

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

From: [Davis, Jarod \(D\)](#)
To: [Toups, Brad](#)
Cc: [Davis, Jarod \(D\)](#)
Subject: FW: Need a couple of updates from you
Date: Wednesday, February 05, 2014 11:37:10 AM
Attachments: [TXO Site Netting \(LHC 9\) CO2e 2014.01.30.pdf](#)
[2014-02-04 page 22.pdf](#)
[2014-02-04 LHC9 emis summary.pdf](#)

Brad

Please see responses to your questions below. Please note that we are working on finalizing the plot plan and will have it to you this week, if possible.

- 1) A current plot plan with the TOX on it. Was this sent in hard copy separately? Also on that same plot plan, are the decoking drums emissions points needed any longer for GHG purposes? Do the fuel gas purge vents need to be shown routed to the flare/tox rather than the EPNs on the current plot plan?

The updated plot plan is pending; we will submit it by the end of the week. The decoking drum and emission points have been removed; the fuel gas purge vents will remain. The fuel vents are part of the NFPA compliant safety system to ensure isolation of fuel sources to the heaters.

- 2) An updated PSD Table F

Updated Tables 1F, 2F, and 3F are included as an attachment to this response. We are also submitting updated emission summary tables (Table 3-1 and Table 4-11) which reflect a revised total annual GHG emission. This revision is necessary in order to avoid double-counting emissions by including contributions from both the TOX and the low pressure flare. The low pressure flare is a backup control device for the TOX, therefore waste gas emissions can only be routed to either of the two, but not both at the same time. To present a worst case scenario, emissions from the low pressure flare were included in the summaries as they are higher. This assumes the TOX is down and is not generating emissions.

- 3) When comparing 12/19/13 Table 4-11 summary with the Sept 2013 Furnace BACT, some updates appear to be needed in the Furnace BACT Section, Sections 4.1 thru 4.1.4.2, specifically,
 - a. The furnace temp was updated in Dec to ≤ 330 , up from 271F on Page 20 of 9/12/13. Is the 330 a max instantaneous, and the 271 an hourly average or something else? If so, does this mean that you are now selecting the 1994 value of table 4-3 rather than the "Chosen Design" value of 271? The only explanation provided in the Dec update was " What does this do to the 'Solomon Associates' report validity for the project? Also, are the TCEQ permit representations (Table 1A, April 2, 2013 NOD response, page 70 of 82 of that doc) still showing 300F for the stack temps?

As the cracking furnaces age, their efficiency will degrade due to fouling in the convection section of the furnaces which results in higher stack temperatures. While the BACT

benchmarking analysis reflects the best case design basis, other permit representations reflect the expected furnace efficiency after years of operation. To set BACT limitations on the 'new' furnace design capability will impose limitations on future furnace operation. Dow selected a maximum exhaust temperature of 330°F to allow for ample operating margin and furnace efficiency degradation over time.

The "Chosen Design" of 271°F in table 4-3 is still valid because it is the design basis of the cracking furnaces and does not reflect efficiency losses over time due to fouling in the furnaces. The cracking furnaces in Dow's new ethylene plant are designed to have stack temperatures ranging from 268 to 290°F depending on fuel composition and feedstock type. The furnace temperature shown in Table 4-3 of the 9/12/13 revised application depicts the design basis for the cracking furnaces. The Solomon Associates letter reflects the design basis of the furnaces making it still valid.

Additionally, the design basis for a new furnace reflects an exhaust temperature of 271°F at 'end of run' conditions (when the furnace is nearing the decoke cycle) for a furnace firing on off-gas and cracking an ethane feed. The corresponding expected exhaust temperature at the 'start of run' in this scenario (when a newly decoked furnace is returned to operation) is 268°F.

The reason for the increase in exhaust temperature is due to coke buildup inside the furnace coils over time, making the coils resistant to heat transfer. In turn, the furnace must be fired harder, generating more heat. Most of this heat goes into steam; however some does not and is reflected in a higher stack exhaust temperature at the end of run. As the furnace ages, the start of run temperatures increase due to fouling in the convection section and the corresponding end of run temperature increases.

The fuel that the furnace is firing on impacts the start of run temperature and corresponding end of run temperature. If the furnace is firing on natural gas, the exhaust temperature is higher. See table below for a comparison of an ethane cracking design case:

Furnace Feed	Furnace Fuel	Start of run temperature	End of run temperature
Ethane	Off-gas	268°F	271°F
Ethane	Natural Gas	286°F	289°F

The TCEQ permit representations (Table 1a Emission Point Parameters) reflect an exhaust temperature of 268°F as this was the exhaust temperature used for air dispersion modeling. Using this lower temperature resulted in a worst case predicted impact of furnace emissions.

- b. On Page 22 of 9/12/13, you indicate maximum production of 2,102,100 tpy ethylene and 2,367,999 tpy CO₂e. Seems like both of these numbers might need updating, which may change the ratio found in both the 12/19/13 Table 4-11, page 43 and the 9/12/13 page 22-

23 values.

The nominal plant capacity is 1.5 mmtpy. The permit reflects some margin in the furnace firing rate therefore overall plant capacity also includes the same margin in order to correctly represent the ratio of GHG emissions to ethylene production.

A revised page 22 is included as an attachment to this response.

- c. Is the nominal production capacity 1.5 mmtpy ethylene or 2.1 mmtpy or some other number?

The nominal plant capacity is 1.5 mmtpy.

- d. Should Tables 4-1 and 4-2 also be revised in permit app dated 9/12/13?

Tables 4-1 and 4-2 do not need revision because the design basis was used for the benchmarking analysis.

Jarod D. Davis
The Dow Chemical Company
Operations Regulatory Services
979-238-3190
jdavis5@dow.com

Table 4-2 compares the thermal efficiency on a LHV basis of the cracking furnaces for the technical alternatives studied and Dow's existing plants. As one of the major energy consumers in the ethylene plant, overall plant performance is dependent on an efficient furnace design. The design selected will achieve the highest practical energy efficiency.

Table 4-3 provides a comparison of furnace flue gas stack temperatures for the technical alternatives studied and Dow's existing plants. As the primary source of unrecovered energy in the cracking furnace, the flue gas temperature is the key indicator of furnace efficiency. The design selected by Dow will have the lowest practical stack temperature resulting in high energy efficiency.

With all the above factors considered, Dow has calculated that the ethylene plant will achieve a 24 hour rolling average GHG emissions per lb of ethylene of 1.1 lb/lb and an annual GHG emission rate of 1.1 lb/lb. See the calculations provided below. For the chosen design, the overall GHG emissions per pound of ethylene produced compare closely to EPA's draft permits for other ethylene plants.

$538,343 \text{ lb/hr CO}_2\text{e} \div 490,000 \text{ lb/hr ethylene maximum} = 1.1 \text{ lb CO}_2\text{e} / \text{lb ethylene}$

$2,357,946 \text{ tpy CO}_2\text{e} \div 2,102,100 \text{ tpy ethylene maximum} = 1.1 \text{ ton CO}_2\text{e} / \text{ton ethylene}$

As the benchmarking data demonstrates and as the support letter from Solomon Associates confirms, the technical alternatives selected by Dow will be a leader in energy efficiency for ethane cracking plants.

Table 3-1 Proposed GHG Emission Limits

Date:	February 4, 2014	Permit No.:	TBD	RN Number:	100225945
Area Name:	LHC-9 Unit			CN Number:	600356976

AIR CONTAMINANT DATA				
1. EMISSION POINT			2. COMPONENT NAME	3. AIR CONTAMINANT EMISSION RATE
EPN (A)	FIN (B)	NAME (C)		TPY
OC2H121	OC2L9H121	Cracking Furnace, F-121	CO2	278,357
			CH4	5.19
			N2O	0.52
			CO2e	278,641
OC2H122	OC2L9H122	Cracking Furnace, F-122	CO2	278,357
			CH4	5.19
			N2O	0.52
			CO2e	278,641
OC2H123	OC2L9H123	Cracking Furnace, F-123	CO2	278,357
			CH4	5.19
			N2O	0.52
			CO2e	278,641
OC2H124	OC2L9H124	Cracking Furnace, F-124	CO2	278,357
			CH4	5.19
			N2O	0.52
			CO2e	278,641
OC2H125	OC2L9H125	Cracking Furnace, F-125	CO2	278,357
			CH4	5.19
			N2O	0.52
			CO2e	278,641
OC2H126	OC2L9H126	Cracking Furnace, F-126	CO2	301,855
			CH4	5.63
			N2O	0.56
			CO2e	302,164
OC2H127	OC2L9H127	Cracking Furnace, F-127	CO2	301,855
			CH4	5.63
			N2O	0.56
			CO2e	302,164
OC2H128	OC2L9H128	Cracking Furnace, F-128	CO2	301,855
			CH4	5.63
			N2O	0.56
			CO2e	302,164
OC2F597	OC2L9F597	Low Pressure Flare, FS-597	CO2	14,034
			CH4	0.22
			N2O	0.02
			CO2e	14,046
OC2F5961	OC2L9F596	Pressure-Assisted Flare, GF-596	CO2	43,910
			CH4	2.13
			N2O	0.42
			CO2e	44,089
OC2FU2	OC2L9FU2	Process Area Fugitives	CO2	0.02
			CH4	3.82
			CO2e	80.31
OC2GE1	OC2L9GE1	Backup Diesel Generator No. 1	CO2	16.04
			CH4	0.001
			N2O	0.0001
OC2GE2	OC2L9GE2	Backup Diesel Generator No. 2	CO2e	16.10
			CO2	16.04
			CH4	0.001
			N2O	0.0001
OC2TOX	OC2L9TOX	LHC-9 TOX	CO2e	16.10
			CO2	3,320.21
			CH4	0.06
			N2O	0.007
			CO2e	3,323.92

Total GHG Emissions	
Component	TPY
CO2	2,355,327
CH4	49.00
N2O	4.73
CO2e	2,357,946

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

**Table 4-11 GHG Emissions Summary
Annual Facility Emission Limits and BACT Selection
Permit Application for a New Facility - LHC-9 Unit**

EPN	FIN	Description	GHG Mass Basis Emission Rates		CO ₂ e Ton per Year	BACT Selection	
			Pollutant	Ton per Year			
OC2H121	OC2L9H121	Cracking Furnace, F-121	CO ₂	278,357	278,641	Flue Gas Exit Temperature ≤ 330° F. Fuel for the furnace will have ≤ 0.74 lbs carbon per lb fuel (CC). Fuel rate not to exceed 598 MMBtu/hr. Annual output based limit of 1.1 lbs GHG/lbs of ethylene.	
			CH ₄	5.19			
			N ₂ O	0.52			
OC2H122	OC2L9H122	Cracking Furnace, F-122	CO ₂	278,357	278,641		
			CH ₄	5.19			
			N ₂ O	0.52			
OC2H123	OC2L9H123	Cracking Furnace, F-123	CO ₂	278,357	278,641		
			CH ₄	5.19			
			N ₂ O	0.52			
OC2H124	OC2L9H124	Cracking Furnace, F-124	CO ₂	278,357	278,641		
			CH ₄	5.19			
			N ₂ O	0.52			
OC2H125	OC2L9H125	Cracking Furnace, F-125	CO ₂	278,357	278,641		
			CH ₄	5.19			
			N ₂ O	0.52			
OC2H126	OC2L9H126	Cracking Furnace, F-126	CO ₂	301,855	302,164	Flue Gas Exit Temperature ≤ 330° F. Fuel for the furnace will have ≤ 0.74 lbs carbon per lb fuel (CC). Fuel rate not to exceed 599 MMBtu/hr. Annual output based limit of 1.1 lbs GHG/lbs of ethylene.	
			CH ₄	5.63			
			N ₂ O	0.56			
OC2H127	OC2L9H127	Cracking Furnace, F-127	CO ₂	301,855	302,164		
			CH ₄	5.63			
			N ₂ O	0.56			
OC2H128	OC2L9H128	Cracking Furnace, F-128	CO ₂	301,855	302,164		
			CH ₄	5.63			
			N ₂ O	0.56			
OC2F597	OC2L9F597	Low Pressure Flare, FS-597	CO ₂	14,034	14,046		Use of good combustion practices.
			CH ₄	0.22			
			N ₂ O	0.02			
OC2F5961	OC2L9F596	Pressure-Assisted Flare, GF-596	CO ₂	43,910	44,089	Use of good combustion practices.	
			CH ₄	2.13			
			N ₂ O	0.42			
OC2FU2	OC2L9FU2	Process Area Fugitives	CO ₂	0.02	80.31	Use of 28VHP LDAR TCEQ program	
			CH ₄	3.82			
OC2GE1	OC2L9GE1	Backup Diesel Generator No. 1	CO ₂	16.04	16.10	Use of good combustion practices.	
			CH ₄	0.001			
			N ₂ O	0.0001			
OC2GE2	OC2L9GE2	Backup Diesel Generator No. 2	CO ₂	16.04	16.10		
			CH ₄	0.001			
			N ₂ O	0.0001			
OC2TOX	OC2L9TOX	LHC-9 TOX	CO ₂	3,320	3,324	Use of good combustion practices.	
			CH ₄	0.06			
			N ₂ O	0.007			

Total GHG Emissions

Component	TPY
CO ₂	2,355,327
CH ₄	49.00
N ₂ O	4.73
CO ₂ e	2,357,946



**TABLE 1F
AIR QUALITY APPLICATION SUPPLEMENT**

Permit No.:	107153	Application Submittal Date:	November 29, 2012; revised 9/12/13, 12/19/13
Company:	The Dow Chemical Company - Freeport Operations (TXO)		
RN:	RN100225945	Facility Location:	Dow Texas Operations
City:	Freeport	County:	Brazoria
Permit Unit I.D.:	LHC-9	Permit Name:	Ethylene Production Facility
Permit Activity:	<input type="checkbox"/> New Source <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Modification		

Complete for all Pollutants with a Project Emission Increase.	POLLUTANTS								
	Ozone			NO _x	PM	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}	SO ₂	CO _{2e}
	VOC	NO _x	CO						
Nonattainment?	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
PSD?	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Existing site PTE (tpy)?	> 25	> 25	> 100	> 40	> 25	> 15	> 10	> 40	> 100
Proposed project emission increases (tpy from 2F ¹)?	98.38	219.76	417.61	219.76	69.41	67.51	65.28	11.15	2,357,946
Is the existing site a major source?	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
If not, is the project a major source by itself?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
If site is major source, is project increase	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES
If netting required, estimated start of construction:	Jan-14								
5 years prior to start of construction	Dec-08		contemporaneous						
Estimated start of operation	Jan-17		period						
Net contemporaneous change, including proposed project, from Table 3F, (tpy)	-19.73	-1,876.55	538.62	-1,876.55	-15.84	-1.36	-6.84	13.16	2,760,991
Major NSR Applicable?	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES
Signature	<i>Cheryl Steves</i>		Environmental Manager				2-4-14		
			Title				Date		

¹ Sum of proposed emissions minus baseline emissions, increases only.

The representations made above and on the accompanying tables are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

TCEQ - 10154 (Revised 04/12) Table 1F

These forms are for use by facilities subject to air quality permit requirements and may

Company: The Dow Chemical Company											
Permit Application Number:		107153				Criteria Pollutant: CO ₂ e					
	Project Date ²	Project Number	Facility at Which Emission Change Occurred ³		Permit No.	Project Name or Activity	Baseline Period (years)	Proposed Emissions (tons/year) ⁴	Baseline Emissions (tons/year) ⁵	Difference (A-B) ⁶	Creditable Decrease or Increase ⁷
			FIN	EPN				A	B		
23	8/25/2011	169369	B42SDTO35	B42TO35	46429	SPECIALTY POLYURETHANE COPOLYMER PLANT	N/A	4,345	-	4,345	4,345.00
24	9/22/2011	169077	B68RXX2	B70F801	98110	MOLECULAR SIEVE SYSTEM	N/A	212	-	212	212.22
25	10/12/2011	169469	B3814RX1	B3808F1	83031	REACTOR R-600 PROJECT	02-03	3,060	98	2,962	2,962.08
26	10/28/2011	170066	OCDO3H61	OC3H61	98680	DIPHENYL OXIDE PLANT	08-09	4,939	2,449	2,490	2,490.12
27	10/28/2011	170066	OCDO3H62	OC3H62	98680	DIPHENYL OXIDE PLANT	08-09	4,939	1,985	2,954	2,954.17
28	3/1/2012	Internal	B31S1F1 B31S1HMOD B31S1RX1 B31S1FU1 B31S1FU2 B31S1CT100 B42S1FU23 B42S1ST21A	B31F1 B31HMOD B31HMOD B31FU1 B31FU2 B31CT100 B42FU1 B42ST21A	20432	TXO STYRENE 1 OPS Shutdown	N/A	-	65,221	(65,221)	(65,221.30)
29	3/1/2012	Internal	A40SDFSTV A40SDCO8 A40SDFU1 A40SDFU2 A40SDST1 A40SDST2 A40SDST8 A40SDST9 B42SDFU1 B42SDLRN B42SDLRS B42SDLRTT B42SDONS B42SDOSS B42SDST22 B42SDST24 B42SDST25 B42SDST31	A40FSTV A40TO8 A40FU1 A40FU1 A40FSTV A40FSTV A40TO8 A40FSTV B42FU1 B42EOLRN B42EOLRS B42LRRT B42NS B42SS B42ST22 B42ST24 B42ST25 B42ST31	20432	TXO STYRENE DISTRIBUTION OPS Shutdown	N/A	-	4,935	(4,935)	(4,935.12)
30	3/1/2012	Internal	B71S2F2 B71S2RX1 B71S2B1 B71S2SP4 B71S2H111 B71S2H112 B71S2H121 B71S2F1 B71S2VJ1 B71S2FU1 B71S2SV14 B71S2FU2 B71S2CT100 B71S2OS1 B71S2ST11 B71S2ST12 B71S2ST1 B71S2ST2 B71S2ST3 B71S2ST4 B71S2ST5 B71S2ST6	B71F2 B60F3 B71B1 B71B1 B71S123 B71S123 B71S123 B71F1 B71F2 B71FU1 B71SV14 B71FU2 B71CT100 B71OS1 B71F1 B71F1 B71ST1 B71F1 B71F1 B71F1 B71F1 B71F1	20432	TXO STYRENE 2 OPS Shutdown	N/A	-	156,097	(156,097)	(156,097.50)
31	3/7/2012	Internal	A17EAF11 A17EAH551 A17EAH555 A17EAF10 A17EARX1 A17EAFU2 A15EAFU3 A15EALRELR A15EALRWLR A15EAST3 A17EACT1 A17EAST145 A17EAST155 A17EAST18 A17EAST19 A17EAST20 A17EAST20X A17EAST28 A17EAST44A A17EAST44B A28EAFUST A28EAST28 A40EAFU1	A17F11 A17S551 A17S555 A17F10 A17F10 A17FU2 A15FU3 A15LRWR A15LRWLR A15ST3 A17CT1 A17ST145 A17ST155 A17F10 A17F10 A17ST20 A17F10 A17ST28 A17ST44A A17ST44B A28FUST A28ST28 A40FU1	21596	TXO ETHYLBENZENE A OPS Shutdown	N/A	-	61,716	(61,716)	(61,716.46)
32	4/14/2012	Internal	OCU3D3FU	OCD3FU	3434	MRU Replacement	10 - 11	3,536	-	3,536	3,536.00

Company: The Dow Chemical Company											
Permit Application Number:		107153			Criteria Pollutant: CO ₂ e						
Project Date ²	Project Number	Facility at Which Emission Change Occurred ³		Permit No.	Project Name or Activity	Baseline Period (years)	Proposed Emissions (tons/year) ⁴	Baseline Emissions (tons/year) ⁵	Difference (A-B) ⁶	Creditable Decrease or Increase ⁷	
		FIN	EPN				A	B			
33	12/3/2013	0	A32CSF1 A32CSH301 A32CSH200 A32CSFU1 A38CSRX1 A32CSCT200 A32CSPU301 A32CSFU3 A32CSSP214 A32CSST05A A32CSST05B A32CSST1A A32CSST1C A32CSST280 A32CSST284 A32CSCLSYM A32CSPUDIL A32CSRX600 A32CSST331 A32CSRL1 A32CSST25 A32CSTL284 A32CSTL220 A32CSTL229 A32CSTL31G A32CSST13 A32CSFU4	A32F-1 A32H-DTU A32H-NIT A32FU1 A32STHROX A32CT200 A32F-1 A32FU3 A32F-1 A32F-1 A32F-1 A32F-1 A32F-1 A32LR1 A32STHROX A32STHROX A32ST331 A32RL1 A32ST-D25 A32F-1 A32STHROX A32STHROX A32STHROX A32STHROX A32FU1	770	TXO CHLOR-PYRIDINE: SYM-TET OPS Shutdown	N/A	-	12,671	(12,671)	(12,671.23)
34	1/1/2013	173900	OC4PHH310 OC4PHH320 OC4PHH330 OC4PHH340	OC4H310 OC4H320 OC4H330 OC4H340	100787	PROPANE DEHYDROGENATION UNIT	N/A	356,070	-	356,070	356,070.47
35	1/1/2013	173900	OC4PHF955	OC4F955	100787	PROPANE DEHYDROGENATION UNIT	N/A	35,291	-	35,291	35,291.05
36	1/1/2013	173900	OC4PHF956	OC4F956	100787	PROPANE DEHYDROGENATION UNIT	N/A	405	-	405	404.77
37	1/1/2013	173900	OC4PHF957	OC4F957	100787	PROPANE DEHYDROGENATION UNIT	N/A	504	-	504	503.58
38	1/1/2013	173900	OC4PHFU2	OC4FU2	100787	PROPANE DEHYDROGENATION UNIT	N/A	37	-	37	36.98
39	1/1/2013	173900	OC4PHSV485	OC4SV485	100787	PROPANE DEHYDROGENATION UNIT	N/A	3,477	-	3,477	3,476.76
40	1/1/2013	173900	OC4PHGE860	OC4GE860	100787	PROPANE DEHYDROGENATION UNIT	N/A	10	-	10	10.41
41	1/1/2013	173900	OC4PHMEFU2	OC4MEFU2	100787	PROPANE DEHYDROGENATION UNIT	N/A	1	-	1	0.62
42	1/1/2013	173900	OC4PHMEPU	OC4F955	100787	PROPANE DEHYDROGENATION UNIT	N/A	27,074	-	27,074	27,073.61
43	9/25/2012	180758	OC3DOT01	OC3T01	104727	3RD REACTOR TRAIN	10-11	1,803	1,242	561	560.61
44	9/25/2012	180758	OCDO3H63	OC3H63	104727	3RD REACTOR TRAIN	10-11	6,500	-	6,500	6,500.00
45	8/30/2012	INTERNAL	B255TODCR	B255TODCR	NONE	R&D B255 DCR PP	N/A	10	-	10	9.88
46	10/11/2013	185272	B34VMTO121	B34S1400	46428	PLANT B VENT MANAGEMENT UNIT	06-07	1,124,631	95,300	1,029,331	1,029,331.00
47	2/19/2014	189312	B35EWS201	B35EWS201	83792	INCLUDE MSS ACTIVITIES NOT CURRENTLY AUTHORIZED	N/A	4,074	-	4,074	4,074.21
Page Subtotal⁸:										403,045.30	
Table Total:										403,045.30	
Project Emission Increase (from Table 2F):										2,357,945.51	
Total (Includes Project Increases):										2,760,990.80	
Summary of Contemporaneous Changes											

¹ Individual Table 3Fs should be used to summarize the project emission increase and net emission increase for each criteria pollutant.

² The start of operation date for the modified or new facilities. Attach Table 4F for each project reduction claimed.

