

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

**U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, REGION V
POLLUTION/SITUATION REPORT #209**



**KALAMAZOO RIVER/ENBRIDGE SPILL – REMOVAL
SITE # Z5JS
MARSHALL, MICHIGAN
LATITUDE: 42.2395273; LONGITUDE: -84.9662018**



Dredging Operations in the Morrow Lake Delta (07/03/2014)

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

To: Susan Hedman, U.S. EPA Regional Administrator
 James Sygo, MDEQ
 Michelle DeLong, MDEQ
 Dr. Linda Dykema, MDCH
 Deb Cardiff, Kalamazoo County Public Health
 Richard Fuller, Kalamazoo County Sheriff's Office
 James Rutherford, Calhoun County Public Health Department
 Durk Dunham, Calhoun County Emergency Management
 Scott Corbin, Allegan County Emergency Management
 Tiffany Eichorst, City of Battle Creek
 Cheryl Vosburg, City of Marshall
 Christine Kosmowski, Calhoun County Water Resources Commissioner
 Ann Nieuwenhuis, Comstock Township

From: Jeffrey Kimble, U.S. EPA, Federal On-Scene Coordinator
Date: 07/21/2014
Operational Periods: 0700 hours 06/23/2014 through 0700 hours 06/30/2014
 0700 hours 06/30/2014 through 0700 hours 07/07/2014
Reporting Period: 0700 hours 06/23/2014 through 0700 hours 07/07/2014

1. Site Data

Site Number:	Z5JS	Response Type:	Emergency
Response Authority:	OPA	Incident Category:	Removal Action
Response Lead:	RP	NPL Status:	Non-NPL
Mobilization Date:	7/26/2010	Start Date:	7/26/2010
FPN#:	E10527		

2. Operations Section

- For the Operational Period of June 23 – 30, 2014, the organizational response structure consisted of the following Divisions/Groups: 1) Water Operations; 2) Mill Ponds; 3) Morrow Lake; and 4) Waste Management.
- For the Operational Period of June 30 – July 7, 2014, the organizational response structure consisted of the following Divisions/Groups: 1) Water Operations; 2) Morrow Lake; and 3) Waste Management.

2.1 Water Operations Group

- Enbridge continued to monitor and maintain the E4 containment system in the Morrow Lake Delta throughout the reporting period.
- Enbridge continued to monitor and maintain the Ceresco pilot channel containment. River margin restoration work continued throughout the reporting period.
- No sheen responses were conducted during the reporting period.

2.2 Mill Ponds Division

- Site restoration activities were completed during the reporting period.

2.3 Morrow Lake Division

- On-water dredging activities and Morrow Lake Delta dredge pad operations continued throughout the operational period. Five dredges were in operation throughout the reporting period. As of the close of the reporting period, approximately 31,169 cubic yards of impacted materials were removed from the Morrow Lake Delta, representing approximately 35% of the required dredging.
- Enbridge continued daily dredge pad perimeter air monitoring for particulates throughout the reporting period. U.S. EPA also conducted periodic perimeter air monitoring for particulates. No exceedances of the MDCH 24-hour average concentration for particulates occurred during the reporting period related to dredge pad operations.
- Enbridge continued daily air monitoring using a 5-gas meter throughout the community immediately adjacent and east of the Morrow Lake Delta dredge pad. U.S. EPA conducted air monitoring within the community using handheld particulate monitoring units.

2.4 Waste Management Division

- Cumulative quantities of soil, debris, and liquid shipped off-site during the response are presented in Tables 1 and 2.
- The cumulative quantity of recovered oil has been estimated using actual waste stream volumes, analytical data, and physical parameters of oil-containing media. A summary of the estimated volume of recovered oil is presented in Table 3.

3. *Planning Section*

3.1 Situation Unit

- During the reporting period, overflights were conducted on June 26, July 1, and July 3, 2014 to document all operational areas and river conditions, including locations of sheening. Photographs were taken and distributed to project participants in a weekly Situation Update photograph log and during the weekly Consolidated ICS Meeting.

3.2 Environmental Unit

- U.S. EPA, USGS, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers held weekly meetings to discuss Hydrodynamic Model (HDM) activities. Development of the HDM and sediment transport model continued throughout the reporting period.

3.3 Documentation Unit

- The Documentation Unit continued organizing and archiving electronic and paper files for post-incident use.

3.4 Resource Unit

- The Resources Unit continued to support production of the Incident Action Plan (IAP), supported the planning efforts of operations, and provided information to Logistics personnel in order to properly prepare and procure resources.

