US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

# U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, REGION V POLLUTION/SITUATION REPORT #130



# KALAMAZOO RIVER/ENBRIDGE SPILL – REMOVAL SITE # Z5JS MARSHALL, MICHIGAN LATITUDE: 42.2395273; LONGITUDE: -84.9662018



**To:** Susan Hedman, U.S. EPA Regional Administrator

James Sygo, MDEQ Mark DuCharme, MDEQ Michelle DeLong, MDEQ Dr. Linda Dykema, MDCH

Lt. Barry Reber, Michigan State Police, Emergency Management

Deb Cardiff, Kalamazoo County

Lt. Paul Baker, Kalamazoo County Sheriff's Office

James Rutherford, Calhoun County Public Health Department Durk Dunham, Calhoun County Emergency Management

Mike McKenzie, City of Battle Creek Cheryl Vosburg, City of Marshall

Christine Kosmowski, City of Battle Creek

From: Ralph Dollhopf, U.S. EPA, Federal On-Scene Coordinator

**Start Date:** 

**Date**: 01/25/2012

**Reporting/Operational Period:** 0700 hours 1/12/2012 through 0700 hours 1/19/2012

## 1. Site Data

Site Number:Z5JSResponse Type:EmergencyResponse Authority:OPAIncident Category:Removal ActionResponse Lead:PRPNPL Status:Non-NPL

**Mobilization Date:** 7/26/2010 **FPN#:** E10527

# 2. Operations Section

• The organizational response structure consisted of the following Branches: 1) Overbank; 2) Submerged Oil; 3) Containment; 4) OSCAR; 5) Kalamazoo River; 6) Talmadge Creek; 7) Air Operations; and 8) Waste Management.

7/26/2010

#### 2.1 Overbank Branch

# 2.1.1 Overbank Science Group

 The Group continued developing plans for recovery at sites referred from the Outstanding Sites Characterization and Reconciliation (OSCAR) Branch, including OB 8.97 I, OB 9.10 LDB, and OB 10.37 RDB.

## 2.1.2 Overbank Compliance Group

• The Group continued permit preparation for response actions at the above referenced sites.

## 2.1.3 Overbank Recovery Group

- Teams conducted pre-planning for recovery operations at OB 13.40 LDB.
- Teams mobilized to MP 2.00 and continued mat installation, erosion control, and site grading.

• Teams completed pond-area excavation activities at OB 5.92 RDB, removed sheet piling, and began demobilization from the site.

## 2.1.4 Overbank Monitoring Group

- Teams continued to conduct water quality monitoring during sheet piling removal at OB 5.92 RDB.
- Teams continued to conduct air monitoring and sampling at OB 5.92 RDB. No air monitoring detections were noted during the reporting period.
- Enbridge continued to maintain an odor response team; however, no odor complaints were received during this reporting period.
- Air sampling and monitoring information is included in Tables 1 and 2.

## 2.2 Submerged Oil Branch

## 2.2.1 Submerged Oil Science Group

- Teams collected sediment samples from location SO 10.75 L2, SO 19.25 L1, and SO 21.50 for display to the Scientific Support Coordination Group during on site meetings scheduled for January 19 and 20, 2012.
- The Group continued review of hydrodynamic assessment data and generation of the hydrodynamic model to characterize the movement of submerged oil.
- The Group continued to compile packages for SO sites being considered and evaluated for potential winter work for presentation to the OSCAR Branch.

## 2.2.2 Submerged Oil Compliance Group

• No activities were conducted during the reporting period.

## 2.2.3 Submerged Oil Recovery Group

• No activities were conducted during the reporting period.

## 2.2.4 Submerged Oil Monitoring Group

No activities were conducted during the reporting period.

# 2.3 Containment Branch

## 2.3.1 Containment Science Group

- The Group continued refining the hydrodynamic model concepts and modifying the Plan for Preventing Migration of Oil Past the Morrow Lake Dam during Winter/Spring 2011-2012.
- The Group continued to develop subsurface containment strategies for depositional areas along the Kalamazoo River, including conducting hand calculations for various sites under consideration.

## 2.3.2 Containment Compliance Group

• The Group commenced development of necessary permits for subsurface containment at various sites along the Kalamazoo River.

