

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, REGION V POLLUTION/SITUATION REPORT #195



KALAMAZOO RIVER/ENBRIDGE SPILL – REMOVAL SITE # Z5JS MARSHALL, MICHIGAN LATITUDE: 42.2395273; LONGITUDE: -84.9662018



	edman, U.S. EPA R ygo, MDEQ	egional Administrator									
	e DeLong, MDEQ										
	la Dykema, MDCH										
		Kalamazoo County Public Health									
		County Sheriff's Office									
		County Public Health D	-								
		inty Emergency Manage									
	Eichorst, City of Ba	ty Emergency Managem	ent								
•	osburg, City of Ma										
•		oun County Water Resou	arces Commissioner								
	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,									
From:	From: Jeffrey Kimble, U.S. EPA, Federal On-Scene Coordinator										
Date:	01/21/2014										
Operational Period:		2/02/2013 through 0700 2/09/2013 through 0700									
Reporting Period:	0700 hours 1	2/02/2013 through 0700	hours 12/16/2013								
1. Site	1. Site Data										
Site Number:	Z5JS	Response Type:	Emergency								
Response Authority:	OPA	Incident Category:	Removal Action								
Response Lead:	RP	NPL Status:	Non-NPL								
Mobilization Date: FPN#:	7/26/2010 E10527										

2. Operations Section

• The organizational response structure consisted of the following Branches: 1) Environmental Field Teams; and 2) Dredge Operations.

2.1 Environmental Field Teams Branch

2.1.1 Science Division

• Sediment trap monitoring continued in accordance with the approved Sediment Trap Monitoring and Maintenance Plan (July 10, 2012). Samples were collected from Cylindrical Sampling Devices (CSDs) on December 9, 2013.

2.1.2 Water Operations Division

- As of the close of the reporting period 2,800 feet of surface hard boom and 500 feet of subsurface halfcurtain remained in the Morrow Lake Delta at the E 4.0 Containment System.
- Management of oil sheen and/or globules continued throughout the period. No responses were conducted during this reporting period.

2.1.3 Compliance Division

- As of the close of the reporting period, Enbridge's MDEQ dredging permit application for the MP 28.25 sediment trap remained in review with MDEQ. The permit application will require a full review by MDEQ and a public notice period.
- U.S. EPA outlined in Section 47 of the March 14, 2013 Administrative Order and subsequently verbally communicated to Enbridge that it is Enbridge's responsibility to apply for MDEQ dredging permits and to modify existing permits to include dredging as the submerged oil recovery method, in a timeframe which allows for compliance with the U.S. EPA Order deadline of December 31, 2013. Enbridge has elected to wait for triggers to occur before applying for or modifying the existing MDEQ dredging permits.

2.2 Dredge Operations Branch

2.2.1 Ceresco, Mill Ponds, Morrow Lake and Sediment Trap Divisions

- Excavation of the newly exposed overbank soils in the "bowl" area immediately upstream of Ceresco Dam continued throughout the period. Sediment staging in cubic yard sacks and pumping of excavated sediment slurry across the river to the Ceresco dredge pad continued throughout the period.
- Dredging operations at the Mill Ponds impoundment were suspended on December 10, 2013 due to icing conditions.
- Material staging and slurry transfer station set-up continued throughout the period at the E-0.5 boat launch in preparation for sediment removal at the MP 26.0 RDB sediment trap.
- Dewatering of the MP 36.1 sediment trap began on December 3, 2013. Excavation began on December 4, 2013 and was suspended on December 11, 2013 due to flooding caused by a downstream ice jam. Temporary demobilization of equipment due to flooding conditions was completed on December 14, 2013.
- Pre-Dredge bathymetry was completed on December 6, 2013 in the Morrow Lake Delta, in preparation for sediment removal activities associated with removal of the E4.0 containment system silt curtains prior to winter months. Dredging operations were suspended on December 9, 2013 and additional removal of the E-4 containment system was suspended on December 16, 2013, due to icing conditions. Portions of the E-4 containment system silt curtain (along the E and F boom sections) were removed without dredging of accumulated sediment due to the early onset of icing conditions.
- During the reporting period, U.S. EPA met with Enbridge to discuss plans and status of options for continued sediment removal actions at Morrow Lake and Morrow Lake Delta as required by the March 14, 2013 Order.
- Table 1 presents the quantities of water treated at each dredge location during the reporting period. Table 2 presents the estimated dredge waste on-site in Geotube bags, the cumulative estimated dredge waste, and the cumulative waste shipped off-site for disposal.
- Work area air monitoring, dissolved oxygen water quality monitoring, and turbidity water quality monitoring were conducted during dredging activities.