³ Emission Point No. as designated in NSR Permit or Emissions Inventory.

⁴ All records and calculations for these values must be available upon request.

⁵ All records and calculations for these values must be available upon request.

⁶ Proposed (column A) - Baseline (column B).

⁷ If portion of the decrease not creditable, enter creditable amount.

⁸ Sum all values for this page.



The Dow Chemical Company
2301 Brazosport Blvd.
Freeport, TX 77541-3257
U.S.A.

January 24, 2014

Certified Mail 7013 2250 0001 2961 5482
Return Receipt Requested

Mr. Brad Toups
Chief, Air Permits Section
U.S. EPA Region 6, 6PD
1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200
Dallas, TX 75202-2733

RE: The Dow Chemical Company
PSD Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Permit Application
Additional Information for the Ethylene Production Facility (LHC-9)

Dear Mr. Toups:

Enclosed please find an updated BACT analysis for the flare system for the proposed Light Hydrocarbon 9 production unit. The attached Section 4.4 is being presented to replace the previously submitted Section 4.4. The updates made are in response to questions received on January 17, 2014 via e-mail.

Should you have any questions regarding this information, I can be contacted at (979) 238-5832 or via e-mail at clsteves@dow.com.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Steves
Environmental Manager, The Dow Chemical Company

Cc Ms. Cindy Rodriguez The Dow Chemical Company
via Email: Ms. Mary Schwartz The Dow Chemical Company



**THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY
DOW TEXAS OPERATIONS – FREEPORT**

PREVENTION OF SIGNIFICANT DETERIORATION

GREENHOUSE GAS

PERMIT APPLICATION

FOR

ETHYLENE PRODUCTION FACILITY (LHC-9)

January 24, 2014

4.4 BACT FOR FLARE SYSTEM

The flare system associated with the LHC-9 production unit consists of an elevated low pressure flare and a larger, pressure-assisted ground flare. The low pressure flare is designed to control emissions from API storage tanks. There is also a continuous N₂ and natural gas purge to maintain header velocity and heating value. The pressure-assisted ground flare manages routine continuous and intermittent vents. Continuous vents include compressor seals, small leaks from pressure safety valves and pressure vent control valves, and excess off-gas from LHC-9 operations (this is a necessary pressure control mechanism to address changes in off-gas consumption by other consumer plants at the site). Fuel line purging to safely isolate LHC-9 cracking furnaces burners is routinely flared for OC2L9HH1 – OC2L9HH8. Additionally, there is a continuous natural gas purge to the pressure-assisted ground flare to maintain header velocity. The flare's pilots are fueled by low-carbon pipeline natural gas and are in operation 8,760 hours per year. Both flares will be subject to TCEQ HRVOC and Federal 40 CFR 60.18 requirements.

4.4.1 Step 1 – Identify Available Control Technologies

A search of the RBLC database did not identify any GHG control technologies for control devices such as the small elevated or pressure-assisted flares, particularly since the flares themselves are considered add-on control units. However, to expedite this permit issuance process, Dow considered the following technologies as potential GHG control measures for the flares at the LHC-9 facility:

1. Good plant design to minimize flaring
2. Use of low-carbon assist gas
3. Good flare design and operation
4. Carbon Capture and Storage
5. Flare Gas Recovery

4.4.1.1 Good plant design to minimize flaring

The current plant design incorporates minimum-flaring attributes such as recovery of low flow vent streams and off-spec recycle to minimize material that would otherwise be routed to the flare. It is inherent in Dow's plant design to re-use as much of the hydrocarbons as possible within the plant that would otherwise be routed to a flare. The only routine materials that will go to the flare are vent streams that cannot be recycled to the process for safety or other technical reasons. These streams include compressor seal vents and minor leaks from relief valves which are variable and unpredictable in flow and composition

4.4.1.2 Low-Carbon Assist Gas

The use of natural gas as assist gas is the lowest-carbon fuel available for the proposed project. Dow proposes to use natural gas for the flares' pilot gas and as supplemental fuel, if needed, to maintain the appropriate vent stream heating value as required by applicable air quality regulations.

4.4.1.3 Good Flare Design and Operation

Good operating and maintenance practices for flares include appropriate maintenance of equipment (such as periodic flare tip maintenance) and operating within the recommended heating value and flare tip velocity as specified by its design. The use of good operating and maintenance

practices results in longer life of the equipment and more efficient operation. Therefore, such practices indirectly reduce GHG emissions by supporting operation as designed by the flare manufacturer. Good flare design includes pilot flame monitoring, flow measurement, and monitoring/control of waste gas heating value.

4.4.1.4 Carbon Capture and Storage

The primary source of GHG emissions from a flare is the result of combustion of the hydrocarbon-containing gas stream in the flare. CCS requires separation of CO₂ from the flare exhaust, compression of the CO₂, and transportation to an injection/storage location.

4.4.1.5 Flare Gas Recovery

Flare gas recovery (FGR) would be sized to recover the continuous expected vent streams to the flare not currently recovered and recycled internally, such as small leakage rates across compressor seals and minor leaks from closed vent control and pressure relief valves. These streams cannot be recycled to the process for safety and technical reasons as follows:

- Process safety concern includes the potential for a relief episode that would disrupt the compositions to the flare gas recovery stream, resulting in a process upset that would subsequently result in increased flaring to manage the event. A relief episode has unpredictable flow and composition.
- Technical concerns associated with the periodic maintenance activities that require purging of nitrogen through equipment to clear it – this surge in nitrogen would have significant impact to the flare gas recovery system by adding significant amounts of nitrogen and changing the composition and overall heat value of the recovered flare gas stream, rendering this type of stream unsuitable for FGR. This abrupt change could also trigger a plant upset, resulting in significant flaring to manage the event.

4.4.2 Step 2 – Eliminate Technically Infeasible Options

4.4.2.1 Good Plant Design to Minimize Flaring

Good plant design that recovers and recycles materials to minimize flaring is considered technically feasible.

4.4.2.2 Low-Carbon Assist Gas

Use of low-carbon assist gas is considered technically feasible.

4.4.2.3 Good Flare Design and Operation

Use of good flare design and operation is considered technically feasible.

4.4.2.4 Carbon Capture and Storage

The primary source of GHG emissions from a flare is the result of combustion of the hydrocarbon-containing gas stream in the flare. Flare exhaust cannot be captured for CO₂ separation unless the flare device is enclosed, which poses a safety hazard for a flare system designed for an ethylene production facility. Post-combustion capture is not a feasible control technique for flare exhaust, therefore CCS is considered a technically infeasible option and is not considered further in this BACT analysis.

4.4.2.5 Flare Gas Recovery

FGR is used in refineries; it is not commonly used in olefins production. There is a significant process risk associated with recovering and recycling the vents from compressor seals, emergency pressure relief valves, pressure vent control valves, and purge nitrogen in that these systems are in place to manage maintenance and episodic events safely. Waste gases routed to the flare from these sources are not suited for recovery into a fuel gas system because they can contain maintenance, startup, shutdown, and emergency relief streams that vary greatly in composition and flow. Routing these streams to the fuel system can impact the overall stability of the entire process unit. Operation of these cracking furnaces is significantly more sensitive to changes in fuel quality than some processes like boilers or heat recovery units. Dow believes installing an FGR system for these vents is technically infeasible, however because FGR systems are existing technology and have been installed in certain petrochemical applications this technology will be included for further evaluation.

4.4.3 Step 3 – Rank According to Effectiveness

Use of good plant design to minimize flaring, low-carbon assist gas, good flare design and operation, and flare gas recovery are being proposed for this project. These techniques are ranked as follows:

1. Good plant design to minimize flaring is ranked first. This technique is a source reduction approach as various routine, stable vent streams are collected and routed back to the process for recovery. This the most effective manner in which to inherently recover valuable product from the plant vent system as well as minimize the vents that are flared. Implementation of this minimum-flaring attribute significantly reduces the quantity of materials that are flared on a routine basis.
2. Low-carbon assist gas is ranked next – the low carbon assist gas is natural gas, which is primarily methane.
3. Good flare design and operation is ranked third. This attribute does not involve extensive capital cost or annual operating costs; examples of good flare design and operation include pilot flare monitoring, control of flare exit velocity, and maintaining a minimum heating value for the flared waste stream.
4. FGR is ranked last as it will require significant capital investment, has a relatively high emission control cost, has a high process risk element, and will have minimal impact on overall GHG emissions from the project.

4.4.4 Step 4 – Evaluate the Most Effective Controls

Use of good plant design to minimize flaring, low-carbon assist gas, and good flare design and operation are being incorporated as control measures therefore an evaluation of the energy, environmental, and economic impacts of the proposed measures is not necessary for this application.

An economic feasibility analysis was completed for a FGR system. Dow has developed an estimate based on design criteria for the proposed LHC-9 project as actual vent flows and compositions are not available. The FGR cost estimate is based on a potential reduction of 8,812 tons/yr of CO₂ (less than 1% of the total GHG emissions from the plant) that would be generated when flaring the material in lieu of recovering and using as a furnace fuel. The estimated cost per ton of CO₂e reduced is \$117/ton making an FGR economically infeasible.

Due to the plant design to minimize flaring and the variability in the composition and flow to the FGR system, Dow has determined that an FGR system is not technically feasible.

Figure 4.4.4 Flare Gas Recovery Unit Cost Analysis

Source Name: GF-596

Pollutant: CO2

Control Option: Flare Gas Recovery Unit

I.	DIRECT COSTS (DC)	COST \$	COST BASIS
A.	Primary control device & ancillary equipment cost (A)	\$3,871,000	Estimated Cost = A
	Instrumentation	\$193,550	0.05 A
	Sales Tax	\$270,970	0.07 A
	Freight	\$193,550	0.05 A
	Subtotal Purchased Equipment Cost (B)	\$4,529,070	Estimated A*1.17 = B
B.	Direct Installation Costs		Cost Factors from <i>Aspen ICARUS</i>
	Foundation & Support	\$181,163	4% TDC
	Handling & Erection	\$45,291	1% TDC
	Electrical	\$90,581	2% TDC
	Piping	\$905,814	20% TDC
	Insulation	\$45,291	1% TDC
	Painting	\$158,517	3.5% TDC
	Total Direct Installation Costs	\$1,426,657	
C.	Site Preparation	\$50,000	
D.	Buildings	\$0	
E.	TOTAL	\$6,005,727	
II.	INDIRECT COSTS(Installation, IC)		
A.	Final engineering design	\$997,000	10% TCI
B.	Construction expense, including permits, insurance, temporary facilities, and clean-up	\$452,907	10% Purchased Equipment
C.	Contractor's fee and overhead	\$452,907	10% Purchased Equipment
D.	Startup	\$45,291	1% Purchased Equipment
E.	Performance Tests	\$45,291	1% Purchased Equipment
F.	Contingency	\$1,996,000	20% TCI
G.	TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS	\$3,989,395	
III.	TOTAL CAPITAL INVESTMENT (TCI=DC+IC)		
A.	Sum of Total Direct and Indirect Costs	\$9,995,122	
B.	Retrofit Factor (Dependant upon system complexity)	0%	2% to 50% for retrofits on existing sources
C.	ADJUSTED TOTAL CAPITAL INVESTMENT	\$9,995,122	

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

ANNUAL OPERATING COSTS (AOC)			
I.	DIRECT ANNUAL COSTS (DAC)		
A.	Labor		
	Operator (1 hr/shift)	\$29,751	\$27.17
	Supervisory	\$4,463	15% of Operator Cost
	Maintenance (1.5% of purchased equipment)	\$67,936	\$27.97
B.	Maintenance Materials(set to zero, normally = mtc labor)		
C.	Operational Materials		
	Chemicals	0	
	Other (Carbon, Catalyst etc)		
	Value of any recovered material for sale or credit		
D.	Utilities		
	Natural Gas	-\$882,701	-28.79 MMBtu/hr @ \$5/MMBtu, 70% on-stream factor
	Other Fuel		
	Electricity	\$173,448	330 kw @ \$0.06/kwh
	Other	\$0	
E.	TOTAL DIRECT ANNUAL COSTS	-\$607,104	
II.	INDIRECT ANNUAL COSTS (IAC)		
A.	Capital Recovery (CR=CRF*TCI)	\$1,174,023	Annual Cost to Recover TCI
	Capital Recovery Factor	0.1175	Based on APR & Term below
	Annual Interest Rate	0.100	
	Investment Term (yr)	20	
B.	Labor Overhead	\$61,290	60% of Total Labor & Mtc
C.	Administration, Taxes & Insurance	\$399,805	4% of TCI
E.	TOTAL INDIRECT ANNUAL COSTS	\$1,635,138	
III.	TOTAL ANNUAL OPERATING COSTS (AOC=DAC+IAC)	\$1,028,035	
IV.	POLLUTANT CONTROL EFFECTIVENESS		
A.	Typical Emission Rate W/O FGRU	44,097	Tons/yr; This is the total CO2e emissions from the pressure-assisted ground flare
B.	Emission Rate with FGRU	35,285	Tons/yr; This includes emissions from MSS, intermittent streams, flare pilots, and 30% of the streams intended for FGR
C.	Total Emission Reduction	8,812	Tons/yr. Difference between IV.A. and IV.B
V.	EMISSION CONTROL COST EFFECTIVENESS		
	Overall Cost Effectiveness (\$/Ton)	\$117	

4.4.5 Step 5 – Select BACT

Dow proposes to incorporate low-carbon assist gas and good flare design and operation discussed in Section 4.4.1 as BACT for controlling CO₂ emissions from the flares.

Table 4-7: Proposed Practices and MRR for Flare System

Practices	Monitoring*	Recordkeeping*	Reporting
Good flare design and operation	Continuous monitoring of waste gas heating value and flow rate	Continuous recording of waste gas heating value and flow rate	None
	Continuous monitoring of flare pilot flame	Continuous recording of pilot flame monitoring	None

* Continuous monitoring, continuous record and continuous recorder shall have the same definitions as in the Hazardous Organic NESHAP, 40 CFR 63.152(f) and 63.152(g).



The Dow Chemical Company
2301 Brazosport Blvd.
Freeport, TX 77541-3257
U.S.A.

January 24, 2014

Electronic submittal

Mr. Brad Toups
Chief, Air Permits Section
U.S. EPA Region 6, 6PD
1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200
Dallas, TX 75202-2733

RE: The Dow Chemical Company
PSD Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Permit Application
Response to January 17, 2014 Questions

Dear Mr. Toups:

Enclosed please find responses to the January 17, 2014 e-mail in which additional information on the flare gas recovery analysis was requested. Additionally, we are submitting an updated BACT analysis for the flare system for the proposed Light Hydrocarbon 9 production unit that reflects revisions made as part of this response. The original questions are presented in bold font, and Dow's response follows each question.

Should you have any questions regarding this information, I can be contacted at (979) 238-5832 or via e-mail at clsteves@dow.com.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Steves

Cheryl Steves
Environmental Manager, The Dow Chemical Company

Enclosures/cls

Cc:

Mary Schwartz, Dow Chemical (email)
Cindy Rodriguez, Dow Chemical (email)

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Responses to Questions

- 1) **What are the recovered volume by stream, specifically, that Dow used in its analysis? If the speciated composition of the streams relied upon has not already been provided to us previously, please do so.**

For the Flare Gas Recovery (FGR) BACT analysis, Dow initially used a portion of the routine vents plus the flare header purge represented in the permit application for the pressure-assisted ground flare, GF-596. For the routine vents, only the portion of the vent stream that occurs more or less continuously was included. There are other specific streams included in the routine vents that occur infrequently (weekly or less) and these were not considered. The continuous portion of the routine vents plus the flare header purges make up the 26.1 MMBtu/hr (LHV) that was used in the BACT Tier 3 analysis.

Additionally, Dow applied an on-stream factor of 70% for the FGR. This factor is used because the FGR system cannot be used while preparing equipment for maintenance by purging to flare with nitrogen. See additional discussion on this topic on page 6. The final value of fuel recovered for continuous vents is estimated to be 28.79 MMBtu/hr (LHV).

The 34.31 MMBtu/hr reflected in the permit application for routine vents includes infrequent vent streams and worst case scenarios in order to ensure the higher hourly emissions were appropriately permitted, but were not appropriate for the BACT Tier 3 analysis on an annual basis.

The volume and composition of the continuous routine vent stream to the Pressure-Assisted Flare is shown below:

Volume:

Hourly Flows to Flare		LHV	Heat in
Compound	SCFM	Btu/scf	MMBtu/hr
Waste Gas - continuous vents	429.4	901	23.21
Waste Gas - intermittent vents	187.2	928	10.42
Natural Gas - Purge	91.2	1020	5.58
Natural Gas - Pilot	25.5	1020	1.56
Annual @ 8760 hrs/yr			40.77

Composition (continuous routine vents):

Component		Wt %
Hydrogen	H ₂	1.15
Nitrogen	N ₂	27.26
Methane	CH ₄	40.72
Ethylene	C ₂ H ₄	13.42
Ethanol	C ₂ H ₆	4.60
Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	1.43
Propylene	C ₃ H ₆	3.21
Propane	C ₃ H ₈	1.49
Isobutane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.08
Isobutene	C ₄ H ₈	0.23
N-Butene	C ₄ H ₈	0.32
1,3-Butadiene	C ₄ H ₆	1.21
N-Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.25
N-Pentene	C ₅ H ₁₀	1.75
Methanol	CH ₄ O	1.75
Methyl -Cyclopentane	C ₆ H ₁₂	0.78
Water	H ₂ O	0.35

100.00

The continuous vents to the Low Pressure Flare, FS-597 were not included because this flare header system is completely separate from the pressure-assisted ground flare. The systems cannot be tied together due to the inherent high-pressure operation of the pressure-assisted flare. Equipment connected to the low pressure flare (e.g. API storage tanks) is not designed for connection to the pressure assisted flare.

Additionally, the normal composition of flows to the low pressure flare are much higher in nitrogen due to operations such as breathing losses from nitrogen padded API tanks, making this stream unsuitable for FGR.

2) With regards to the FGRS compressor: a) what is the size upon which Dow's analysis was made, b) why was the service life of 10 years selected for the equipment? and c) why was the 5.7% interest rate used by Dow?

a) Dow's capital estimate was based on an FGR system comprised of 2 compressors, each sized for 1 mmscfd (42 mscfh). One operating and one spare.

b) the service life has been revised to reflect 20 years which is typical for capital expenditures.

c) the interest rate has been updated to reflect Dow's minimum internal project hurdle rate.

3) What is the anticipated relative concurrency of any of the streams being part of the flare gas? Please describe how you anticipate the timing of the various streams being sent to the flare. Are they all expected to be flowed concurrently? Please explain your expected worst case conditions, particularly any combination of conditions that would result in a fuel gas that would not permit stable operations of the furnaces when introduced into the fuel gas header.

The flare gas generally consists of five types of flows to flare. Each is described below:

Continuous Routine Vents

Continuous routine vents include the natural gas flare header purges, compressor seals, plus the accumulation of small leaks from hundreds of closed Pressure Safety Valves (PSV's) and vent control valves. These small leaks are minimized through routine maintenance of these devices, but the small leaks are inherent in the design of these devices which is why they are routed to a control device i.e. the flare. Unless the plant is completely shut down and de-inventoried, these continuous vents would be concurrent with the other types of vents described below.

Intermittent Routine Vents

Intermittent routine vents are generated from routine activities that occur infrequently for short durations of time, but that are part of normal operation of the plant. One example is the depressurization to flare of the natural gas pad on the DMDS storage drum to allow for refilling of the drum from a tank truck (weekly activity). These vents are concurrent with the Continuous Routine Vents.

Maintenance Vents

Maintenance vents are generated from draining, depressurization, and/or purging of equipment for maintenance. All the equipment in LHC-9 is connected to a closed-drain system to ensure no VOC's are vented to atmosphere. Initially these vents would be primarily hydrocarbons, but would soon transition to be essentially 100% nitrogen as equipment preparation progresses. As such, these vents are not suitable for recovery and also would require shutdown of the Flare Gas Recovery System in order to avoid significant fuel disturbances to the Cracking Furnaces.

These vents would typically be concurrent with continuous routine vents and potentially with the intermittent routine vents. While each piece of equipment would be maintained infrequently, with hundreds of pieces of equipment in the plant, it is normal for equipment preparation with nitrogen to be occurring on an on-going basis. This is the reason the FGR was credited with a reduced 70% on-stream factor.

Start-up and Shutdown Vents

These vents occur as part of a controlled start-up and shutdown. The peak vent flows are typically much larger vent flows (100 times larger than the continuous vents) than those described above, but occur on a very infrequent basis (typically less than one event per year). While these vents have a composition that would be suitable for recovery, the flow rates are very large such that only a very small portion of this vent could be recovered on top of the continuous routine vents already being recovered. The capacity of the FGR system is matched to the continuous routine vent flows. It is not economically feasible to design for large events (100 times larger than routine vents) of short duration (4 to 8 hours) that occur infrequently (less than one time per year). Additionally, there is no outlet for this large recovered stream so it would be technical infeasible to do so because the equivalent heat value is much larger than the demands of the process.

Additionally, there is no outlet for this large recovered stream because the equivalent heat value of it is much larger than the demands of the process during these events so it would be technically infeasible to recover it.

Episodic Events

These vents occur as part of un-planned trips or off-spec incidents. These vent flows are typically much larger vent flows (up to 1,000 times larger than the continuous vent stream) than any of the vent streams described above (i.e. they set the sizing basis of the pressure-assisted flare system) and occur on a very infrequent basis. These types of events are not permitted events. In all other factors, these types of vents have a similar impact and consideration as the start-up and shutdown vents.

4) Why would it not be possible to accommodate for these various streams to be captured while still allowing protection against catastrophic flows?

As noted above, all of the typical vent streams listed above flow together in the same flare header system to the Pressure-Assisted Flare, GF-596. It is on this common system near the inlet to the pressure assisted flare that the FGR would be placed. In this sense, all the streams are brought to one location. The reason they are not economically or technically considered for recovery in the BACT Analysis is based on the factors mentioned above and further discussed below.

For the large start-up, shutdown and episodic events, it is simply a matter of capacity and frequency of occurrence of these vents. There would only be a small incremental recovery on a very infrequent basis.

Another technical issue is the nitrogen associated with the Maintenance Vents that limits the duration that the FGR is credited as on-stream. Nitrogen is not suitable for recovery into the process or the fuel system. When it is recovered into the process, it would go with the offgas from the process (hydrogen and methane) and end up in the fuel system. When it enters the fuel system, either directly or indirectly via the process, it will create a disturbance to the furnace temperature control. Such disturbances have caused documented plant upsets in another Dow plant (non-US based) that has the same process flowsheet as LHC-9. Such an episodic event would result in GHG emissions that would potentially offset a whole year's benefit of an FGR system. It would also cause similar increases of VOC and NOx emissions associated with flaring necessary to stabilize the plant. For example, one off spec event typically results in 5,000 tons of CO2 emissions. With on-going maintenance operations as described in #3, the risk to have an episodic event increases.

Therefore, whenever equipment is being purged with nitrogen to the flare system, the FGR would have to be turned off. The necessity of having the purge system connected to the main flare is discussed further below.

5) If one or more waste stream composition would preclude stable operation of the furnaces when used as fuel gas, or could not be reintroduced into the process as raw material, please provide an explanation of why those streams would result in such problems and why it would not be possible to segregate those streams to either the flare or to the TOX?

As mentioned above, the primary concern is the variable nitrogen composition of the combined flare gas stream to the Pressure-Assisted Flare, GF-596. Each piece of equipment containing hydrocarbons in LHC-9 is connected to a flare system to allow safe and environmentally sound methods of clearing equipment for maintenance. The flare system collects vents, PSV's, and drains from each piece of equipment. The flare system consists of a warm flare header and a cryogenic flare header.