## 2.3.3 Containment Recovery Group

• Teams continued to maintain 2,400 feet of surface hard boom at 13 protective containment sites and 400 feet of surface hard boom at 2 control points. Teams removed debris accumulated within the boomed areas.

## 2.3.4 Containment Monitoring Group

• Water level gauges were monitored at multiple locations along the Kalamazoo River, the Morrow Lake Delta, and Morrow Lake.

## 2.4 OSCAR Branch

- The OSCAR Branch continued to consolidate and prioritize outstanding work sites. The Branch participated in data review, quality control, and site closure processes to ensure that all pending issues are addressed. The OSCAR Branch requested information for site determination, and oversaw the transfer of sites between the various Branches.
- The OSCAR Branch reviewed data from several recently completed expedited RI sites along the Kalamazoo River to determine whether they are consistent with the U.S. EPA Order or whether further site plan development is necessary.
- The OSCAR Branch began reviewing packages prepared by the Submerged Oil Branch for determination as to whether winter work may be feasible at sites.
- Sites status information is presented in Table 3.

#### 2.5 Kalamazoo River Branch

## 2.5.1 Kalamazoo River Remedial Investigation Group

- Teams continued implementation and evaluation of the Kalamazoo River RI from MP 2.25 to MP 11.00.
- The Group continued development of the RI Work Plan for the next segment of the Kalamazoo River.

## 2.5.2 Kalamazoo River Compliance Group

• The Group continued restoration and stabilization at various Kalamazoo River Bank Erosion Assessment Sites.

## 2.5.3 Kalamazoo River Remedial Action Group

• Teams collected post-excavation verification samples at OB 5.92 RDB.

## 2.5.4 Kalamazoo River Monitoring Group

• Teams performed potable well sampling. Refer to Table 2 for the total number of samples collected during this reporting period, as reported by Enbridge.

# 2.6 Talmadge Creek Branch

## 2.6.1 Talmadge Creek Remedial Investigation Group

- The Group continued conducting the bulk sediment toxicity study.
- The Group collected supplemental soil and water samples at specific locations between Division Street and I-69.

# 2.6.2 Talmadge Creek Compliance Group

• The Group continued evaluation of RI data and Verification of Soil Remediation (VSR) data for MP 0.0 to MP 1.0.

## 2.6.3 Talmadge Creek Remedial Action Group

- Teams continued to operate and maintain the pump-around and GAC dewatering systems, and maintained soil erosion and sedimentation controls.
- Excavation, restoration, and post–excavation verification sampling continued from MP 0.5 through MP 1.0.
- Teams began installing the mat road from MP 1.0 to MP 2.25.

## 2.6.4 Talmadge Creek Monitoring Group

- Teams continued monitoring erosion control devices.
- Teams conducted water quality monitoring for excavation activities along Talmadge Creek.

## 2.7 Air Operations Branch

- One overflight was conducted for situational awareness during this reporting period.
- Photographs were taken during the overflight for presentation during various meetings.

## 2.8 Waste Management Branch

## 2.8.1 Decontamination Group

A summary of equipment and boom decontaminated during this reporting period is presented in Table 4.

# 2.8.2 Transportation and Disposal Group

• Teams continued to haul contaminated soil, water, and debris to staging areas. Samples were collected for waste characterization and oil recovery determination prior to off-site disposal.

- Quantities of oil and debris shipped off-site during the reporting period are presented in Tables 5 through 8.
- The total amount of recovered oil from the inception of the response has been estimated using actual waste stream volumes, analytical data, and physical parameters of oil-containing media. A summary of the estimated volume of recovered oil is presented in Table 9.

## 2.8.3 Waste Management Characterization Group

• Waste management characterization, manifesting, and coordination of transportation and disposal continued according to approved plans.

## 3. Planning

#### 3.1 Situation Unit

- Situation Unit personnel observed and documented progress in operational areas, and continued to assess areas of interest including locations of oil sheen, turbidity, and ice formation.
- Daily situational photo logs were prepared and distributed to project participants.

## 3.1.1 GIS Specialists

• GIS personnel continued to support operations with the generation of site maps.

