

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, REGION V  
POLLUTION/SITUATION REPORT #199



**KALAMAZOO RIVER/ENBRIDGE SPILL – REMOVAL  
SITE # Z5JS  
MARSHALL, MICHIGAN  
LATITUDE: 42.2395273; LONGITUDE: -84.9662018**



Mill Ponds Overview (02/11/2014)

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

**To:** Susan Hedman, U.S. EPA Regional Administrator  
James Sygo, MDEQ  
Michelle DeLong, MDEQ  
Dr. Linda Dykema, MDCH  
Deb Cardiff, Kalamazoo County Public Health  
Richard Fuller, Kalamazoo County Sheriff's Office  
James Rutherford, Calhoun County Public Health Department  
Durk Dunham, Calhoun County Emergency Management  
Scott Corbin, Allegan County Emergency Management  
Tiffany Eichorst, City of Battle Creek  
Cheryl Vosburg, City of Marshall  
Christine Kosmowski, Calhoun County Water Resources Commissioner  
Ann Nieuwenhuis, Comstock Township

**From:** Jeffrey Kimble, U.S. EPA, Federal On-Scene Coordinator

**Date:** 02/28/2014

**Operational Period:** 0700 hours 02/03/2014 through 0700 hours 02/10/2014  
0700 hours 02/10/2014 through 0700 hours 02/17/2014

**Reporting Period:** 0700 hours 02/03/2014 through 0700 hours 02/17/2014

### ***1. Site Data***

<b>Site Number:</b>	Z5JS	<b>Response Type:</b>	Emergency
<b>Response Authority:</b>	OPA	<b>Incident Category:</b>	Removal Action
<b>Response Lead:</b>	RP	<b>NPL Status:</b>	Non-NPL
<b>Mobilization Date:</b>	7/26/2010	<b>Start Date:</b>	7/26/2010
<b>FPN#:</b>	E10527		

### ***2. Operations Section***

- The organizational response structure consisted of the following Branches: 1) Environmental Field Teams; and 2) Dredge Operations.

#### **2.1 Environmental Field Teams Branch**

##### ***2.1.1 Science Division***

- No activities were performed during this reporting period.

##### ***2.1.2 Water Operations Division***

- Management of oil sheen and/or globules continued throughout the period. No responses were conducted during this reporting period.

##### ***2.1.3 Compliance Division***

- As of the close of the reporting period, Enbridge's MDEQ Ceresco Dam removal permit is in review with MDEQ.

## 2.2 Dredge Operations Branch

### 2.2.1 Ceresco, Mill Ponds, Morrow Lake and Sediment Trap Divisions

- Dredging operations at the Mill Ponds impoundment and the MP 36.1 sediment trap remained suspended throughout the reporting period due to icing conditions.
- As directed by U.S. EPA, Enbridge submitted site plans for three separate dredge pad locations to the Comstock Township Planning Commission on January 24, 2014. During the February 17, 2013 meeting, the Comstock Township Planning Commission unanimously approved Enbridge's Site Plan application to use the Benteler Automotive property as a dredge pad for the continued sediment removal actions at Morrow Lake and the Morrow Lake Delta, as required by the March 14, 2013 Order. Enbridge will begin constructing the dredge pad in March.
- Table 1 presents the quantities of water treated at each dredge location during the reporting period. Table 2 presents the estimated dredge waste on-site in Geotube bags, the cumulative estimated dredge waste (cubic yards), and the cumulative waste shipped off-site for disposal (tons).
- During the reporting period, Enbridge continued with the decommissioning and repurposing of the Ceresco dredge pad. Waste disposal activities associated with the dredge pad decommissioning continued throughout the period.

### 2.2.2 Waste Management Division

- Cumulative quantities of soil, debris, and liquid shipped off-site during the response are presented in Tables 3 and 4.
- The cumulative quantity of recovered oil has been estimated using actual waste stream volumes, analytical data, and physical parameters of oil-containing media. A summary of the estimated volume of recovered oil is presented in Table 5.

## 3. Planning Section

---

### 3.1 Situation Unit

- During the reporting period, an overflight was conducted on February 11, 2014 to document all operational areas and river ice conditions. Photographs were taken and distributed to project participants in a Situation Update photograph log and during the Consolidated ICS Meeting.