- Cumulative quantities of soil, debris, and liquid shipped off-site during the response are presented in Tables 3 and 4.
- The cumulative quantity of recovered oil has been estimated using actual waste stream volumes, analytical data, and physical parameters of oil-containing media. A summary of the estimated volume of recovered oil is presented in Table 5.

3. Planning Section

3.1 Situation Unit

• During the reporting period, overflights were conducted on December 3 and 13, 2013 to document all operational areas and locations of oil sheen and/or globules. Photographs were taken and distributed to project participants in a Situation Update photograph log and during the weekly Consolidated ICS Meetings.

3.2 Environmental Unit

- U.S. EPA, USGS, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers held weekly meetings to discuss Hydrodynamic Model (HDM) activities.
- U.S. EPA continued review of sediment sample analytical results from legacy cores collected by Enbridge.
- U.S. EPA continued review of oil fingerprinting analytical results from sheen and globule samples collected by Enbridge to determine the presence/absence of Line 6B oil.

3.3 Documentation Unit

• The Documentation Unit continued organizing and archiving electronic and paper files for post-incident use.

3.4 Resource Unit

• The Resources Unit continued to support production of the Incident Action Plan (IAP), supported the planning efforts of operations, and provided information to Logistics personnel in order to properly prepare and procure resources.

4. Command

4.1 Safety Officers

- Enbridge safety personnel continued conducting work-site safety inspections and implementing the plan for integration of public safety and worker safety on the Kalamazoo River.
- The USCG is providing the U.S. EPA with on-site support by monitoring safety throughout all active work areas.

4.2 Public Information

• The number of public inquires reported by Enbridge for this period is presented in Table 7.

5. Finance

• The current National Pollution Funds Center (NPFC) ceiling is \$63.25 million. Approximately 93.3% of the ceiling has been spent through December 15, 2013. The latest average 14-day burn rate was \$24,508 per day. These cost summaries reflect only U.S. EPA-funded expenditures for the incident. A summary of these expenses is presented in Table 8.

6. Scientific Support Coordination Group (SSCG)

• No activities were conducted during this operational period.

7. Participating Entities

- U.S. EPA and MDEQ continued to meet bi-weekly with a group of stakeholders to discuss how to continue to effectively communicate, share information about site progress, and receive feedback about the communication needs of the local communities. During the reporting period, a Stakeholder meeting was held on December 12, 2013.
- For a list of cooperating and assisting agencies, see SITREP #51 (Sections 3.2 and 3.3).

8. Personnel On-Site

• Staffing numbers for the entities and agencies active in the response are presented in Table 9.

9. Source of Additional Information

For additional information, refer to <u>http://www.epa.gov/enbridgespill</u>. For sampling analysis data, see <u>http://response.enbridge.com/response/</u>.

10. Clean-up Progress Metrics

Table 1 – Water Treated During Dredging Operations for the Reporting Period

Dredge Location	Water Treated (gal)
Ceresco	1,868,183
Mill Ponds	4,830,893
Sediment Traps	5,295,000
Morrow Lake	0

Table 2 – Dredging Waste (as of 12/16/2013)

Waste Stream	Estimated Dredge Waste On-Site in Geotube bags (yd ³)	Waste Transferred to Ceresco Dredge Pad (yd ³)	Cumulative Estimated Dredge Waste (yd ³)	Cumulative Waste Shipped Off-Site for Disposal (tons)
Ceresco Pad Total	5,974*	1,322	102,453	105,620
Mill Ponds	6,743	1,944	22,442	15,354
Sediment Traps	0	0	11,477	10,639
Morrow Lake Delta	0	2,708	2,793	13
C0.4/Wildlife				
Center/C3.2/FTC	N/A	0	849**	182
Total	12,717	5,974*	140,013	131,809

*Estimate of Ceresco Pad waste includes waste from multiple sites as indicated.

