US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, REGION V POLLUTION/SITUATION REPORT #95



KALAMAZOO RIVER/ENBRIDGE SPILL – REMOVAL SITE # Z5JS MARSHALL, MICHIGAN LATITUDE: 42.2395273 LONGITUDE: -84.9662018



US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

To: Lt. Paul Baker, Kalamazoo County Sheriff's Office

James Rutherford, Calhoun County Public Health Department Durk Dunham, Calhoun County Emergency Management

Lt. Barry Reber, Michigan State Police, Emergency Management.

Mark DuCharme, MDEQ

Mike McKenzie, City of Battle Creek

Susan Hedman, U.S. EPA Regional Administrator

James Sygo, MDEQ

Cheryl Vosburg, City of Marshall

Christine Kosnowski, City of Battle Creek

From: Ralph Dollhopf, U.S. EPA, Federal On-Scene Coordinator

Tricia Edwards, U.S. EPA, On-Scene Coordinator Jeff Kimble, U.S. EPA, On-Scene Coordinator Stephen Wolfe, U.S. EPA, On-Scene Coordinator José Negron, U.S. EPA, On-Scene Coordinator

Date: 04/19/2011

Reporting Period: 0700 hours 04/11/2011 through 0700 hours 04/18/2011

1. Site Data

Site Number: Z5JS Contract Number:

D.O. Number: Action Memo Date:

Response Authority:OPAResponse Type:EmergencyResponse Lead:PRPIncident Category:Removal Action

NPL Status: Non-NPL Operable Unit:

Mobilization Date: 7/26/2010 **Start Date:** 7/26/2010

Demobilization Date: Completion Date:

CERCLIS ID: RCRIS ID:

ERNS No.: State Notification:

FPN#: E10527 Reimbursable Account:

2. Previous Response Actions and Current Response Governance

See Situation Report (SITREP) #51 for a comprehensive description of preliminary operations. Previous response actions performed to date may be found in SITREPs #1 through #94.

Governance for the project is provided by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) Federal On-Scene Coordinator (FOSC) and the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality. The structure of the response is the Incident Command System (ICS), with the FOSC serving as the Incident Commander (IC).

The Operational Period covered in this report is: 0700 04/11/2011 through 0700 04/18/2011.

3. Operations

This current operational phase of the response consists of: 1) Shoreline and Overbank Re-assessment Technique (SORT); 2) Operations and Maintenance (O&M); 3) Decontamination; and 4) Other Operations.

Sheen, oil and/or tar patties were observed at over 70 unique locations inspected by the various operational groups identified herein.

3.1 Shoreline and Overbank Re-assessment Technique (SORT)

Five SORT Teams began reassessment of the shoreline and overbank areas within the floodplain as defined by the inundation model along the Talmadge Creek and Kalamazoo River. A summary of SORT progress for this period is presented below.

Table 1 – SORT Progress as of 4/17/2011

Quarter – mile Segments Completed	111 locations (34.7% of planned locations)

3.2 Operations and Maintenance (O&M)

Teams inspected O&M locations. In general, team activities included an inspection of containment boom to ensure proper placement and effectiveness and inspection for readily visible oil or oil-saturated soils.

A summary of the number of sites in the O&M process as reported by Enbridge is summarized below.

Table 2 – O&M Maintenance and Monitoring Areas

	April 2011						
O&M Process	17	16	15	14	13	12	11
Active	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monitoring	39	39	39	39	39	39	39
Recommended to be Cleared	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Under Evaluation for DEQ Compliance	54	54	54	54	54	54	54
Total	103	103	103	103	103	103	103

3.3 Decontamination

Equipment and boom that were decontaminated during this operational period as reported by Enbridge is presented below.

Table 3 - Equipment Decontamination

		April 2011						
Location/Media	Total	17	16	15	14	13	12	11
Frac Tanks	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Vac Trucks-Tankers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Roll-Off Boxes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yellow Iron	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marine Vessels	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Boom (feet)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

3.4 Additional Operations

3.4.1 Air Operations

There were 5 over-flights for situational awareness during this operational period and one additional flight for MDEQ personnel. Air Operations personnel observed and documented O&M progress in all operational areas and continued to assess areas of interest such as oil mobilization and decontamination activities.

Additional aerial overflight activities were performed for reassessment work. Specifically, a flight for Polarimetric Imagery (PI) was performed on Wednesday, April 13, 2011 and fixed wing flights for LIDAR were performed on Friday, Saturday and Sunday (April 15-17, 2011). A summary of the status of additional aerial imagery tasks being performed by Enbridge related to reassessment activities is presented below.

Table 4 – Aerial Imagery for Reassessment

Technology	Overflight	Ground Controls	Data Compilation	Report Complete
LIDAR	Completed	In-progress	Pending	Pending
FLS-AM	Completed	N/A	In-progress	Pending
Polarimetric Imagery	Completed	N/A	In-progress	Pending
Aerial Photography	Completed	Completed	Pending	Pending

N/A = Not Applicable

3.4.2 Environmental Compliance and Oversight

Continued waste management characterization, documentation and coordination. Coordination with the MDEQ continues, particularly with regards to MDEQ concurrence of work contemplated by Enbridge in ecologically sensitive areas during operations.

