APPENDIX A.5

M&E 2001 TRIAD SAMPLING INFORMATION

Metcalf & Eddy

30 Harvard Mill Square
Wakefield, MA 01880-5371
P.O. Box 4071
Wakefield, MA 01880-5371

Tel: 781-246-5200
Fax: 781-245-6293
www.m-e.com

August 6, 2001

Mr Joseph Lemay
Remedial Project Manager
USEPA Region I
1 Congress Street
Suite 1100 (HBO)
Boston, MA 02114-2023

Subject: Contract No. 68-W6-0042
Work Assignment No. 004-RICO-0146
Wells G&H - RI/FS Risk Assessment
Triad Field Sampling Information

Dear Joe:

Enclosed are the following field information from the Triad Field Sampling Effort of June 2001.

- Sampling Locations Table
- Habitat Assessment Field data sheets (Background Text)
- Low gradient Stream Habitat Assessment Scores (Summary Table)
- Habitat Assessment Field Data sheets and Physical Characterization/Water Quality Field Data Sheets
- Field Notes (e-mailed on June 29, 2001 by D. Roberts)
- Station Photographs
- CD ROM of photographs

If you have any questions or comments, or are in need of any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me (781) 224-6022.

Very truly yours,
METCALF & EDDY, INC.

Deborah M. Simone
Project Manager

cc: D. King, USEPA (letter only)
C. Hagger, M&E (letter only)
G. Bullard, TTNUS
B. Hoskins, Lockheed-Martin
WA#004-RICO-0146

Wells G&H, Sediment Triad Sampling Locations
June 18-27, 2001

TTNUS Sampling Number, June 2001	Previous Sample Number[1]	Location	Habitat Type	Date Sampled	Comment[2]
IPSD-WH07-061801	WH-07 (TT)	23-acre wetland, near well H	wetland	6/18/01	PFO
IPSD-TT2201-061801	SD-22-01(TT)	23-acre wetland, near rifle range	wetland	6/18/01	PFO
IPSD-TT1203-061901	SD-12-03 (M&E)	23-acre wetland	stream	6/19/01	
IPSD-TT2903-061901	SDTT-29-03 (TT)	23-acre wetland	stream	6/19/01	
IPSD-TT1901-061901	SD-19-01 (FW)	23-acre wetland	wetland	6/19/01	PEM
IPSD-TT3302-062001	SDTT-33-02 (TT)	Cranberry Bog	wetland	6/20/01	PEM
IPSD-TT3202-062001	SDTT-32-02 (TT)	Cranberry Bog	wetland	6/20/01	PEM
IPSD-WW06-062101	WW-06 (TT)	23-acre wetland, near Wildwood	wetland	6/21/01	PFO
IPSD-TT1802-062101	SD-18-02 (M&E)	23-acre wetland	stream	6/21/01	
IPSD-TT1002-062201	SD-10-01 (M&E)	North of Salem Street	stream	6/22/01	
IPSD-TT1301-062201	SD-13-01 (FW)	23-acre wetland	wetland	6/22/01	PEM
IPSD-TT3001-062201	SDTT-30-01 (TT)	Cranberry bog	stream	6/22/01	
IPSD-PP03-062501	IP-SD-03 (MC)	Phillips Pond	reference pond	6/25/01	
IPSD-TTSA01-062501	new station	S. Branch Aberjona River, Arcadia Rd.	reference wetland	6/25/01	PFO
IPSD-TTSD01-062501	IP-SD-01 (MC)	S. Branch Aberjona River, Willow St.	reference stream	6/25/01	
IPSD-TT04-062601	IP-SD-04 (MC)	Hall's Brook, Third Road	reference stream	6/26/01	
IPSD-HB00-062601	new station	Hall's Brook, Danforth St.	reference wetland	6/26/01	PEM
IPSD-TT0603-062601	SD-06-03 (M&E)	Judkins Pond	pond	6/26/01	
IPSD-TTUF02-062701	UF-02 (TT)	Upper Forebay, Mystic Lake	pond	6/27/01	
IPSD-TTAO03-062701	AO-03 (TT)	Upper Forebay, Mystic Lake	pond	6/27/01	

[1] TT = Tetra Tech NUS, M&E = Metcalf & Eddy, FW = Foster Wheeler, MC = Menzie Cura

[2] PFO - Palustrine forested wetland, PEM - Palustrine emergent wetland

WELLS G&H TRIAD SAMPLING
June 2001
Habitat Assessment Field Data Sheets -
Low Gradient Stream Forms

Habitat Assessment Field Data Sheets- Low Gradient Stream Forms (HAFDS) were filled out at each station used as a sampling location for the June 2001 sediment triad sampling. In addition, a Physical Characterization/Water Quality Field Data Sheet (PC/WQFDS) and a Sample Log Sheet (TTNUS) were also completed for each station. Filed notes were recorded in the field by D. Roberts and were summarized in Attachment A.

