements are to be met: (mark items missing)	oic meters,			
	(a)	the waste is transferred by pumping using a conveyance syst (e.g., a hose, pipe)?	em that uses a tube			
	(b)	Is the container cover in place and all other openings mainta position except for the openings through which the tube enter		led		
	(c)	Is the tube continuously submerged below the surface of the is flowing through the tube?	waste at all times w	vaste		
	(d)	Is the lower bottom edge of the tube outlet located at a distartwo inside diameters of the tube or 15.25 cm, whichever is g	reater from the bott			
	(e)	of the container at all times waste is flowing through the tub Is the tube connected to a permanent port mounted on the both the lower edge of the port opening inside the container is localless than 15.25 from the container bottom?	ottom of the contained			

Facility Name:	
EPA ID #:	

SURFACE IMPOUNDMENTS.

	(1)	Did the facility install emissions control (e.g., air-supported structure or a rigid cover) that is connected through a closed vent system to a control device on its surface impoundments?	YES_	_NO_	_NA
	(2)	Did the facility install a floating membrane cover over its surface impoundment?	YES_	_NO_	_NA
NOTE: circulat		ity is allowed to install a floating membrane cover without additional controls when the HW is not the impoundment, not heated and not treated by using a waste stabilization process.	mixed, stirred	, agitato	ed,
PROC	ESS VEN	ITS APPLICATIONS			
<u>GENER</u>	<u>RAL</u>				
	(1)	Is this facility following interim status regulations or was the facility permit renewed or issued a Interim Status Regs Permitted after 12/6/96			
	(2)	When will the present permit expire?			
	(3)	For Interim Status facilities, have the rules been incorporated in the Part B application submission	on?YES	_NO	_NA
HAZA	RDOUS V	WASTE MANAGEMENT UNITS			
	(1)	Are any hazardous waste management units using the following processes: distillation, fractionation, thin-film evaporation, solvent extraction, air stripping or steam stripping? If yes, identify the process or processes	YES	_NO_	NA
	(2)	Identify the units, waste streams and vents by numbers. permitted unit # recycle unit # waste stream #	vent #		
	(3)	Are any of the units RCRA exempt units?	YES	_NO_	_NA
WAST	E STREA	AMS			
	Identify	y the waste streams, containing 10 ppmw or more on a time weighted annual average basis.			
		(a) What was the basis of the determination?Knowled If sampling, what method was used?9060 (b) What was the date of the initial determination?	lge .		_Sampling _8240
		(b) What was the date of the initial determination? (c) What was the date of other analyses?			
Note:	Analyse	es should be done on an annual basis or when there is a change to the waste stream.			
		 (d) Is there documentation of the determination? (e) Is the annual average concentration calculated correctly? (f) Were there 4 grab samples in the analyses? (g) Which of the process vents were considered? 	YES YES YES		NA NA NA

Facility Name:	
EPA ID #:	

PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

(1)	(a)	Is the total hourly emission rate of the affected pro-	_	YESNONA
	(b)	If it was by measurement, what method was used?	Knowled Method 2	
	(c)	If Method 2 or 18 was used, was a test plan prepar		YES NO NA
	(d)	If a test plan was prepared, is it on record?	.cu:	YES NO NA
	(e)	Has the owner/operator signed a statement that tes	t conditions portray worst case actua	
	(0)	operating conditions?	e conditions portray worst case actual	YES NO NA
	(f)	What were the dates of the tests or calculations?		YES NO NA
	(g)	Were these tests or calculations doneinitial	ly annually at	change of waste stream
	(h)	Are the calculations correct?	<u> </u>	YESNONA
Data needed are	flow rate, o	organic concentration, average mole rate		
	(i)	Is the facility's yearly emission rate greater than 3	.1 tons/yr?	YESNONA
	(j)	Are the calculations correct?		YESNONA
Data needed are	hourly rate	and number of operating hours.		
(2)	(a)	Is emission reduction required?		YESNONA
	(b)	Which method is the facility using to comply?		
		(i) Install control devices to reduce emission		
		(ii) Implement process changes or hours to		
		(iii) Install control devices to reduce emission		
	(c)	For each process vent affected, identify closed ven		
	Vent #	Control Device#	Unit #	
				