The cryogenic flare header is designed for receiving very cold liquid hydrocarbons that can flash as cold as -250°F and is constructed of low temperature stainless steel and includes a vaporizer to ensure the material is vaporized and warmed before being sent to the flare.

The warm flare header is designed for receiving warm liquids that do not flash or warm vapor, but is connected to systems that operate at large volumes and high pressures. These two header systems are very extensive and exist throughout the entire plant, one of which connects to almost every piece of equipment. The two headers come together only at the inlet to the Pressure-Assisted Flare after the cryogenic header has been warmed.

When preparing a piece of equipment to either of the respective header systems, the equipment is first minimized of inventory while still in service, then drained, depressurized, and then purged to flare with nitrogen. The transition from process (hydrocarbons) to nitrogen occurs over a period of time during the purge step. It would be difficult to manage the transition reliably so that the hydrocarbons went to flare while the nitrogen went to a separate header system connected to a TOX.

More technically challenging, neither of these systems would be suitable for inadvertent connection to a separate header system routed to a TOX. The high pressure warm gas systems, the cryogenic liquids from the cold systems, or the significant liquid volume from either would overwhelm a TOX system.

In order to connect all equipment to a separate header system for nitrogen purging to a TOX, the header would need to be protected against unintended flow from the plant equipment. Safety Instrumented Systems (SIS) would have to be installed at the connection to every piece of equipment to protect the purge header from unintended cryogenic, high pressure, or liquid streams in order to prevent a catastrophic event at the TOX. These factors add a high degree of complexity to operating the plant and increase the risk of having a process safety event compared to the small amount of GHG emissions that would be reduced. The installed cost of another header system and all of the associated safety systems outweighs the benefit of trying to capture the remaining 30% of the continuous vent stream.

Flare Gas Recovery Summary of Impacts to Permit Representations

Emissions Adjustment

The Flare Gas Recovery Unit (FGRU) design is based on the recovery of natural gas flare header purge and continuous vents to the multipoint ground flare. Vents to the elevated low pressure flare and intermittent vents to the multipoint ground flare are not candidates for FGR as discussed in the general response. It was necessary to make a few adjustments to the emission calculations in the permit in order to segregate these streams to demonstrate the potential emission reduction associated with FGRU. The original permit calculations combined the continuous vents and intermittent vents as a single stream.

While the revised BACT Tier 3 Analysis for the flare system reflects these changes, the permit application will not be updated because the overall change in emission rate is insignificant. The emissions tables provided in this summary are solely for supporting documentation for the Tier 3 analysis. Additionally, this summary provides the background on the emissions data used for the cost estimate in the Tier 3 analysis.

The first minor change is relative to the annual average heat input of the routine vent stream. While the annual average fuel flow does not change, the annual average heat input is slightly lower when the streams are separated. This is because the overall average heat input for the continuous streams is 901 Btu/scf while the average heat input for the intermittent stream is 928 Btu/scf. The impact of this difference is shown in Table 1. Attachment 1 is the original calculation and Attachment 2 is the revised calculation separating the continuous and intermittent streams.

Table 1. Summary of Changes to Average Heat Input

Source	Stream	Flow (scfm)	Heat content (Btu/scf)	Hourly Heat input (MMBtu/hr) ¹	Average Heat Input (MMBtu/yr) ²	Average Fuel Gas Flow (MMscf/yr) ³
Att. 1	Routine vents	616.6	928	34.32	300,603	324.09
Att. 2	Routine vents - continuous	429.4	901	23.21	203,299	225.67
	Routine vents – intermittent	187.2	928	10.42	91,284	98.42
Total (continuous + intermittent)		616.6	n/a	33.63	294,583	324.09

¹ Hourly heat input calculation: flow (scfm) x heat content (btu/scf) x 60 min/hr x 1 MM/10⁶

616.6 scfm x 928 Btu/scf x 60 min/hr x 1 MM/1,000,000 = 34.32 MMBtu/hr

² Average Heat Input: hourly heat input (MMBtu/hr) x 8760

34.32 MMBtu/hr x 8760 hours/year = 300,643 MMBtu/yr

³ Average Fuel Gas Flow: flow (scfm) x 60 min/hr x 8760 hrs/year x 1 MM/10⁶

616.6 scfm x 60 min/hr x 8760 hours/yr x 1/1,000,000 = 324.09 MMscf/yr

The second minor change involves the stream compositions. The compositions for routine vents change slightly when continuous vents are segregated from intermittent vents, resulting in different carbon content and average molecular weight. These changes are summarized in Table 2 on the following page. Attachment 3 contains the detailed stream speciation used to generate the stream carbon content and average molecular weight.

Table 2. Summary of Changes to Fuel Carbon Content and MW

Source	Stream	Fuel Carbon Content (kg C/kg Gas)	Fuel MW (kg/kg-mol)
Att. 3	Routine vents	0.59	19.2
Att. 3	Routine vents - continuous	0.55	20.0
	Routine vents – intermittent	0.71	17.5

Finally, these changes impact the calculated GHG emissions for the routine vent stream as shown in Table 3. Because the overall emissions change is insignificant (0.045 percent of the total CO₂e emission rate), updated calculations are not necessary for the permit, and are only used for the cost estimate in the BACT analysis. Attachment 4 is the original calculation and Attachment 5 is the revised calculation separating the continuous and intermittent streams.

Table 3. Summary of Changes to the Calculated GHG Emissions

Source	Stream	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	CO ₂ e
Att. 4	Routine vents	17,554	0.99	0.20	17,639
Att. 5	Routine vents - continuous	11,734	0.67	0.13	11,791
	Routine vents – intermittent	5,831	0.30	0.06	5,856
	Total (continuous + intermittent)	17,565	0.97	0.19	17,647
				% change	$= (17,647 - 17,639) / 17,639$ = 0.00045

BACT Tier 3 Cost Analysis Details

Direct Annual Costs, Utilities: Natural Gas

The hourly average heat content of the natural gas flare header purge (5.58 MMBtu/hr) and the continuous vents (23.21 MMBtu/hr) totals to 28.79 MMBtu/hr. See Attachment 2.

We assumed a 70% operational rate to accommodate for periods of time when other vents from maintenance activities containing nitrogen would be introduced into the flare header making recovery infeasible.

The average cost of natural gas was estimated to be \$5/MMBtu.

The calculated cost savings associated with recovering the natural gas flare header purge and continuous vent stream:

$$28.79 \text{ MMBtu/hr} \times 8760 \text{ hours/year} \times 70\% \text{ recovery} \times \$5/\text{MMBtu} = \$-882,701.$$

Pollution Control Effectiveness

1) Emission Rate w/o FGRU

The emission rate used in the Tier 3 cost analysis reflects emissions from the multipoint ground flare only and includes emissions from all streams (flare pilots, natural gas flare header purge, continuous vents, and intermittent vents, as well as maintenance, startup, and shutdown streams). We limited the emissions to this source because the recovered flare gas will only offset other fuels used in the furnaces resulting in insignificant changes to GHG emissions from the furnaces. No other sources in the permit have the potential to be impacted. This total emission rate from the multipoint ground flare is 44,097 tons of CO₂e. See Attachment 5.

2) Emission rate with FGRU

Flare pilots, intermittent, maintenance, startup, and shutdown streams will not be impacted by the FGRU system. The total emissions from these streams is 31,509 tons CO₂e. See Attachment 5.

Additionally, because the FGRU is anticipated to recover 70% of the continuous and natural gas flare header purge streams, 30% of the emissions associated with those streams will also remain: (797 tons + 11,791 tons) x 30% = 3,776 tons CO₂e.

Total emissions remaining at the flare: 31,509 + 3,776 = 35,285 tons CO₂e

3) Total Emission Reduction

The total emission reduction is the difference between the Emission Rate w/o FGRU and the Emission Rate w/FGRU.

Emission rate w/o FGR:	44,097	Tons CO ₂ e
Emission rate with FGR:	35,285	Tons CO ₂ e
Emission Reduction	8,812	Tons CO ₂ e

Attachment 1

OC2F5961 emission calculations
(from current permit application representations)

FIN: OC2L9F596
EPN: OC2F5961
Pressure-Assisted Flare, GF-596

Basis:

1. There is a continuous natural gas purge to flare to maintain flare header velocity and heating value
2. This flare primarily combusts fugitive emission from vents and compressor seals as listed below.
3. Emission factors for VOC's from Table 1.4-2. AP-42 Fifth Edition, 7/98.
4. Emission factors for CO, and NOx from TNRCC Guidance Document, "Flares and Vapor Oxidizers, June 1998" for the appropriate heat content, steam-assisted flare.
5. 99.5% Destruction efficiency for compounds containing no more than 3 carbons that contain no elements other than carbon and hydrogen; all others 98%.
6. There are no PM emissions from this flare since it is required to be smokeless.
7. Flows to GF-596 are as follows

	C-201B	C-321	C-651	C-601	D115 Depad	HC Vents	HP Flare Header Purge	Totals for Waste Gas Flow (Excluding Header Purge)	
Process flow rate, SCFM	11.01	11.01	10.93	10.94	187.25	385.47	91.19	616.61	Total SCFM
Process Avg MW	27.19	27.19	28.02	29.29	17.51	19.55	17.24		
Process Flow, lb/hr	47.58	47.58	48.67	50.90	520.75	1197.22	249.75	1912.69	Total lb/hr
Process LHV, Btu/lb	1482.30	1482.30	1831.78	2565.49	20010.62	17402.70	20282.85		
Pressure, psig	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50		
Temperature, F	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00		
Btu/scf for each vent and compressor	107	107	136	199	928	901	926		

$$\text{Process Flow (lb/hr)} = \text{Process Flow Rate (SCFM)} / \text{Universal Gas Constant (psi-ft}^3\text{/lbmol-R)} / (\text{Temp (F)} + 460) * (\text{Pressure (psig)} + 14.7 \text{ psia}) * \text{Process Avg MW (lb/lb-mol)} * (60 \text{ min/hr})$$

$$\text{lb/hr} = 11.01 \text{ SCFM} / 10.73 \text{ psi-ft}^3\text{/lbmol-R} / (75 + 460) * (0.50 \text{ psig} + 14.7 \text{ psia}) * 27.19 \text{ lb/lbmol} * 60 \text{ min/hr}$$

$$\text{lb/hr} = 47.58$$

$$\text{Heating Value per Compressor (Btu/scf)} = (\text{Process Flow (lb/hr)} * \text{Process LHV (Btu/lb)}) / (\text{Process flow rate (SCFM)} * 60 \text{ min/hr})$$

$$\text{(Btu/scf)} = (47.58 \text{ lb/hr} * 1482.295 \text{ Btu/lb}) / (11.01 \text{ SCFM} * 60 \text{ min/hr})$$

$$\text{(Btu/scf)} = 107$$

Emission Factors

Flare Emissions Factors - 99.5% DRE - Steam Assisted		
CO	0.2600	lb/MMBtu
NOx	0.0500	lb/MMBtu
PM	0.0000	lb/MMscf

Emission Factors

Flare Emissions Factors - AP-42		
VOC	5.5	lb/MMscf fuel

Flare DRE - Steam Assisted

DRE for VOCs (except C2-3)	98	Percent
DRE for VOCs (C2 or C3)	99.5	Percent

Sulfur Content

Maximum Sulfur Content in Fuel =	5	gr/100scf
Average Sulfur Content in Fuel =	0.2	gr/100scf

Table 1 Hourly Calculations

Maximum Hourly Flows to Flare			LHV Btu/lb	LHV Btu/scf	Heat in MMBtu/hr	CO		NOx		SO ₂			PM		VOC	
Compound	SCFM	lb/hr				Factor lb/MMBtu	Emission lb/hr	Factor lb/MMBtu	Emission lb/hr	Factor gr/100scf	Factor ppm,wt	Emission lb/hr	Factor lb/MMscf	Emission lb/hr	Factor lb/MMscf	Emission lb/hr
Waste Gas	616.6	1912.7		928	34.32	0.2600	8.92	0.0500	1.72		-	0.00	0.000	0.000		3.41
Natural Gas - Purge	91.2			1020	5.58	0.2600	1.45	0.0500	0.28	5.00		0.08	0.000	0.000	5.500	0.03
Natural Gas - Pilot	25.5			1020	1.56	0.2600	0.41	0.0500	0.08	5.00		0.02	0.000	0.000	5.500	0.01
Total					41.46		10.78		2.07			0.10		0.000		3.45

Basis:

SCFM = Waste Gas Flow based on normal flows of vents and compressor seals shown above

Natural Gas Purge flow rate = 91.2 scfm

Pilot gas flow based on plant design 1.06 scfm/pilot 24 pilots 25.49 scfm

Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) = Max Flow (SCFM) * LHV (Btu/scf) * 60 (min/hr) / 1,000,000

CO Emissions (lb/hr) = Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) * CO Emission Factor (lb/MMBtu)

Nox Emissions (lb/hr) = Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) * NOx Emission Factor (lb/MMBtu)

SO₂ Emissions - Waste Gas (lb/hr) = Sum of Sulfur Containing Flow Streams (lb/hr) * SO₂ Emission Factor (ppm) / 1,000,000 * (2 lb SO₂/lb S)

SO₂ Emissions - Fuel Gas (lb/hr) = Max Flow (SCFM) * 60 (min/hr) * SO₂ Emission Factor (gr/100 scf) * 0.0001429 (lb/gr) * (2lb SO₂/lb S)

PM Emissions (lb/hr) = Max Flow (SCFM) * 60 (min/hr) * PM Emission Factor (lb/MMscf) / 1,000,000

VOC Emissions - Waste Gas (lb/hr) = Sum of Max VOC Flow from Vent/Compressor Fugitives

VOC Emissions - Fuel Gas (lb/hr) = Max Flow (SCFM) * 60 (min/hr) * VOC Emission Factor (lb/MMscf) / 1,000,000

Table 2 Annual Calculations

Average Hourly Flows to Flare			LHV Btu/lb	LHV Btu/scf	Heat in MMBtu/hr	CO		NOx		SO ₂			PM		VOC	
Compound	SCFM	lb/hr				Factor lb/MMBtu	Emission tpy	Factor lb/MMBtu	Emission tpy	Factor gr/100scf	Factor ppm,wt	Emission tpy	Factor lb/MMscf	Emission tpy	Factor lb/MMscf	Emission tpy
Waste Gas - continuous vents	616.6	1912.7		928	34.32	0.2600	39.08	0.0500	7.52		-	0.000	0.000	0.000		13.29
Natural Gas - Purge	91.2			1020	5.58	0.2600	6.36	0.0500	1.22	0.20		0.014	0.000	0.000	5.500	0.13
Natural Gas - Pilot	25.5			1020	1.56	0.2600	1.78	0.0500	0.34	0.20		0.004	0.000	0.000	5.500	0.04
Annual TPY @ 8760 hrs/yr					41.46		47.21		9.08			0.02		0.000		13.46

Basis:

SCFM = Waste Gas Flow based on normal flows of vents and compressor seals shown above

Natural Gas Purge flow rate = 91.2 scfm

Pilot gas flow based on plant design 1.06 scfm/pilot 24 pilots 25.49 scfm

Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) = Max Flow (SCFM) * LHV (Btu/scf) * 60 (min/hr) / 1,000,000

CO Emissions (tpy) = Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) * CO Emission Factor (lb/MMBtu) * 8760 (hrs/yr) / 2000 (lbs/ton)

Nox Emissions (tpy) = Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) * NOx Emission Factor (lb/MMBtu) * 8760 (hrs/yr) / 2000 (lbs/ton)

SO₂ Emissions - Waste Gas (tpy) = Sum of Sulfur Containing Flow Streams (lb/hr) * SO₂ Emission Factor (ppm) / 1,000,000 * (2 lb SO₂/lb S) * 8760 (hrs/yr) / 2000 (lbs/ton)

SO₂ Emissions - Fuel Gas (tpy) = Max Flow (SCFM) * 60 (min/hr) * SO₂ Emission Factor (gr/100 scf) * 0.0001429 (lb/gr) * (2lb SO₂/lb S) * 8760 (hrs/yr) / 2000 (lbs/ton)

PM Emissions (tpy) = Max Flow (SCFM) * 60 (min/hr) * PM Emission Factor (lb/MMscf) / 1,000,000 * 8760 (hrs/yr) / 2000 (lbs/ton)

VOC Emissions - Waste Gas (tpy) = Sum of Max VOC Flow from Vent/Compressor Fugitives

VOC Emissions - Fuel Gas (tpy) = Max Flow (SCFM) * 60 (min/hr) * VOC Emission Factor (lb/MMscf) / 1,000,000 * 8760 (hrs/yr) / 2000 (lbs/ton)

EPN: OC2F5961
 Pressure-Assisted Flare, GF-596, Continued

Speciated Emissions - Vent/Compressor Seal Emissions

Maximum Hourly, lb/hr	VOC DRE (%)	C-201B		C-321		C-651		C-601		D115 Depad		HC Vents		Total lb/hr	Total VOC lb/hr
		wt%	lb/hr	wt%	lb/hr	wt%	lb/hr	wt%	lb/hr	wt%	lb/hr	wt%	lb/hr		
Maximum Waste Gas Load, lb/hr			47.58		47.58		48.67		50.90		520.75		1197.22		
Hydrogen	99.5	0.21%	0.00	0.21%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	1.32%	0.08	0.08	
Nitrogen	-	93.07%	44.28	93.07%	44.28	90.97%	44.28	87.00%	44.28	1.10%	5.73	16.89%	202.21	385.05	
Methane	99.5	0.63%	0.00	0.63%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	86.30%	2.25	47.29%	2.83	5.08	
Ethylene	99.5	3.78%	0.01	3.78%	0.01	9.03%	0.02	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	14.94%	0.89	0.93	0.93
Ethanol	99.5	2.31%	0.01	2.31%	0.01	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	4.20%	0.11	5.17%	0.31	0.43	
Carbon Dioxide	-	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	3.90%	20.31	1.66%	19.87	40.18	
Propylene	99.5	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	13.00%	0.03	0.00%	-	3.18%	0.19	0.22	0.22
Propane	99.5	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	1.20%	0.03	1.73%	0.10	0.13	0.13
Isobutane	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.09%	0.02	0.02	0.02
Isobutene	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.27%	0.06	0.06	0.06
N-Butene	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.37%	0.09	0.09	0.09
1,3-Butadiene	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	1.41%	0.34	0.34	0.34
N-Butane	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.50%	0.05	0.29%	0.07	0.12	0.12
N-Pentene	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	2.03%	0.49	0.49	0.49
Methanol	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	2.03%	0.49	0.49	0.49
Methyl -Cyclopentane	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.91%	0.22	0.22	0.22
Water	-	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.41%	4.91	4.91	
Dimethyl Disulfide	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	2.80%	0.29	0.00%	-	0.29	0.29
Total														439.14	3.41

Max Annual, tpy	VOC DRE (%)	C-201B		C-321		C-651		C-601		D115 Depad		HC Vents		Total tpy	Total VOC (continuous) tpy
		wt%	tpy	wt%	tpy	wt%	tpy	wt%	tpy	wt%	tpy	wt%	tpy		
Maximum Waste Gas Load, lb/hr			47.58		47.58		48.67		50.90		520.75		1197.22		
Hours/yr		8760		8760		8760		8760		23		8760			
Hydrogen	99.5	0.21%	0.00	0.21%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	1.32%	0.35	0.35	
Nitrogen	-	93.07%	193.94	93.07%	193.94	90.97%	193.93	87.00%	193.95	1.10%	0.07	16.89%	885.68	1661.50	
Methane	99.5	0.63%	0.01	0.63%	0.01	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	86.30%	0.03	47.29%	12.40	12.44	
Ethylene	99.5	3.78%	0.04	3.78%	0.04	9.03%	0.10	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	14.94%	3.92	4.09	4.09
Ethanol	99.5	2.31%	0.02	2.31%	0.02	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	4.20%	0.00	5.17%	1.36	1.40	
Carbon Dioxide	-	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	3.90%	0.23	1.66%	87.05	87.28	
Propylene	99.5	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	13.00%	0.14	0.00%	-	3.18%	0.83	0.98	0.98
Propane	99.5	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	1.20%	0.000359	1.73%	0.45	0.45	0.45
Isobutane	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.09%	0.09	0.09	0.09
Isobutene	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.27%	0.28	0.28	0.28
N-Butene	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.37%	0.39	0.39	0.39
1,3-Butadiene	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	1.41%	1.48	1.48	1.48
N-Butane	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.50%	0.000599	0.29%	0.30	0.30	0.30
N-Pentene	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	2.03%	2.13	2.13	2.13
Methanol	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	2.03%	2.13	2.13	2.13
Methyl -Cyclopentane	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.91%	0.95	0.95	0.95
Water	-	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.41%	21.50	21.50	
Dimethyl Disulfide	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	2.80%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00	0.00
Total														1797.77	13.29

EPN: OC2F5961

Pressure-Assisted Flare, GF-596, Continued

Example Calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Speciated Emissions (lbs/hr)} &= \text{Stream Weight \%} * \text{Flowrate (lb/hr)} * \text{DRE (\%)} \\ \text{lbs/hr (Ethylene)} &= 3.78\% * 47.58 \text{ lb/hr} * (1 - 99.5\%) \\ \text{lbs/hr (Ethylene)} &= 0.01 \\ \text{Speciated Emissions (tpy)} &= \text{Stream Weight \%} * \text{Flowrate (lb/hr)} * \text{DRE (\%)} * 8760 \text{ hrs/yr} / 2000 \text{ lb/ton} \\ \text{tpy (Ethylene)} &= 3.78\% * 47.58 \text{ lb/hr} * (1 - 99.5\%) * 8760 \text{ hrs} / 2000 \text{ lb/ton} \\ \text{tpy (Ethylene)} &= 0.04 \end{aligned}$$

Emissions Summary:

Component	Emissions	
	(lb/hr)	(tpy)
CO	10.78	47.21
NOx	2.07	9.08
SO2	0.10	0.02
PM	0.00	0.00
VOC	3.45	13.46

Attachment 2

OC2F5961 emission calculations for FGR analysis only

Note – these calculations reflect separation of the routine vent stream into continuous and intermittent streams.