The HAFDS forms were filled out based on consensus of the professional judgement of three biologists. Team members participating included: D. Roberts (M&E), K. O'Neill (TTNUS), and either Bart Hoskins (Lockheed-Martin/ESAT) or Cornell Rosiu (EPA).

To try to achieve consistency in the numeric rankings given (0-20) for each of the 10 habitat parameters, some assumptions or interpretations were applied to the assessments. These assumptions are presented below.

Habitat Parameters: Habitat Assessment Field Data Sheets -Low Gradient Stream Forms

1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover
It was assumed for this parameter to rank in the optimal (16-20) category, there would be a mix a of substrate types present. In the majority of the depositional stations the substrate was 100% soft muck and the did not show evidence of the substrate being frequently disturbed or removed (marginal, 6-10). IF woody debris or undercut banks were present, the station was rated as higher sub-optimal.

2. Pool Substrate Characterization
The selected stations generally did not have a mixture of substrate materials and were therefore rated as less than optimal (<16). Depending on the presence or absence of root mats and submerged vegetation the stations were rated as marginal (6-10) or sub-optimal (11-16).

3. Pool Variability
The majority of the sampling locations were in areas of consistent depth, and were formed essentially in one small or large pool. Pool variability was consequently ranked consistently marginal (6-10) or poor (1-5), based on the depth of the pools. The parameter was assigned a value of NA (not applicable) in the pond locations.

4. Sediment Deposition
The sample locations were selected to be in areas of higher deposition of fine material. The stations were ranked as poor (0-5) unless deposition of sand was present, and a ranking of marginal (6-10).

5. Channel Flow Status

Since depositional areas and wetland locations were selected, most of the stations had little or no detectable water flow. This parameter was interpreted to mean that channel flow would be poor if water levels were very low and only found in isolated pool in a channel. Since the June sampling was conducted during a period of relatively high water levels, all of the locations had water reaching the base of both lower banks, with a minium of substrate exposed (optimal, 16-20). The ranking for this parameter may vary depending on the season during which the survey was conducted. Slightly lower rankings were given at locations where there was evidence of higher water levels than the existing water elevation at the time of sampling.

6. Channel Alteration

Channel alteration characterized how much the stream reach has been channelized or disrupted. Except in a few areas, such ass the Cranberry Bog and the forebay of Upper Mystic Lake, bank evidence of bank alteration was minimal or absent (Optimal, 16-20). In areas with historic dredging (Cranberry Bog, Phillips Pond, Judkins Pond), a ranking of sub-optimal was applied.

7. Channel Sinuosity

For the stream locations, channel sinuosity was evaluated based on the proportion of bends in the stream in the reach where the sample was taken. This parameter was not easily applied to wetland and pond locations. For the pond locations, values between 0 and 5 were assigned (poor) because all of the ponds represented impoundments in the river. At the wetland locations channel sinuosity only applied to locations where the adjacent channel could be rated. To be consistent, all of the values were converted to N/A (not applicable) because the condition of the adjacent channel was not relevant to habitat at the sampling station in the wetland. This results in essentially assigning the channel sinuosity a value of 0 for the wetland in the over-all score.

8. Bank Stability

Bank stability was generally rated as optimal (9-10) if the banks werer natural and fully vegetated. In areas where human disturbance resulted in small areas of erosion, values of 6-8 (sub-optimal) were assigned.

9. Vegetative Protection

The value of the bank stability was closely related to the bank stability parameter. The majority of the sites had banks that were more than 90% vegetated, with the exception of the pond locations. At the pond locations were vegetation had been removed or mowed, the vegetation protection was rated as sub-optimal or marginal.

10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width

The riparian vegetative zone width was evaluated as optimal (9-10) if there was an undisturbed buffer of at least 18 m in width. Based on the thresholds in the condition categories, the width of undisturbed buffer was used to evaluate the condition of the riparian zone. Where mowing or pavement came within 6 m of the edge of water, the condition rating of poor was assigned.