4. *Command*

4.1 Safety Officers

- Enbridge safety personnel continued conducting work-site safety inspections and implementing the plan for integration of public safety and worker safety on the Kalamazoo River.
- The USCG is providing the U.S. EPA with on-site support by monitoring safety throughout all active work areas.

4.2 Public Information

- The number of public inquires reported by Enbridge for this period is presented in Table 5.

5. *Finance*

- The current National Pollution Funds Center (NPFC) ceiling is \$69.25 million. Approximately 90.3% of the ceiling has been spent through July 6, 2014. The latest average 14-day burn rate was \$21,271 per day. These cost summaries reflect only U.S. EPA-funded expenditures for the incident. A summary of these expenses is presented in Table 6.

6. *Scientific Support Coordination Group (SSCG)*

- No activities were conducted during this operational period.

7. *Participating Entities*

- U.S. EPA and MDEQ continued meeting bi-weekly with a group of stakeholders to discuss how to continue to effectively communicate, share information about site progress, and receive feedback about the communication needs of the local communities. During the reporting period, a Stakeholder group meeting was held on June 26, 2014.
- For a list of cooperating and assisting agencies, see SITREP #51 (Sections 3.2 and 3.3).

8. *Personnel On-Site*

- Staffing numbers for the entities and agencies active in the response are presented in Table 7.

9. *Source of Additional Information*

- For additional information, refer to <http://www.epa.gov/enbridgespill>. For sampling analysis data, see <http://response.enbridge.com/response/>.

10. Clean-up Progress Metrics

Table 1 - Soil and Debris Shipped Off Site (as of 07/06/2014)

Waste Stream	Cumulative	Disposal Facility
<i>Haz Soil (yd³)</i>	19,644	<i>Envirosafe (Oregon, OH)</i>
Non-Haz Soil (yd ³)	254,055	SET/C&C
Non-Haz Soil (yd ³)	4,476	SET/Ottawa County Farms
Non-Haz Soil (yd ³)	14,673	SET/WM Westside
<i>Non-Haz Soil & Debris (yd³) (Excluding 2010 Ceresco Dredge)</i>	64,815	<i>Westside Recycling (Three Rivers, MI)</i>
<i>Non-Haz Soil (yd³) (2010 Ceresco Dredge Only)</i>	5,562	<i>EQ/Republic (Marshall, MI)</i>
<i>Haz Debris (yd³)</i>	12,075	<i>EQ/Michigan Disposal (Wayne, MI) and Republic (Marshall, MI)</i>
Non-Haz Household Debris (ton)	2,500	SET/C&C
Non-Haz Impacted Debris (ton)	15,017	

Shaded and italicized items are discontinued waste streams.

Table 2 - Liquid Shipped Off-Site (as of 07/06/2014)

Stream	Destination Company	Destination Location	Cumulative Volume (gallons)†
Non-Haz Water	Liquid Industrial Waste	Holland, MI	1,557,407
Non-Haz Water	Plummer	Kentwood, MI	915,309
<i>Non-Haz Water</i>	<i>Dynecol</i>	<i>Detroit, MI</i>	981,792
<i>Non-Haz Water</i>	<i>Battle Creek POTW</i>	<i>Battle Creek, MI</i>	1,143,280
<i>Hazardous Water</i>	<i>Dynecol</i>	<i>Detroit, MI</i>	3,594,579
<i>Oil</i>	<i>Enbridge Facility</i>	<i>Griffith, IN</i>	766,288
<i>Other Material</i>			1,405,525
<i>Treated Non-Haz Water</i>	<i>Liquid Industrial Waste</i>	<i>Holland, MI</i>	370,200
<i>Treated Non-Haz Water</i>	<i>Plummer</i>	<i>Kentwood, MI</i>	4,976,140
<i>Hazardous Water</i>	<i>Safety Kleen^a</i>		825
<i>Treated Non-Haz Water</i>	<i>Dynecol</i>	<i>Detroit, MI</i>	150,700
<i>Treated Non-Haz Water</i>	<i>Battle Creek POTW</i>	<i>Battle Creek, MI</i>	1,968,700
Total			17,830,745

Shaded and italicized items are discontinued waste streams.

† Cumulative quantities may not reconcile with previous reports (due to auditing).

a New Age lab water and methanol mix generated by mobile laboratory.