FIN: OC2L9F596
EPN: OC2F5961
Pressure-Assisted Flare, GF-596

Basis:

1. There is a continuous natural gas purge to flare to maintain flare header velocity and heating value
2. This flare primarily combusts fugitive emission from vents and compressor seals as listed below.
3. Emission factors for VOC's from Table 1.4-2. AP-42 Fifth Edition, 7/98.
4. Emission factors for CO, and NOx from TNRCC Guidance Document, "Flares and Vapor Oxidizers, June 1998" for the appropriate heat content, steam-assisted flare.
5. 99.5% Destruction efficiency for compounds containing no more than 3 carbons that contain no elements other than carbon and hydrogen; all others 98%.
6. There are no PM emissions from this flare since it is required to be smokeless.
7. Flows to GF-596 are as follows

	C-201B	C-321	C-651	C-601	D115 Depad	HC Vents	HP Flare Header Purge	Totals for Waste Gas Flow (Excluding Header Purge)	
Process flow rate, SCFM	11.01	11.01	10.93	10.94	187.25	385.47	91.19	616.61	Total SCFM
Process Avg MW	27.19	27.19	28.02	29.29	17.51	19.55	17.24		
Process Flow, lb/hr	47.58	47.58	48.67	50.90	520.75	1197.22	249.75	1912.69	Total lb/hr
Process LHV, Btu/lb	1482.30	1482.30	1831.78	2565.49	20010.62	17402.70	20282.85		
Pressure, psig	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50		
Temperature, F	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00		
Btu/scf for each vent and compressor	107	107	136	199	928	901	926		

$$\text{Process Flow (lb/hr)} = \text{Process Flow Rate (SCFM)} / \text{Universal Gas Constant (psi-ft}^3\text{/lbmol-R)} / (\text{Temp (F)} + 460) * (\text{Pressure (psig)} + 14.7 \text{ psia}) * \text{Process Avg MW (lb/lb-mol)} * (60 \text{ min/hr})$$

$$\text{lb/hr} = 11.01 \text{ SCFM} / 10.73 \text{ psi-ft}^3\text{/lbmol-R} / (75 + 460) * (0.50 \text{ psig} + 14.7 \text{ psia}) * 27.19 \text{ lb/lbmol} * 60 \text{ min/hr}$$

$$\text{lb/hr} = 47.58$$

$$\text{Heating Value per Compressor (Btu/scf)} = (\text{Process Flow (lb/hr)} * \text{Process LHV (Btu/lb)}) / (\text{Process flow rate (SCFM)} * 60 \text{ min/hr})$$

$$\text{(Btu/scf)} = (47.58 \text{ lb/hr} * 1482.295 \text{ Btu/lb}) / (11.01 \text{ SCFM} * 60 \text{ min/hr})$$

$$\text{(Btu/scf)} = 107$$

Emission Factors

Flare Emissions Factors - 99.5% DRE - Steam Assisted		
CO	0.2600	lb/MMBtu
NOx	0.0500	lb/MMBtu
PM	0.0000	lb/MMscf

Emission Factors

Flare Emissions Factors - AP-42		
VOC	5.5	lb/MMscf fuel

Flare DRE - Steam Assisted

DRE for VOCs (except C2-3)	98	Percent
DRE for VOCs (C2 or C3)	99.5	Percent

Sulfur Content

Maximum Sulfur Content in Fuel =	5	gr/100scf
Average Sulfur Content in Fuel =	0.2	gr/100scf

Table 1 Hourly Calculations

Maximum Hourly Flows to Flare			LHV Btu/lb	LHV Btu/scf	Heat in MMBtu/hr	CO		NOx		SO ₂			PM		VOC	
Compound	SCFM	lb/hr				Factor lb/MMBtu	Emission lb/hr	Factor lb/MMBtu	Emission lb/hr	Factor gr/100scf	Factor ppm,wt	Emission lb/hr	Factor lb/MMscf	Emission lb/hr	Factor lb/MMscf	Emission lb/hr
Waste Gas - continuous vents	429.4	1391.9		901	23.21	0.2600	6.03	0.0500	1.16	-	0.00	0.000	0.000			3.03
Waste Gas - intermittent vents	187.2	520.8		928	10.42	0.2600	2.71	0.0500	0.52	-	0.00	0.000	0.000			0.37
Natural Gas - Purge	91.2			1020	5.58	0.2600	1.45	0.0500	0.28	5.00	0.08	0.000	0.000		5.500	0.03
Natural Gas - Pilot	25.5			1020	1.56	0.2600	0.41	0.0500	0.08	5.00	0.02	0.000	0.000		5.500	0.01
Total					40.77		10.60		2.04		0.10		0.000			3.45

Basis:

SCFM = Waste Gas Flow based on normal flows of vents and compressor seals shown above
 Natural Gas Purge flow rate = 91.2 scfm
 Pilot gas flow based on plant design 1.06 scfm/pilot 24 pilots 25.49 scfm

Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) = Max Flow (SCFM) * LHV (Btu/scf) * 60 (min/hr) / 1,000,000
 CO Emissions (lb/hr) = Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) * CO Emission Factor (lb/MMBtu)
 Nox Emissions (lb/hr) = Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) * NOx Emission Factor (lb/MMBtu)
 SO₂ Emissions - Waste Gas (lb/hr) = Sum of Sulfur Containing Flow Streams (lb/hr) * SO₂ Emission Factor (ppm) / 1,000,000 * (2 lb SO₂/lb S)
 SO₂ Emissions - Fuel Gas (lb/hr) = Max Flow (SCFM) * 60 (min/hr) * SO₂ Emission Factor (gr/100 scf) * 0.0001429 (lb/gr) * (2lb SO₂/lb S)
 PM Emissions (lb/hr) = Max Flow (SCFM) * 60 (min/hr) * PM Emission Factor (lb/MMscf) / 1,000,000
 VOC Emissions - Waste Gas (lb/hr) = Sum of Max VOC Flow from Vent/Compressor Fugitives
 VOC Emissions - Fuel Gas (lb/hr) = Max Flow (SCFM) * 60 (min/hr) * VOC Emission Factor (lb/MMscf) / 1,000,000

Table 2 Annual Calculations

Average Hourly Flows to Flare			LHV Btu/lb	LHV Btu/scf	Heat in MMBtu/hr	CO		NOx		SO ₂			PM		VOC	
Compound	SCFM	lb/hr				Factor lb/MMBtu	Emission tpy	Factor lb/MMBtu	Emission tpy	Factor gr/100scf	Factor ppm,wt	Emission tpy	Factor lb/MMscf	Emission tpy	Factor lb/MMscf	Emission tpy
Waste Gas - continuous vents	429.4	1391.9		901	23.21	0.2600	26.43	0.0500	5.08	-	0.000	0.000	0.000			13.29
Waste Gas - intermittent vents	187.2	520.8		928	10.42	0.2600	11.87	0.0500	2.28		0.000	0.000	0.000			0.01
Natural Gas - Purge	91.2			1020	5.58	0.2600	6.36	0.0500	1.22	0.20	0.014	0.000	0.000		5.500	0.13
Natural Gas - Pilot	25.5			1020	1.56	0.2600	1.78	0.0500	0.34	0.20	0.004	0.000	0.000		5.500	0.04
Annual TPY @ 8760 hrs/yr					40.77		46.43		8.93		0.02		0.000			13.46

Basis:

SCFM = Waste Gas Flow based on normal flows of vents and compressor seals shown above
 Natural Gas Purge flow rate = 91.2 scfm
 Pilot gas flow based on plant design 1.06 scfm/pilot 24 pilots 25.49 scfm

Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) = Max Flow (SCFM) * LHV (Btu/scf) * 60 (min/hr) / 1,000,000
 CO Emissions (tpy) = Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) * CO Emission Factor (lb/MMBtu) * 8760 (hrs/yr) / 2000 (lbs/ton)
 Nox Emissions (tpy) = Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) * NOx Emission Factor (lb/MMBtu) * 8760 (hrs/yr) / 2000 (lbs/ton)
 SO₂ Emissions - Waste Gas (tpy) = Sum of Sulfur Containing Flow Streams (lb/hr) * SO₂ Emission Factor (ppm) / 1,000,000 * (2 lb SO₂/lb S) * 8760 (hrs/yr) / 2000 (lbs/ton)
 SO₂ Emissions - Fuel Gas (tpy) = Max Flow (SCFM) * 60 (min/hr) * SO₂ Emission Factor (gr/100 scf) * 0.0001429 (lb/gr) * (2lb SO₂/lb S) * 8760 (hrs/yr) / 2000 (lbs/ton)
 PM Emissions (tpy) = Max Flow (SCFM) * 60 (min/hr) * PM Emission Factor (lb/MMscf) / 1,000,000 * 8760 (hrs/yr) / 2000 (lbs/ton)
 VOC Emissions - Waste Gas (tpy) = Sum of Max VOC Flow from Vent/Compressor Fugitives
 VOC Emissions - Fuel Gas (tpy) = Max Flow (SCFM) * 60 (min/hr) * VOC Emission Factor (lb/MMscf) / 1,000,000 * 8760 (hrs/yr) / 2000 (lbs/ton)

EPN: OC2F5961
 Pressure-Assisted Flare, GF-596, Continued

Speciated Emissions - Vent/Compressor Seal Emissions

Maximum Hourly, lb/hr	VOC DRE (%)	C-201B		C-321		C-651		C-601		D115 Depad		HC Vents		Total lb/hr	Total VOC (continuous vents) lb/hr	Total VOC (intermittent vents) lb/hr
		wt%	lb/hr	wt%	lb/hr	wt%	lb/hr	wt%	lb/hr	wt%	lb/hr	wt%	lb/hr			
Maximum Waste Gas Load, lb/hr			47.58		47.58		48.67		50.90		520.75		1197.22			
Hydrogen	99.5	0.21%	0.00	0.21%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	1.32%	0.08	0.08		
Nitrogen	-	93.07%	44.28	93.07%	44.28	90.97%	44.28	87.00%	44.28	1.10%	5.73	16.89%	202.21	385.05		
Carbon Monoxide	99.5	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00		
Methane	99.5	0.63%	0.00	0.63%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	86.30%	2.25	47.29%	2.83	5.08		
Ethylene	99.5	3.78%	0.01	3.78%	0.01	9.03%	0.02	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	14.94%	0.89	0.93	0.93	0.00
Ethanol	99.5	2.31%	0.01	2.31%	0.01	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	4.20%	0.11	5.17%	0.31	0.43		
Carbon Dioxide	-	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	3.90%	20.31	1.66%	19.87	40.18		
Acetylene	99.5	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hydrogen Sulfide	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00		
Propylene	99.5	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	13.00%	0.03	0.00%	-	3.18%	0.19	0.22	0.22	0.00
Propane	99.5	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	1.20%	0.03	1.73%	0.10	0.13	0.10	0.03
Isobutane	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.09%	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00
Isobutene	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.27%	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.00
N-Butene	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.37%	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.00
1,3-Butadiene	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	1.41%	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.00
N-Butane	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.50%	0.05	0.29%	0.07	0.12	0.07	0.05
Isopentane	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
N-Pentene	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	2.03%	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.00
Normal Pentane	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Methanol	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	2.03%	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.00
Methyl -Cyclopentane	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.91%	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.00
Benzene	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Water	-	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.41%	4.91	4.91		
Dimethyl Disulfide	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	2.80%	0.29	0.00%	-	0.29	0.00	0.29
Total														439.14	3.03	0.37

Max Annual, tpy	VOC DRE (%)	C-201B		C-321		C-651		C-601		D115 Depad		HC Vents		Total tpy	(continuous vents) tpy	(intermittent vents) tpy
		wt%	tpy	wt%	tpy	wt%	tpy	wt%	tpy	wt%	tpy	wt%	tpy			
Maximum Waste Gas Load, lb/hr			47.58		47.58		48.67		50.90		520.75		1197.22			
Hours/yr		8760		8760		8760		8760		23		8760				
Hydrogen	99.5	0.21%	0.00	0.21%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	1.32%	0.35	0.35		
Nitrogen	-	93.07%	193.94	93.07%	193.94	90.97%	193.93	87.00%	193.95	1.10%	0.07	16.89%	885.68	1661.50		
Carbon Monoxide	99.5	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00		
Methane	99.5	0.63%	0.01	0.63%	0.01	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	86.30%	0.03	47.29%	12.40	12.44		
Ethylene	99.5	3.78%	0.04	3.78%	0.04	9.03%	0.10	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	14.94%	3.92	4.09	4.09	0.00
Ethanol	99.5	2.31%	0.02	2.31%	0.02	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	4.20%	0.00	5.17%	1.36	1.40		
Carbon Dioxide	-	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	3.90%	0.23	1.66%	87.05	87.28		
Acetylene	99.5	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hydrogen Sulfide	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00		
Propylene	99.5	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	13.00%	0.14	0.00%	-	3.18%	0.83	0.98	0.98	0.00
Propane	99.5	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	1.20%	0.000359	1.73%	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.00
Isobutane	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.09%	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.00
Isobutene	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.27%	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.00
N-Butene	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.37%	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.00
1,3-Butadiene	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	1.41%	1.48	1.48	1.48	0.00
N-Butane	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.50%	0.000599	0.29%	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.00
Isopentane	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
N-Pentene	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	2.03%	2.13	2.13	2.13	0.00
Normal Pentane	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Methanol	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	2.03%	2.13	2.13	2.13	0.00
Methyl -Cyclopentane	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.91%	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.00
Benzene	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Water	-	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	0.41%	21.50	21.50		
Dimethyl Disulfide	98	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	2.80%	0.00	0.00%	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total														1797.77	13.29	0.01

EPN: OC2F5961

Pressure-Assisted Flare, GF-596, Continued

Example Calculations:

$$\text{Speciated Emissions (lbs/hr)} = \text{Stream Weight \%} * \text{Flowrate (lb/hr)} * \text{DRE (\%)}$$

$$\text{lbs/hr (Ethylene)} = 3.78\% * 47.58 \text{ lb/hr} * (1 - 99.5\%)$$

$$\text{lbs/hr (Ethylene)} = 0.01$$

$$\text{Speciated Emissions (tpy)} = \text{Stream Weight \%} * \text{Flowrate (lb/hr)} * \text{DRE (\%)} * 8760 \text{ hrs/yr} / 2000 \text{ lb/ton}$$

$$\text{tpy (Ethylene)} = 3.78\% * 47.58 \text{ lb/hr} * (1 - 99.5\%) * 8760 \text{ hrs} / 2000 \text{ lb/ton}$$

$$\text{tpy (Ethylene)} = 0.04$$

Emissions Summary:

Component	Emissions	
	(lb/hr)	(tpy)
CO	10.60	46.43
NOx	2.04	8.93
SO2	0.10	0.02
PM	0.00	0.00
VOC	3.45	13.46

Attachment 3

LHC-9 Stream Analysis

(updated to show the continuous and intermittent routine vents stream average carbon content and average molecular weight)

Ground Flare Waste Gas (Routine Vents)

Component		Wt %	Component MW (lb/lbmol)	Moles	Mol %	Atoms Carbon	Stream MW (lb/lbmol)	Carbon Content (lb/lb fuel)
Hydrogen	H ₂	0.84	2.02	0.41	7.97	0	0.16	0.00
Nitrogen	N ₂	20.13	28.01	0.72	13.84	0	3.88	0.00
Carbon Monoxide	CO	0.00	28.01	0.00	0.00	1	0.00	0.43
Methane	CH ₄	53.13	16.04	3.31	63.76	1	10.23	0.75
Ethylene	C ₂ H ₄	9.77	28.05	0.35	6.70	2	1.88	0.86
Ethanol	C ₂ H ₆	4.49	30.07	0.15	2.88	2	0.87	0.80
Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	2.10	44.01	0.05	0.92	1	0.40	0.27
Acetylene	C ₂ H ₂	0.00	26.04	0.00	0.00	2	0.00	0.92
Hydrogen Sulfide	H ₂ S	0.00	34.08	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
Propylene	C ₃ H ₆	2.34	42.08	0.06	1.07	3	0.45	0.86
Propane	C ₃ H ₈	1.41	44.10	0.03	0.62	3	0.27	0.82
Isobutane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.06	58.12	0.00	0.02	4	0.01	0.83
Isobutene	C ₄ H ₈	0.17	56.11	0.00	0.06	4	0.03	0.86
N-Butene	C ₄ H ₈	0.23	56.11	0.00	0.08	4	0.04	0.86
1,3-Butadiene	C ₄ H ₆	0.88	54.09	0.02	0.31	4	0.17	0.89
N-Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.32	58.12	0.01	0.11	4	0.06	0.83
Isopentane	C ₅ H ₁₂	0.00	72.15	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.83
N-Pentene	C ₅ H ₁₀	1.27	70.13	0.02	0.35	5	0.24	0.86
Normal Pentane	C ₅ H ₁₂	0.00	72.15	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.83
Methanol	CH ₄ O	1.27	32.04	0.04	0.76	1	0.24	0.37
Methyl -Cyclopentane	C ₆ H ₁₂	0.57	84.16	0.01	0.13	6	0.11	0.86
Benzene	C ₆ H ₆	0.00	78.11	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.92
Water	H ₂ O	0.26	18.02	0.01	0.27	0	0.05	0.00
Dimethyl Disulfide	C ₂ H ₆ S ₂	0.76	94.20	0.01	0.16	2	0.15	0.25
		100.00		5.2	100.0			
MW Carbon		12.01	lb/lbmol					

Average MW of Ground Flare Waste Gas = 19.2
 Average Carbon Content of Ground Flare Waste Gas = 0.59

Ground Flare Waste Gas (Continuous Routine Vents)

Component		Wt %	Component MW (lb/lbmol)	Moles	Mol %	Atoms Carbon	Stream MW (lb/lbmol)	Carbon Content (lb/lb fuel)
Hydrogen	H ₂	1.15	2.02	0.57	11.38	0	0.23	0.00
Nitrogen	N ₂	27.26	28.01	0.97	19.46	0	5.45	0.00
Carbon Monoxide	CO	0.00	28.01	0.00	0.00	1	0.00	0.43
Methane	CH ₄	40.72	16.04	2.54	50.76	1	8.14	0.75
Ethylene	C ₂ H ₄	13.42	28.05	0.48	9.57	2	2.68	0.86
Ethanol	C ₂ H ₆	4.60	30.07	0.15	3.06	2	0.92	0.80
Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	1.43	44.01	0.03	0.65	1	0.29	0.27
Acetylene	C ₂ H ₂	0.00	26.04	0.00	0.00	2	0.00	0.92
Hydrogen Sulfide	H ₂ S	0.00	34.08	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
Propylene	C ₃ H ₆	3.21	42.08	0.08	1.53	3	0.64	0.86
Propane	C ₃ H ₈	1.49	44.10	0.03	0.67	3	0.30	0.82
Isobutane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.08	58.12	0.00	0.03	4	0.02	0.83
Isobutene	C ₄ H ₈	0.23	56.11	0.00	0.08	4	0.05	0.86
N-Butene	C ₄ H ₈	0.32	56.11	0.01	0.11	4	0.06	0.86
1,3-Butadiene	C ₄ H ₆	1.21	54.09	0.02	0.45	4	0.24	0.89
N-Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.25	58.12	0.00	0.09	4	0.05	0.83
Isopentane	C ₅ H ₁₂	0.00	72.15	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.83
N-Pentene	C ₅ H ₁₀	1.75	70.13	0.02	0.50	5	0.35	0.86
Normal Pentane	C ₅ H ₁₂	0.00	72.15	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.83
Methanol	CH ₄ O	1.75	32.04	0.05	1.09	1	0.35	0.37
Methyl -Cyclopentane	C ₆ H ₁₂	0.78	84.16	0.01	0.19	6	0.16	0.86
Benzene	C ₆ H ₆	0.00	78.11	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.92
Water	H ₂ O	0.35	18.02	0.02	0.39	0	0.07	0.00
Dimethyl Disulfide	C ₂ H ₆ S ₂	0.00	94.20	0.00	0.00	2	0.00	0.25
		100.00		5.0	100.0			
MW Carbon		12.01	lb/lbmol					

Average MW of Ground Flare Waste Gas = 19.995
 Average Carbon Content of Ground Flare Waste Gas = 0.55

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Ground Flare Waste Gas Intermittent Routine Vents)

Component		Wt %	Component MW (lb/lbmol)	Moles	Mol %	Atoms Carbon	Stream MW (lb/lbmol)	Carbon Content (lb/lb fuel)
Hydrogen	H ₂	0.00	2.02	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
Nitrogen	N ₂	1.10	28.01	0.04	0.69	0	0.19	0.00
Carbon Monoxide	CO	0.00	28.01	0.00	0.00	1	0.00	0.43
Methane	CH ₄	86.30	16.04	5.38	94.17	1	15.10	0.75
Ethylene	C ₂ H ₄	0.00	28.05	0.00	0.00	2	0.00	0.86
Ethanol	C ₂ H ₆	4.20	30.07	0.14	2.44	2	0.74	0.80
Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	3.90	44.01	0.09	1.55	1	0.68	0.27
Acetylene	C ₂ H ₂	0.00	26.04	0.00	0.00	2	0.00	0.92
Hydrogen Sulfide	H ₂ S	0.00	34.08	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
Propylene	C ₃ H ₆	0.00	42.08	0.00	0.00	3	0.00	0.86
Propane	C ₃ H ₈	1.20	44.10	0.03	0.48	3	0.21	0.82
Isobutane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.00	58.12	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.83
Isobutene	C ₄ H ₈	0.00	56.11	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.86
N-Butene	C ₄ H ₈	0.00	56.11	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.86
1,3-Butadiene	C ₄ H ₆	0.00	54.09	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.89
N-Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.50	58.12	0.01	0.15	4	0.09	0.83
Isopentane	C ₅ H ₁₂	0.00	72.15	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.83
N-Pentene	C ₅ H ₁₀	0.00	70.13	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.86
Normal Pentane	C ₅ H ₁₂	0.00	72.15	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.83
Methanol	CH ₄ O	0.00	32.04	0.00	0.00	1	0.00	0.37
Methyl -Cyclopentane	C ₆ H ₁₂	0.00	84.16	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.86
Benzene	C ₆ H ₆	0.00	78.11	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.92
Water	H ₂ O	0.00	18.02	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
Dimethyl Disulfide	C ₂ H ₆ S ₂	2.80	94.20	0.03	0.52	2	0.49	0.25
		100.00		5.7	100.0			
MW Carbon		12.01	lb/lbmol					

Average MW of Ground Flare Waste Gas = 17.5
 Average Carbon Content of Ground Flare Waste Gas = 0.71

Example Calculation (Carbon Dioxide Component):

Carbon Content = (Component MW (lb/lbmol) * Atoms Carbon) / MW Carbon (lb/lbmol)
 Carbon Content = (126.24 (lb/lbmol) * 9 (Atoms C)) / 12.01 (lb/lbmol)
 Carbon Content = 0.86

Attachment 4

GHG Calculations for multipoint ground flare
(current permit representations)

EPN: OC2F5961
 FIN: OC2L9F596
 Greenhouse Gas Emissions - Pressure-Assisted Flare, GF-596

EPN	FIN	Description	Average Heat Input ¹ (MMBtu/yr)	Average Fuel Gas Flow ² (MMscf/yr)	Fuel Type	Fuel Carbon Content ³ (kg C/kg Gas)	Fuel MW ³ (kg/kg-mol)	Emission Factors ⁴ (kg/MMBtu)		Molar Volume Conversion Factor @ 68F (scf/kg-mol)	Global Warming Potential ⁵ (100 yr)			Annual Emissions (ton/yr)			
								CH ₄	N ₂ O		CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	CO ₂ ⁶	CH ₄ ⁷	N ₂ O ⁷	CO ₂ e
OC2F5961	OC2L9F596	Pressure-Assisted Flare, GF-596	62,555	61.33	Natural Gas Pilots	0.72	17.2	1.00E-03	1.00E-04	849.5	1	25	298	3,646	0.07	0.01	3,650
			300,603	324.09	Routine Vents	0.59	19.2	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					17,554	0.99	0.20	17,639
			35,940	35.91	Startup Stream #1	0.76	18.83	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					2,451	0.12	0.02	2,461
			51,013	50.22	Startup Stream #2	0.79	18.04	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					3,422	0.17	0.03	3,437
			6,248	16.51	Startup Stream #3	0.47	4.46	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					163.58	0.02	0.00	165.33
			86,528	56.90	Startup Stream #4	0.83	28.81	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					6,503	0.29	0.06	6,527
			8,418	8.40	Shutdown Stream #1	0.76	18.83	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					574	0.03	0.01	576
			24,272	15.03	Shutdown Stream #2	0.84	30.77	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,847	0.08	0.02	1,854
			16,765	13.94	Shutdown Stream #3	0.81	22.11	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,188	0.06	0.01	1,192
			6,478	19.25	Shutdown Stream #4	0.19	24.02	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					425	0.02	0.00	427
			10,949	15.01	Maintenance Stream #1	0.76	18.83	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,025	0.04	0.01	1,028
			11,424	15.66	Maintenance Stream #2	0.79	18.04	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,067	0.04	0.01	1,071
			46,259	63.43	Maintenance Stream #3	0.47	4.46	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					629	0.15	0.03	641
			7,155	9.81	Maintenance Stream #4	0.83	28.81	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,121	0.02	0.00	1,123
			7,350	10.08	Maintenance Stream #5	0.86	28.05	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,152	0.02	0.00	1,154
			4,863	6.67	Maintenance Stream #6	0.85	42.39	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,143	0.02	0.00	1,144
			Total:													43,910	2.13

Notes:

- Based on the annual average heat input (MMBtu/hr) * 8,760 hr/yr
 For Natural Gas:
 $MMBtu/yr = 7.14 * 8,760 \text{ hr/yr}$
 $MMBtu/yr = 62,555$
- Based on the annual average fuel gas rate (scfm) * 60 * 8,760 hr/yr / 1,000,000
 For Natural Gas:
 $MMscf/yr = 116.68 * 60 \text{ min/hr} * 8,760 \text{ hr/yr} / 1,000,000$
 $MMscf/yr = 61.33$
- For Fuel Carbon Content and MW data, see LHC-9 Stream Analysis.
- Factors for ethylene production processes designated in Table C-2 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C.
- Global Warming Potential from Table A-1 to Subpart A of Part 98.
- CO₂ emissions calculated in accordance with Tier 3 Calculation Methodology; Equation C-5 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C.
- CH₄ and N₂O emissions calculated in accordance with Equation C-8 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C.