#### 3.2 Environmental Unit

- EPA continued coordination with Unites States Geological Survey (USGS) regarding the Kalamazoo River geomorphology evaluation and the impact on strategy and tactics for future oil recovery efforts.
- The Environmental Unit prepared presentations and materials for the on-site kick-off meeting for the Scientific Support Coordination Group (SSCG), scheduled for January 19 and 20, 2012. See Section 7 for additional information regarding the SSCG.

#### 3.3 Documentation Unit

• Documentation Unit personnel continued organizing and archiving electronic and paper files.

## 3.4 Resource Unit

• Personnel continued to produce Incident Action Plans (IAPs), support the planning efforts of operations, and provided information to Logistics personnel in order to properly prepare and procure resources.

#### 4. Command

## 4.1 Safety Officers

• Safety personnel continued to conduct work-site safety inspections, with a focus on seasonal weather changes and excavation activities at OB 5.92 and Talmadge Creek.

• An electrical incident, a motor vehicle incident, and three slip/trip/fall incidents occurred during the reporting period. No injuries were sustained and all employees returned to work.

#### **4.2 Public Information**

- No activities were conducted during this reporting period.
- The number of public inquires reported by Enbridge for this period is presented in Table 10.

## 5. Landowner Environmental Issues

• Landowner environmental issues, as reported by Enbridge, are presented in Table 11.

## 6. Finance

• The current National Pollution Funds Center (NPFC) ceiling is \$43.7 Million. Approximately 88.3% of the ceiling has been spent through January 15, 2012. The latest average 7-day burn rate was \$34,288. These cost summaries reflect only EPA-funded expenditures for the incident. A summary of these expenses is presented in Table 12.

## 7. Scientific Support Coordination Group (SSCG)

• On January 19 and 20, 2012, EPA held discussions with the SSCG which has been formed to provide scientific advice to the FOSC regarding EPA's determination of final cleanup endpoints.

## 8. Participating Entities

- The Multi-Agency Coordination (MAC) Group continues meeting twice per month to discuss the progress of the response. Entities participating in the MAC include:
  - o U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
  - o Michigan Department of Environmental Quality
  - o Michigan Department of Community Health
  - o City of Battle Creek
  - o City of Marshall
  - o Calhoun County Public Health Department
  - o Calhoun County Emergency Management
  - o Kalamazoo County Health and Community Services Department
  - Kalamazoo County Sheriff
  - o Enbridge (Responsible Party).
- The MAC did not meet during the reporting period.
- For a list of cooperating and assisting agencies and the congressional presence, see SITREP #51 (Sections 3.2 and 3.3).

## 9. Personnel On-Site

• Staffing numbers for the entities and agencies active in the response are presented in Table 13.

## 10. Source of Additional Information

• For additional information, refer to <a href="http://www.epa.gov/enbridgespill">http://www.epa.gov/enbridgespill</a>. For sampling analysis data, see <a href="http://response.enbridge.com/response/">http://response.enbridge.com/response/</a>.

Table 1 – Real Time Air Monitoring Counts Performed by Enbridge

		January 2012						
<b>Monitoring Location</b>	Total	18	17	16	15	14	13	12
Odor Response	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Work Area	84	18	12	17	0	11	11	15

**Table 2 – Samples Collected By Enbridge** 

		January 2012						
Sample Type	Total	18	17	16	15	14	13	12
Surface Water	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Private Well	27	9	7	11	0	0	0	0
Groundwater	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Sediment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Soil	36	11	3	14	0	0	5	3
Dewatering	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Air (Odor Complaint)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Air (Work Area)	18	3	3	3	0	3	3	3

**Table 3 – Site Status Information (as of 1/18/2012)** 

Overbank Branch	5
Submerged Oil Branch	186
Containment Branch	5
Talmadge Creek Branch	1
Kalamazoo River Branch	6
Consistent with EPA Order and up for MDEQ Part 201 Program	1
Total Sites	204

**Table 4 - Equipment Decontamination** 

		January 2012						
Location/Media	Total	18	17	16	15	14	13	12
Frac Tanks	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Vac Trucks-Tankers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Roll-Off Boxes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yellow Iron (light)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yellow Iron (heavy)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jon Boats	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Air Boats	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Boom (linear ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous Items	32	4	1	1	0	1	1	24