3.4.3 Monitoring Branch

Under MDEQ direction, potable water, groundwater, soil, sediment and surface water sampling were performed in accordance with the existing U.S. EPA-approved Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) (adopted by MDEQ) and a reduced sampling frequency as approved by the MDEQ.

Samples reported by Enbridge are provided below.

Table 5 – Samples Collected By Enbridge

			April 2011					
Sample Type	Total	17	16	15	14	13	12	11
Surface Water	42	0	0	6	16	8	10	2
Private Well Samples	13	0	0	0	0	0	4	9
Groundwater Samples	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sediment Samples	12	0	0	0	3	4	5	0
Soil Samples	100	0	0	12	0	26	20	42

4. Landowner Environmental Issues

Landowner environmental issues, as reported by Enbridge for this period are represented below.

Table 6 – Landowner Environmental Issues

Issues this Period	Issues Undergoing Evaluation	Issues Considered Addressed
1	13	2

5. Progress Metrics

Progress metrics reported in this section are as reported by Enbridge.

Table 7 - Boom and Aqua Dam Report

	April 2011						
Metric	17	16	15	14	13	12	11
# Boom Locations	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
[# control points included]	[6]	[6]	[6]	[6]	[6]	[6]	[6]
Total Surface Boom Deployed (ft)	20,700	20,700	20,700	20,700	20,700	20,700	20,700
[ft of control point surface boom]	[6,770]	[6,770]	[6,770]	[6,750]	[6,750]	[6,750]	[6,750]
# Aqua Dam Locations	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Aqua Dam Deployed (ft)	670	670	670	670	670	670	670
Sand-Super Sacks	39	39	39	39	39	39	39
[Sand Bags]	[1,448]	[1,448]	[1,420]	[1,420]	[1,420]	[1,420]	[1,420]

NR = Not Reported by Enbridge

Table 8 - Soil and Debris Shipped Off Site as of 4/18/2011

Waste Stream	Cumulative	Disposal Facility
Haz Soil (yd ³)	19,644	Envirosafe (Oregon, OH)
Non-Haz Soil & Debris (yd³) (Excluding Ceresco Dredge)	73,990	Westside Recycling (Three Rivers, MI); Terra/C&C and EQ/Republic
Non-Haz Soil (yd³) (Ceresco Dredge Only)	5,562	(Marshall, MI)
Haz Debris (yd³)	12,075	EQ/Michigan Disposal (Wayne, MI) and Republic (Marshall, MI)
Non-Haz Household Debris (ton)	660	EQ/Republic (Marshall, MI); C&C
Non-Haz Impacted Debris (ton)	1,620	EQ/Republic (Marshall, MI), C&C

Table 9 – Oil/Water Collected by Location (as of 4/18/2011)

	Cumulative
Location	(gallons)
Division A	5,356,315
Division B	4,843,782
Division C	1,891,406
Division D	121,106
Division E	47,438
Decontamination	1,489,800
Site A	159,231
Other Locations*	1,196,440
Subtotal	15,105,518
Sludge**	(467,660)
Total Oil/Water	14,637,858

^{*} Decontamination Operations, Wildlife Center Operations, Sediment Trap Cleaning, Hydro-Vacuuming.

^{**} Sludge collected is tracked as a liquid waste; however, after stabilization, the sludge is disposed of as a solid waste. Therefore the volume of sludge in not included within the cumulative oil/water totals. Stabilized sludge is included in the solid waste disposal numbers shown in Table 8.

Table 10 – Oil/Water Separation 4/18/2011 (Enbridge Facility in Griffith, IN)

	Cumulative
Item	(gallons)
Oil	766,288
Other Material	1,405,525
Total	2,171,813

Table 11 - Oil/Water Remaining On-Site 4/18/2011

Item	Cumulative (gallons)
Oil/Water Collected	14,637,858
Oil/Water Shipped Off-Site	14,626,627
Total Oil/Water Remaining On-Site	11,231

Table 12 - Liquid Shipped Off-site as of 4/18/2011

		Destination	Cumulative Volume
Stream	Destination Company	Location	(gallons) †
Hazardous Water	Dynecol	Detroit, MI	3,594,579
Oil/Water	Enbridge Facility	Griffith, IN	2,171,813
Treated Non-Haz Water	Liquid Industrial Waste	Holland, MI	370,200
Treated Non-Haz Water	Plummer	Kentwood, MI	4,976,140
Hazardous Water	Safety Kleen ^a		825
Non-Haz Water	Dynecol	Detroit, MI	578,250
Treated Non-Haz Water*	Dynecol	Detroit, MI	150,700
Treated Non-Haz Water*	Battle Creek POTW	Battle Creek, MI	1,968,700
Non-Haz Water	Battle Creek POTW	Battle Creek, MI	815,420
		Total	14,626,627

 ^{*} Treated Non-Haz Water no longer sent to this location.