Example Calculations (From Natural Gas)

CO₂ Emissions:

$$tpy = MW \text{ CO}_2 \text{ (lb/lbmol)} / MW \text{ CO (lb/lbmol)} * \text{Avg. Fuel Flow (MMscf/yr)} * 1,000,000 * \text{Fuel Carbon Content (kg C/kg Gas)} * (\text{MW of Fuel (lb/lbmol)} / \text{Molar Volume Conversion Factor}) * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$tpy = (44/12) * 61 \text{ (MMscf/yr)} * 1,000,000 * 0.72 \text{ (kg C/kg Gas)} * (17.2 \text{ (lb/lbmol)} / 849.5 \text{ (scf/kg-mol)}) * 2.20463 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$tpy = 3,646$$

CH₄ Emissions:

$$tpy = \text{Avg. Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)} * \text{CH}_4 \text{ Emission Factor (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$tpy = 62,555 \text{ (MMBtu/yr)} * 0.001 \text{ (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$tpy = 0.07$$

N₂O Emissions:

$$tpy = \text{Avg. Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)} * \text{N}_2\text{O Emission Factor (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$tpy = 62,555 \text{ (MMBtu/yr)} * 0.0001 \text{ (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$tpy = 0.01$$

CO₂e Emissions:

$$tpy = (\text{CO}_2 \text{ Emissions (tpy)} * \text{CO}_2 \text{ Global Warming Potential}) + (\text{CH}_4 \text{ Emissions (tpy)} * \text{CH}_4 \text{ Global Warming Potential}) + (\text{N}_2\text{O Emissions (tpy)} * \text{N}_2\text{O Global Warming Potential})$$

$$tpy = (3,646 \text{ (tpy)} * 1) + (0.07 \text{ (tpy)} * 25) + (0.01 \text{ (tpy)} * 298)$$

$$tpy = 3,650$$

Attachment 5

GHG Calculations for multipoint ground flare

(for FGR Analysis only – reflects the separation of the routine vent stream into continuous and intermittent streams)

EPN: OC2F5961
 FIN: OC2L9F596
 Greenhouse Gas Emissions - Pressure-Assisted Flare, GF-596

EPN	FIN	Description	Average Heat Input ¹ (MMBtu/yr)	Average Fuel Gas Flow ² (MMscf/yr)	Fuel Type	Fuel Carbon Content ³ (kg C/kg Gas)	Fuel MW ³ (kg/kg-mol)	Emission Factors ⁴ (kg/MMBtu)		Molar Volume Conversion Factor @ 68F (scf/kg-mol)	Global Warming Potential ⁵ (100 yr)			Annual Emissions (ton/yr)			
								CH ₄	N ₂ O		CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	CO ₂ ⁶	CH ₄ ⁷	N ₂ O ⁷	CO ₂ e
OC2F5961	OC2L9F596	Pressure-Assisted Flare, GF-596	48,889	47.93	Natural Gas Pilots	0.72	17.2	1.00E-03	1.00E-04	849.5	1	25	298	2,849	0.05	0.01	2,852
			13,666	13.40	Natural Gas Flare Header Purge	0.72	17.2	1.00E-03	1.00E-04					796	0.02	0.00	797
			203,299	225.67	Routine vents, continuous	0.55	20.0	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					11,734	0.67	0.13	11,791
			91,284	98.42	Routine vents, intermittent	0.71	17.5	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					5,831	0.30	0.06	5,856
			35,940	35.91	Startup Stream #1	0.76	18.83	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					2,451	0.12	0.02	2,461
			51,013	50.22	Startup Stream #2	0.79	18.04	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					3,422	0.17	0.03	3,437
			6,248	16.51	Startup Stream #3	0.47	4.46	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					163.58	0.02	0.00	163.33
			86,528	56.90	Startup Stream #4	0.83	28.81	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					6,503	0.29	0.06	6,527
			8,418	8.40	Shutdown Stream #1	0.76	18.83	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					574	0.03	0.01	576
			24,272	15.03	Shutdown Stream #2	0.84	30.77	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,847	0.08	0.02	1,854
			16,765	13.94	Shutdown Stream #3	0.81	22.11	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,188	0.06	0.01	1,192
			6,478	19.25	Shutdown Stream #4	0.19	24.02	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					425	0.02	0.00	427
			10,949	15.01	Maintenance Stream #1	0.76	18.83	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,025	0.04	0.01	1,028
			11,424	15.66	Maintenance Stream #2	0.79	18.04	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,067	0.04	0.01	1,071
			46,259	63.43	Maintenance Stream #3	0.47	4.46	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					629	0.15	0.03	641
			7,155	9.81	Maintenance Stream #4	0.83	28.81	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,121	0.02	0.00	1,123
			7,350	10.08	Maintenance Stream #5	0.86	28.05	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,152	0.02	0.00	1,154
			4,863	6.67	Maintenance Stream #6	0.85	42.39	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,143	0.02	0.00	1,144
Total:													43,921	2.11	0.42	44,097	

Notes:

- Based on the annual average heat input (MMBtu/hr) * 8,760 hr/yr
 For Natural Gas:
 MMBtu/yr = 7.14 * 8,760 hr/yr
 MMBtu/yr = 48,889
- Based on the annual average fuel gas rate (scfm) * 60 * 8,760 hr/yr / 1,000,000
 For Natural Gas:
 MMscf/yr = 116.68 * 60 min/hr * 8,760 hr/yr / 1,000,000
 MMscf/yr = 47.93
- For Fuel Carbon Content and MW data, see LHC-9 Stream Analysis.
- Factors for ethylene production processes designated in Table C-2 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C.
- Global Warming Potential from Table A-1 to Subpart A of Part 98.
- CO₂ emissions calculated in accordance with Tier 3 Calculation Methodology; Equation C-5 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C.
- CH₄ and N₂O emissions calculated in accordance with Equation C-8 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C.

Example Calculations (From Natural Gas)

CO₂ Emissions:

$$\text{tpy} = \text{MW CO}_2 \text{ (lb/lbmol)} / \text{MW CO (lb/lbmol)} * \text{Avg. Fuel Flow (MMscf/yr)} * 1,000,000 * \text{Fuel Carbon Content (kg C/kg Gas)} * (\text{MW of Fuel (lb/lbmol)} / \text{Molar Volume Conversion Factor}) * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$\text{tpy} = (44/12) * 48 \text{ (MMscf/yr)} * 1,000,000 * 0.72 \text{ (kg C/kg Gas)} * (17.2 \text{ (lb/lbmol)} / 849.5 \text{ (scf/kg-mol)}) * 2.20463 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$\text{tpy} = 2,849$$

CH₄ Emissions:

$$\text{tpy} = \text{Avg. Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)} * \text{CH}_4 \text{ Emission Factor (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$\text{tpy} = 48,889 \text{ (MMBtu/yr)} * 0.001 \text{ (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$\text{tpy} = 0.05$$

N₂O Emissions:

$$\text{tpy} = \text{Avg. Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)} * \text{N}_2\text{O Emission Factor (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$\text{tpy} = 48,889 \text{ (MMBtu/yr)} * 0.0001 \text{ (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$\text{tpy} = 0.01$$

CO₂e Emissions:

$$\text{tpy} = (\text{CO}_2 \text{ Emissions (tpy)} * \text{CO}_2 \text{ Global Warming Potential}) + (\text{CH}_4 \text{ Emissions (tpy)} * \text{CH}_4 \text{ Global Warming Potential}) + (\text{N}_2\text{O Emissions (tpy)} * \text{N}_2\text{O Global Warming Potential})$$

$$\text{tpy} = (2,849 \text{ (tpy)} * 1) + (0.05 \text{ (tpy)} * 25) + (0.01 \text{ (tpy)} * 298)$$

$$\text{tpy} = 2,852$$

	tons, CO ₂ e
NG purge	797
Routine Cont. vents	11,791
Routine Int. vents	5,856
NG Pilots	2,852
MSS	22,800
Total, w/o FGR	44,097

NG purge, 30%	239
Routine Cont. vents, 30%	3537
Routine Int. vents	5,856
NG pilots	2,852
MSS	22,800
Total w/FGR	35,285

Difference **8,812**



The Dow Chemical Company
2301 N. Brazosport Blvd.
Freeport, Texas 77541
USA

December 19, 2013

Certified Mail 7013 2250 0001 2961 4607
Return Receipt Requested

Mr. Brad Toups
U.S. EPA Region 6, 6PD
1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200
Dallas, TX 75202-2733

RE: The Dow Chemical Company
PSD Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Permit Application
Additional Information for the Ethylene Production Facility (LHC-9)

Dear Mr. Toups,

Enclosed please find an updated BACT analysis for the flare system for the proposed Light Hydrocarbon 9 production unit. The attached Section 4.4 is being presented to replace the previously submitted Section 4.4. We have also updated the emission calculations to reflect the recent change in global warming potential for methane and nitrous oxide. The updated emission calculations and associated summary tables are also attached.

Should you have any questions regarding this information, I can be contacted at (979) 238-5832 or via e-mail at clsteves@dow.com.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Steves
Environmental Manager, The Dow Chemical Company

Enclosures/cls

Cc via Email: Ms. Cindy Rodriguez The Dow Chemical Company
Ms. Mary Schwartz The Dow Chemical Company



**THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY
DOW TEXAS OPERATIONS – FREEPORT**

PREVENTION OF SIGNIFICANT DETERIORATION

GREENHOUSE GAS

PERMIT APPLICATION

FOR

ETHYLENE PRODUCTION FACILITY (LHC-9)

December 19, 2013

4.4 BACT FOR FLARE SYSTEM

The small elevated flare is designed to control fugitive emissions from process compressor seals. There is also a continuous N₂ and natural gas purge to maintain header velocity and heating value. The pressure-assisted flare manages excess off-gas from LHC-9 operations. This is a necessary pressure control mechanism to address changes in off-gas consumption by other consumer plants at the site. Fuel line purging to safely isolate LHC-9 cracking furnaces burners is routinely flared for OC2L9HH1 – OC2L9HH8. Additionally, there is a continuous natural gas purge to the flare to maintain header velocity. The flare's pilots are fueled by low-carbon pipeline natural gas and are in operation 8,760 hours per year. Both flares will be subject to TCEQ HRVOC and Federal 40 CFR 60.18 requirements.

4.4.1 Step 1 – Identify Available Control Technologies

A search of the RBLC database did not identify any GHG control technologies for control devices such as the small elevated or pressure-assisted flares, particularly since the flares themselves are considered add-on control units. However, to expedite this permit issuance process, Dow considered the following technologies as potential GHG control measures for the flares at the LHC-9 facility:

1. Good plant design to minimize flaring
2. Use of low-carbon assist gas
3. Good flare design and operation
4. Carbon Capture and Storage
5. Flare Gas Recovery

4.4.1.1 Good plant design to minimize flaring

The current plant design incorporates minimum-flaring attributes such as recovery of low flow vent streams and off-spec recycle to minimize material that would otherwise be routed to the flare. It is inherent in Dow's plant design to re-use as much of the hydrocarbons as possible within the plant that would otherwise be routed to a flare. The only routine materials that will go to the flare are vent streams that cannot be recycled to the process for safety or other technical reasons. These streams include compressor seal vents and minor leaks from relief valves which are variable and unpredictable in flow and composition

4.4.1.2 Low-Carbon Assist Gas

The use of natural gas as assist gas is the lowest-carbon fuel available for the proposed project. Dow proposes to use natural gas for the flares' pilot gas and as supplemental fuel, if needed, to maintain the appropriate vent stream heating value as required by applicable air quality regulations.

4.4.1.3 Good Flare Design and Operation

Good operating and maintenance practices for flares include appropriate maintenance of equipment (such as periodic flare tip maintenance) and operating within the recommended heating value and flare tip velocity as specified by its design. The use of good operating and maintenance practices results in longer life of the equipment and more efficient operation. Therefore, such practices indirectly reduce GHG emissions by supporting operation as designed by the flare manufacturer. Good flare design includes pilot flame monitoring, flow measurement, and monitoring/control of waste gas heating value.

4.4.1.4 Carbon Capture and Storage

The primary source of GHG emissions from a flare is the result of combustion of the hydrocarbon-containing gas stream in the flare. CCS requires separation of CO₂ from the flare exhaust, compression of the CO₂, and transportation to an injection/storage location.

4.4.1.5 Flare Gas Recovery

Flare gas recovery (FGR) would be sized to recover the continuous expected vent streams to the flare not currently recovered and recycled internally, such as small leakage rates across compressor seals and minor leaks from closed vent control and pressure relief valves. These streams cannot be recycled to the process for safety and technical reasons as follows:

- Process safety concern includes the potential for a relief episode that would disrupt the compositions to the flare gas recovery stream, resulting in a process upset that would subsequently result in increased flaring to manage the event. A relief episode has unpredictable flow and composition.
- Technical concerns associated with the periodic maintenance activities that require purging of nitrogen through equipment to clear it – this surge in nitrogen would have significant impact to the flare gas recovery system by adding significant amounts of nitrogen and changing the composition and overall heat value of the recovered flare gas stream, rendering this type of stream unsuitable for FGR. This abrupt change could also trigger a plant upset, resulting in significant flaring to manage the event.

4.4.2 Step 2 – Eliminate Technically Infeasible Options

4.4.2.1 Good Plant Design to Minimize Flaring

Good plant design that recovers and recycles materials to minimize flaring is considered technically feasible.

4.4.2.2 Low-Carbon Assist Gas

Use of low-carbon assist gas is considered technically feasible.

4.4.2.3 Good Flare Design and Operation

Use of good flare design and operation is considered technically feasible.

4.4.2.4 Carbon Capture and Storage

The primary source of GHG emissions from a flare is the result of combustion of the hydrocarbon-containing gas stream in the flare. Flare exhaust cannot be captured for CO₂ separation unless the flare device is enclosed, which poses a safety hazard for a flare system designed for an ethylene production facility. Post-combustion capture is not a feasible control technique for flare exhaust, therefore CCS is considered a technically infeasible option and is not considered further in this BACT analysis.

4.4.2.5 Flare Gas Recovery

FGR is used in refineries; it is not commonly used in olefins production. There is a significant process risk associated with recovering and recycling the vents from compressor seals, emergency pressure relief valves, pressure vent control valves, and purge nitrogen in that these systems are in place to manage maintenance and episodic events safely. Waste gases routed to the flare from these sources are not suited for recovery into a fuel gas system because they can contain maintenance, startup, shutdown, and emergency relief streams that vary greatly in composition and flow. Routing these streams to the fuel system can impact the overall stability of the entire process unit. Operation of these cracking furnaces is significantly more sensitive to changes in fuel quality than some processes like boilers or heat recovery units. Dow believes installing an FGR system for these vents is technically infeasible, however because FGR systems are existing technology and have been installed in certain petrochemical applications this technology will be included for further evaluation.

4.4.3 Step 3 – Rank According to Effectiveness

Use of good plant design to minimize flaring, low-carbon assist gas, good flare design and operation, and flare gas recovery are being proposed for this project. These techniques are ranked as follows:

1. Good plant design to minimize flaring is ranked first. This technique is a source reduction approach as various routine, stable vent streams are collected and routed back to the process for recovery. This the most effective manner in which to inherently recover valuable product from the plant vent system as well as minimize the vents that are flared. Implementation of this minimum-flaring attribute significantly reduces the quantity of materials that are flared on a routine basis.
2. Low-carbon assist gas is ranked next – the low carbon assist gas is natural gas, which is primarily methane.
3. Good flare design and operation is ranked third. This attribute does not involve extensive capital cost or annual operating costs; examples of good flare design and operation include pilot flare monitoring, control of flare exit velocity, and maintaining a minimum heating value for the flared waste stream.
4. FGR is ranked last as it will require significant capital investment, has a relatively high emission control cost, has a high process risk element, and will have minimal impact on overall GHG emissions from the project.

4.4.4 Step 4 – Evaluate the Most Effective Controls

Use of good plant design to minimize flaring, low-carbon assist gas, and good flare design and operation are being incorporated as control measures therefore an evaluation of the energy, environmental, and economic impacts of the proposed measures is not necessary for this application.

An economic feasibility analysis was completed for a FGR system. Dow has developed an estimate based on design criteria for the proposed LHC-9 project as actual vent flows and compositions are not available. The FGR cost estimate is based on a potential reduction of 8,638 tons/yr of CO₂ (1.2% of the total GHG emissions) that would be generated when flaring the material in lieu of recovering and using as a furnace fuel. The estimated cost per ton of CO₂e reduced is \$148/ton making an FGR economically infeasible.

Due to the plant design to minimize flaring and the variability in the composition and flow to the FGR system, Dow has determined that an FGR system is not technically feasible.

Figure 4.4.4 Flare Gas Recovery Unit Cost Analysis

Source Name: GF-596

Pollutant: CO2

Control Option: Flare Gas Recovery Unit

I.	DIRECT COSTS (DC)	COST \$	COST BASIS
A.	Primary control device & ancillary equipment cost (A)	\$3,871,000	Estimated Cost = A
	Instrumentation	\$193,550	0.05 A
	Sales Tax	\$270,970	0.07 A
	Freight	\$193,550	0.05 A
	Subtotal Purchased Equipment Cost (B)	\$4,529,070	Estimated A*1.17 = B
B.	Direct Installation Costs		Cost Factors from <i>Aspen ICARUS</i>
	Foundation & Support	\$181,163	4% TDC
	Handling & Erection	\$45,291	1% TDC
	Electrical	\$90,581	2% TDC
	Piping	\$905,814	20% TDC
	Insulation	\$45,291	1% TDC
	Painting	\$158,517	3.5% TDC
	Total Direct Installation Costs	\$1,426,657	
C.	Site Preparation	\$50,000	
D.	Buildings	\$0	
E.	TOTAL DIRECT COSTS	\$6,005,727	
II.	INDIRECT COSTS(Installation, IC)		
A.	Final engineering design	\$997,000	10% TCI
B.	Construction expense, including permits, insurance, temporary facilities, and clean-up	\$452,907	10% Purchased Equipment
C.	Contractor's fee and overhead	\$452,907	10% Purchased Equipment
D.	Startup	\$45,291	1% Purchased Equipment
E.	Performance Tests	\$45,291	1% Purchased Equipment
F.	Contingency	\$1,996,000	20% TCI
G.	TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS	\$3,989,395	
III.	TOTAL CAPITAL INVESTMENT (TCI=DC+IC)		
A.	Sum of Total Direct and Indirect Costs	\$9,995,122	
B.	Retrofit Factor (Dependent upon system complexity)	0%	2% to 50% for retrofits on existing sources
C.	ADJUSTED TOTAL CAPITAL INVESTMENT	\$9,995,122	

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

ANNUAL OPERATING COSTS (AOC)		COST \$	COST BASIS
I.	DIRECT ANNUAL COSTS (DAC)		
A.	Labor		
	Operator (1 hr/shift, 3 shifts)	\$29,751	\$ 27.17
	Supervisory	\$4,463	15% of Operator Cost
	Maintenance (1.5% of purchased equipment)	\$67,936	\$ 27.97
B.	Maintenance Materials(set to zero, normally = mtc labor)		
C.	Operational Materials		
	Chemicals	0	
	Other (Carbon, Catalyst etc)		
	Value of any recovered material for sale or credit		
D.	Utilities		
	Natural Gas	-800,226	- 26.1 MM Btu/hr @ \$5/MMBtu
	Other Fuel		
	Electricity	\$173,448	330 kw @ \$0.06/kwh
	Other	\$0	
E.	TOTAL DIRECT ANNUAL COSTS	-\$524,628	
II.	INDIRECT ANNUAL COSTS (IAC)		
A.	Capital Recovery (CR=CRF*TCI)	\$1,338,781	
	Capital Recovery Factor	0.1339	Based on APR & Term below
	Annual Interest Rate	0.057	10 yr @ 5.7%;
	Investment Term (yr)	10	
B.	Labor Overhead	\$61,290	60% of Total Labor & Mtc
C.	Administration, Taxes & Insurance	\$399,805	4% of TCI
E.	TOTAL INDIRECT ANNUAL COSTS	\$1,799,886	
III	TOTAL ANNUAL OPERATING COSTS (AOC=DAC+IAC)	\$1,275,258	
	POLLUTANT CONTROL EFFECTIVENESS		
A.	Typical Emission Rate W/O FGRU	713,051.0	Tons/yr
B.	Emission Rate with FGRU	704,412.6	Tons/yr
C.	Total Emission Reduction	8,638.4	Tons/yr
	EMISSION CONTROL COST EFFECTIVENESS		
A.	Overall Cost Effectiveness (\$/Ton)	\$148	

4.4.5 Step 5 – Select BACT

Dow proposes to incorporate low-carbon assist gas and good flare design and operation discussed in Section 4.4.1 as BACT for controlling CO₂ emissions from the flares.

Table 4-7: Proposed Practices and MRR for Flare System

Practices	Monitoring*	Recordkeeping*	Reporting
Good flare design and operation	Continuous monitoring of waste gas heating value and flow rate	Continuous recording of waste gas heating value and flow rate	None
	Continuous monitoring of flare pilot flame	Continuous recording of pilot flame monitoring	None

* Continuous monitoring, continuous record and continuous recorder shall have the same definitions as in the Hazardous Organic NESHAP, 40 CFR 63.152(f) and 63.152(g).