Table 5 - Soil and Debris Shipped Off Site (as of 1/18/2012)

Waste Stream	Cumulative	Disposal Facility
Haz Soil (yd³)	19,644	Envirosafe (Oregon, OH)
Non-Haz Soil & Debris (yd³) (Excluding Ceresco Dredge)	60,458	Terra/C&C
Non-Haz Soil & Debris (yd³) (Excluding Ceresco Dredge)	64,815	Westside Recycling (Three Rivers, MI)
Non-Haz Soil (yd³) (Ceresco Dredge Only)	5,562	EQ/Republic (Marshall, MI)
Haz Debris (yd³)	12,075	EQ/Michigan Disposal (Wayne, MI) and Republic (Marshall, MI)
Non-Haz Household Debris (ton)	1,160	
Non-Haz Impacted Debris (ton)	6,475	EQ/Republic (Marshall, MI); C&C

Shaded items are discontinued waste streams.

Table 6 - Oil/Water Collected by Location (as of 1/18/2012)

Location	Cumulative (gallons)
Division A	5,356,315
Division B	4,885,279
Division C	2,090,838
Division D	121,106
Division E	52,631
Decontamination	2,317,989
Site A	320,909
Other Locations*	1,767,209
Subtotal	16,912,276
Sludge**	474,215
Total Oil/Water	16,438,061

<sup>\*</sup> Wildlife Center Operations, Sediment Trap Cleaning, Hydro-Vacuuming.

Table 7 - Liquid Shipped Off-Site (as of 1/18/2012)

Stream	Destination Company	Destination Location	Cumulative Volume (gallons) †
Non-Haz Water	Battle Creek POTW	Battle Creek, MI	1,010,480
Non-Haz Water	Dynecol	Detroit, MI	981,792
Non-Haz Water	Liquid Industrial Waste	Holland, MI	944,807
Non-Haz Water	Plummer	Kentwood, MI	268,025
Hazardous Water	Dynecol	Detroit, MI	3,594,579
Oil Other Material	Enbridge Facility	Griffith, IN	766,288 1,405,525
Treated Non-Haz Water	Liquid Industrial Waste	Holland, MI	370,200
Treated Non-Haz Water	Plummer	Kentwood, MI	4,976,140
Hazardous Water	Safety Kleen a		825
Treated Non-Haz Water*	Dynecol	Detroit, MI	150,700
Treated Non-Haz Water*	Battle Creek POTW	Battle Creek, MI	1,968,700
		Total	16,438,061

<sup>\*\*</sup> Sludge collected is tracked as a liquid waste inbound; however, after stabilization, the sludge is disposed of as solid waste. Therefore, the volume of sludge in not included within the cumulative oil/water totals. Stabilized sludge is included in the solid waste disposal metrics.

Shaded and italicized items are discontinued waste streams.

- † Cumulative quantities may not reconcile with previous reports (due to auditing).
- a New Age lab water and methanol mix generated by mobile laboratory.
- \* Treated Non-Haz Water no longer sent to this location.

Table 8 - Oil/Water Remaining On-Site (as of 1/18/2012)

Item	Cumulative (gallons)
Oil/Water Collected	16,438,061
Oil/Water Shipped Off-Site	16,438,061
Total Oil/Water Remaining On-Site	0

Table 9 - Estimated Recovered Oil (as of 1/16/2012)

Waste Stream Containing Recovered Oil	Destination Company	Destination Location	Estimated Oil Volume in Waste Stream (gallons)
Soil - (Impacted Soil & Debris)	C&C Landfill	Marshall, MI	12,405*
Soil - (Impacted Soil & Debris)	Envirosafe/ Westside RDF	Oregon, OH	278,665
Geotube Sediment - (Impacted Sediment)	Envirosafe/ Westside RDF	Oregon, OH	1,298
<b>Debris</b> - (Roll Off Boxes with Impacted Sorbents, boom, pads, plastic, PPE, vegetation, and biomass)	EQ Michigan	Belleville, MI	33,693
Frac Tank City - Influent to Carbon Filtration System	C&C Landfill	Marshall, MI	8,109
	Dynecol	Detroit, MI	
Frac Tank City - Water	Liquid Industrial Waste Services, Inc.	K entwood MI	
	Plummers Env Inc.	Holland, MI	
	BC POTW	Battle Creek, MI	
Ceresco Pretreatment System	C&C Landfill	Marshall, MI	90
A-1 Pretreatment System	C&C Landfill	Marshall, MI	9
Oily Water - RPP	Enbridge Facility	Griffith, IN	766,288
Total	-		1,146,678

