US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, REGION V POLLUTION/SITUATION REPORT #94



KALAMAZOO RIVER/ENBRIDGE SPILL – REMOVAL SITE # Z5JS MARSHALL, MICHIGAN LATITUDE: 42.2395273 LONGITUDE: -84.9662018



EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

To: Lt. Paul Baker, Kalamazoo County Sheriff's Office

James Rutherford, Calhoun County Public Health Department

Lt. Barry Reber, Michigan State Police, Emergency Management.

Durk Dunham, Calhoun County Emergency Management

Mark DuCharme, MDEQ

Mike McKenzie, City of Battle Creek

Susan Hedman, U.S. EPA Regional Administrator

James Sygo, MDEQ

Cheryl Vosburg, City of Marshall

Christine Kosnowski, City of Battle Creek

From: Ralph Dollhopf, U.S. EPA, Federal On-Scene Coordinator

> Tricia Edwards, U.S. EPA, On-Scene Coordinator Jeff Kimble, U.S. EPA, On-Scene Coordinator Stephen Wolfe, U.S. EPA, On-Scene Coordinator

Date: 04/12/2011

Reporting Period: 0700 hours 04/04/2011 through 0700 hours 04/11/2011

1. Site Data

Site Number: Z5JS **Contract Number:**

D.O. Number: **Action Memo Date:**

Response Authority: OPA **Response Type:** Emergency **Response Lead: PRP Incident Category:** Removal Action

NPL Status: Non-NPL **Operable Unit:**

Mobilization Date: 7/26/2010 **Start Date:** 7/26/2010

Demobilization Date: Completion Date:

RCRIS ID: CERCLIS ID:

ERNS No.: **State Notification:**

FPN#: E10527 **Reimbursable Account:**

2. Previous Response Actions and Current Response Governance

See SITREP #51 for a comprehensive description of preliminary operations. Previous response actions performed to date may be found in Situation Reports (SITREPs) #1 through #93.

Governance for the project is provided by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) Federal On-Scene Coordinator (FOSC) and the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality. The structure of the response is the Incident Command System (ICS), with the FOSC serving as the Incident Commander (IC).

The Operational Period covered in this report is: 0700 04/04/2011 through 0700 04/11/2011.

3. **Operations**

This current operational phase of the response consists of: 1) Operations and Maintenance (O&M); 2) Decontamination; and 3) Other Operations.

3.1 Operations and Maintenance (O&M)

Teams inspected O&M locations. In general, team activities included an inspection of containment boom to ensure proper placement and effectiveness and inspection for readily visible oil or oil-saturated soils. Additionally, O&M operations (i.e., access road construction, excavation) continued. A summary of significant actions for this period is presented in Table 1.

Table 1 – O&M Actions

Activity	Number of Locations	Location (MP)
Sheen and/or Product Observation	35	B5, 2.25 L2, 2.50 L1, 2.75 R1, 3.25 R1, 3.25 IA, 3.75 IC, 4.25 IE, 4.50 L1, 4.50 IF, C0.5, 5.55 N, 6.00 R1, 6.00 R4, 7.00 R1, 8.50 L1, 9.00 I1, 9.50 L1, 9.50 Island across from Wattles Park, 10.50 L2, 10.75 L2 SO, 11.25 R1, 11.25 R2, 11.50 R1, 11.75 R1, 12.75 I1, 13.00 R1, C6, 17.00 L1, D3, 21.50 R1, 28.25 R1, E3, E4, and Division Street culvert crossing.

A summary of the number of sites in the O&M process as reported by Enbridge is summarized below.

Table 2 – O&M Maintenance and Monitoring Areas

	April 2011							
O&M Process	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	
Active	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Monitoring	32	32	32	31	31	31	31	
Recommended to Cleared	17	17	17	18	18	18	18	
Under Evaluation for								
DEQ Compliance *	54	54	54	54	54	54	54	
Total	103	103	103	103	103	103	103	

^{*}Previously noted as "Signed Off"

3.2 Decontamination

Equipment and boom that were decontaminated during this operational period as reported by Enbridge is presented below.

Table 3 - Equipment Decontamination

		1 1						
		April 2011						
Location/Media	Total	10	9	8	7	6	5	4
Frac Tanks	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Vac Trucks-Tankers	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Roll-Off Boxes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yellow Iron	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Marine Vessels	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Boom (feet)	125	0	0	0	0	100	0	25

N/R = Not reported by Enbridge.

3.3 Additional Operations

3.3.1 Air Operations

There were 3 over-flights for situational awareness during this operational period. Air Operations personnel observed and documented O&M progress in all operational areas and continued to assess areas of interest such as oil mobilization and decontamination activities.

3.3.2 Environmental Compliance and Oversight

Continued waste management characterization, documentation and coordination. Coordination with the MDEQ continues, particularly with regards to MDEQ concurrence of work contemplated by Enbridge in ecologically sensitive areas during operations. Enbridge trained staff on the shoreline and overbank reassessment techniques (SOFT) which will be utilized in the reassessment of the Talmadge Creek and Kalamazoo River.