### 3.2 Environmental Unit

- U.S. EPA, USGS, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers held weekly meetings to discuss Hydrodynamic Model (HDM) activities.

### 3.3 Documentation Unit

- The Documentation Unit continued organizing and archiving electronic and paper files for post-incident use.

### 3.4 Resource Unit

- The Resources Unit continued to support production of the Incident Action Plan (IAP), supported the planning efforts of operations, and provided information to Logistics personnel in order to properly prepare and procure resources.

## 4. *Command*

### 4.1 Safety Officers

- Enbridge safety personnel continued conducting work-site safety inspections and implementing the plan for integration of public safety and worker safety on the Kalamazoo River.
- The USCG is providing the U.S. EPA with on-site support by monitoring safety throughout all active work areas.

### 4.2 Public Information

- The number of public inquires reported by Enbridge for this period is presented in Table 7.

## 5. *Finance*

- The current National Pollution Funds Center (NPFC) ceiling is \$63.25 million. Approximately 94.3% of the ceiling has been spent through February 16, 2014. The latest average 14-day burn rate was \$12,722 per day. These cost summaries reflect only U.S. EPA-funded expenditures for the incident. A summary of these expenses is presented in Table 8.

## 6. *Scientific Support Coordination Group (SSCG)*

- No activities were conducted during this operational period.

## 7. *Participating Entities*

- U.S. EPA and MDEQ continued to meet bi-weekly with a group of stakeholders to discuss how to continue to effectively communicate, share information about site progress, and receive feedback about the communication needs of the local communities. During this reporting period, the Stakeholder group met on February 6, 2014.
- U.S. EPA invited the Comstock Township Supervisor into the Stakeholder group.
- For a list of cooperating and assisting agencies, see SITREP #51 (Sections 3.2 and 3.3).

## 8. *Personnel On-Site*

- Staffing numbers for the entities and agencies active in the response are presented in Table 9.

## 9. *Source of Additional Information*

- For additional information, refer to <http://www.epa.gov/enbridgespill>. For sampling analysis data, see <http://response.enbridge.com/response/>.

## 10. Clean-up Progress Metrics

**Table 1 – Water Treated During Dredging Operations for the Reporting Period**

Dredge Location	Water Treated (gal)
Ceresco	0
Mill Ponds	0
Sediment Traps	0
Morrow Lake	0

**Table 2 – Dredging Waste (as of 02/10/2014)**

Waste Stream	Estimated Dredge Waste On-Site in Geotube bags (yd <sup>3</sup> )	Waste Transferred to Ceresco Dredge Pad (yd <sup>3</sup> )	Cumulative Estimated Dredge Waste (yd <sup>3</sup> )	Cumulative Waste Shipped Off-Site for Disposal (tons)
Ceresco Pad Total	0*	0	128,065	129,050
Mill Ponds	6,743	0	21,978	16,464
Sediment Traps	0	0	12,337	11,300
Morrow Lake Delta	0	0	881	545
C0.4/Wildlife Center/C3.2/FTC	N/A	0	916**	194
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,743</b>	<b>0*</b>	<b>164,177</b>	<b>157,554</b>

\*Estimate of Ceresco Pad waste includes waste from multiple sites as indicated.

\*\*Estimated dredge waste related to oily debris.

**Table 3 - Soil and Debris Shipped Off Site (as of 02/16/2014)**

Waste Stream	Cumulative	Disposal Facility
<i>Haz Soil (yd<sup>3</sup>)</i>	<i>19,644</i>	<i>Envirosafe (Oregon, OH)</i>
Non-Haz Soil (yd <sup>3</sup> )	215,609	SET/C&C
Non-Haz Soil (yd <sup>3</sup> )	4,476	SET/Ottawa County Farms
Non-Haz Soil (yd <sup>3</sup> )	14,463	SET/WM Westside
<i>Non-Haz Soil &amp; Debris (yd<sup>3</sup>) (Excluding 2010 Ceresco Dredge)</i>	<i>64,815</i>	<i>Westside Recycling (Three Rivers, MI)</i>
<i>Non-Haz Soil (yd<sup>3</sup>) (2010 Ceresco Dredge Only)</i>	<i>5,562</i>	<i>EQ/Republic (Marshall, MI)</i>
<i>Haz Debris (yd<sup>3</sup>)</i>	<i>12,075</i>	<i>EQ/Michigan Disposal (Wayne, MI) and Republic (Marshall, MI)</i>
Non-Haz Household Debris (ton)	2,253	SET/C&C
Non-Haz Impacted Debris (ton)	14,067	