Table 3-1 Proposed GHG Emission Limits

Date:	December 19, 2013	Permit No.:	TBD	RN Number:	100225945
Area Name:	LHC-9 Unit			CN Number:	600356976

AIR CONTAMINANT DATA				
1. EMISSION POINT			2. COMPONENT NAME	3. AIR CONTAMINANT EMISSION RATE
EPN (A)	FIN (B)	NAME (C)		TPY
OC2H121	OC2L9H121	Cracking Furnace, F-121	CO2	278,357
			CH4	5.19
			N2O	0.52
			CO2e	278,641
OC2H122	OC2L9H122	Cracking Furnace, F-122	CO2	278,357
			CH4	5.19
			N2O	0.52
			CO2e	278,641
OC2H123	OC2L9H123	Cracking Furnace, F-123	CO2	278,357
			CH4	5.19
			N2O	0.52
			CO2e	278,641
OC2H124	OC2L9H124	Cracking Furnace, F-124	CO2	278,357
			CH4	5.19
			N2O	0.52
			CO2e	278,641
OC2H125	OC2L9H125	Cracking Furnace, F-125	CO2	278,357
			CH4	5.19
			N2O	0.52
			CO2e	278,641
OC2H126	OC2L9H126	Cracking Furnace, F-126	CO2	301,855
			CH4	5.63
			N2O	0.56
			CO2e	302,164
OC2H127	OC2L9H127	Cracking Furnace, F-127	CO2	301,855
			CH4	5.63
			N2O	0.56
			CO2e	302,164
OC2H128	OC2L9H128	Cracking Furnace, F-128	CO2	301,855
			CH4	5.63
			N2O	0.56
			CO2e	302,164
OC2F597	OC2L9F597	Low Pressure Flare, FS-597	CO2	14,034
			CH4	0.22
			N2O	0.02
			CO2e	14,046
OC2F5961	OC2L9F596	Pressure-Assisted Flare, GF-596	CO2	43,910
			CH4	2.13
			N2O	0.42
			CO2e	44,089
OC2FU2	OC2L9FU2	Process Area Fugitives	CO2	0.02
			CH4	3.82
			CO2e	80.31
OC2GE1	OC2L9GE1	Backup Diesel Generator No. 1	CO2	16.04
			CH4	0.001
			N2O	0.0001
OC2GE2	OC2L9GE2	Backup Diesel Generator No. 2	CO2e	16.10
			CO2	16.04
			CH4	0.001
			N2O	0.0001
OC2TOX	OC2L9TOX	LHC-9 TOX	CO2e	16.10
			CO2	3,320.21
			CH4	0.06
			N2O	0.007
			CO2e	3,323.92

Total GHG Emissions	
Component	TPY
CO2	2,358,647
CH4	49.07
N2O	4.73
CO2e	2,361,269

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

**Table 4-11 GHG Emissions Summary
Annual Facility Emission Limits and BACT Selection
Permit Application for a New Facility - LHC-9 Unit**

EPN	FIN	Description	GHG Mass Basis Emission Rates		CO ₂ e Ton per Year	BACT Selection
			Pollutant	Ton per Year		
OC2H121	OC2L9H121	Cracking Furnace, F-121	CO ₂	278,357	278,641	Flue Gas Exit Temperature ≤ 330° F. Fuel for the furnace will have ≤ 0.74 lbs carbon per lb fuel (CC). Fuel rate not to exceed 598 MMBtu/hr. Annual output based limit of 1.1 lbs GHG/lbs of ethylene.
			CH ₄	5.19		
			N ₂ O	0.52		
OC2H122	OC2L9H122	Cracking Furnace, F-122	CO ₂	278,357	278,641	
			CH ₄	5.19		
			N ₂ O	0.52		
OC2H123	OC2L9H123	Cracking Furnace, F-123	CO ₂	278,357	278,641	
			CH ₄	5.19		
			N ₂ O	0.52		
OC2H124	OC2L9H124	Cracking Furnace, F-124	CO ₂	278,357	278,641	
			CH ₄	5.19		
			N ₂ O	0.52		
OC2H125	OC2L9H125	Cracking Furnace, F-125	CO ₂	278,357	278,641	
			CH ₄	5.19		
			N ₂ O	0.52		
OC2H126	OC2L9H126	Cracking Furnace, F-126	CO ₂	301,855	302,164	Flue Gas Exit Temperature ≤ 330° F. Fuel for the furnace will have ≤ 0.74 lbs carbon per lb fuel (CC). Fuel rate not to exceed 599 MMBtu/hr. Annual output based limit of 1.1 lbs GHG/lbs of ethylene.
			CH ₄	5.63		
			N ₂ O	0.56		
OC2H127	OC2L9H127	Cracking Furnace, F-127	CO ₂	301,855	302,164	
			CH ₄	5.63		
			N ₂ O	0.56		
OC2H128	OC2L9H128	Cracking Furnace, F-128	CO ₂	301,855	302,164	
			CH ₄	5.63		
			N ₂ O	0.56		
OC2F597	OC2L9F597	Low Pressure Flare, FS-597	CO ₂	14,034	14,046	Use of good combustion practices.
			CH ₄	0.22		
			N ₂ O	0.02		
OC2F5961	OC2L9F596	Pressure-Assisted Flare, GF-596	CO ₂	43,910	44,089	Use of good combustion practices.
			CH ₄	2.13		
			N ₂ O	0.42		
OC2FU2	OC2L9FU2	Process Area Fugitives	CO ₂	0.02	80.31	Use of 28VHP LDAR TCEQ program
			CH ₄	3.82		
OC2GE1	OC2L9GE1	Backup Diesel Generator No. 1	CO ₂	16.04	16.10	Use of good combustion practices.
			CH ₄	0.001		
			N ₂ O	0.0001		
OC2GE2	OC2L9GE2	Backup Diesel Generator No. 2	CO ₂	16.04	16.10	
			CH ₄	0.001		
			N ₂ O	0.0001		
OC2TOX	OC2L9TOX	LHC-9 TOX	CO ₂	3,320	3,324	Use of good combustion practices.
			CH ₄	0.06		
			N ₂ O	0.007		

Total GHG Emissions

Component	TPY
CO ₂	2,358,647
CH ₄	49.07
N ₂ O	4.73
CO ₂ e	2,361,269

EPN: OC2H121, OC2H122, OC2H123, OC2H124, OC2H125, OC2H126, OC2H127, OC2H128
 FIN: OC2L9H121, OC2L9H122, OC2L9H123, OC2L9H124, OC2L9H125, OC2L9H126, OC2L9H127, OC2L9H128
 Greenhouse Gas Emissions - Cracking Furnaces, F-121 - F-128

EPN	FIN	Description	Average Heat Input ¹ (MMBtu/yr)	Average Fuel Gas Flow ² (MMscf/yr)	Fuel Type	Fuel Carbon Content ³ (kg C/kg Gas)	Fuel MW ³ (kg/kg-mol)	Emission Factors ⁴ (kg/MMBtu)		Molar Volume Conversion Factor @ 68F (scf/kg-mol)	Global Warming Potential ⁵ (100 yr)			Annual Emissions (ton/yr)			
								CH ₄	N ₂ O		CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	CO ₂ ⁶	CH ₄ ⁷	N ₂ O ⁷	CO ₂ e
OC2H121	OC2L9H121	Cracking Furnace, F-121	4,708,266	4,682	Natural Gas	0.72	17.2	1.0E-03	1.0E-04	849.5	1	25	298	278,357	5.19	0.52	278,641
					Off Gas	0.50	4.9	3.0E-03	6.0E-04					53,819	15.57	3.11	55,136
					Max:			278,357	15.57					3.11	278,641		
OC2H122	OC2L9H122	Cracking Furnace, F-122	4,708,266	4,682	Natural Gas	0.72	17.2	1.0E-03	1.0E-04	849.5	1	25	298	278,357	5.19	0.52	278,641
					Off Gas	0.50	4.9	3.0E-03	6.0E-04					53,819	15.57	3.11	55,136
					Max:			278,357	15.57					3.11	278,641		
OC2H123	OC2L9H123	Cracking Furnace, F-123	4,708,266	4,682	Natural Gas	0.72	17.2	1.0E-03	1.0E-04	849.5	1	25	298	278,357	5.19	0.52	278,641
					Off Gas	0.50	4.9	3.0E-03	6.0E-04					53,819	15.57	3.11	55,136
					Max:			278,357	15.57					3.11	278,641		
OC2H124	OC2L9H124	Cracking Furnace, F-124	4,708,266	4,682	Natural Gas	0.72	17.2	1.0E-03	1.0E-04	849.5	1	25	298	278,357	5.19	0.52	278,641
					Off Gas	0.50	4.9	3.0E-03	6.0E-04					53,819	15.57	3.11	55,136
					Max:			278,357	15.57					3.11	278,641		
OC2H125	OC2L9H125	Cracking Furnace, F-125	4,708,266	4,682	Natural Gas	0.72	17.2	1.0E-03	1.0E-04	849.5	1	25	298	278,357	5.19	0.52	278,641
					Off Gas	0.50	4.9	3.0E-03	6.0E-04					53,819	15.57	3.11	55,136
					Max:			278,357	15.57					3.11	278,641		
OC2H126	OC2L9H126	Cracking Furnace, F-126	5,105,726	5,077	Natural Gas	0.72	17.2	1.0E-03	1.0E-04	849.5	1	25	298	301,855	5.63	0.56	302,164
					Off Gas	0.50	4.9	3.0E-03	6.0E-04					58,362	16.88	3.38	59,790
					Max:			301,855	16.88					3.38	302,164		
OC2H127	OC2L9H127	Cracking Furnace, F-127	5,105,726	5,077	Natural Gas	0.72	17.2	1.0E-03	1.0E-04	849.5	1	25	298	301,855	5.63	0.56	302,164
					Off Gas	0.50	4.9	3.0E-03	6.0E-04					58,362	16.88	3.38	59,790
					Max:			301,855	16.88					3.38	302,164		
OC2H128	OC2L9H128	Cracking Furnace, F-128	5,105,726	5,077	Natural Gas	0.72	17.2	1.0E-03	1.0E-04	849.5	1	25	298	301,855	5.63	0.56	302,164
					Off Gas	0.50	4.9	3.0E-03	6.0E-04					58,362	16.88	3.38	59,790
					Max:			301,855	16.88					3.38	302,164		
Total:													2,297,351	128	26	2,299,698	

Notes:

- Based on the annual average heat input (MMBtu/hr) * 8,760 hr/yr
 For Natural Gas:
 $MMBtu/yr = 537.47 * 8,760 \text{ hr/yr}$
 $MMBtu/yr = 4,708,266$
- Based on the annual average fuel gas rate (Mscf/hr) * 8,760 hr/yr / 1,000
 For Natural Gas:
 $MMscf/yr = 534.49 * 8,760 \text{ hr/yr} / 1,000$
 $MMscf/yr = 4,682$
- For Fuel Carbon Content and MW data, see LHC-9 Stream Analysis.
- Factors for ethylene production processes designated in Table C-2 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C.
- Global Warming Potential from Table A-1 to Subpart A of Part 98.
- CO₂ emissions calculated in accordance with Tier 3 Calculation Methodology; Equation C-5 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C.
- CH₄ and N₂O emissions calculated in accordance with Equation C-8 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C.

Example Calculations (From Natural Gas)

CO₂ Emissions:

$$tpy = MW \text{ CO}_2 \text{ (lb/lbmol)} / MW \text{ CO (lb/lbmol)} * \text{Avg. Fuel Flow (MMscf/yr)} * 1,000,000 * \text{Fuel Carbon Content (kg C/kg Gas)} * (\text{MW of Fuel (lb/lbmol)} / \text{Molar Volume Conversion Factor}) * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$tpy = (44/12) * 4,682 \text{ (MMscf/yr)} * 1,000,000 * 0.72 \text{ (kg C/kg Gas)} * (17.2 \text{ (lb/lbmol)} / 849.5 \text{ (scf/kg-mol)}) * 2.20463 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$tpy = 278,357$$

CH₄ Emissions:

$$tpy = \text{Avg. Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)} * \text{CH}_4 \text{ Emission Factor (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$tpy = 4,708,266 \text{ (MMBtu/yr)} * 0.001 \text{ (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$tpy = 5.19$$

N₂O Emissions:

$$tpy = \text{Avg. Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)} * \text{N}_2\text{O Emission Factor (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$tpy = 4,708,266 \text{ (MMBtu/yr)} * 0.0001 \text{ (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$tpy = 0.52$$

CO₂e Emissions:

$$tpy = (\text{CO}_2 \text{ Emissions (tpy)} * \text{CO}_2 \text{ Global Warming Potential}) + (\text{CH}_4 \text{ Emissions (tpy)} * \text{CH}_4 \text{ Global Warming Potential}) + (\text{N}_2\text{O Emissions (tpy)} * \text{N}_2\text{O Global Warming Potential})$$

$$tpy = (278,357 \text{ (tpy)} * 1) + (5.19 \text{ (tpy)} * 25) + (0.52 \text{ (tpy)} * 298)$$

$$tpy = 278,641$$

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

EPN: OC2F597
 FIN: OC2L9F597
 Greenhouse Gas Emissions - Low Pressure Flare, FS-597

EPN	FIN	Description	Average Heat Input ¹ (MMBtu/yr)	Average Fuel Gas Flow ² (MMscf/yr)	Fuel Type	Fuel Carbon Content ³ (kg C/kg Gas)	Fuel MW ³ (kg/kg-mol)	Emission Factors ⁴ (kg/MMBtu)		Molar Volume Conversion Factor @ 68F (scf/kg-mol)	Global Warming Potential ⁵ (100 yr)			Annual Emissions (ton/yr)			
								CH ₄	N ₂ O		CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	CO ₂ ⁶	CH ₄ ⁷	N ₂ O ⁷	CO ₂ e
OC2F597	OC2L9F597	Low Pressure Flare, FS-597	197,855	232	Natural Gas Pilots and Purge	0.72	17.2	1.00E-03	1.00E-04	849.5	1	25	298	13,795	0.22	0.02	13,807
			1,908	274	Routine Vents	0.01	27.7	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					239	0.01	0.00	239
Total:													14,034	0.22	0.02	14,046	

Notes:

- Based on the annual average heat input (MMBtu/hr) * 8,760 hr/yr
 For Natural Gas:
 MMBtu/yr = 22.59 * 8,760 hr/yr
 MMBtu/yr = 197,855
- Based on the annual average fuel gas rate (scfm) * 60 * 8,760 hr/yr / 1,000,000
 For Natural Gas:
 MMscf/yr = 441.49 * 60 min/hr * 8,760 hr/yr / 1,000,000
 MMscf/yr = 232.05
- For Fuel Carbon Content and MW data, see LHC-9 Stream Analysis.
- Factors for ethylene production processes designated in Table C-2 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C .
- Global Warming Potential from Table A-1 to Subpart A of Part 98.
- CO₂ emissions calculated in accordance with Tier 3 Calculation Methodology; Equation C-5 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C.
- CH₄ and N₂O emissions calculated in accordance with Equation C-8 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C.

Example Calculations (From Natural Gas)

CO₂ Emissions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tpy} &= \text{MW CO}_2 \text{ (lb/lbmol)} / \text{MW CO (lb/lbmol)} * \text{Avg. Fuel Flow (MMscf/yr)} * 1,000,000 * \text{Fuel Carbon Content (kg C/kg Gas)} * (\text{MW of Fuel (lb/lbmol)} / \text{Molar Volume Conversion Factor}) * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)} \\ \text{tpy} &= (44/12) * 232.05 \text{ (MMscf/yr)} * 1,000,000 * 0.72 \text{ (kg C/kg Gas)} * (17.2 \text{ (lb/lbmol)} / 849.5 \text{ (scf/kg-mol)}) * 2.20463 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)} \\ \text{tpy} &= 13,795 \end{aligned}$$

CH₄ Emissions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tpy} &= \text{Avg. Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)} * \text{CH}_4 \text{ Emission Factor (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)} \\ \text{tpy} &= 197,855 \text{ (MMBtu/yr)} * 0.001 \text{ (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)} \\ \text{tpy} &= 0.22 \end{aligned}$$

N₂O Emissions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tpy} &= \text{Avg. Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)} * \text{N}_2\text{O Emission Factor (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)} \\ \text{tpy} &= 197,855 \text{ (MMBtu/yr)} * 0.0001 \text{ (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)} \\ \text{tpy} &= 0.02 \end{aligned}$$

CO₂e Emissions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tpy} &= (\text{CO}_2 \text{ Emissions (tpy)} * \text{CO}_2 \text{ Global Warming Potential}) + (\text{CH}_4 \text{ Emissions (tpy)} * \text{CH}_4 \text{ Global Warming Potential}) + (\text{N}_2\text{O Emissions (tpy)} * \text{N}_2\text{O Global Warming Potential}) \\ \text{tpy} &= (13,795 \text{ (tpy)} * 1) + (0.22 \text{ (tpy)} * 25) + (0.02 \text{ (tpy)} * 298) \\ \text{tpy} &= 13,807 \end{aligned}$$

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

EPN: OC2F5961
 FIN: OC2L9F596
 Greenhouse Gas Emissions - Pressure-Assisted Flare, GF-596

EPN	FIN	Description	Average Heat Input ¹ (MMBtu/yr)	Average Fuel Gas Flow ² (MMscf/yr)	Fuel Type	Fuel Carbon Content ³ (kg C/kg Gas)	Fuel MW ³ (kg/kg-mol)	Emission Factors ⁴ (kg/MMBtu)		Molar Volume Conversion Factor @ 68F (scf/kg-mol)	Global Warming Potential ⁵ (100 yr)			Annual Emissions (ton/yr)			
								CH ₄	N ₂ O		CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	CO ₂ ⁶	CH ₄ ⁷	N ₂ O ⁷	CO ₂ e
OC2F5961	OC2L9F596	Pressure-Assisted Flare, GF-596	62,555	61.33	Natural Gas Pilots and Purge	0.72	17.2	1.00E-03	1.00E-04	849.5	1	25	298	3,646	0.07	0.01	3,650
			300,603	324.09	Routine Vents	0.59	19.25	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					17,554	0.99	0.20	17,639
			35,940	35.91	Startup Stream #1	0.76	18.83	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					2,451	0.12	0.02	2,461
			51,013	50.22	Startup Stream #2	0.79	18.04	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					3,422	0.17	0.03	3,437
			6,248	16.51	Startup Stream #3	0.47	4.46	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					163.58	0.02	0.00	165.33
			86,528	56.90	Startup Stream #4	0.83	28.81	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					6,503	0.29	0.06	6,527
			8,418	8.40	Shutdown Stream #1	0.76	18.83	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					574	0.03	0.01	576
			24,272	15.03	Shutdown Stream #2	0.84	30.77	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,847	0.08	0.02	1,854
			16,765	13.94	Shutdown Stream #3	0.81	22.11	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,188	0.06	0.01	1,192
			6,478	19.25	Shutdown Stream #4	0.19	24.02	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					425	0.02	0.00	427
			10,949	15.01	Maintenance Stream #1	0.76	18.83	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,025	0.04	0.01	1,028
			11,424	15.66	Maintenance Stream #2	0.79	18.04	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,067	0.04	0.01	1,071
			46,259	63.43	Maintenance Stream #3	0.47	4.46	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					629	0.15	0.03	641
			7,155	9.81	Maintenance Stream #4	0.83	28.81	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,121	0.02	0.00	1,123
			7,350	10.08	Maintenance Stream #5	0.86	28.05	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,152	0.02	0.00	1,154
			4,863	6.67	Maintenance Stream #6	0.85	42.39	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					1,143	0.02	0.00	1,144
			Total:													43,910	2.13

Notes:

- Based on the annual average heat input (MMBtu/hr) * 8,760 hr/yr
 For Natural Gas:
 MMBtu/yr = 7.14 * 8,760 hr/yr
 MMBtu/yr = 62,555
- Based on the annual average fuel gas rate (scfm) * 60 * 8,760 hr/yr / 1,000,000
 For Natural Gas:
 MMscf/yr = 116.68 * 60 min/hr * 8,760 hr/yr / 1,000,000
 MMscf/yr = 61.33
- For Fuel Carbon Content and MW data, see LHC-9 Stream Analysis.
- Factors for ethylene production processes designated in Table C-2 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C.
- Global Warming Potential from Table A-1 to Subpart A of Part 98.
- CO₂ emissions calculated in accordance with Tier 3 Calculation Methodology; Equation C-5 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C.
- CH₄ and N₂O emissions calculated in accordance with Equation C-8 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C.

Example Calculations (From Natural Gas)

CO₂ Emissions:

$$\text{tpy} = \text{MW CO}_2 \text{ (lb/lbmol)} / \text{MW CO (lb/lbmol)} * \text{Avg. Fuel Flow (MMscf/yr)} * 1,000,000 * \text{Fuel Carbon Content (kg C/kg Gas)} * (\text{MW of Fuel (lb/lbmol)} / \text{Molar Volume Conversion Factor}) * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$\text{tpy} = (44/12) * 61 \text{ (MMscf/yr)} * 1,000,000 * 0.72 \text{ (kg C/kg Gas)} * (17.2 \text{ (lb/lbmol)} / 849.5 \text{ (scf/kg-mol)}) * 2.20463 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$\text{tpy} = 3,646$$

CH₄ Emissions:

$$\text{tpy} = \text{Avg. Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)} * \text{CH}_4 \text{ Emission Factor (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$\text{tpy} = 62,555 \text{ (MMBtu/yr)} * 0.001 \text{ (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$\text{tpy} = 0.07$$

N₂O Emissions:

$$\text{tpy} = \text{Avg. Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)} * \text{N}_2\text{O Emission Factor (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$\text{tpy} = 62,555 \text{ (MMBtu/yr)} * 0.0001 \text{ (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$\text{tpy} = 0.01$$

CO₂e Emissions:

$$\text{tpy} = (\text{CO}_2 \text{ Emissions (tpy)} * \text{CO}_2 \text{ Global Warming Potential}) + (\text{CH}_4 \text{ Emissions (tpy)} * \text{CH}_4 \text{ Global Warming Potential}) + (\text{N}_2\text{O Emissions (tpy)} * \text{N}_2\text{O Global Warming Potential})$$

$$\text{tpy} = (3,646 \text{ (tpy)} * 1) + (0.07 \text{ (tpy)} * 25) + (0.01 \text{ (tpy)} * 298)$$

$$\text{tpy} = 3,650$$

EPN: OC2TOX
 FIN: OC2L9TOX
 Greenhouse Gas Emissions - LHC9 TOX

EPN	FIN	Description	Average Heat Input ¹ (MMBtu/yr)	Average Fuel Gas Flow ² (MMscf/yr)	Fuel Type	Fuel Carbon Content ³ (kg C/kg Gas)	Fuel MW ³ (kg/kg-mol)	Emission Factors ⁴ (kg/MMBtu)		Molar Volume Conversion Factor @ 68F (scf/kg-mol)	Global Warming Potential ⁵ (100 yr)			Annual Emissions (ton/yr)			
								CH ₄	N ₂ O		CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	CO ₂ ⁶	CH ₄ ⁷	N ₂ O ⁷	CO ₂ e
OC2TOX	OC2L9TOX	LHC-9 TOX	52,560	52	Natural Gas	0.72	17.2	1.00E-03	1.00E-04	849.5	1	25	298	3,082	0.06	0.01	3,085
			1,908	274	Routine Vents	0.01	27.7	3.00E-03	6.00E-04					239	0.01	0.00	239
Total:													3,320	0.06	0.01	3,324	

Notes:

- Based on the annual average heat input (MMBtu/hr) * 8,760 hr/yr
 For Natural Gas:
 $MMBtu/yr = 6.00 * 8,760 \text{ hr/yr}$
 $MMBtu/yr = 52,560$
- Based on the annual average fuel gas rate (Mscf/hr) * 8,760 hr/yr / 1,000
 For Natural Gas:
 $MMscf/yr = 5.92 * 8,760 \text{ hr/yr} / 1,000$
 $MMscf/yr = 52$
- For Fuel Carbon Content and MW data, see LHC-9 Stream Analysis.
- Factors for ethylene production processes designated in Table C-2 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C .
- Global Warming Potential from Table A-1 to Subpart A of Part 98.
- CO₂ emissions calculated in accordance with Tier 3 Calculation Methodology; Equation C-5 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C.
- CH₄ and N₂O emissions calculated in accordance with Equation C-8 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C.