Low Gradient Stream Habitat Assessment Scores for Wells G&H, Woburn, Massachusetts

Station:	WH07	TT-2201	TT-1203	TT-2903	TT-1901	TT-3302	TT-3202	WW06	TT-1802	TT-1002	TT-1301	TT-3001	PP03	TT-SA01	TT-SD01	TT04	HB00	TT-0603	TT-UF02	TT-AO03
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	11	12	14	14	14	11	11	13	15	13	13	11	11	13	16	13	15	11	11	11
2. Pool Substrate Characterization	13	10	12	11	12	14	12	14	6	14	10	14	8	10	11	14	10	8	8	8
3. Pool Variability	5	4	0	5	6	2	2	5	5	11	5	6	N/A	5	8	6	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. Sediment Deposition	2	3	5	5	5	8	8	5	8	5	4	5	2	5	10	8	5	2	3	3
5. Channel Flow Status	18	16	20	20	20	16	17	17	20	17	18	18	20	19	16	19	20	20	18	18
6. Channel Alteration	20	20	20	20	20	13	13	20	20	16	15	17	15	19	18	19	20	15	12	12
7. Channel Sinuosity	N/A	N/A	18	15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	16	6	N/A	15	5	N/A	10	10	N/A	2	2	2
8. Bank Stability (Left Bank)	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	6	9	8	10	9	10	10	7	8	8
Bank Stability (Right Bank)	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	7	7	9	8	10	9	10	10	7	8	8
9. Vegetative Protection (Left Bank)	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	9	10	9	10	10	5	8	6
Vegetative Protection (Right Bank)	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	6	7	10	9	10	9	10	10	5	7	7
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (Left Bank)	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	7	9	6	3	5	9	10	10	1	5	5
Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (Right Bank)	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	4	10	6	5	10	4	10	9	10	10	1	5	5
TOTAL SCORE	127	125	149	150	137	122	123	128	150	128	108	140	102	126	143	149	133	84	95	93

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET
(FRONT)

STREAM NAME Aberjona River	LOCATION IPSD - WH07
STATION # WH07 RIVERMILE_____	STREAM CLASS
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN
STORET #	AGENCY
INVESTIGATORS Roberts, Hoskins, O'Neill	
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts	DATE 6/18/01 TIME 1:00 AM (PM)
	REASON FOR SURVEY Triad sampling

WEATHER CONDITIONS
Light breeze
Sunny
85°

Now		Past 24 hours
☐	storm (heavy rain)	☐
☐	rain (steady rain)	☐
☐	showers (intermittent)	☐
___% ☐	%cloud cover	☐ ___%
☒	clear/sunny	☐

Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? ☒ Yes ☐ No ~2.5" rain ~1 in 24 hrs
Air Temperature 85°F
Other_____

SITE LOCATION/MAP

Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)



Sample depth +/- 1.2 ft

STREAM CHARACTERIZATION

Stream Subsystem
☐ Perennial ☒ Intermittent ☐ Tidal

Stream Type
☐ Coldwater ☒ Warmwater

Stream Origin
☐ Glacial
☐ Non-glacial montane
☐ Swamp and bog
☐ Spring-fed
☒ Mixture of origins
☐ Other_____

Catchment Area_____ km²

WH07-2

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES

Predominant Surrounding Landuse
- [x] Forest
- [ ] Field/Pasture
- [ ] Agricultural
- [x] Residential
- [x] Commercial - primary (1)
- [ ] Industrial
- [ ] Other _____

Local Watershed NPS Pollution
- [x] No evidence
- [ ] Some potential sources
- [ ] Obvious sources

no evidence in immediate area (100 m)

Local Watershed Erosion
- [x] None
- [ ] Moderate
- [ ] Heavy

w/in 100m

RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
- [x] Trees
- [x] Shrubs
- [ ] Grasses
- [x] Herbaceous (sparse)

dominant species present: Red maple, swamp azalea, sensitive fern

INSTREAM FEATURES

Estimated Reach Length: — m
Estimated Stream Width: — m
Sampling Reach Area: 125 ft²
Area in km² (m²x1000): — km²
Estimated Stream Depth: 1.2 ft
Surface Velocity (at thalweg): 0 m/sec

Canopy Cover
- [ ] Partly open
- [x] Partly shaded
- [ ] Shaded

High Water Mark: — m at or near high water level for June

Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types
- [ ] Riffle ____%
- [ ] Run ____%
- [x] Pool 100%