*Shaded and italicized items are discontinued waste streams.*

**Table 4 - Liquid Shipped Off-Site (as of 02/16/2014)**

<b>Stream</b>	<b>Destination Company</b>	<b>Destination Location</b>	<b>Cumulative Volume (gallons)†</b>
Non-Haz Water	Liquid Industrial Waste	Holland, MI	1,519,707
Non-Haz Water	Plummer	Kentwood, MI	863,989
<i>Non-Haz Water</i>	<i>Dynecol</i>	<i>Detroit, MI</i>	981,792
<i>Non-Haz Water</i>	<i>Battle Creek POTW</i>	<i>Battle Creek, MI</i>	1,143,280
<i>Hazardous Water</i>	<i>Dynecol</i>	<i>Detroit, MI</i>	3,594,579
<i>Oil</i>	<i>Enbridge Facility</i>	<i>Griffith, IN</i>	766,288
<i>Other Material</i>			1,405,525
<i>Treated Non-Haz Water</i>	<i>Liquid Industrial Waste</i>	<i>Holland, MI</i>	370,200
<i>Treated Non-Haz Water</i>	<i>Plummer</i>	<i>Kentwood, MI</i>	4,976,140
<i>Hazardous Water</i>	<i>Safety Kleen<sup>a</sup></i>		825
<i>Treated Non-Haz Water</i>	<i>Dynecol</i>	<i>Detroit, MI</i>	150,700
<i>Treated Non-Haz Water</i>	<i>Battle Creek POTW</i>	<i>Battle Creek, MI</i>	1,968,700
<b>Total</b>			<b>17,741,725</b>

*Shaded and italicized items are discontinued waste streams.*

† Cumulative quantities may not reconcile with previous reports (due to auditing).

a New Age lab water and methanol mix generated by mobile laboratory.

**Table 5 – Estimated Recovered Oil (as of 02/17/2014)**

<b>Waste Stream Containing Recovered Oil</b>	<b>Destination Company</b>	<b>Destination Location</b>	<b>Estimated Oil Volume in Waste Stream (gallons)</b>
<b>Soil</b> Impacted Soil & Debris	C&C Landfill	Marshall, MI	23,967*
	Envirosafe/ Westside RDF	Oregon, OH	278,665
<i>Geotube Sediment - (Impacted Sediment)</i>	<i>Envirosafe/ Westside RDF</i>	<i>Oregon, OH</i>	1,298
<b>Debris - (Roll Off Boxes with Impacted Sorbents, boom, pads, plastic, PPE, vegetation, and biomass)</b>	EQ Michigan	Belleville, MI	56,951*
<i>Frac Tank City - Influent to Carbon Filtration System</i>	<i>C&amp;C Landfill</i>	<i>Marshall, MI</i>	8,109
<b>Frac Tank City - Water</b>	Dynecol	Detroit, MI	46,183
	Liquid Industrial Waste Services, Inc.	Kentwood, MI	
	Plummers Env. Inc.	Holland, MI	
	BC POTW	Battle Creek, MI	
<i>Ceresco Pretreatment System</i>	<i>C&amp;C Landfill</i>	<i>Marshall, MI</i>	90
<i>A-1 Pretreatment System</i>	<i>C&amp;C Landfill</i>	<i>Marshall, MI</i>	9
<b>Oily Water - RPP</b>	Enbridge Facility	Griffith, IN	766,288
<b>Total</b>			<b>1,181,559</b>

*Shaded and italicized items represent discontinued waste streams.*

\*Total updated for analytical received after report generation.