RECORDKEEP:	<u>ING</u>			
(1)	Was the	e reduction based on tests or calculations?	Tests	Calculations
(2)	If contro	ol devices are not in place, is there an implementation	on schedule in the operating record?	YESNONA
(3)	Are then	re any other documentation such as orders to purcha	se equipment, letters giving reasons	YES NO NA

Facility Name:	
EPA ID #:	

CONDENSER

OPERATING PARAMETERS

List the operating parameters and the limits set for each in the permit or for interim status facilities, the limits the facility gave based on their engineering calculations 264/265.1035(b)(4) (iii)(E) or performance tests 264/265.1035(b)(2)(ii).

RCRA SUBPART CC CHECKLIST FOR AIR EMISSIONS AT TSDs AND LARGE QUANTITY GENERATORS

perating Paran	meter	Limit ————	——————————————————————————————————————	ave they met these limits	-	
					- -	
re all design d	ocumentati	on, monitoring, operating, and ins	spection information in the facil	ity's operating records?	YESNO	ONA
<u>TANDARD</u>						
		at 95 Wt % efficiency? imits to below 3 lb/hr and 3.1 tor	ıs/yr?		YESNO	
IONITORING	<u>3</u>					
he facility has	to comply	with A and either B or C				
(A)	(i) (ii)	Does the flow indicator record At what point is the flow indic			YESNO	ONA
	(iii)	Is the flow indicator inspected	at least once per day?		YES_NO	ONA
(B)	(i)	Is there a monitoring device ed	uipped with a continuous recor			·
	(")		ompounds in the exhaust vent st	ream of the condenser?	YESNO	
(C)	(ii)	Is this device inspected daily?	notions monitoring device that is	aguinmed with a	YESNO)NA
(C)	(i)	continuous recorder?	rature monitoring device that is	equipped with a	YESNO) NA
	(ii)	Is the device monitoring temper	erature at (a) the exha	ust vent stream from the co		JNA
	(11)	and (b) in the coolant fluid ex			YESNO	O NA
	(iii)		/- 1% of the temperature being	monitored or +/- 0.5		
		degrees Centigrade (whicheve	r is greater)?		YESNO	
	(iv)	Is this device inspected daily?			YESNO	ONA
<u>EPAIR</u>						
re repairs bein	g performe	d immediately upon daily inspect	ion?		YESNO	ONA
XCEEDANC	<u>ES</u>					
(1)	(a)	If the facility is monitoring the 20 % above design outlet? If yes, for what periods	organics in the exhaust, was the	ere a reading greater than	YESNO	ONA
(2)	(b)(1)		nperature, was the exhaust temp	perature ever above		
` '	. , , ,	design average exhaust temper If yes, for what period	rature by more than 6 degrees? od of time?		YESNO	ONA
	(b)(2)	more than 6 degrees?	plant(out) ever above the design		YESNO	ONA
	(c)	If yes, for what period	at were the possible causes?			
	(0)	ii dicie were exceedances, will	at were the possible causes!			

Facility Name: EPA ID #:	
	YESNONA
d with this control device?	YESNONA

CLOSED VENT SYSTEM ASSOCIATED WITH THIS CONTROL DEVICE **STANDARD** Were there any detectable emissions or visual emissions? **MONITORING** On how many occasions did the facility monitor this system? What were the dates? **REPAIRS** 1. Did the facility have any leaks on the closed vent system associated If yes, was the 1st attempt at repairing the vent done within 5 days? YES__NO__NA 2. Were repairs to vent finished within 15 days from discovering the leak? YES NO NA If no, state the reasons.

Facility Name:	
EPA ID #:	

THERMAL VAPOR INCINERATOR

OPERATING PARAMETERS

List the operating parameters and the limits set for each in the permit or for interim status facilities, the limits the facility gave based on their engineering calculations 264/265.1035(b)(4) (iii)(E) or performance tests 264/265.1035(b)(2)(ii).