**Estimated dredge waste related to oily debris.

Table 3 - Soil and Debris Shipped Off Site (as of 12/15/2013)

Cumulative	Disposal Facility					
19,644	Envirosafe (Oregon, OH)					
186,317	SET/C&C					
4,436	SET/Ottawa County Farms					
14,303	SET/WM Westside					
61 915	Westside Recycling (Three					
04,015	Rivers, MI)					
5 562	EQ/Republic (Marshall, MI)					
5,502	EQ Republic (Marshall, MI)					
12 075	EQ/Michigan Disposal (Wayne,					
12,075	MI) and Republic (Marshall, MI)					
2,202	SET/C&C					
13,174	SEI/Cac					
	Cumulative 19,644 186,317 4,436 14,303 64,815 5,562 12,075 2,202					

Shaded and italicized items are discontinued waste streams.

<u>a</u> ,			Cumulative Volume
Stream	Destination Company	Destination Location	(gallons)†
Non-Haz Water	Liquid Industrial Waste	Holland, MI	1,483,707
Non-Haz Water	Plummer	Kentwood, MI	754,614
Non-Haz Water	Dynecol	Detroit, MI	981,792
Non-Haz Water	Battle Creek POTW	Battle Creek, MI	1,143,280
Hazardous Water	Dynecol	Detroit, MI	3,594,579
Oil	Enhridae Eggility	Criffith IN	766,288
Other Material	Enbridge Facility	Griffith, IN	1,405,525
Treated Non-Haz Water	Liquid Industrial Waste	Holland, MI	370,200
Treated Non-Haz Water	Plummer	Kentwood, MI	4,976,140
Hazardous Water	Safety Kleen ^a		825
Treated Non-Haz Water	Dynecol	Detroit, MI	150,700
Treated Non-Haz Water	Battle Creek POTW	Battle Creek, MI	1,968,700
		Total	17,596,350

Table 4 - Liquid Shipped Off-Site (as of 12/15/2013)

Shaded and italicized items are discontinued waste streams.

Cumulative quantities may not reconcile with previous reports (due to auditing).a New Age lab water and methanol mix generated by mobile laboratory.

Waste Stream Containing Recovered Oil	Destination Company	Estimated Oil Volume in Waste Stream (gallons)	
Soil	C&C Landfill	Marshall, MI	23,178*
Impacted Soil & Debris	Envirosafe/ Westside RDF	Oregon, OH	278,665
<i>Geotube Sediment</i> - (Impacted Sediment)	Envirosafe/ Westside RDF	Oregon, OH	1,298
Debris - (Roll Off Boxes with Impacted Sorbents, boom, pads, plastic, PPE, vegetation, and biomass)	EQ Michigan	Belleville, MI	55,835*
Frac Tank City - Influent to Carbon Filtration System	C&C Landfill	Marshall, MI	8,109
	Dynecol	Detroit, MI	
Frac Tank City - Water	Liquid Industrial Waste Services, Inc.	Kentwood, MI	46,181*
	Plummers Env. Inc.	Holland, MI	
	BC POTW	Battle Creek, MI	
Ceresco Pretreatment System	C&C Landfill	Marshall, MI	90
A-1 Pretreatment System	C&C Landfill	Marshall, MI	9
Oily Water - RPP	Enbridge Facility	Griffith, IN	766,288
		Total	1,179,653

Table 5 – Estimated Recovered Oil (as of 12/16/2013)

Shaded and *italicized* items represent discontinued waste streams.

*Total updated for analytical received after report generation.