6. Support Operations

6.1 Planning

The Planning Department coordinated with Enbridge Planning in the ICS planning cycle.

6.1.1 Environmental Unit

Continued to review report submittals received from Enbridge.

6.1.2 Data Management Unit

Continued importing preliminary and validated analytical results into Scribe, exporting daily briefings; and processing and printing maps as requested. Database management is being done in Vernon Hills, IL and/or Houston, TX.

6.1.3 Documentation Unit

Continued organization and archiving of electronic and paper files.

[†] Cumulative quantities may not reconcile with previous reports (due to auditing).

a. New Age lab water and methanol mix generated by mobile laboratory.

6.2 Safety

Due to the continued changing of the seasons, an emphasis continues to be placed on spring weather awareness (heavy rain, fog, snow, swift water, flood watch and rising of water levels) with the work crews. Enbridge and agency safety officers conducted a safety stand-down on ICP response to fire and severe weather situations.

The safety staff provided field support for the reassessment teams and training to new reassessment staff.

6.3 Public Information

The quantity of public inquires reported by Enbridge for this period is presented below.

Table 13 – Public Inquiries Received by EPA and Enbridge

Location/Media	Total	April 2011						
		17	16	15	14	13	12	11
Marshall Community Center	8	0	0	1	1	1	2	3
Oil Spill Public Information Hotline	16	0	0	3	3	5	2	3
Website	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Public Inquiries	24	0	0	4	4	6	4	6

6.4 Finance

The current NPFC issued ceiling is \$31.2 Million. Approximately 83.8% of the ceiling has been spent through April 17, 2011. As of April 17, 2011, the latest average 7-day burn rate was \$31,505 per day. These cost summaries reflect only EPA-funded expenditures for the incident. A summary of these expenses is presented below.

Table 14 - Financial Summary

Table 14 - Financial Summary			
	Expended (Cumulative) as of		
Item	4/17/2011		
ERRS Contractors			
EQM (EPS50802) T05	\$ 1,250,065		
T06	* 20 4 ,903		
LATA (EPS50804) T019	, , , , - ,		
ER LLC (EPS50905) T040	\$\frac{\$723,669}{\$3,630,035}		
Total ERRS Contractor	\$ 3,630,035		
Other Contractors			
Lockheed Martin (EPW09031) – TAGA Support	\$ 150,000		
T&T Bisso (EPA:HS800008)	\$ 1,255,000 \$ 1,405,000		
Total Other Contractor	\$ 1,405,000		
START Contractor – WESTON (EPS50604) T030-Respons	. , ,		
T032-Samplin T037-Doc Suppor	φ 100,21 4		
	<u>\$ 673,092</u>		
Total START Contractor	\$11,011,734		
Response Contractor Sub-Total	s \$16,046,769		
EPA Funded Costs: Total EPA Costs	\$ 4,781,233		
Pollution Removal Funding Agreements — Total Other Agencies	\$ 1,723,682		
Sub-Total:	\$22,551,684		
Indirect Cost (16.00%)	\$ 3,608,269		
Total Est. Oil Spill Cos	\$26,159,953		
Oil Spill Ceiling Authorized by USCG	\$31,200,000		
Oil Spill Ceiling Available Balance1	\$ 5,040,047		

7. Participating Entities

A Multi-Agency Coordination (MAC) Group meets weekly regarding the progress of the response. Entities participating in the MAC include:

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- Michigan Department of Environmental Quality
- Michigan State Police Emergency Management Division
- City of Battle Creek
- City of Marshall
- Calhoun County Public Health Department
- Calhoun County Emergency Management
- Kalamazoo County Sheriff
- Enbridge (Responsible Party)

For a list of cooperating and assisting agencies and the congressional presence, see SITREP #51 (Sections 3.2) and 3.3).

Personnel On-Site

Staffing numbers for the entities and agencies active in the response are presented in Table 15.

Table 15 - Personnel On-Site

	April 2011							
Agency/Entity	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	
U.S. EPA	1	1	4	3	2	2	3	
START	5	10	18	18	17	15	16	
Calhoun County Public Health	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Calhoun County (CC) EM	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
City of Battle Creek	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	
City of Marshall	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
Village of Augusta	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Kalamazoo County Public Health	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Kalamazoo Sheriff	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MDEQ	0	0	25	17	12	12	12	
Michigan State Police EMD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NOAA – National Weather	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
USFWS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Enbridge – Operations	21	21	132	90	93	94	95	
Enbridge – Field	19	29	93	127	113	118	113	
Total	46	61	273	261	239	241	239	

^{*}Enbridge Operations and Field include Enbridge and contractors as reported by Enbridge

Source of Additional Information

For additional information, refer to http://www.epa.gov/enbridgespill. For sampling analysis data, see http://response.enbridge.com/response/main.aspx?id=13168.