RCRA SUBPART CC CHECKLIST FOR AIR EMISSIONS AT TSDs AND LARGE QUANTITY GENERATORS

Operating Param	neter	Limit	Have they met these limits	
Are all design do	ocumentat	on, monitoring, operating, and inspection information in the	facility's operating record?YESNO	_NA
STANDARD				
(1)		nermal vapor incinerator operating at 95 Wt % efficiency or		_NA
(2)		otal organic compound (TOC)=20 ppmv based on sum of corresponding to the contract of the contr	npounds, dry basis, corrected to YES NO	NI A
(3)		a Residence Time of 0.5 sec at 760 degrees Centigrade?		
IONITORING				
The facility has t	_	with A and B		
(A)	(i)	Does the flow indicator record hourly?	YESNO	_NA
	(ii) (iii)	At what point is the flow indicator installed? Is the flow indicator inspected at least once per day?	YESNO	N/
(B)	(i)	Does the facility have a temperature monitoring device th	at is equipped with a	
	(;;)	continuous recorder? Is the device monitoring temperature located in the combu	YESNO	_N/
	(ii)	of combustion zone?	Stron chamber downstreamYESNO	N/
	(iii)	Is the accuracy of the device +/- 1% of the temperature be	ing monitored or +/- 0.5	
	(iv)	degrees Centigrade (whichever is greater)? Is this device inspected daily?	YESNO YESNO	
REPAIR	(11)	is and device inspected daily:	1L51\0	_1 1/
	g performe	d immediately upon daily inspection?	YESNO	ΝA
EXCEEDANCE				_
(a)(1)		rmal incinerator designed to operate at a minimum residence	time of 0.5 sec at 760 degrees C	
(a)(1)	Was th	e temperature ever below 760 degrees C?	YESNO	_NA
(a)(2)	For the	w long?		ater
	temper	ature?	YESNO	NA
(b)	If there	were exceedances, what were the possible causes?		
(c)	What	neasures were taken to correct the exceedance(s)?		

	Facility Name:EPA ID #:	
CLOSED VENT SYSTEM ASSOCIATED WITH THIS CONTROL DEVICE		
STANDARD		
Were there any detectable emissions or visual emissions?	YESNONA	
<u>MONITORING</u>		
On how many occasions did the facility monitor this system? What were the dates?		
<u>REPAIRS</u>		
1. Did the facility have any leaks on the closed vent system associated with If yes, was the 1st attempt at repairing the vent done within 5 days?	h this control device?YESNONA YESNONA	
2. Were repairs to the vent finished within 15 days from discovering the least one of the reasons.	ak? YES NO NA	
		_

~	
П	
2	
_	
-4	
$oldsymbol{\circ}$	
0	
≍	
П	
-	
>	
Н	
8	
₹	
4	
4	
1	
~	
П	
S)	

Facility Name:	
EPA ID #:	

CATALYTIC VAPOR INCINERATOR

OPERATING PARAMETERS

List the operating parameters and the limits set for each in the permit or for interim status facilities, the limits the facility gave based on their engineering calculations 264/265.1035(b)(4) (iii)(E) or performance tests 264/265.1035(b)(2)(ii).

Operating Pa	ıramete	er —		Limit	Have they n	net these limits	
Are all desig	n docu	— mentatio	n, monitoring, operating, and inspection	information in the facility's operating re	ecord?	_YESNONA	_
STANDARI	<u>D</u>						
(a) (b) (c))		►Is the thermal vapor incinerator opera ►[TOC]=20 ppmw based on summatio ►RTm of 0.5 sec at 760 degrees Centig	on of compounds on a dry basis, 3% Oxy		YES NO NA YES NO NA YES NO NA	
MONITOR	<u>ING</u>						
The facility l	has to c	omply w	rith A and B				
(A		(i) (ii) (iii)	Does the flow indicator record hourly? At what point is the flow indicator ins Is the flow indicator inspected at least	stalled?	_	_YESNONA _YESNONA _YESNONA	
(B))	(i)	Does the facility have a temperature in continuous recorder?	nonitoring device that is equipped with a	ı	YES NO NA	
		(ii)	point: the catalyst bed	ed in the vent stream at the nearest feasi inlet The cata		et.	
		(iii)	Is the accuracy of the device +/- 1% of	f the temperature being monitored or +/-	0.5 degrees		
		(:)	Centigrade (whichever is greater)?		_	YES NO NA	
		(iv)	Is this device inspected daily?		_	_YESNONA	
REPAIR							
Are repairs b	eing pe	erformed	immediately upon daily inspection?		_	YESNONA	
EXCEEDA	NCES						
(a)		below th	let temperature of the vent stream at the design average temperature of the inle or what period of time?			_YESNONA	
(b))	Is the temperature difference across the catalyst bed less than 80 % of the design average			YES NO NA		
(c)		If there v	were exceedances, what were the possible	le causes?			
(d)		What measures were taken to correct the exceedance(s)?					
(u)	'	vv nat me	casures were taken to correct the exceeds	ance(s)?			