Example Calculations (From Natural Gas)

CO₂ Emissions:

$$tpy = MW \text{ CO}_2 \text{ (lb/lbmol)} / MW \text{ CO (lb/lbmol)} * \text{Avg. Fuel Flow (MMscf/yr)} * 1,000,000 * \text{Fuel Carbon Content (kg C/kg Gas)} * (\text{MW of Fuel (lb/lbmol)} / \text{Molar Volume Conversion Factor}) * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$tpy = (44/12) * 52 \text{ (MMscf/yr)} * 1,000,000 * 0.72 \text{ (kg C/kg Gas)} * (17.2 \text{ (lb/lbmol)} / 849.5 \text{ (scf/kg-mol)}) * 2.20463 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$tpy = 3,082$$

CH₄ Emissions:

$$tpy = \text{Avg. Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)} * \text{CH}_4 \text{ Emission Factor (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$tpy = 52,560 \text{ (MMBtu/yr)} * 0.001 \text{ (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$tpy = 0.06$$

N₂O Emissions:

$$tpy = \text{Avg. Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)} * \text{N}_2\text{O Emission Factor (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$tpy = 52,560 \text{ (MMBtu/yr)} * 0.0001 \text{ (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.20462 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)}$$

$$tpy = 0.01$$

CO₂e Emissions:

$$tpy = (\text{CO}_2 \text{ Emissions (tpy)} * \text{CO}_2 \text{ Global Warming Potential}) + (\text{CH}_4 \text{ Emissions (tpy)} * \text{CH}_4 \text{ Global Warming Potential}) + (\text{N}_2\text{O Emissions (tpy)} * \text{N}_2\text{O Global Warming Potential})$$

$$tpy = (3,082 \text{ (tpy)} * 1) + (0.06 \text{ (tpy)} * 25) + (0.01 \text{ (tpy)} * 298)$$

$$tpy = 3,085$$

EPN: OC2GE1 and OC2GE2

FIN: OC2L9GE1 and OC2L9GE2

Greenhouse Gas Emissions - Backup Diesel Generator No. 1 and No. 2

EPN	FIN	Description	Average Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)	Average Fuel Gas Flow (MMscf/yr)	Fuel Type	Emission Factors ¹ (kg/MMBtu)			Global Warming Potential ² (100 yr)			Annual Emissions (ton/yr)			
						CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	CO ₂ ³	CH ₄ ³	N ₂ O ³	CO ₂ e
OC2GE1	OC2L9GE1	Backup Diesel Generator No. 1	197	N/A	Diesel	73.96	3.00E-03	6.00E-04	1	25	298	16.04	0.001	0.0001	16.10
					Total :						16.04	0.001	0.0001	16.10	
OC2GE2	OC2L9GE2	Backup Diesel Generator No. 2	197	N/A	Diesel	73.96	3.00E-03	6.00E-04	1	25	298	16.04	0.001	0.0001	16.10
					Total :						16.04	0.001	0.0001	16.10	

Notes:

1. Factors for CO₂ designated in Table C-1 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C, factors for CH₄ and N₂O designated in Table C-2 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C .
2. Global Warming Potential from Table A-1 to Subpart A of Part 98.
3. CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O emissions calculated in accordance with Equation C-8 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart C.

Example Calculations (From Natural Gas)

CO₂ Emissions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tpy} &= \text{Avg. Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)} * \text{CO}_2 \text{ Emission Factor (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.204 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)} \\ \text{tpy} &= 197 \text{ (MMBtu/yr)} * 73.960 \text{ (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.204 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)} \\ \text{tpy} &= 16.04 \end{aligned}$$

CH₄ Emissions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tpy} &= \text{Avg. Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)} * \text{CH}_4 \text{ Emission Factor (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.204 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)} \\ \text{tpy} &= 197 \text{ (MMBtu/yr)} * 0.003 \text{ (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.204 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)} \\ \text{tpy} &= 0.001 \end{aligned}$$

N₂O Emissions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tpy} &= \text{Avg. Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)} * \text{N}_2\text{O Emission Factor (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.204 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)} \\ \text{tpy} &= 197 \text{ (MMBtu/yr)} * 0.0006 \text{ (kg/MMBtu)} * 2.204 \text{ (lb/kg)} / 2,000 \text{ (lb/ton)} \\ \text{tpy} &= 0.0001 \end{aligned}$$

CO₂e Emissions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tpy} &= (\text{CO}_2 \text{ Emissions (tpy)} * \text{CO}_2 \text{ Global Warming Potential}) + (\text{CH}_4 \text{ Emissions (tpy)} * \text{CH}_4 \text{ Global Warming Potential}) + (\text{N}_2\text{O Emissions (tpy)} * \text{N}_2\text{O Global Warming Potential}) \\ \text{tpy} &= (16.04 \text{ (tpy)} * 1) + (0.001 \text{ (tpy)} * 25) + (0.0001 \text{ (tpy)} * 298) \\ \text{tpy} &= 16.10 \end{aligned}$$

LHC-9 Stream Analysis

Natural Gas

Component		Wt %	Component MW (lb/lbmol)	Moles	Mol %	Atoms Carbon	Stream MW (lb/lbmol)	Carbon Content (lb/lb fuel)
Hydrogen	H ₂	0.00	2.02	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
Nitrogen	N ₂	1.14	28.01	0.04	0.70	0	0.20	0.00
Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	4.09	44.01	0.09	1.60	1	0.70	0.27
Carbon Monoxide	CO	0.00	28.01	0.00	0.00	1	0.00	0.43
Methane	CH ₄	87.77	16.04	5.47	94.32	1	15.13	0.75
Ethylene	C ₂ H ₄	0.00	28.05	0.00	0.00	2	0.00	0.86
Ethane	C ₂ H ₆	4.36	30.07	0.15	2.50	2	0.75	0.80
Propane	C ₃ H ₈	1.36	44.10	0.03	0.53	3	0.23	0.82
Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.74	58.12	0.01	0.22	4	0.13	0.83
Pentane	C ₅ H ₁₂	0.54	72.15	0.01	0.13	5	0.09	0.83
		100.0		5.8	100.0			

MW Carbon	12.01	lb/lbmol
-----------	-------	----------

Average MW of Natural Gas = 17.2
 Average Carbon Content of Natural Gas = 0.72

Off Gas

Component		Wt %	Component MW (lb/lbmol)	Moles	Mol %	Atoms Carbon	Stream MW (lb/lbmol)	Carbon Content (lb/lb fuel)
Hydrogen	H ₂	33.22	2.02	16.47	80.14	0	1.62	0.00
Nitrogen	N ₂	0.15	28.01	0.01	0.03	0	0.01	0.00
Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	0.55	44.01	0.01	0.06	1	0.03	0.27
Carbon Monoxide	CO	0.29	28.01	0.01	0.05	1	0.01	0.43
Methane	CH ₄	64.26	16.04	4.01	19.50	1	3.13	0.75
Ethylene	C ₂ H ₄	0.55	28.05	0.02	0.10	2	0.03	0.86
Ethane	C ₂ H ₆	0.61	30.07	0.02	0.10	2	0.03	0.80
Propane	C ₃ H ₈	0.18	44.10	0.00	0.02	3	0.01	0.82
Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.10	58.12	0.00	0.01	4	0.00	0.83
Pentane	C ₅ H ₁₂	0.07	72.15	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.83
		100.0		20.6	100.0			

MW Carbon	12.01	lb/lbmol
-----------	-------	----------

Average MW of Off Gas = 4.9
 Average Carbon Content of Off Gas = 0.50

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Startup Stream #2/Maintenance Stream #2

Component		Wt %	Component MW (lb/lbmol)	Moles	Mol %	Atoms Carbon	Stream MW (lb/lbmol)	Carbon Content (lb/lb fuel)
Water	H ₂ O	0.00	18.02	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	0.00	44.01	0.00	0.00	1	0.00	0.27
Carbon Monoxide	CO	0.04	28.01	0.00	0.02	1	0.01	0.43
Hydrogen	H ₂	4.10	2.02	2.03	36.60	0	0.74	0.00
Methane	CH ₄	6.68	16.04	0.42	7.51	1	1.20	0.75
Acetylene	C ₂ H ₂	0.37	26.04	0.01	0.26	2	0.07	0.92
Ethylene	C ₂ H ₄	53.50	28.05	1.91	34.42	2	9.65	0.86
Ethane	C ₂ H ₆	35.32	30.07	1.17	21.19	2	6.37	0.80
Methyl Acetylene	C ₃ H ₄	0.00	40.07	0.00	0.00	3	0.00	0.90
Propadiene	C ₃ H ₅	0.00	40.07	0.00	0.00	3	0.00	0.90
Proylene	C ₃ H ₈	0.00	42.08	0.00	0.00	3	0.00	0.86
Propane	C ₃ H ₈	0.00	44.10	0.00	0.00	3	0.00	0.82
Vinyl Acetylene	C ₄ H ₄	0.00	52.08	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.92
1,3-Butadiene	C ₄ H ₆	0.00	54.09	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.89
1-Butene	C ₄ H ₈	0.00	56.11	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.86
Iso-Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.00	58.12	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.83
n-Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.00	58.12	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.83
1-Pentene	C ₅ H ₁₂	0.00	67.10	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.89
Benzene	C ₆ H ₆	0.00	78.12	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.92
Toluene	C ₇ H ₈	0.00	92.14	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.91
Styrene	C ₈ H ₈	0.00	104.15	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.92
Ethyl Benzene	C ₈ H ₁₀	0.00	106.17	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.90
M-Xylene	C ₈ H ₁₀	0.00	106.17	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.90
C6 Nonaro	C6 Nonaro	0.00	81.79	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.88
C7 Nonaro	C7 Nonaro	0.00	94.54	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.89
C8 Nonaro	C8 Nonaro	0.00	110.20	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.87
C9 Aro	C9 Aro	0.00	118.19	0.00	0.00	9	0.00	0.91
C9 Nonaro	C9 Nonaro	0.00	127.29	0.00	0.00	9	0.00	0.85
C10s	C10s	0.00	128.58	0.00	0.00	10	0.00	0.93
		100.0		5.5	100.0			

MW Carbon	12.01	lb/lbmol
-----------	-------	----------

Average MW of Startup Stream #2/Maintenance Stream #2 = 18.0
 Average Carbon Content of Startup Stream #2/Maintenance Stream #2 = 0.79

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Startup Stream #3/Maintenance Stream #3

Component		Wt %	Component MW (lb/lbmol)	Moles	Mol %	Atoms Carbon	Stream MW (lb/lbmol)	Carbon Content (lb/lb fuel)
Water	H ₂ O	0.00	18.02	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	0.00	44.01	0.00	0.00	1	0.00	0.27
Carbon Monoxide	CO	0.34	28.01	0.01	0.05	1	0.02	0.43
Hydrogen	H ₂	37.51	2.02	18.57	82.75	0	1.67	0.00
Methane	CH ₄	61.57	16.04	3.84	17.10	1	2.74	0.75
Acetylene	C ₂ H ₂	0.00	26.04	0.00	0.00	2	0.00	0.92
Ethylene	C ₂ H ₄	0.57	28.05	0.02	0.09	2	0.03	0.86
Ethane	C ₂ H ₆	0.01	30.07	0.00	0.00	2	0.00	0.80
Methyl Acetylene	C ₃ H ₄	0.00	40.07	0.00	0.00	3	0.00	0.90
Propadiene	C ₃ H ₅	0.00	40.07	0.00	0.00	3	0.00	0.90
Proylene	C ₃ H ₈	0.00	42.08	0.00	0.00	3	0.00	0.86
Propane	C ₃ H ₈	0.00	44.10	0.00	0.00	3	0.00	0.82
Vinyl Acetylene	C ₄ H ₄	0.00	52.08	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.92
1,3-Butadiene	C ₄ H ₆	0.00	54.09	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.89
1-Butene	C ₄ H ₈	0.00	56.11	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.86
Iso-Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.00	58.12	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.83
n-Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.00	58.12	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.83
1-Pentene	C ₅ H ₁₂	0.00	67.10	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.89
Benzene	C ₆ H ₆	0.00	78.12	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.92
Toluene	C ₇ H ₈	0.00	92.14	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.91
Styrene	C ₈ H ₈	0.00	104.15	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.92
Ethyl Benzene	C ₈ H ₁₀	0.00	106.17	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.90
M-Xylene	C ₈ H ₁₀	0.00	106.17	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.90
C6 Nonaro	C6 Nonaro	0.00	81.79	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.88
C7 Nonaro	C7 Nonaro	0.00	94.54	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.89
C8 Nonaro	C8 Nonaro	0.00	110.20	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.87
C9 Aro	C9 Aro	0.00	118.19	0.00	0.00	9	0.00	0.91
C9 Nonaro	C9 Nonaro	0.00	127.29	0.00	0.00	9	0.00	0.85
C10s	C10s	0.00	128.58	0.00	0.00	10	0.00	0.93
		100.0		22.4	100.0			

MW Carbon	12.01	lb/lbmol
-----------	-------	----------

Average MW of Startup Stream #3/Maintenance Stream #3 = 4.5
 Average Carbon Content of Startup Stream #3/Maintenance Stream #3 = 0.47

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Startup Stream #4/Maintenance Stream #4

Component		Wt %	Component MW (lb/lbmol)	Moles	Mol %	Atoms Carbon	Stream MW (lb/lbmol)	Carbon Content (lb/lb fuel)
Water	H ₂ O	0.00	18.02	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	0.00	44.01	0.00	0.00	1	0.00	0.27
Carbon Monoxide	CO	0.00	28.01	0.00	0.00	1	0.00	0.43
Hydrogen	H ₂	0.00	2.02	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
Methane	CH ₄	0.01	16.04	0.00	0.02	1	0.00	0.75
Acetylene	C ₂ H ₂	0.00	26.04	0.00	0.00	2	0.00	0.92
Ethylene	C ₂ H ₄	60.80	28.05	2.17	62.44	2	17.52	0.86
Ethane	C ₂ H ₆	39.16	30.07	1.30	37.52	2	11.28	0.80
Methyl Acetylene	C ₃ H ₄	0.00	40.07	0.00	0.00	3	0.00	0.90
Propadiene	C ₃ H ₅	0.00	40.07	0.00	0.00	3	0.00	0.90
Proylene	C ₃ H ₈	0.03	42.08	0.00	0.02	3	0.01	0.86
Propane	C ₃ H ₈	0.00	44.10	0.00	0.00	3	0.00	0.82
Vinyl Acetylene	C ₄ H ₄	0.00	52.08	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.92
1,3-Butadiene	C ₄ H ₆	0.00	54.09	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.89
1-Butene	C ₄ H ₈	0.00	56.11	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.86
Iso-Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.00	58.12	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.83
n-Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.00	58.12	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.83
1-Pentene	C ₅ H ₁₂	0.00	67.10	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.89
Benzene	C ₆ H ₆	0.00	78.12	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.92
Toluene	C ₇ H ₈	0.00	92.14	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.91
Styrene	C ₈ H ₈	0.00	104.15	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.92
Ethyl Benzene	C ₈ H ₁₀	0.00	106.17	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.90
M-Xylene	C ₈ H ₁₀	0.00	106.17	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.90
C6 Nonaro	C6 Nonaro	0.00	81.79	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.88
C7 Nonaro	C7 Nonaro	0.00	94.54	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.89
C8 Nonaro	C8 Nonaro	0.00	110.20	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.87
C9 Aro	C9 Aro	0.00	118.19	0.00	0.00	9	0.00	0.91
C9 Nonaro	C9 Nonaro	0.00	127.29	0.00	0.00	9	0.00	0.85
C10s	C10s	0.00	128.58	0.00	0.00	10	0.00	0.93
		100.0		3.5	100.0			

MW Carbon	12.01	lb/lbmol
-----------	-------	----------

Average MW of Startup Stream #4/Maintenance Stream #4 = 28.8
 Average Carbon Content of Startup Stream #4/Maintenance Stream #4 = 0.83

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Shutdown Stream #2

Component		Wt %	Component MW (lb/lbmol)	Moles	Mol %	Atoms Carbon	Stream MW (lb/lbmol)	Carbon Content (lb/lb fuel)
Water	H ₂ O	0.00	18.02	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	0.01	44.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.00	0.27
Carbon Monoxide	CO	0.00	28.01	0.00	0.00	1	0.00	0.43
Hydrogen	H ₂	0.19	2.02	0.10	2.94	0	0.06	0.00
Methane	CH ₄	0.57	16.04	0.04	1.10	1	0.18	0.75
Acetylene	C ₂ H ₂	0.02	26.04	0.00	0.02	2	0.01	0.92
Ethylene	C ₂ H ₄	51.63	28.05	1.84	56.64	2	15.89	0.86
Ethane	C ₂ H ₆	17.03	30.07	0.57	17.42	2	5.24	0.80
Methyl Acetylene	C ₃ H ₄	0.17	40.07	0.00	0.13	3	0.05	0.90
Propadiene	C ₃ H ₅	0.17	40.07	0.00	0.13	3	0.05	0.90
Proylene	C ₃ H ₈	17.03	42.08	0.40	12.46	3	5.24	0.86
Propane	C ₃ H ₈	12.97	44.10	0.29	9.05	3	3.99	0.82
Vinyl Acetylene	C ₄ H ₄	0.01	52.08	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.92
1,3-Butadiene	C ₄ H ₆	0.06	54.09	0.00	0.04	4	0.02	0.89
1-Butene	C ₄ H ₈	0.01	56.11	0.00	0.01	4	0.00	0.86
Iso-Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.00	58.12	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.83
n-Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.02	58.12	0.00	0.01	4	0.01	0.83
1-Pentene	C ₅ H ₁₂	0.05	67.10	0.00	0.02	5	0.01	0.89
Benzene	C ₆ H ₆	0.05	78.12	0.00	0.02	6	0.02	0.92
Toluene	C ₇ H ₈	0.00	92.14	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.91
Styrene	C ₈ H ₈	0.00	104.15	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.92
Ethyl Benzene	C ₈ H ₁₀	0.00	106.17	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.90
M-Xylene	C ₈ H ₁₀	0.00	106.17	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.90
C6 Nonaro	C6 Nonaro	0.00	81.79	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.88
C7 Nonaro	C7 Nonaro	0.00	94.54	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.89
C8 Nonaro	C8 Nonaro	0.00	110.20	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.87
C9 Aro	C9 Aro	0.00	118.19	0.00	0.00	9	0.00	0.91
C9 Nonaro	C9 Nonaro	0.00	127.29	0.00	0.00	9	0.00	0.85
C10s	C10s	0.00	128.58	0.00	0.00	10	0.00	0.93
C11s+	C11s+	0.00	249.58	0.00	0.00	11	0.00	0.53
		100.0		3.2	100.0			

MW Carbon	12.01	lb/lbmol
-----------	-------	----------

Average MW of Shtudown Stream #2 = 30.8
 Average Carbon Content of Shutdown Stream #2 = 0.84

Shutdown Stream #3

Component		Wt %	Component MW (lb/lbmol)	Moles	Mol %	Atoms Carbon	Stream MW (lb/lbmol)	Carbon Content (lb/lb fuel)
Water	H ₂ O	0.46	18.02	0.03	0.56	0	0.10	0.00
Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	0.02	44.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.00	0.27
Carbon Monoxide	CO	0.02	28.01	0.00	0.02	1	0.01	0.43
Hydrogen	H ₂	2.63	2.02	1.30	28.77	0	0.58	0.00
Methane	CH ₄	4.56	16.04	0.28	6.29	1	1.01	0.75
Acetylene	C ₂ H ₂	0.07	26.04	0.00	0.06	2	0.02	0.92
Ethylene	C ₂ H ₄	45.94	28.05	1.64	36.21	2	10.16	0.86
Ethane	C ₂ H ₆	19.88	30.07	0.66	14.62	2	4.40	0.80
Methyl Acetylene	C ₃ H ₄	0.14	40.07	0.00	0.08	3	0.03	0.90
Propadiene	C ₃ H ₅	0.15	40.07	0.00	0.08	3	0.03	0.90
Proylene	C ₃ H ₈	14.38	42.08	0.34	7.55	3	3.18	0.86
Propane	C ₃ H ₈	10.90	44.10	0.25	5.46	3	2.41	0.82
Vinyl Acetylene	C ₄ H ₄	0.01	52.08	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.92
1,3-Butadiene	C ₄ H ₆	0.25	54.09	0.00	0.10	4	0.06	0.89
1-Butene	C ₄ H ₈	0.04	56.11	0.00	0.02	4	0.01	0.86
Iso-Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.00	58.12	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.83
n-Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.06	58.12	0.00	0.02	4	0.01	0.83
1-Pentene	C ₅ H ₁₂	0.18	67.10	0.00	0.06	5	0.04	0.89
Benzene	C ₆ H ₆	0.25	78.12	0.00	0.07	6	0.05	0.92
Toluene	C ₇ H ₈	0.02	92.14	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.91
Styrene	C ₈ H ₈	0.01	104.15	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.92
Ethyl Benzene	C ₈ H ₁₀	0.00	106.17	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.90
M-Xylene	C ₈ H ₁₀	0.00	106.17	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.90
C6 Nonaro	C6 Nonaro	0.02	81.79	0.00	0.01	6	0.00	0.88
C7 Nonaro	C7 Nonaro	0.01	94.54	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.89
C8 Nonaro	C8 Nonaro	0.00	110.20	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.87
C9 Aro	C9 Aro	0.00	118.19	0.00	0.00	9	0.00	0.91
C9 Nonaro	C9 Nonaro	0.00	127.29	0.00	0.00	9	0.00	0.85
C10s	C10s	0.01	128.58	0.00	0.00	10	0.00	0.93
C11s+	C11s+	0.00	249.58	0.00	0.00	11	0.00	0.53
		100.0		4.5	100.0			

MW Carbon	12.01	lb/lbmol
-----------	-------	----------

Average MW of Shutdown Stream #3 = 22.1
 Average Carbon Content of Shutdown Stream #3 = 0.81

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Shutdown Stream #4

Component		Wt %	Component MW (lb/lbmol)	Moles	Mol %	Atoms Carbon	Stream MW (lb/lbmol)	Carbon Content (lb/lb fuel)
Nitrogen	N ₂	73.67	28.00	2.63	63.20	0	17.70	0.00
Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	1.10	44.01	0.02	0.60	1	0.26	0.27
Methane	CH ₄	23.30	16.04	1.45	34.89	1	5.60	0.75
Ethane	C ₂ H ₆	1.20	30.07	0.04	0.96	2	0.29	0.80
Propane	C ₃ H ₈	0.40	44.09	0.01	0.22	3	0.10	0.82
Pentane	C ₅ H ₁₂	0.14	72.15	0.00	0.05	5	0.03	0.83
Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.19	58.12	0.00	0.08	4	0.05	0.83
		100.0		4.2	100.0			
MW Carbon		12.01	lb/lbmol					

Average MW of Shutdown Stream #4 = 24.0
 Average Carbon Content of Shutdown Stream #4 = 0.19