3.3.3 Monitoring Branch

Under MDEQ direction, potable water, groundwater, soil, sediment and surface water sampling were performed in accordance with the existing U.S. EPA-approved Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) (adopted by MDEQ) and a reduced sampling frequency as approved by the MDEQ.

Samples reported by Enbridge are provided below.

Table 4 – Samples Collected By Enbridge

		April 2011						
Sample Type	Total	10	9	8	7	6	5	4
Surface Water	31	0	0	0	7	8	8	8
Private Well Samples	33	0	0	0	7	8	10	8
Groundwater Samples	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sediment Samples	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Soil Samples	107	0	0	25	52	15	15	0

4. Landowner Environmental Issues

Landowner environmental issues, as reported by Enbridge for this period are represented below.

Table 5 – Landowner Environmental Issues

Issues this Period	Issues Undergoing Evaluation	Issues Considered Addressed
1	14	0

5. Progress Metrics

Progress metrics reported in this section are as reported by Enbridge.

Table 6 - Boom and Aqua Dam Report

Table 6 - Boom and Aqua Bam Report								
				April 201 1	1			
Metric	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	
# Boom Locations	40	40	39	38	38	38	38	
[# control points included]	[6]	[6]	[6]	[6]	[6]	[6]	[6]	
Total Surface Boom Deployed (ft)	20,700	20,700	20,200	19,700	19,500	19,500	19,500	
[ft of control point surface boom]	[6,750]	[6,750]	[6,750]	[6,750]	[6,750]	[6,750]	[6,750]	
# Aqua Dam Locations	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Aqua Dam Deployed (ft)	670	670	670	670	670	670	670	
Sand-Super Sacks	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	
[Sand Bags]	[1426]	[1426]	[1496]	[1496]	[1496]	[1496]	[1496]	

NR = Not Reported by Enbridge

Table 7 - Soil and Debris Shipped Off Site as of 4/11/2011

Waste Stream	Cumulative	Disposal Facility
Haz Soil (yd ³)	19,644	Envirosafe (Oregon, OH)
Non-Haz Soil & Debris (yd³) (Excluding Ceresco Dredge)	73,990	Westside Recycling (Three Rivers, MI); Terra/C&C and EQ/Republic
Non-Haz Soil (yd³) (Ceresco Dredge Only)	5,562	(Marshall, MI)
Haz Debris (yd³)	12,075	EQ/Michigan Disposal (Wayne, MI) and Republic (Marshall, MI)
Non-Haz Household Debris (ton)	502	EQ/Republic (Marshall, MI); C&C
Non-Haz Impacted Debris (ton)	1,612	EQ/Republic (Marshall, MI); C&C

Table 8 – Oil/Water Collected by Location (as of 4/11/2011)

1 Trater Concetta	vater concered by Edeation (as				
	Cumulative				
Location	(gallons)				
Division A	5,356,315				
Division B	4,843,782				
Division C	1,891,406				
Division D	121,106				
Division E	47,438				
Decontamination	1,464,882				
Site A	121,144				
Other Locations*	1,177,440				
Subtotal	15,023,513				
Sludge**	(467,660)				
Total Oil/Water	14,555,853				

^{*} Decontamination Operations, Wildlife Center Operations, Sediment Trap Cleaning, Hydro-Vacuuming.

Table 9 – Oil/Water Separation 4/11/2011 (Enbridge Facility in Griffith, IN)

	Cumulative			
Item	(gallons)			
Oil	766,288			
Other Material	1,405,525			
Total	2,171,813			

Table 10 - Oil/Water Remaining On-Site 4/11/2011

Item	Cumulative (gallons)
Oil/Water Collected	14,555,853
Oil/Water Shipped Off-Site	14,535,907
Total Oil/Water Remaining On-Site	19,946

^{**} Sludge collected is tracked as a liquid waste; however, after stabilization, the sludge is disposed of as a solid waste. Therefore the volume of sludge in not included within the cumulative oil/water totals. Stabilized sludge is included in the solid waste disposal numbers shown in Table 7.

Table 11 - Liquid Shipped Off-site as of 4/11/2011

	Destination		Cumulative Volume
Stream	Destination Company	Location	(gallons) †
Hazardous Water	Dynecol	Detroit, MI	3,594,579
Oil/Water	Enbridge Facility	Griffith, IN	2,171,813
Treated Non-Haz Water	Liquid Industrial Waste	Holland, MI	370,200
Treated Non-Haz Water	Plummer	Kentwood, MI	4,976,140
Hazardous Water	Safety Kleen ^a		825
Non-Haz Water	Dynecol	Detroit, MI	506,530
Treated Non-Haz Water*	Dynecol	Detroit, MI	150,700
Treated Non-Haz Water*	Battle Creek POTW	Battle Creek, MI	1,968,700
Non-Haz Water	Battle Creek POTW	Battle Creek, MI	796,420
		Total	14,535,907

^{*} Treated Non-Haz Water no longer sent to this location.