Table 3 – Estimated Recovered Oil (as of 07/07/2014)

Waste Stream Containing Recovered Oil	Destination Company	Destination Location	Estimated Oil Volume in Waste Stream (gallons)
Soil - Sediment Impacted Soil & Debris	C&C Landfill	Marshall, MI	26,794
	Envirosafe/ Westside RDF	Oregon, OH	278,665
<i>Geotube Sediment - (Impacted Sediment)</i>	<i>Envirosafe/ Westside RDF</i>	<i>Oregon, OH</i>	1,298
Debris - (Roll Off Boxes with Impacted Sorbents, boom, pads, plastic, PPE, vegetation, and biomass)	EQ Michigan	Belleville, MI	62,365*
<i>Frac Tank City - Influent to Carbon Filtration System</i>	<i>C&C Landfill</i>	<i>Marshall, MI</i>	8,109
Frac Tank City - Water	Dynecol	Detroit, MI	46,183
	Liquid Industrial Waste Services, Inc.	Kentwood, MI	
	Plummers Env. Inc.	Holland, MI	
	BC POTW	Battle Creek, MI	
<i>Ceresco Pretreatment System</i>	<i>C&C Landfill</i>	<i>Marshall, MI</i>	90
<i>A-1 Pretreatment System</i>	<i>C&C Landfill</i>	<i>Marshall, MI</i>	9
Oily Water - RPP	Enbridge Facility	Griffith, IN	766,288
Total			1,189,801

Shaded and *italicized* items represent discontinued waste streams.
 * Total updated for analytical received after report generation

Table 4 – Samples Collected By Enbridge

Sample Type	Total	July 2014					June 2014								
		5	4	3	2	1	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22
Surface Water	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	8	0
Private Well	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	7	7	11	0	0	0
Groundwater	55	0	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	3	11	10	13	11	0
Sediment	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	20	2	1	0
Soil	15	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	2	4	5	0	0
Product	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dewatering	36	0	0	0	6	6	6	0	0	0	2	8	8	0	0
Sheen	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 5 – Public Inquiries Received by Enbridge

Location/Mod	July 2014					June 2014										
	4	3	2	1	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21		
Marshall Community Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Oil Spill Public Information Hotline	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Website	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Public Inquiries	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Table 6 - Financial Summary (as of 07/06/2014)

Item	Expended (Cumulative)
ERRS Contractors	
<i>EQM (EPS50802)</i> T057	\$ 1,199,522
T060	\$ 213,636
<i>LATA (EPS50804)</i> T019	\$ 1,161,082
<i>ER LLC (EPS50905)</i> T040	\$ 683,330
Total ERRS Contractors	\$ 3,257,571
Other Contractors	
<i>Lockheed Martin (EPW09031) – TAGA Support</i>	\$ 198,379
<i>Lockheed Martin (EPW09031) -Biodegradability Study</i>	61,917
<i>T&T Bisso (EPA:HS800008)</i>	\$ 882,087
Total Other Contractors	\$ 1,142,383
START Contractor – WESTON (EPS50604)	
T030-Response	\$ 38,912,823
Community Relations	\$ 114,563
T032-Sampling	\$ 161,045
T037-Doc Support	2,074,012
Total START Contractor	\$ 41,262,443
Response Contractor Sub-Totals	\$ 45,669,069
U.S. EPA Funded Costs: Total U.S. EPA Costs	\$ 7,030,433
Pollution Removal Funding Agreements	
Total Other Agencies	\$ 3,637,081
Indirect Cost (16.00%)	\$ 3,520,519
Indirect Cost (8.36%)-payments after 10/1/2011	\$ 1,310,859
Indirect Cost (10.15%)-payments after 10/1/2012	\$ 1,479,292
Cost Documentation/Billing Admin Fee (2.93%)*	\$ 660,827
Total Est. Oil Spill Cost	\$ 62,539,505
Oil Spill Ceiling Authorized by USCG	\$ 69,250,000
Oil Spill Ceiling Available Balance	\$ 6,710,495

Shaded and *italicized* items are discontinued

* Effective on EPA Enbridge costs billed to USCG for bills issued after 6/5/12.

Table 7 - Personnel On-Site

Agency/Entity	July 2014						June 2014							
	6	5	4	3	2	1	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23
U.S. EPA	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	0	0	2	3	3	3	3
START	0	0	0	11	14	13	13	1	7	13	15	15	15	16
MDEQ	0	0	0	4	4	3	4	0	0	4	4	4	4	4
MDEQ Contractors	0	0	0	2	3	1	3	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
Other Agencies	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Enbridge –Water Ops*	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	0	0	2	2	2	2	2
Enbridge – Mill Ponds*	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	13	11	2	14	14	13
Enbridge –Delta/Morrow Lake*	4	4	6	95	98	97	108	6	99	107	108	119	94	108
Enbridge – Waste Management*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	4	9
Enbridge – Office Support*	3	1	1	32	38	38	44	3	18	37	40	45	45	45
Total	7	5	7	152	165	160	194	13	140	181	178	207	185	204

*Enbridge Operations and Field include Enbridge and contractors as reported by Enbridge