

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

1. Incident Name		2. Date Prepared	3. Time Prepared	UNIT LOG ICS 214	
Kalamazoo River/Enbridge Spill		03/29/2012	1806		
4. Unit Name/Designators		5. Unit Leader		6. Operational Period :	
Operations Unit/SORT		Name:	Dan Capone & Joe Victory (START/US EPA)	From:	03/29/2012 0700
		Position:	Operations Section Chief	To:	03/29/2012 1900
7. Personnel Roster Assigned					
<u>Name</u>		<u>ICS Position</u>		<u>DUTY CELL</u>	
Dan Capone		Operations Section Chief			
Joe Victory		Operations Section Chief			
Rex Johnson		Director			
Dan Zahner		Field Team Lead			
Brian Ross		SORT Team #2			
8. Activity Log					
Activity Area		Division C, various locations		LAT	LAT
				Various	Various
				(DD.MMMM)	(DD.MMMM)
<u>OIL OBSERVED</u>		<u>EXTENT OF OIL IMPACTED AREA</u>			
		<u>DENSITY OF OIL /SHEEN</u>			
Total Collection Points					
Total Boom Deployed					
Activity	<p><u>Weston/START Shoreline and Overbank Reassessment Technique (SORT) Team Activity:</u> Provided START oversight for Enbridge Team 5 as SORT Team 2 at the following locations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7.00 LDB 6 team determined no oil sheen, patties, coating, or stains observed • 7.00 LDB 1 team determined trace staining was present at one point within the existing polygon and created a new point (7.00 LDB 151) • 6.75 LDB 6 team determined no oil sheen, patties, coating, or stains observed • 6.75 LDB 4 team determined no oil sheen, patties, coating, or stains observed • 7.00 R 1 team decided that a reassessment of this polygon is required due to water inundation throughout • 6.50 LDB 7 team determined trace sheen and globules throughout • 6.50 LDB 3 team decided to conduct a sheen test, jar shake test was determined to be negative for the presence of oil, hexane test was also determined to be negative for the presence of oil. Question was raised regarding the validity of a hexane test when the water the sheen was taken from is heavily stained with iron and/or tannins. The hexane with the mesh that contained the sheen was tinted more than a vial of hexane without the mesh and less than the water in the shake test jar. • 11.75 R 1 team decided that a reassessment of this polygon is required due to water inundation throughout • 13.75 RDB 3 team decided that a reassessment of this polygon is required due to water inundation throughout 				

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 14.25 R 1A team determined trace staining was present • 14.25 R 1 B team determined no oil sheen, patties, coating, or stains observed • 14.50 RDB 2 team determined trace sheen was present throughout • 15.00 I 3 team determined trace sheen was present throughout • 15.00 L 1 team decided that a reassessment of this polygon is required due to water inundation throughout • 15.00 I 1 team determined no oil sheen, patties, coating, or stains observed • 15.00 I 1 A team decided that a reassessment of this polygon is required due to water inundation throughout • 15.00 I 1 B team decided that a reassessment of this polygon is required due to water inundation throughout • 15.25 RDB 3 team decided that a reassessment of this polygon is required due to water inundation throughout • 15.50 RDB 3 team decided that a reassessment of this polygon is required due to water inundation throughout • 15.75 RDB 2 a line of trace sheen was mapped and a point of trace staining was mapped within the existing polygon, a 200' portion of the southern end of the polygon was marked as reassess due to inundation
Health and Safety Issues	None
Comments	Team worked well together and reached agreement before departing all polygons. Work was done safely and efficiently.