6. Support Operations

6.1 Planning

The Planning Department coordinated with Enbridge Planning in the ICS planning cycle.

6.1.1 Environmental Unit

Nothing to report.

6.1.2 Data Management Unit

Continued importing preliminary and validated analytical results into Scribe, exporting daily briefings; and processing and printing maps as requested. Database management is being done in Vernon Hills, IL and/or Houston, TX.

Information from the EPA aerial imagery flight continues to be synthesized by GIS personnel.

6.1.3 Documentation Unit

Continued organization and archiving of electronic and paper files.

6.2 Safety

Due to the continued changing of the seasons, an emphasis continues to be placed on spring weather awareness (heavy rain, fog, snow, swift water, flood watch and rising of water levels) with the work crews.

The safety staff provided training safety training and field support for the reassessment teams

Due to an increase in operations, site condition awareness was also a continuing focus of the operational period.

6.3 Public Information

The quantity of public inquires reported by Enbridge for this period is presented below.

[†] Cumulative quantities may not reconcile with previous reports (due to auditing).

a. New Age lab water and methanol mix generated by mobile laboratory.

Table 12 – Public Inquiries Received by EPA and Enbridge

Table 12 Table incurred to by 21 II and 2115114ge									
T A /b.f P.	TD : 4 : 1		April 2011						
Location/Media	Total	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	
Marshall Community Center	9	0	0	7	1	0	0	1	
Oil Spill Public Information Hotline	8	1	0	2	0	4	0	1	
Website	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	
Total Public Inquiries	19	1	0	10	1	5	0	2	

6.4 Finance

The current NPFC issued ceiling is \$31.2 Million. Approximately 83.0% of the ceiling has been spent through April 10, 2011. As of April 10, 2011, the latest average 7-day burn rate was \$22,502 per day. These cost summaries reflect only EPA-funded expenditures for the incident. A summary of these expenses is presented below.

Table 13 - Financial Summary

Table 15 - Financial Summary			
	Expended		
	(Cumulative) as of		
Item	4/10/2011		
ERRS Contractors			
EQM (EPS50802) T05	7 \$ 1,250,065		
T06	\$ 204,903		
LATA (EPS50804) T019	\$ 1,451,396		
ER LLC (EPS50905) T040	\$\frac{\$ 723,669}{\$ 3.630.035 }		
Total ERRS Contractor	s \$ 3,630,035		
Other Contractors	. ,		
Lockheed Martin (EPW09031) – TAGA Support	\$ 150,000		
T&T Bisso (EPA:HS800008)	\$ 1,255,000		
Total Other Contractor	\$ 1,405,000		
	, ,		
START Contractor – WESTON (EPS50604) T030-Respons	10,004,095\$		
T032-Samplin	2 10U.Z.14.0 1		
T037-Doc Suppo	654,613\$		
Total START Contracto	10,838,922\$		
Response Contractor Sub-Total	\$15,873,957		
EPA Funded Costs: Total EPA Costs	\$4,726,341		
Pollution Removal Funding Agreements — Total Other Agencies	\$1,723,682		
Sub-Total			
Indirect Cost (16.00%)	\$3,571,837		
Total Est. Oil Spill Cos	\$25,895,816		
Oil Spill Ceiling Authorized by USCG	\$ 31,200,000		
Oil Spill Ceiling Available Balance1	\$5,304,184		
	·		

7. Participating Entities

A Multi-Agency Coordination (MAC) Group meets weekly regarding the progress of the response. Entities participating in the MAC include:

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- Michigan Department of Environmental Quality
- Michigan State Police Emergency Management Division

- City of Battle Creek
- City of Marshall
- Calhoun County Public Health Department
- Calhoun County Emergency Management
- Kalamazoo County Sheriff
- Enbridge (Responsible Party)

For a list of cooperating and assisting agencies and the congressional presence, see SITREP #51 (Sections 3.2 and 3.3).

8. Personnel On-Site

Staffing numbers for the entities and agencies active in the response are presented in Table 14.

Table 14 - Personnel On-Site

	April 2011						
Agency/Entity	10	9	8	7	6	5	4
U.S. EPA	1	1	3	2	2	2	2
START	5	8	15	16	10	10	11
Calhoun County Public Health	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Calhoun County (CC) EM	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
City of Battle Creek	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
City of Marshall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kalamazoo Sheriff	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
MDEQ	0	0	23	23	4	2	3
Michigan State Police EMD	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
NOAA – National Weather	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USFWS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enbridge – Operations	17	18	118	118	107	98	91
Enbridge – Field	24	31	84	101	122	128	112
Total	47	58	244	265	246	240	219

^{*}Enbridge Operations and Field include Enbridge and contractors as reported by Enbridge

9. Source of Additional Information

For additional information, refer to http://www.epa.gov/enbridgespill. For sampling analysis data, see http://response.enbridge.com/response/main.aspx?id=13168.