Facility Name: EPA ID #:	
	YESNONA
ed with this control device?	YESNONA

CLOSED VENT SYSTEM ASSOCIATED WITH THIS CONTROL DEVICE

Were there any	detectable emissions or visual emissions?	YESNO_	_NA
MONITORIN	\underline{G}		
On how many of What were the	occasions did the facility monitor this system?		
<u>REPAIRS</u>			
1.	Did your facility have any leaks on the closed vent system associated with this control device?	YESNO_	_NA
	If yes, was the 1st attempt at repairing the vent done within 5 days?	YESNO_	_NA
_	Were repairs to vent finished within 15 days from discovering the leak?	YES NO	NA
2.			

Facility Name:	
EPA ID #:	

BOILER/PROCESS HEATER

OPERATING PARAMETERS

List the operating parameters and the limits set for each in the permit or for interim status facilities, the limits the facility gave based on their engineering calculations 264/265.1035(b)(4) (iii)(E) or performance tests 264/265.1035(b)(2)(ii).

Operating Parame	Limit Have they ————————————————————————————————————	ve they met these limits		
Are all design doo	umentation, monitoring, operating and inspection information in the facility's operating record?	YES	NO	NA
STANDARD				_
	Is the process heater energing at 05 W/t 0/ officiency?	VEC	NO	NI A
 (a) Is the process heater operating at 95 Wt % efficiency? (b) Are the [TOC]=20 ppmw or greater based on summation of compound on a dry basis, 3% Oxyger (c) RTm of 0.5 sec at 760 degrees Centigrade 				
MONITORING				
The facility has to	comply with A and B or C			
(A)		YES_	_NO_	_NA
	(ii) At what point is the flow indicator installed? (iii) Is the flow indicator inspected at least once per day?	YES_	_NO_	NA
FOR BOILERS	WITH HEAT INPUT CAPACITY < 44 MW			
(B)	(i) Does the facility have a temperature monitoring device that is equipped with a continuous recorder?		NO	NT A
	(ii) Is the device monitoring temperature, located in the furnace downstream of the combustion zone?	YES_		
	(iii) Is the accuracy of the device +/- 1% of the temperature being monitored or +/- 0.5 degrees			
	Centigrade (whichever is greater)? (iv) Is this device inspected daily?		NO NO	
OR BOILERS	WITH HEAT INPUT CAPACITY > 44 MW			
(C)	(i) Does the facility have a temperature monitoring device that is equipped with a continuous			
	recorder? (ii) Is a parameter indicating good combustion practices being monitored?	YES_ YES	NO NO	
	(iii) Is this device inspected daily?		NO_	_
REPAIR				
Are repairs being	performed immediately upon daily inspection?	YES_	_NO_	NA
EXCEEDANCE	<u>S</u>			
(a)	Is the Flame Zone Temperature > 28 degrees Centigrade below design average Flame Zone T? If yes, for what period of time?	YES	_NO_	_NA
(b)	Is there a change in position as to where the vent stream is introduced as specified in the design data. If there were exceedances, what were the possible causes?		NO	^

Facility Name: EPA ID #:				
	YES	_NO_	_NA	
aintad with this control davise?	VEC	NO	NIA	

CLOSED VENT SYSTEM ASSOCIATED WITH THIS CONTROL DEVICE

<u>STANDAKD</u>		
Were there any de	etectable emissions or visual emissions?	YESNONA
MONITORING		
•	easions did the facility monitor this system?	
What were the dat	es?	
<u>REPAIRS</u>		
1.	Did your facility have any leaks on the closed vent system associated with this control device?	YESNONA
	If yes, was the 1st attempt at repairing the vent done within 5 days?	YESNONA
2.	Were repairs to vent finished within 15 days from discovering the leak?	YESNONA
	If no, state the reasons for non-compliance.	