Shaded items represent discontinued waste streams

<sup>\*</sup>Totals updated for analytical received after report generation.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Totals updated for analytical received after report generation and verification of 11-17-11 O&G analysis.

Table 10 - Public Inquiries Received by EPA and Enbridge

		January 2012						
Location/Media	Total	18	17	16	15	14	13	12
Marshall Community Center	5	4	1	0	0	0	0	0
Oil Spill Public Information Hotline	4	0	1	1	0	0	2	0
Website	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Public Inquiries	9	4	2	1	0	0	2	0

Table 11 - Landowner Environmental Issues (as of 1/18/2012)

<b>Issues this Period</b>	<b>Issues Undergoing Evaluation</b>	Issues Considered Addressed
0	5	0

Table 12 - Financial Summary

Item		Expended (Cumulat (as of 1/15/2012)		
ERRS Contractors				
EQM (EPS50802)	T057	\$	1,199,522	
	T060	\$	213,636	
LATA (EPS50804)	T019	\$	1,161,082	
ER LLC (EPS50905)	T040	<u>\$</u> \$	683,330	
	<b>Total ERRS Contractors</b>	\$	3,257,571	
Other Contractors				
Lockheed Martin (EPW09031) - TAG	GA Support	\$	185,951	
T&T Bisso (EPA:HS800008)		\$	882,087	
	<b>Total Other Contractors</b>	<u>\$</u> <u>\$</u>	1,068,038	
START Contractor - WESTON (El	PS50604) T030-Response	\$	21,292,420	
	T032-Sampling	\$	183,567	
	T037-Doc Support	\$	1,237,363	
	<b>Total START Contractor</b>	<u>\$</u>	22,713,350	
Respo	onse Contractor Sub-Totals	\$	27,038,959	
EPA Funded Costs: Total EPA Cost	S	\$	5,594,005	
Pollution Removal Funding Agreen	nents - Total Other Agencies	\$	1,508,854	
	Sub-Totals	\$	34,141,818	
Indirect Cost		\$	4,452,014	
	Total Est. Oil Spill Cost	\$	38,593,832	
Oil Spill Ceiling Authorized by US		\$	43,700,000	
Oil Spill Ceiling Available Balance		\$	5,106,168	

Shaded items are discontinued

**Table 13 - Personnel On-Site** 

14616 16	January 2012						
Agency/Entity	18	17	16	15	14	13	12
U.S. EPA	3	2	2	1	2	1	1
START	22	22	20	2	16	20	22
MDEQ	8	8	8	0	1	8	8
MDEQ Contractors	6	8	6	0	0	6	8
Calhoun County Public Health	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calhoun County (CC) EM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Battle Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City of Marshall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Village of Augusta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kalamazoo County Public Health	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kalamazoo Sheriff	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MDCH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USGS	2	1	0	0	0	1	2
Michigan State Police EMD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enbridge – Operations Center	75	71	62	2	19	65	83
Enbridge – Talmadge Creek	74	70	72	3	55	70	65
Enbridge – Kalamazoo River	9	8	10	0	0	3	4
Enbridge – Containment	7	7	7	0	4	4	4
Enbridge – Submerged Oil	1	1	1	0	1	1	1
Enbridge – Overbank	27	31	30	3	25	30	29
Enbridge – Waste Management	32	35	35	0	33	36	34
Enbridge – Security & Flaggers	11	8	8	8	8	8	8
Enbridge –Communications Center	0	0	2	0	0	1	2
Total	277	272	263	19	164	254	271

<sup>\*</sup>Enbridge Operations and Field include Enbridge and contractors as reported by Enbridge