Channelized: [ ] Yes [x] No
Dam Present: [ ] Yes [x] No

LARGE WOODY DEBRIS

LWD _____ m² 5% bottom cover
Density of LWD _____ m²/km² (LWD/ reach area)

AQUATIC VEGETATION

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
- [ ] Rooted emergent
- [ ] Rooted submergent
- [x] Rooted floating
- [x] Free floating
- [ ] Floating Algae
- [ ] Attached Algae

dominant species present: Moss, duckweed

Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation: 85%

WATER QUALITY

Temperature 19.03 °C
Specific Conductance 294.00
Dissolved Oxygen 3.44
pH 6.95 ORP -83.mV
Turbidity 4.4
WQ Instrument Used YSI

Water Odors
- [x] Normal/None
- [ ] Sewage
- [ ] Petroleum
- [ ] Chemical
- [ ] Fishy
- [ ] Other _____

Water Surface Oils
- [ ] Slick
- [ ] Sheen
- [ ] Globs
- [ ] Flecks
- [x] None
- [ ] Other _____

Turbidity (if not measured)
- [ ] Clear
- [ ] Slightly turbid
- [ ] Turbid
- [ ] Opaque
- [ ] Stained
- [ ] Other _____

SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE

Odors
- [x] Normal
- [ ] Sewage
- [ ] Petroleum
- [ ] Chemical
- [ ] Anaerobic
- [ ] None
- [ ] Other _____

Oils
- [x] Absent
- [ ] Slight
- [ ] Moderate
- [ ] Profuse

Deposits
- [ ] Sludge
- [ ] Sawdust
- [ ] Paper fiber
- [ ] Sand
- [ ] Relict shells
- [x] Other NONE

Looking at stones which are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color?
- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

N/A

INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%)			ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)		
Substrate Type	**Diameter**	**% Composition in Sampling Reach**	**Substrate Type**	**Characteristic**	**% Composition in Sampling Area**
Bedrock		0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	80%
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")	0			
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")	0	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	20%
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")	0			
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)	0	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm	100%			
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)	0			

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Aberjona River	LOCATION IPSD-WH07	
STATION # ~~WH07~~ RIVERMILE_____	~~STREAM CLASS~~ Palustrine forested wetland	
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN	
STORET #	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS Hoskins, O'Neill, Roberts		
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts/Hoskins/O'Neill	DATE 6/18/01 TIME 12:30 AM (PM)	REASON FOR SURVEY Triad sampling

Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 50% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	30-50% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	10-30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
SCORE 11	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 (12) 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Pool Substrate Characterization	Mixture of substrate materials, with gravel and firm sand prevalent; root mats and submerged vegetation common.	Mixture of soft sand, mud, or clay; mud may be dominant; some root mats and submerged vegetation present.	All mud or clay or sand bottom; little or no root mat; no submerged vegetation.	Hard-pan clay or bedrock; no root mat or vegetation.
SCORE 13	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 (13) 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Pool Variability	Even mix of large-shallow, large-deep, small-shallow, small-deep pools present.	Majority of pools large-deep; very few shallow.	Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools.	Majority of pools small-shallow or pools absent.
SCORE 5	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	(5) 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than <20% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 20-50% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 50-80% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 80% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
2 SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 (2) 1 0
5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
18 SCORE	20 19 (18) 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 (X)

homogen. substrate not optimal habitat

organic pom. moss

All shallow pools

No flow. Depositional area. leaf litter prevalent

No flow

water level high

WH07 - p2

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
6. Channel Alteration 20 SCORE (20) 19 18 17 16	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
7. Channel Sinuosity ~~20~~ NA SCORE (20) 19 18 17 16	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 3 to 4 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (Note - channel braiding is considered normal in coastal plains and other low-lying areas. This parameter is not easily rated in these areas.)	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	Channel straight; waterway has been channelized for a long distance.
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) SCORE 10 (LB) SCORE 10 (RB)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
	Left Bank (10) 9			
	Right Bank (10) 9			
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream. SCORE 9 (LB) SCORE 9 (RB)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	Left Bank (10) 9			
	Right Bank (10) 9			
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) SCORE 10 (LB) SCORE 10 (RB)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	Left Bank (10) 9 8 7 6		5 4 3	2 1 0
	Right Bank (10) 9 8 7 6		5 4 3	2 1 0

upper edge of bordering veg. wetla
No chann
No altra

No distrc channel

No erosi evident

overstory Shrub +C emergent layer pres Emergent vegeta f.c sparse

Total Score 127

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Aberjona River	LOCATION IPSD-TT2001
STATION # TT220 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN
STORET #	AGENCY
INVESTIGATORS Roberts, Hoskins, O'Neil	
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts	DATE 6/18/01 TIME 3:30 AM (PM)
	REASON FOR SURVEY Triad Sampling