**Table 6 – Samples Collected By Enbridge**

Sample Type	Total	February 2014													
		15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2
Surface Water	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Private Well	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Groundwater	101	0	3	8	9	14	12	0	0	6	13	13	14	9	0
Sediment	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0
Soil	29	0	2	1	2	4	2	0	0	1	6	7	4	0	0
Product	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dewatering	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sheen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Table 7 – Public Inquiries Received by Enbridge**

Location/Med	February 2014															
	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
Marshall Community Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Oil Spill Public Information Hotline	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Website	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0		
<b>Total Public Inquiries</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>								

**Table 8 - Financial Summary (as of 02/16/2014)**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Expended (Cumulative)</b>
<b><i>ERRS Contractors</i></b>	
<i>EQM (EPS50802)</i> T057	\$ 1,199,522
T060	\$ 213,636
<i>LATA (EPS50804)</i> T019	\$ 1,161,082
<i>ER LLC (EPS50905)</i> T040	\$ 683,330
<b><i>Total ERRS Contractors</i></b>	<b>\$ 3,257,571</b>
<b><i>Other Contractors</i></b>	
<i>Lockheed Martin (EPW09031) – TAGA Support</i>	\$ 198,379
<i>Lockheed Martin (EPW09031) -Biodegradability Study</i>	61,886
<i>T&amp;T Bisso (EPA:HS800008)</i>	\$ 882,087
<b><i>Total Other Contractors</i></b>	<b>\$ 1,142,352</b>
<b>START Contractor – WESTON (EPS50604)</b>	
T030-Response*	\$ 36,891,032
Community Relations	\$ 114,563
T032-Sampling	\$ 161,045
T037-Doc Support	2,069,740
<b>Total START Contractor</b>	<b>\$ 39,236,380</b>
<b>Response Contractor Sub-Totals</b>	<b>\$ 43,642,975</b>
<b>U.S. EPA Funded Costs: Total U.S. EPA Costs</b>	<b>\$ 6,858,289</b>
<b>Pollution Removal Funding Agreements</b>	
Total Other Agencies	\$ 3,637,081
Indirect Cost (16.00%)	\$ 3,520,519
Indirect Cost (8.36%)-payments after 10/1/2011	\$ 1,310,859
Indirect Cost (10.15%)-payments after 10/1/2012	\$ 1,256,171
Cost Documentation/Billing Admin Fee (2.93%)**	\$ 596,419
<b>Total Est. Oil Spill Cost</b>	<b>\$ 59,668,429</b>
<b>Oil Spill Ceiling Authorized by USCG</b>	<b>\$ 63,250,000</b>
<b>Oil Spill Ceiling Available Balance***</b>	<b>\$ 3,581,571</b>

Shaded and *italicized* items are discontinued

\*Due to START contractor reconciliation of estimated costs expended, costs associated with this Sitrep are more accurate to actual expenditures.

\*\* Effective on EPA Enbridge costs billed to USCG for bills issued after 6/5/12.

\*\*\*USCG personnel may increase to compensate for EPA not having all available funds to fully staff the site to execute the OPA Project Plan as approved through 12/31/2013. USCG personnel will fill gaps in contractor/EPA staff planned resources as appropriate.

**Table 9 - Personnel On-Site**

Agency/Entity	February 2014													
	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3
U.S. EPA	0	0	2	2	3	2	2	0	0	1	1	1	1	0
START	0	0	9	9	9	10	8	0	1	9	9	9	10	8
MDEQ	0	0	4	5	4	3	4	0	0	4	3	2	3	4
MDEQ Contractors	0	0	1	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	2	1	1	1
Other Agencies	0	0	2	2	4	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2	2
Enbridge – Environmental Field Teams – Science*	0	0	15	16	15	15	15	1	3	14	14	14	15	14
Enbridge – Environmental Field Teams – Water Ops*	0	2	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Enbridge – Dredge Operations – Ceresco*	8	5	6	11	43	43	47	9	42	42	43	43	42	41
Enbridge – Dredge Operations – Mill Ponds*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enbridge – Dredge Operations – Delta/Morrow Lake*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enbridge – Dredge Operations – Sediment Traps*	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enbridge – Waste Management*	0	0	0	0	27	48	49	0	47	49	38	7	45	46
Enbridge – Office Support*	3	13	25	29	28	29	30	6	15	34	33	34	33	33
<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>149</b>

\*Enbridge Operations and Field include Enbridge and contractors as reported by Enbridge