Maintenance Stream #5

Component		Wt %	Component MW (lb/lbmol)	Moles	Mol %	Atoms Carbon	Stream MW (lb/lbmol)	Carbon Content (lb/lb fuel)
Hydrogen Sulfide	H ₂ S	0.00	34.08	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
Water	H ₂ O	0.00	18.02	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	0.01	44.01	0.00	0.01	1	0.00	0.27
Carbon Monoxide	CO	0.00	28.01	0.00	0.00	1	0.00	0.43
Hydrogen	H ₂	0.00	2.02	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
Methane	CH ₄	0.01	16.04	0.00	0.03	1	0.00	0.75
Acetylene	C ₂ H ₂	0.00	26.04	0.00	0.00	2	0.00	0.92
Ethylene	C ₂ H ₄	99.93	28.05	3.56	99.92	2	28.03	0.86
Ethane	C ₂ H ₆	0.05	30.07	0.00	0.05	2	0.01	0.80
Methyl Acetylene	C ₃ H ₄	0.00	40.07	0.00	0.00	3	0.00	0.90
Propadiene	C ₃ H ₅	0.00	40.07	0.00	0.00	3	0.00	0.90
Propylene	C ₃ H ₈	0.00	42.08	0.00	0.00	3	0.00	0.86
Propane	C ₃ H ₈	0.00	44.10	0.00	0.00	3	0.00	0.82
Vinyl Acetylene	C ₄ H ₄	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.00
1,3-Butadiene	C ₄ H ₆	0.00	54.09	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.89
1-Butene	C ₄ H ₈	0.00	56.11	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.86
iso-Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.00	58.12	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.83
n-Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.00	58.12	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.83
C5's(1-Pentene)	C ₅ H ₁₂	0.00	67.10	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.89
Benzene	C ₆ H ₆	0.00	78.12	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.92
Toluene	C ₇ H ₈	0.00	92.14	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.91
M-Xylene	C ₈ H ₁₀	0.00	106.17	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.90
Styrene	C ₈ H ₈	0.00	104.15	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.92
Ethylbenzene	C ₈ H ₁₀	0.00	106.17	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.90
C6 Nonaro	C6 Nonaro	0.00	81.79	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.88
C7 Nonaro	C7 Nonaro	0.00	94.54	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.89
C8 Nonaro	C8 Nonaro	0.00	110.20	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.87
C9 Aro	C9 Aro	0.00	118.19	0.00	0.00	9	0.00	0.91
C9 Nonaro	C9 Nonaro	0.00	127.29	0.00	0.00	9	0.00	0.85
C10s	C10s	0.00	128.58	0.00	0.00	10	0.00	0.93
C11+ (Fuel Oil)	C11+ (Fuel Oil)	0.00	249.58	0.00	0.00	11	0.00	0.53
		100.0		3.6	100.0			
MW Carbon		12.01	lb/lbmol					

Average MW of Maintenance Stream #5 = 28.1
 Average Carbon Content of Maintenance Stream #5 = 0.86

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

TOX/LP Flare Waste Gas (Routine Vents)

Component		Avg Wt %	Component MW (lb/lbmol)	Moles	Mol %	Atoms Carbon	Stream MW (lb/lbmol)	Carbon Content (lb/lb fuel)
Hydrogen	H ₂	0.01	2.02	0.00	0.13	0	0.00	0.00
Nitrogen	N ₂	93.81	28.01	3.35	92.87	0	26.01	0.00
Carbonmonoxide	CO	0.07	28.01	0.00	0.07	1	0.02	0.43
Methane	CH ₄	0.30	16.04	0.02	0.52	1	0.08	0.75
Ethylene	C ₂ H ₄	0.27	28.05	0.01	0.26	2	0.07	0.86
Ethane	C ₂ H ₆	0.11	30.07	0.00	0.10	2	0.03	0.80
Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	0.07	44.01	0.00	0.04	1	0.02	0.27
Acetylene	C ₂ H ₂	0.00	26.04	0.00	0.00	2	0.00	0.92
Hydrogen Sulfide	H ₂ S	0.00	34.08	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
Cyclopropane	C ₃ H ₆	0.00	42.08	0.00	0.00	3	0.00	0.86
Propane	C ₃ H ₈	0.00	44.10	0.00	0.00	3	0.00	0.82
Isobutane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.00	58.12	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.83
Sulfur Dioxide	SO ₂	0.00	64.06	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
Iso Butene	C ₄ H ₈	0.00	56.11	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.86
n- Butene	C ₄ H ₈	0.00	56.11	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.86
1,3 -Butadiene	C ₄ H ₆	0.01	54.09	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.89
Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.00	58.12	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.83
IsoPentane	C ₅ H ₁₂	0.00	72.15	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.83
N Pentene	C ₅ H ₁₀	0.00	70.13	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.86
n-Pentane	C ₅ H ₁₂	0.00	72.15	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.83
Methyl CycloPentane	C ₆ H ₁₂	0.00	84.16	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.86
Benzene	C ₆ H ₆	0.04	78.11	0.00	0.01	6	0.01	0.92
Water	H ₂ O	1.15	18.02	0.06	1.76	0	0.32	0.00
Toluene	C ₇ H ₈	0.00	92.14	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.91
Isopropylcyclohexane	C ₉ H ₁₈	0.00	126.24	0.00	0.00	9	0.00	0.86
Xylene	C ₈ H ₁₀	0.00	106.16	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.91
Styrene	C ₈ H ₈	0.00	104.15	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.92
3,3,5-Trimethylheptane	C ₁₀ H ₂₂	0.00	142.32	0.00	0.00	10	0.00	0.84
Ethyltol	C ₉ H ₁₂	0.00	120.19	0.00	0.00	9	0.00	0.90
Methanol	CH ₄ O	0.00	32.04	0.00	0.00	1	0.00	0.37
Oxygen	O ₂	3.24	32.00	0.10	2.80	0	0.90	0.00
Argon	Ar	0.91	18.00	0.05	1.40	0	0.25	0.00
Ammonia	NH ₃	0.00	17.03	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00

100.00 3.6 100.0

MW Carbon	12.01	lb/lbmol
-----------	-------	----------

Average MW of TOX/LP Flare Waste Gas = 27.7
 Average Carbon Content of TOX/LP Flare Waste Gas = 0.01

Ground Flare Waste Gas (Routine Vents)

Component		Wt %	Component MW (lb/lbmol)	Moles	Mol %	Atoms Carbon	Stream MW (lb/lbmol)	Carbon Content (lb/lb fuel)
Hydrogen	H ₂	0.84	2.02	0.41	7.97	0	0.16	0.00
Nitrogen	N ₂	20.13	28.01	0.72	13.84	0	3.88	0.00
Carbon Monoxide	CO	0.00	28.01	0.00	0.00	1	0.00	0.43
Methane	CH ₄	53.13	16.04	3.31	63.76	1	10.23	0.75
Ethylene	C ₂ H ₄	9.77	28.05	0.35	6.70	2	1.88	0.86
Ethanol	C ₂ H ₆	4.49	30.07	0.15	2.88	2	0.87	0.80
Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	2.10	44.01	0.05	0.92	1	0.40	0.27
Acetylene	C ₂ H ₂	0.00	26.04	0.00	0.00	2	0.00	0.92
Hydrogen Sulfide	H ₂ S	0.00	34.08	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
Propylene	C ₃ H ₆	2.34	42.08	0.06	1.07	3	0.45	0.86
Propane	C ₃ H ₈	1.41	44.10	0.03	0.62	3	0.27	0.82
Isobutane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.06	58.12	0.00	0.02	4	0.01	0.83
Isobutene	C ₄ H ₈	0.17	56.11	0.00	0.06	4	0.03	0.86
N-Butene	C ₄ H ₈	0.23	56.11	0.00	0.08	4	0.04	0.86
1,3-Butadiene	C ₄ H ₆	0.88	54.09	0.02	0.31	4	0.17	0.89
N-Butane	C ₄ H ₁₀	0.32	58.12	0.01	0.11	4	0.06	0.83
Isopentane	C ₅ H ₁₂	0.00	72.15	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.83
N-Pentene	C ₅ H ₁₀	1.27	70.13	0.02	0.35	5	0.24	0.86
Normal Pentane	C ₅ H ₁₂	0.00	72.15	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.83
Methanol	CH ₄ O	1.27	32.04	0.04	0.76	1	0.24	0.37
Methyl -Cyclopentane	C ₆ H ₁₂	0.57	84.16	0.01	0.13	6	0.11	0.86
Benzene	C ₆ H ₆	0.00	78.11	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.92
Water	H ₂ O	0.26	18.02	0.01	0.27	0	0.05	0.00
Dimethyl Disulfide	C ₂ H ₆ S ₂	0.76	94.20	0.01	0.16	2	0.15	0.25
		100.00		5.2	100.0			
MW Carbon		12.01	lb/lbmol					

Average MW of Ground Flare Waste Gas = 19.2
 Average Carbon Content of Ground Flare Waste Gas = 0.59

Example Calculation (Carbon Dioxide Component):

Carbon Content = (Component MW (lb/lbmol) * Atoms Carbon) / MW Carbon (lb/lbmol)
 Carbon Content = (126.24 (lb/lbmol) * 9 (Atoms C)) / 12.01 (lb/lbmol)
 Carbon Content = 0.86

Fugitive Counts and Emission Summary

Stream	Valves		Hourly Emissions From Valves (lb/hr)	Flanges		Hourly Emissions From Flanges (lb/hr)	Screwed Connections		Hourly Emissions From Screwed Connections (lb/hr)	Compressors ²	Hourly Emissions From Compressors (lb/hr)	Pumps ²	Hourly Emissions From Pumps (lb/hr)	Relief Valves ²	Hourly Emissions From Relief Valves (lb/hr)	Bull Plugs ³		Hourly Emissions From Bull Plugs (lb/hr)	% Valves/Flanges Monitored	Hourly Emissions (lb/hr)	Annual Emissions (TPY)
	Gas	LL		Gas	LL		Gas	LL		Gas		LL		Gas		LL	Gas				
SOCMI w/ C2 Factor (lb/hr)	0.0258	0.0459		0.0053	0.0052		0.0053	0.0052		0.5027		0.144		0.2293		0.0075	0.0075				
SOCMI Average Factor (lb/hr)	0.0132	0.0089		0.0039	0.0005		0.0039	0.0005		0.5027		0.0439		0.2293		0.0038	0.0038				
SOCMI w/o C2 Factor (lb/hr)	0.0089	0.0035		0.0029	0.0005		0.0029	0.0005		0.5027		0.0386		0.2293		0.004	0.004				
28VHP Control Eff. (%)	97%	97%		97%	97%		97%	97%		100%		100%		100%		100%	100%				
10401	-	102	0.014	-	168	0.003	-	8	0.0001	-	-	2	-	5	-	-	46	-	99.0	0.018	0.08
11501	-	101	0.014	-	169	0.003	-	14	0.0002	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	37	-	99.0	0.018	0.08
17502	-	88	0.012	-	181	0.004	-	4	0.0001	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	23	-	99.0	0.016	0.07
22501	-	19	0.003	-	30	0.001	-	4	0.0001	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	99.0	0.003	0.01
23501	-	17	0.002	-	33	0.001	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	99.0	0.003	0.01
27301	-	21	0.007	-	40	0.001	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	99.0	0.008	0.04
28001	-	55	0.008	-	90	0.002	-	8	0.0001	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	-	99.0	0.010	0.04
30102	-	70	0.010	-	117	0.002	-	3	0.00005	-	-	1	-	3	-	-	28	-	99.0	0.012	0.05
30103	-	68	0.009	-	123	0.002	-	9	0.0001	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	24	-	99.0	0.012	0.05
30705	-	22	0.008	-	45	0.001	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	99.0	0.009	0.04
33002	-	24	0.008	-	40	0.001	-	4	0.0001	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	99.0	0.009	0.04
33103	-	12	0.004	-	32	0.001	-	3	0.00005	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	99.0	0.005	0.02
34002	-	31	0.011	-	48	0.001	-	9	0.0001	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	99.0	0.012	0.05
34202	-	20	0.007	-	34	0.001	-	9	0.0001	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	99.0	0.008	0.03
34402	-	19	0.007	-	30	0.001	-	4	0.0001	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	99.0	0.007	0.03
34702	-	14	0.002	-	22	0.0004	-	1	0.00002	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	99.0	0.002	0.01
34903	-	16	0.002	-	31	0.001	-	4	0.0001	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	99.0	0.003	0.01
35601	-	96	0.013	-	171	0.003	-	12	0.0002	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	36	-	99.0	0.017	0.07
42104	-	123	0.017	-	230	0.005	-	9	0.0001	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	46	-	99.0	0.022	0.10
42401	-	86	0.012	-	146	0.003	-	4	0.0001	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	39	-	99.0	0.015	0.07
42902	-	9	0.001	-	19	0.0004	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	99.0	0.002	0.01
42904	-	9	0.001	-	17	0.0003	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	99.0	0.002	0.01
43401	-	136	0.019	-	224	0.004	-	5	0.0001	-	-	4	-	2	-	-	56	-	99.0	0.023	0.10
43601	-	247	0.034	-	439	0.009	-	12	0.0002	-	-	4	-	6	-	-	90	-	99.0	0.043	0.19
43605	-	127	0.018	-	244	0.005	-	9	0.0001	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	37	-	99.0	0.023	0.10
43606	-	55	0.008	-	98	0.002	-	2	0.00003	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	21	-	99.0	0.010	0.04
5913	-	6	0.001	-	10	0.0002	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	3	-	99.0	0.001	0.00
61400	-	206	0.029	-	377	0.007	-	9	0.0001	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	71	-	99.0	0.036	0.16
66101	-	222	0.405	-	391	0.081	-	24	0.0037	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	83	-	99.0	0.489	2.14
Acid	-	98	0.014	-	208	0.004	-	13	0.0002	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	28	-	99.0	0.018	0.08
Methanol	-	120	0.017	-	205	0.004	-	12	0.0002	-	-	1	-	5	-	-	53	-	99.0	0.021	0.09
Spent Caustic	-	939	0.130	-	1,760	0.035	-	122	0.0018	-	-	20	-	13	-	-	353	-	99.0	0.167	0.73
Wash Oil	-	274	0.038	-	442	0.009	-	13	0.0002	-	-	4	-	2	-	-	138	-	99.0	0.047	0.21
Flare Liquids	-	169	0.023	-	278	0.006	-	6	0.0001	-	-	7	-	2	-	-	71	-	99.0	0.029	0.13
61100 Liquids	-	33	0.005	-	66	0.001	-	4	0.0001	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	10	-	99.0	0.006	0.03
6401	85	-	0.030	140	-	0.016	9	-	0.0008	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	-	99.0	0.047	0.21	
10001	1,008	-	0.356	1,223	-	0.141	644	-	0.0560	-	-	-	-	-	-	541	-	99.0	0.553	2.42	
10003	13	-	0.005	22	-	0.003	4	-	0.0003	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	99.0	0.007	0.03	
10402	2	-	0.001	4	-	0.0005	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	99.0	0.001	0.01	
11601	72	-	0.025	120	-	0.014	6	-	0.0005	-	-	-	-	2	-	28	-	99.0	0.040	0.17	
11602	120	-	0.042	80	-	0.009	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	96	-	99.0	0.052	0.23	
15110	380	-	0.199	730	-	0.113	52	-	0.0061	2	-	-	-	15	-	134	-	99.0	0.318	1.39	
20010	22	-	0.023	41	-	0.009	4	-	0.0006	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	99.0	0.032	0.14	

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Fugitive Counts and Emission Summary

Stream	Valves		Hourly Emissions From Valves (lb/hr)	Flanges		Hourly Emissions From Flanges (lb/hr)	Screwed Connections		Hourly Emissions From Screwed Connections (lb/hr)	Compressors ²	Hourly Emissions From Compressors (lb/hr)	Pumps ²	Hourly Emissions From Pumps (lb/hr)	Relief Valves ²	Hourly Emissions From Relief Valves (lb/hr)	Bull Plugs ³		Hourly Emissions From Bull Plugs (lb/hr)	% Valves/Flanges Monitored	Hourly Emissions (lb/hr)	Annual Emissions (TPY)
	Gas	LL		Gas	LL		Gas	LL		Gas		LL		Gas		LL	Gas				
SOCMI w/ C2 Factor (lb/hr)	0.0258	0.0459		0.0053	0.0052		0.0053	0.0052		0.5027		0.144		0.2293		0.0075	0.0075				
SOCMI Average Factor (lb/hr)	0.0132	0.0089		0.0039	0.0005		0.0039	0.0005		0.5027		0.0439		0.2293		0.0038	0.0038				
SOCMI w/o C2 Factor (lb/hr)	0.0089	0.0035		0.0029	0.0005		0.0029	0.0005		0.5027		0.0386		0.2293		0.004	0.004				
28VHP Control Eff. (%)	97%	97%		97%	97%		97%	97%		100%		100%		100%		100%	100%				
26100	278	-	0.146	518	-	0.080	24	-	0.0028	-	-	-	-	18	-	94	-	-	99.0	0.229	1.00
26501	31	-	0.011	68	-	0.008	1	-	0.0001	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	99.0	0.019	0.08
30101	21	-	0.011	35	-	0.005	2	-	0.0002	-	-	-	-	3	-	10	-	-	99.0	0.017	0.07
30104	137	-	0.072	256	-	0.040	26	-	0.0030	1	-	-	-	5	-	46	-	-	99.0	0.114	0.50
30701	54	-	0.028	101	-	0.016	8	-	0.0009	-	-	-	-	2	-	20	-	-	99.0	0.045	0.20
32500	3	-	0.001	10	-	0.001	1	-	0.0001	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	99.0	0.002	0.01
32702	24	-	0.013	37	-	0.006	5	-	0.0006	-	-	-	-	2	-	9	-	-	99.0	0.019	0.08
33106	99	-	0.052	170	-	0.026	14	-	0.0016	-	-	-	-	-	-	31	-	-	99.0	0.080	0.35
33001	12	-	0.006	31	-	0.005	1	-	0.0001	-	-	-	-	2	-	7	-	-	99.0	0.011	0.05
33101	22	-	0.012	39	-	0.006	4	-	0.0005	-	-	-	-	2	-	8	-	-	99.0	0.018	0.08
34201	30	-	0.011	49	-	0.006	2	-	0.0002	-	-	-	-	2	-	12	-	-	99.0	0.016	0.07
34302	78	-	0.041	144	-	0.022	9	-	0.0011	2	-	-	-	2	-	29	-	-	99.0	0.064	0.28
34804	4	-	0.001	8	-	0.001	3	-	0.0003	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	99.0	0.003	0.01
34805	260	-	0.092	404	-	0.047	18	-	0.0016	-	-	-	-	5	-	113	-	-	99.0	0.140	0.61
34901	35	-	0.012	68	-	0.008	2	-	0.0002	-	-	-	-	2	-	13	-	-	99.0	0.020	0.09
34906	45	-	0.016	84	-	0.010	3	-	0.0003	-	-	-	-	2	-	18	-	-	99.0	0.026	0.11
40801	196	-	0.103	391	-	0.061	20	-	0.0023	-	-	-	-	5	-	50	-	-	99.0	0.166	0.73
42101	40	-	0.014	70	-	0.008	5	-	0.0004	-	-	-	-	2	-	16	-	-	99.0	0.023	0.10
42301	63	-	0.022	100	-	0.012	9	-	0.0008	-	-	-	-	2	-	23	-	-	99.0	0.035	0.15
42801	71	-	0.025	135	-	0.016	4	-	0.0003	-	-	-	-	1	-	24	-	-	99.0	0.041	0.18
43101	38	-	0.013	69	-	0.008	6	-	0.0005	-	-	-	-	3	-	18	-	-	99.0	0.022	0.10
50200	35	-	0.012	56	-	0.006	4	-	0.0003	-	-	-	-	2	-	17	-	-	99.0	0.019	0.08
50301	1,177	-	0.416	2,072	-	0.239	65	-	0.0057	-	-	-	-	10	-	311	-	-	99.0	0.660	2.89
61100	210	-	0.074	405	-	0.047	15	-	0.0013	1	-	-	-	10	-	72	-	-	99.0	0.122	0.53
61200	57	-	0.020	96	-	0.011	6	-	0.0005	-	-	-	-	2	-	20	-	-	99.0	0.032	0.14
66401	213	-	0.218	399	-	0.084	39	-	0.0062	1	-	-	-	11	-	72	-	-	99.0	0.308	1.35
Ammonia	166	-	0.059	311	-	0.036	15	-	0.0013	-	-	-	-	9	-	88	-	-	99.0	0.096	0.42
Analyzer Gas	-	-	-	15	-	0.002	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	99.0	0.002	0.01
Chlorine	18	-	0.006	41	-	0.005	2	-	0.0002	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	99.0	0.011	0.05
Flare	267	-	0.140	522	-	0.081	60	-	0.0070	-	-	-	-	-	-	111	-	-	99.0	0.228	1.00
LP Flare	76	-	0.027	133	-	0.015	11	-	0.0010	-	-	-	-	-	-	37	-	-	99.0	0.043	0.19
Totals	5462	3654		9197	6558		1103	340		7		53		197		2128	1374			4.80	21.05

Notes:

- 1) Fugitive emission count based on Dow review of preliminary P&IDs
- 2) Compressors and relief valves are routed to operating control device (flare), therefore 100% control claimed. Pumps utilize dual mechanical seals, therefore 100% control claimed.
- 3) Component actually represents an open ended line equipped with a plug. Since equipped with a plug, 100% control credit utilized.

Total Speciated Fugitive Emissions

Component	Hourly Emissions (lb/hr)	Annual Emissions (TPY)
Carbon Dioxide	0.005	0.02
Carbon Monoxide	0.02	0.10
Hydrogen	0.28	1.21
Methane	0.87	3.82
Water	0.17	0.73
Nitrogen	0.07	0.30
Ammonia	0.10	0.42
Hydrogen Chloride	0.02	0.08
Chlorine	0.01	0.05
Acetylene	0.003	0.01
Ethylene	1.46	6.38
Ethanol	1.03	4.50
Methyl Acetylene	0.002	0.01
Propadiene	0.002	0.01
Propylene	0.23	0.99
Propane	0.11	0.50
Butadiene	0.07	0.30
Butenes	0.01	0.06
Butanes	0.02	0.07
C5's	0.07	0.30
Benzene	0.13	0.56
C6 Nonarom	0.01	0.04
C7's	0.02	0.11
Styrene	0.02	0.08
C8 Arom	0.01	0.04
C8 Nonarom	0.003	0.01
C9's	0.01	0.05
C10's	0.01	0.05
DMDS	0.03	0.14
Methanol	0.02	0.09
Total	4.80	21.05
Total VOC	3.27	14.30

Sample Calculation:

Stream 10003 Valves:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Emission rate} &= (\text{Total \# Gas Valves}) \times (\text{Emission Factor}) \times (\% \text{ Monitored Valves/Flanges}) \times (100\% - \% \text{ Control Efficiency}) + (\text{Total \# Gas Valves}) \times (\text{Emission Factor}) \times (\% \text{ Unmonitored Valves/Flanges}) \\ \text{lb./hr} &= (13 \text{ Gas Valves}) \times (0.0089, \text{SOCMI w/o C2}) \times (99\% \text{ Monitored Valves/Flanges}) \times (100\% - 97\% \text{ Control Efficiency, 28VHP}) + (13 \text{ Gas Valves}) \times (0.0089, \text{SOCMI w/o C2}) \times (1.0\% \text{ Unmonitored Valves/Flanges}) \\ \text{lb./hr} &= 0.005 \\ \text{TPY} &= (\text{Emission Rate, lb/hr}) \times (8760 \text{ hr/yr}) \times (1 \text{ ton} / 2000 \text{ lb}) \\ \text{TPY} &= 0.020 \end{aligned}$$

Speciated Sample Calculation (for Propane in the 10003 Stream):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Emission Rate for Propane (lb/hr)} &= (\text{wt\% Propane in 10003 Stream}) \times (10003 \text{ Stream Emissions lb/hr}) \\ &= (0.33) \times (0.007) \\ \text{lb/hr} &= 0.002 \\ \text{Emission Rate for Propane (tpy)} &= (0.002 \text{ lb/hr}) \times (8760 \text{ hr/yr}) / (2000 \text{ lb/ton}) \\ \text{tpy} &= 0.01 \end{aligned}$$

Please note sample calculation is for one stream only. Total emissions table shown above includes all streams.