US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

## FOR AN IBR STATE OKLAHOMA PROGRAM REVISION PACKAGE

## REGULATORY DOCUMENTATION FOR FEDERAL PROVISIONS FOR WHICH THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA IS SEEKING

AUTHORIZATION
FEDERAL FINAL RULES PUBLISHED
JULY 1, 2009 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2010
RCRA CLUSTER XX

Title of Regulations:

Oklahoma Administrative Code ("OAC")

Title 252, Chapter 205

Effective Date of Regulations:

Final adoption date November 16, 2010 with an effective date of July 1, 2011

**Statutory Authority:** 

27A O.S. § 2-7-101 et seq.

Date Prepared April 22 2011

## A. STATE ANALOGS TO EDERAL CITATIONS

The DEQ has incorporated by reference applicable portions of the July 1, 2010 version of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR).

	l 1	I.,		<u> </u>						
FEDERAL RCRA CITATION	STATE CITATION			STAT	E ANALOG IS:		COMMENT			
			EC	UIVALENT	MORE * STRINGENT	BROADER IN SCOPE				
All Federal citations in Checklist 222	OAC 252:205- 3-2			x						
All Federal citations in Checklist 223	OAC 252:205- 3-2			<b>X</b>						
All Federal citations in Checklist 224	OAC 252:205- 3-2			x						
						· .				

Page 1 of 2

		ji,	•			•
						<u></u>
					·	
				a e		
y a ser	The state of the s					

## B. STATE-INITIATED CHANGES TO THE PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED PROGRAM

PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED CITATION	RRENT FATE ATION	TE FEI		RELATED FEDERAL ANALOG			STATE	COMMENT*				
								1BR	Equivalent	More Stringent	Broader in Scope	
						•						
•					1	1				•		
					T	T	7			:		
		1	\$4.1 X			1		Face.		4.5		- 1
राज्य का किस्तुर के कि		1	1 ( ) ( ) ( )			11		11 11	1 478			
Transfer of the second					T	T	7					
Maria 1 1846 - 1881 - 1		1	With the board	11.	T							1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
	1	1	A Daniery.		1	1		. 1	1 1			and the state of the state
		7	,		1	$\top$	7					,
	7	1			1	$\top$	1					
	+	1	·	<b></b>	十	1						
£ -		1	·		1	7.07				<u> </u>		
	-	1	<u> </u>		T	1	1					•
:	+	†			$\top$	1	1					

\*This column may be used to describe what State-initiated changes the State has made to its previously authorized program. The State should indicate the impact of the change on its authorized program; i.e., the State should explain whether the changes were made for clarity; the State remains/or is now equivalent or more stringent; or the State's provision is broader in scope.

Remarks of the Independent Counsel: No State-initiated changes were made during the 2010 or 2011 legislative sessions that would negatively impact the stringency or scope of its previously authorized program.