_	
5	
4	
ш	
7	
-	
_	
O	
ŏ	
⋍	
ш	
>	
т	
6	
₹	
4	
∢	
⋖	
n '	
П	
5	

Facility Name:	
EPA ID #:	

FLARES

OPERATING PARAMETERS

List the operating parameters and the limits set for each in the permit or for interim status facilities, the limits the facility gave based on the
engineering calculations 264/265.1035(b)(4) (iii)(E) or performance tests 264/265.1035(b)(2)(ii).

Operating Parameter	Limit	Have they met these limits
		

STANDARD

- ►There should be no visible emissions > 5 minutes/any 2 consecutive hours
- ▶ A flame should be present at all times

If steam-assisted:

Ve < 60 ft/s and Ht > 300 BTU or 60 ft/s < Ve < 400 ft/s and Ht > 1000 BTU Ve < V max < 400 ft/s and Ht > 300 BTU

If air assisted:

Ve < Vmax and Ht = > 300 BTUVe < 60 ft/s and Ht = > 300 BTU

If non-assisted:

 $Ve < 60 ft/s \ and \ Ht \Longrightarrow 200 \ BTU$ $60 \ ft/s < Ve < 400 \ ft/s \ and \ Ht \ 1000 \ BTU$ $Ve < Vmax < 400 \ ft/s \ and \ Ht > 200 \ BTU$

MONITORING

The facility has to confirm compliance with A and B

(A)	(i) (ii)	Does the flow indicator record hourly? At what point is the flow indicator installed?	YESNONA
(B)	(iii)	Is the flow indicator inspected at least once per day? Is there a heat sensing device for continuous ignition of pilot flame?	YES NO NA
(B)	(1) (ii) (iii)	Is this device inspected daily? Does this device have a continuous recorder?	YES NO NA

REPAIR

Are repairs being performed immediately upon daily inspection?

YES NO NA

EXCEEDANCES

- (a) Has there been a period when the pilot flame was not ignited?

 (b) If there were exceedances, what were the possible causes?

 YES_NO_NA
 - (c) What measures were taken to correct the exceedance(s)?

Facility Name: EPA ID #:	
	YESNONA
with this control device?	YESNONA YESNONA

YES__NO__NA

CLOSED VENT SYSTEM ASSOCIATED WITH THIS CONTROL DEVICE STANDARD Were there any detectable emissions or visual emissions? MONITORING On how many occasions did the facility monitor this system? What were the dates? REPAIRS 1. Did the facility have any leaks on the closed vent system associated with If yes, was the 1st attempt at repairing the vent within 5 days? 2. Were repairs to vent finished within 15 days from discovering the leak? If no, state the reasons.

STANDARD			
STANDARD			
(a)			vice operating at 95 Wt % efficiency?
(b)	is the c	control de	vice operating to control emissions to below 3 lb/hr and 3.1 tons/yr?
MONITORING	<u>'</u>		
The facility has t	co comply	with A ar	d either B or C and D
	(A)	(i)	Does the flow indicator record hourly?
	,	(ii)	At what point is the flow indicator installed?
		(iii)	Is the flow indicator inspected at least once per day?
	(B)	(i)	Is there a monitoring device equipped with a continuous recorder to measure the concentration of the organic compounds in the exhaust vent stream from the care
			bed?
		(ii)	Is this device inspected daily?
	(C)	(i)	Is there a device to measure a parameter that indicates regeneration, on a regula predetermined cycle?
		(ii)	Does this device have a continuous recorder?
		(iii)	Is this device inspected daily?
	(D)	(i)	Is the carbon being replaced at regular, predetermined time interval that is less
			than the carbon service life?
REPAIR			
	C		
Are repairs being	g performe	ed immedi	ately upon daily inspection?
EXCEEDANCE	<u>ES</u>		
(a)	If the f	facility is 1	nonitoring the organics in the exhaust, was there a reading greater than
		above desi	
4.5	If yes,	for what p	period of time?
(b)			me period when the vent continued to flow through the control device beyond the arbon regeneration time?
		list the pe	
(c)			eedances, what were the possible causes?
(0)			

Facility Name: _ EPA ID #:

RCRA SUBPART CC CHECKLIST FOR AIR EMISSIONS AT TSDs AND LARGE QUANTITY GENERATORS

CARBON ADSORBER-REGENERATIVE

OPERATING PARAMETERS

List the operating parameters and the limits set for each in the permit or for interim status facilities, the limits the facility gave based on their engineering calculations 264/265.1035(b)(4) (iii)(E) or performance tests 264/265.1035(b)(2)(ii).

