US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/4/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Current Human Exposures Under Control

•	Name: Address: EPA ID #:	U. S. Steel - Gary Works One North Broadway, Gary Indiana IND 005 444 062
1.	groundwater, sur	relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, face water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste ts (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this
	X_	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
		If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
		if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Page 2

2.	Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air, media known or reasonably suspected to be
	"contaminated" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as
	well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA
	Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

Groundwater	<u>Yes</u> _X	<u>No</u>	<u>?</u> 	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Air (indoors) ²			_X_	
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft) _X_			
Surface Water			_X	
Sediment	_X_			
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 f	t) _X_			
Air (outdoors)			_X_	
appro that t If ye: "con deter	opriate "level hese "level s (for any m taminated"	rels," are related as a redia) - mediur at the re	nd reference not exceeded continue and not exceeded and continue and not exceeded and continue and not exceeded and continue cont	and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing ing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating ed. Ster identifying key contaminants in each propriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the ald pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing
X If un	known (for	any me	edia) - skip	to #6 and enter "IN" status code.
Rationale and Reference(s): Groundwater: Inorganic and Organic Compounds exceed Maximum Contaminant Levels Surface Soil: Inorganic and Organic constituents exceed 'Industrial Soil' Region IX Preliminary Remediation Goals 'Sediment': Detected Inorganic Compounds are present in the range of 6 mg/kg to 15,500 mg/kg, detected Organic Compounds are present in the range of 65mg/kg to 30,000 mg/kg. Subsurface Soil: Inorganic and Organic Compounds exceed 'Industrial Soil' Region IX Preliminary Remediation Goals Source: Facility Hydrogeologic Assessment and Current Conditions Report (January, 1997), Permitting Level Design Report of Passive Dewatering Facility (December, 1997)				

Footnotes:

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Page 3

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential **Human Receptors** (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated" Media	Res.	Worker	Const.	Tresp.	Recreat.	$Food^3$
Groundwater						
Air (indoors)						
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)						
Surface Water						
Sediment						
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft)						
Air (outdoors)						

Instructions for **Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table**:

- 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated") as identified in #2 above.
- 2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("___"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

 to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in- place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each
contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <u>Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</u> to analyze major pathways).
 If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.
If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6

²Recent evidence (from the CO Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above contaminated groundwater than previously believed. While this is a rapidly developing field current evidence (1/99) suggest that indoor air in structures located above (and adjacent to) contaminated groundwater should not be assumed to be acceptable without physical evidence.

and enter "IN" status code

	e(s):
³ Indirect	Pathway/Receptor
	Current Human Exposures Under Control
	Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)
	Page 4
magnitude (used to ic	ntially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in e (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" lentify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though
result in g	contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could reater than acceptable risks)?
result in g	
result in g	If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not
result in g	If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant." If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Page 5

5	Can the "signific	cant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?
		If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying wh all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
		If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable") continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
		If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Page 6

Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):				
	review of the information contained in are expected to be "Under Control" at 005 444 062, located at One North Bro	s Under Control" has been verified. Based on a this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" the U.S. Steel-Gary Works facility, EPA ID # IND adway, Gary, Indiana under current and reasonably on will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State at the facility.		
	NO - "Current Human Exposures" are	e NOT "Under Control."		
>	X_ IN - More information is needed to r	make a determination.		
Completed b	oy <u>(signature)</u> (print) Tamara Ohl			
	(title) Environmental Scientist			
Supervisor	(signature)			
	(print) (title) (EPA Region or State)			
	(EFA Region of State)			
Locations w	here References may be found:			
	U.S. EPA Region 5_Records Center_			
	77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, IL 60604			
Contact tele	phone and e-mail numbers			
	me)Tamara Ohl			
(ph	one #)_(312) 886-0991			

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES ELIS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.

(e-mail)___ohl.tamara@epa.gov_

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/4/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

Facility	Name:	U.S. Steel - Gary Works
Facility	Address:	One North Broadway, Gary, Indiana
Facility	EPA ID#:	IND 005 444 062
1.	groundwater med	relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the dia, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units ated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI determination?
	X	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
		If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
		if data are not available, skip to #8 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

<u>Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI</u>

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is under control, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the "existing area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to stabilizing the further spread of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

2.	Is groundwater known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective "levels" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the facility?
	X If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.
	If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated."
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
	Rationale and Reference(s): Inorganic and Organic Compounds exceed Maximum Contaminant Levels Source: Facility Hydrogeologic Assessment and Current Conditions Report (January, 1997), and Permitting Level Design Report for the Passive Dewatering Facility (December, 1997)

Footnotes:

¹"Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

3.	Is the migration of contaminated groundwater stabilized (such that contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the monitoring locations designated at the time of this determination)?
	If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²).
	X If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²) - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
	Rationale and Reference(s):Currently the migration of groundwater is not being controlled throughout the facility. Groundwater is expected to migrate off site toward the Grand Calumet River and Lake Michigan.

	

² "existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring.

Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

4.	4. Does "contaminated" groundwater discharge into surface water bodies?			
If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies				
		If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.		
		If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.		

5.	Is the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be " insignificant " (i.e., the maximum concentration ³ of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times their appropriate groundwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, of discharging contaminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for unacceptable impacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?				
		If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration ³ of <u>key</u> contaminants discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) provide a statement of professional judgement/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not suspected to have unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system.			
		If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially significant) - continue after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration of <u>each</u> contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater "levels," the estimated total amount (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.			
		If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.			
	Rationale and Reference(s):				

n groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g.,
Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)
Page 6
ge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be "currently
., not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should not be allowe I a final remedy decision can be made and implemented ⁴)?
. a final femedy decision can be made and implemented)?
If yes - continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating the
conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's surf
water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation
demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater; OF
2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment ⁵ with documentation demonstrating
that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (in the opinion
of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving surface
water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full assessment and final
remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered in the interim-
assessment include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and
contaminant loading limits, surface water and sediment sample results and comparison
available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other
factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys of
site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency wou
deem appropriate for making the EI determination.
If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be "curren
acceptable ") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.
unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/of eco-systems.
If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.
If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.
If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.

⁴ Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

⁵ The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

7.	Will groundwater monitoring / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within the horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater?"				
	If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."				
	If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.				
	If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.				
	Rationale and Reference(s):				

	
	
	
	

8. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).

YE - Yes, "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI determination, it has been determined that the "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater" is "Under Control" at the U.S. Steel-Gary Works facility, EPA ID # IND 005 444 062, located at One North Broadway, Gary, Indiana. Specifically, this determination indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is under control, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the "existing area of contaminated groundwater" This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.

X_	_ NO - Unaccep	table migration of contam	inated groundwater is observed or expected
	IN - More info	ormation is needed to make	a determination.
Completed by	(print)	Tamara Ohl Environmental Scientist	
Supervisor	(print)		
(EPA R		_	_
			RA Records Center evard
Contact telephor	ne and e-mail nun	nbers	
		Tamara Ohl	
		(312) 886-0991	
(e-mai	1)	ohl.tamara@epa.gov_	