DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name:	Garvin Industrial Park (former Specialized Recycling parcel)
Facility Address:	1315 Read Street (parcel at 1550 Baker Ave.), Evansville, IN 47710
Facility EPA ID #:	IN0 000 342 097

1. Has **all** available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?

X If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.

____ If no - re-evaluate existing data, or

____ If data are not available skip to #6 and enter IN (more information needed) status code.

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no unacceptable "human exposures to contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action programs overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be **"contaminated"**[1] above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	No	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater		X		No affected groundwater. Hazardous wastes managed indoors on concrete slab, IDEM granted closure certification on April 29, 1998
Air (indoors)[2]		X		No VOCs detected
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		X		No VOCs detected
Surface Water		X		No affected surface water
Sediment		X		No affected sediment
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)		X		No VOCs detected
Air (outdoors)		X		No affected outdoor air

X If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.

_____ If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.

_____ If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

A portion of the Garvin Industrial Park site (at the intersection of Baker & Morgan) previously contained an illegal hazardous waste drum storage facility known as Specialized Recycling, which leased space and operated out of the Old Union Stockyards building in Evansville, Indiana. A July 7, 1994 complaint investigation report by IDEM identified approximately 300 corroded and leaking 55 gallon drums of unknown waste located in a dilapidated warehouse at the site, resulting in numerous RCRA violations and a filing of felony charges against the primary responsible party. The drums were removed and transported to permitted treatment, storage or disposal facilities in 1995, and a September 1996 Agreed Order between IDEM and the facility required the property owner to conduct a RCRA closure for the hazardous waste storage. A public notice was performed in May 1996. In February 1998, Garvin Industrial Park submitted a closure certification report to IDEM reportedly indicating there was no soil contamination, and in April 1998, IDEM issued a state clean closure certification approval to Garvin Industrial Park. The former building was demolished in approximately 1999, and the property was subdivided and redeveloped with several small warehouses.

Key References:

1) IDEM RCRA-TSD Inspection Report, April 15, 1993
2) IDEM Closure Certification Approval, April 29, 1998
3) IDEM Office Memorandum, Trip Report, March 22, 1999

Footnotes:

[1] "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

[2] Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential **Human Receptors** (Under Current Conditions)

Contaminated Media	Residents	Workers	Day Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food[3]
Groundwater							
Air (indoors)							
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)							
Surface Water							
Sediment							
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft)							
Air (outdoors)							

Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:

1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors= spaces for Media which are not contaminated") as identified in #2 above.

2. enter yes" or no" for potential completeness" under each Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (___"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

___ If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major pathways).

If yes (pathways are complete for any Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.

___

If unknown (for any Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter IN" status code

___

Rationale and Reference(s):

[3] Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

4 Can the **exposures** from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be **significant"**[4] (i.e., potentially unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable levels" (used to identify the contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?

____ If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be significant."

____ If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be significant" (i.e., potentially unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be significant."

____ If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s)

[4] If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are significant" (i.e., potentially unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

5 Can the significant" **exposures** (identified in #4) be shown to be within **acceptable** limits?

_____ If yes (all significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter YE" after summarizing and referencing documentation justifying why all significant" exposures to contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).

_____ If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be unacceptable")- continue and enter NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially unacceptable" exposure.

_____ If unknown (for any potentially unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s)

6. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):

X YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the Garvin Industrial Park facility, EPA ID # IN0 000 342 097, located at 1315 Read Street (parcel at 1550 Baker Ave.), in Evansville, Indiana under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.

____ NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."

____ IN - More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed by: (signature) Date 12/15/11

(print) Joseph C. Kelly, P.G.

(title) Physical Scientist

Supervisor: (signature) Date 12/15/11

(print) Hak Cho

(title) Section Chief

(EPA Region / State) LCD/RRB, CA1 Region 5

Locations where References may be found:

US EPA Region 5
77 W. Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, IL 60604
9th floor, cubicle 09048 hard drive

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers

(name) Joseph Kelly

(phone #) 312-252-2111

(e-mail) Kelly.Joseph@epa.gov

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.