Operating Parameter			Limit H	ave they met these limits		
Are all design de	ocumentati	ion, monit	oring, operating, and inspection information in the facility operating record	!?YESNONA		
STANDARD						
(a) (b)			vice operating at 95 Wt % efficiency? vice operating to control emissions to below 3 lb/hr and 3.1 tons/yr?	YESNONA YESNONA		
MONITORING	<u> </u>					
The facility has	to comply	with A an	d either B or C and D			
	(A)	(i) (ii)	Does the flow indicator record hourly? At what point is the flow indicator installed?	YESNONA		
	(B)	(iii) (i)	Is the flow indicator inspected at least once per day? Is there a monitoring device equipped with a continuous recorder to mea concentration of the organic compounds in the exhaust vent stream from bed?			
	(C)	(ii) (i)	Is this device inspected daily? Is there a device to measure a parameter that indicates regeneration, on predetermined cycle?	YESNONA		
	(D)	(ii) (iii) (i)	Does this device have a continuous recorder? Is this device inspected daily? Is the carbon being replaced at regular, predetermined time interval that	YESNONA YESNONA		
REPAIR	(D)	(1)	than the carbon service life?	YESNONA		
	g performe	ed immedi	ately upon daily inspection?	YESNONA		
<u>EXCEEDANCI</u>	<u>ES</u>					
(a)	If the facility is monitoring the organics in the exhaust, was there a reading greater 20 % above design outlet? If yes, for what period of time?		gn outlet?	YESNONA		
(b)	Was th	ere any ti	me period when the vent continued to flow through the control device beyo arbon regeneration time?	YESNONA		
(c)	If there	e were exc	eedances, what were the possible causes?			
(d)	What r	neasures v	were taken to correct the exceedance(s)?			

	EPA ID #:	
CLOSED VEN	T SYSTEM ASSOCIATED WITH THIS CONTROL DEVICE	
STANDARD		
Were there any o	YESNONA	
MONITORING		
On how many or What were the d	ccasions did the facility monitor this system? ates?	
<u>REPAIRS</u>		
1.	Did your facility have any leaks on the closed vent system associated with this control device? If yes, was the 1st attempt at repairing the vent done within 5 days?	YESNONA YES NO NA
2.	Were repairs to vent finished within 15 days from discovering the leak? If no, state the reasons.	YESNONA

Facility Name:

_	
П	
7	
_	
)	
Ū	
O	
۵	
П	
>	
т	
J	
~	
4	
⋖	
Ω	
П	
ഗ	
)	

Facility Name:	
EPA ID #:	

CARBON ADSORBER- NON-REGENERATIVE

OPERATING PARAMETERS

List the operating parameters and the limits set for each in the permit or for interim status facilities, the limits the facility gave based on their engineering calculations 264/265.1035(b)(4) (iii)(E) or performance tests 264/265.1035(b)(2)(ii).