			December 2013												
Sample Type	Total	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Surface Water	9	0	0	0	0	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Private Well	13	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Groundwater	50	0	7	9	0	1	2	0	0	7	4	8	7	5	0
Sediment	11	0	0	0	0	2	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Soil	57	0	0	0	12	7	3	0	0	11	12	7	5	0	0
Product	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dewatering	18	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	8	0
Sheen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 6 – Samples Collected By Enbridge

Table 7 – Public Inquiries Received by Enbridge

Tuble 7 Tuble Inquiries Received by Elibridge													
November/December 2013													
13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	30
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13 12 11 10 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13 12 11 10 9 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13 12 11 10 9 8 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 0 1 0 <td>13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 0<td>13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 0<td>13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 0<td>13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0</td></td></td></td>	13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 0 <td>13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 0<td>13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 0<td>13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0</td></td></td>	13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 0 <td>13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 0<td>13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0</td></td>	13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 0 <td>13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0</td>	13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0

Item	Expend	ed (Cumulative)
ERRS Contractors		
EQM (EPS50802) T057	\$	1,199,522
<i>T060</i>	\$	213,636
LATA (EPS50804) T019	\$ \$ \$	1,161,082
<i>ER LLC (EPS50905)</i> T040		<u>683,330</u>
Total ERRS Contractors	\$	3,257,571
Other Contractors		
Lockheed Martin (EPW09031) – TAGA Support	\$	198,379
Lockheed Martin (EPW09031) -Biodegradability Study		61,886
T&T Bisso (EPA:HS800008)	\$	<u>882,087</u>
Total Other Contractors	\$	1,142,352
START Contractor – WESTON (EPS50604)		
T030-Response	\$	36,449,561
Community Relations	\$	114,563
T032-Sampling	\$	161,045
T037-Doc Support		<u>2,052,120</u>
Total START Contractor	\$	38,777,289
Response Contractor Sub-Totals	\$	43,183,884
U.S. EPA Funded Costs: Total U.S. EPA Costs	\$	6,784,707
Pollution Removal Funding Agreements		
Total Other Agencies	\$	3,637,081
Indirect Cost (16.00%)	\$	3,520,519
Indirect Cost (8.36%)-payments after 10/1/2011	\$	1,310,859
Indirect Cost (10.15%)-payments after 10/1/2012	\$	1,202,104
Cost Documentation/Billing Admin Fee (2.93%)*	\$	580,812
Total Est. Oil Spill Cost	\$	59,000,844
Oil Spill Ceiling Authorized by USCG	\$	63,250,000
Oil Spill Ceiling Available Balance**	\$	4,249,156

Shaded and *italicized* items are discontinued

* Effective on EPA Enbridge costs billed to USCG for bills issued after 6/5/12.

**USCG personnel may increase to compensate for EPA not having all available funds to fully staff the site to execute the OPA Project Plan as approved through 12/31/2013. USCG personnel will fill gaps in contractor/EPA staff planned resources as appropriate.

	December 2013													
Agency/Entity	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2
U.S. EPA	0	0	2	2	3	3	2	0	0	3	4	4	4	3
START	3	5	12	12	14	15	14	4	5	16	18	18	17	13
MDEQ	0	0	1	7	4	4	4	0	0	7	4	4	4	4
MDEQ Contractors	0	0	1	2	2	2	2	0	0	1	2	2	2	2
Other Agencies	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	5	6	5
Enbridge – Environmental Field Teams – Science*	3	6	25	29	29	34	32	6	14	30	31	32	31	34
Enbridge – Environmental Field Teams – Water Ops*	15	26	36	40	52	83	80	63	73	68	60	61	57	58
Enbridge – Dredge Operations – Ceresco*	81	94	101	107	97	102	97	79	106	97	100	101	109	88
Enbridge – Dredge Operations – Mill Ponds*	0	16	29	25	34	51	49	31	45	61	62	53	59	62
Enbridge – Dredge Operations – Delta/Morrow Lake*	27	34	43	44	34	40	44	46	45	49	53	55	44	41
Enbridge – Dredge Operations – Sediment Traps*	6	36	42	43	51	47	37	28	41	44	48	42	38	40
Enbridge – Waste Management*	59	129	146	125	139	143	162	129	157	162	166	169	163	135
Enbridge – Office Support*	13	33	44	50	49	53	54	24	34	51	52	50	57	40
Total	210	382	485	489	511	580	580	413	523	593	604	596	591	525

 Table 9 - Personnel On-Site

*Enbridge Operations and Field include Enbridge and contractors as reported by Enbridge