· F	ting Param	Limit Have they met the	ese limits	
Are al	l design do	ocumentation, monitoring, operating, and inspection information in the facility operating record?	YES	NONA
TAN	DARD			
a)		▶ 95 Wt % efficiency or		
b)		• emission limits of 3 lb/hr and 3.1 tons/yr		
MON!	ITORING			
		to confirm with A and either B or C		
A)	(i)	Does the flow indicator record hourly?	YES	NONA
	(ii)	At what point is the flow indicator installed?		
	(iii)	Is the flow indicator inspected at least once per day?	YES	NONA
B)	(i)	Is there a monitoring device equipped with a continuous recorder to measure the		
		concentration of the organic compounds in the exhaust vent stream from the carbon bed?		NONA
	(ii)	Is this device inspected daily?	YES	NONA
C)	(i)	Is the carbon being replaced at regular, predetermined time interval that is less than the		
		carbon service life?	YES	NONA
EPA		g performed immediately upon daily inspection?	VFS	NONA
neie	pans ocni		1 1 1 1	
are re	pans ocni	g performed immediatery upon daily inspection.	1L5	1101171
	EEDANCI		1L5	11101111
		ES If facility is monitoring for organics in the exhaust, state date and time when it was monitored for		11011/1
	EEDANCI (a)	ES If facility is monitoring for organics in the exhaust, state date and time when it was monitored for breakthru and the reading?	·	
	(a) (b)	If facility is monitoring for organics in the exhaust, state date and time when it was monitored for breakthru and the reading? If carbon was replaced with fresh carbon, state the date when this was done?	·	
	(a) (b) (c)	If facility is monitoring for organics in the exhaust, state date and time when it was monitored for breakthru and the reading? If carbon was replaced with fresh carbon, state the date when this was done? If there were exceedances, what were the possible causes?	·	
EXCE	(a) (b) (c) (d)	If facility is monitoring for organics in the exhaust, state date and time when it was monitored for breakthru and the reading? If carbon was replaced with fresh carbon, state the date when this was done? If there were exceedances, what were the possible causes? What measures were taken to correct the exceedance(s)?	·	
EXCE	(a) (b) (c) (d)	If facility is monitoring for organics in the exhaust, state date and time when it was monitored for breakthru and the reading? If carbon was replaced with fresh carbon, state the date when this was done? If there were exceedances, what were the possible causes?	·	
EXCE CLOS	(a) (b) (c) (d) SED VEN	If facility is monitoring for organics in the exhaust, state date and time when it was monitored for breakthru and the reading? If carbon was replaced with fresh carbon, state the date when this was done? If there were exceedances, what were the possible causes? What measures were taken to correct the exceedance(s)? If SYSTEM ASSOCIATED WITH THIS CONTROL DEVICE	·	
EXCE CLOS	(a) (b) (c) (d) SED VEN	If facility is monitoring for organics in the exhaust, state date and time when it was monitored for breakthru and the reading? If carbon was replaced with fresh carbon, state the date when this was done? If there were exceedances, what were the possible causes? What measures were taken to correct the exceedance(s)?	·	
CLOS STAN Were t	(a) (b) (c) (d) SED VENT DARD there any controlled	If facility is monitoring for organics in the exhaust, state date and time when it was monitored for breakthru and the reading? If carbon was replaced with fresh carbon, state the date when this was done? If there were exceedances, what were the possible causes? What measures were taken to correct the exceedance(s)? T SYSTEM ASSOCIATED WITH THIS CONTROL DEVICE detectable emissions or visual emissions?	·	
ELOS TAN Vere t	(a) (b) (c) (d) SED VENT DARD there any controlled	If facility is monitoring for organics in the exhaust, state date and time when it was monitored for breakthru and the reading? If carbon was replaced with fresh carbon, state the date when this was done? If there were exceedances, what were the possible causes? What measures were taken to correct the exceedance(s)? If SYSTEM ASSOCIATED WITH THIS CONTROL DEVICE detectable emissions or visual emissions?	·	
CLOS TAN Were t	(a) (b) (c) (d) SED VENT DARD there any controlled	If facility is monitoring for organics in the exhaust, state date and time when it was monitored for breakthru and the reading? If carbon was replaced with fresh carbon, state the date when this was done? If there were exceedances, what were the possible causes? What measures were taken to correct the exceedance(s)? If SYSTEM ASSOCIATED WITH THIS CONTROL DEVICE detectable emissions or visual emissions?	·	
TAN Were t	(a) (b) (c) (d) SED VENT TORING w many ocwere the d	If facility is monitoring for organics in the exhaust, state date and time when it was monitored for breakthru and the reading? If carbon was replaced with fresh carbon, state the date when this was done? If there were exceedances, what were the possible causes? What measures were taken to correct the exceedance(s)? If SYSTEM ASSOCIATED WITH THIS CONTROL DEVICE detectable emissions or visual emissions?	·	
TAN Were t	(a) (b) (c) (d) SED VENT TORING w many ocwere the d	If facility is monitoring for organics in the exhaust, state date and time when it was monitored for breakthru and the reading? If carbon was replaced with fresh carbon, state the date when this was done? If there were exceedances, what were the possible causes? What measures were taken to correct the exceedance(s)? If SYSTEM ASSOCIATED WITH THIS CONTROL DEVICE detectable emissions or visual emissions?	·	
CLOS TAN Were t	(a) (b) (c) (d) SED VENT DARD there any of the many of the were the d IRS	If facility is monitoring for organics in the exhaust, state date and time when it was monitored for breakthru and the reading? If carbon was replaced with fresh carbon, state the date when this was done? If there were exceedances, what were the possible causes? What measures were taken to correct the exceedance(s)? If SYSTEM ASSOCIATED WITH THIS CONTROL DEVICE detectable emissions or visual emissions? Excessions did the facility monitor this system? attes?	YES	.NONA
TAN Vere to MONION HOW	(a) (b) (c) (d) SED VENT DARD there any of the many of the were the d IRS	If facility is monitoring for organics in the exhaust, state date and time when it was monitored for breakthru and the reading? If carbon was replaced with fresh carbon, state the date when this was done? If there were exceedances, what were the possible causes? What measures were taken to correct the exceedance(s)? If SYSTEM ASSOCIATED WITH THIS CONTROL DEVICE detectable emissions or visual emissions? Excessions did the facility monitor this system? attes? Did the facility have any leaks on the closed vent system associated with this control device?	YESYES	NONA
ELOS TAN Vere to	(a) (b) (c) (d) SED VENT DARD there any of the diverse the dive	If facility is monitoring for organics in the exhaust, state date and time when it was monitored for breakthru and the reading? If carbon was replaced with fresh carbon, state the date when this was done? If there were exceedances, what were the possible causes? What measures were taken to correct the exceedance(s)? T SYSTEM ASSOCIATED WITH THIS CONTROL DEVICE detectable emissions or visual emissions? Excessions did the facility monitor this system? ates? Did the facility have any leaks on the closed vent system associated with this control device? If yes, was the 1st attempt at repairing the vent done within 5 days?	YESYES	

Facility Name:	
EPA ID #:	

RCRA SUBPART CC CHECKLIST FOR AIR EMISSIONS AT TSDs AND LARGE QUANTITY GENERATORS

EQUIPMENT LEAK APPLICATIONS

1.	APPL	<u>ICABILITY</u>	
	(a) (b)	Is this facility an interim status facility or a permitted facility? When will the permit expire?	YESNONA
	(c)	Have the rules been incorporated in the Part B application submission?	YES NO NA
	(d)	Are any of the units exempt?	YES NO NA
		If yes, list units.	
2.	WAST	TE STREAMS	
	(a)	Are there waste streams that contain at least 10 % organics by weight?	YESNONA
	(b)	What was the method of your determination?Know	ledgeSampling? YESNONA
	(c)		YESNONA
	(d)	If sampling was used, did the facility use one of the following methods: ASTM methods: D2267-88 E169-87 E168-88 E260-85 Method 9060	8240
	(e)	What was the date of the initial determination?	
	(f)	What were the dates of any other analyses?	
Note:	Analys	ses should be done annually or when there is a change to the waste stream.	
3.	(a)	For each waste stream that does qualify, is the: fluid type in gas/vapor service light-liquid service heavy liqui	d service
	(b)	What was the method of determining the fluid type? Vapor pressure of constituents from standard texts ASTM D-2879-86	
FACIL	ITY OP	ERATING RECORD	
4.			YESNONA
5.		e a list of the identification numbers of NDE pumps, valves, and compressors with the signature of the	
		operator?	YESNONA
6. 7.		1 I C. NDF0	YESNONA
7.		4 1 1 1 1 2 0	
8.			YESNONA
9.		e a list of ID numbers of those valves which are "unsafe to monitor" or "difficult to monitor" with an	12510111
··		ation for each and plan for monitoring or schedule?	YESNONA
10.		e a list of ID numbers using the skip period alternative monitoring schedule, with a schedule for	120111
		oring and % leak determined?	YESNONA
11.		al mechanical seal or compressor with barrier fluid systems with sensors, is the criteria and	
			YESNONA
12.		e an analysis of the of design capacity, influent/effluent for each unit subject to these requirements,	
	and an	up-to-date analysis either by testing or knowledge if the equipment is covered or not?	YESNONA