WEATHER CONDITIONS

Now		Past 24 hours
☐	storm (heavy rain)	☒
☐	rain (steady rain)	☐
☐	showers (intermittent)	☐
___% ☐	%cloud cover	☐ ___%
☒	clear/sunny	☐

Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? ☒ Yes ☐ No ~2.5" rain in past 24 h

Air Temperature 85 °F

Other _____

SITE LOCATION/MAP

Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)



STREAM CHARACTERIZATION

Stream Subsystem
☐ Perennial ☒ Intermittent ☐ Tidal

Stream Type
☐ Coldwater ☒ Warmwater

Stream Origin
☐ Glacial
☐ Non-glacial montane
☐ Swamp and bog
☐ Spring-fed
☐ Mixture of origins
☒ Other _____

Catchment Area _____ km²

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

TT2201

WATERSHED FEATURES

Predominant Surrounding Landuse
- [X] Forest
- [ ] Field/Pasture
- [ ] Agricultural
- [X] Residential
- [X] Commercial
- [ ] Industrial
- [ ] Other _____

Local Watershed NPS Pollution
- [X] No evidence
- [ ] Some potential sources
- [ ] Obvious sources

Local Watershed Erosion
- [X] None
- [ ] Moderate
- [ ] Heavy

Low grade vegetated locale

RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
- [X] Trees
- [X] Shrubs
- [ ] Grasses
- [X] Herbaceous

dominant species present Red maple swamp azalea, skunk cabbage

INSTREAM FEATURES

Estimated Reach Length — m

Estimated Stream Width 24 ft

Sampling Reach Area 16 ft²

Area in km² (m²x1000) _____ km²

Estimated Stream Depth 0.2 ft

Surface Velocity (at thalweg) low flow visible

Canopy Cover
- [ ] Partly open
- [ ] Partly shaded
- [X] Shaded

High Water Mark — m
water level high for June

Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types
- [ ] Riffle _____ %
- [ ] Run _____ %
- [X] Pool 100 %

Channelized [ ] Yes [X] No

Dam Present [ ] Yes [X] No

LARGE WOODY DEBRIS

LWD _____ m² 2590

Density of LWD _____ m²/km² (LWD/ reach area)

AQUATIC VEGETATION

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
- [ ] Rooted emergent
- [ ] Rooted submergent
- [ ] Rooted floating
- [ ] Free floating
- [ ] Floating Algae
- [ ] Attached Algae

dominant species present _____

Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation 0 % all veg. bordering channel

WATER QUALITY

Temperature 19.5 °C

Specific Conductance 950.00

Dissolved Oxygen 2.96

pH 5.36

Turbidity 1.0 NTU

WQ Instrument Used YSI 610M

Water Odors
- [X] Normal/None
- [ ] Sewage
- [ ] Petroleum
- [ ] Chemical
- [ ] Fishy
- [ ] Other _____

Water Surface Oils
- [ ] Slick
- [ ] Sheen
- [ ] Globs
- [ ] Flecks
- [X] None
- [ ] Other _____

Turbidity (if not measured)
- [ ] Clear
- [ ] Slightly turbid
- [ ] Turbid
- [ ] Opaque
- [ ] Stained
- [ ] Other _____

SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE

Odors
- [X] Normal
- [ ] Sewage
- [ ] Petroleum
- [ ] Chemical
- [ ] Anaerobic
- [ ] None
- [ ] Other _____

Oils
- [X] Absent
- [ ] Slight
- [ ] Moderate
- [ ] Profuse

Deposits
- [ ] Sludge
- [ ] Sawdust
- [ ] Paper fiber
- [ ] Sand
- [ ] Relict shells
- [X] Other None

Looking at stones which are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color?
- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

N/A

INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%)			ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)		
Substrate Type	**Diameter**	**% Composition in Sampling Reach**	**Substrate Type**	**Characteristic**	**% Composition in Sampling Area**
Bedrock		0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	80 %
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")	0			
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")	0	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	20%
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")	0			
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)	0 *	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm	100%			
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)	0			

* lead shot in some samples

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Aberjona River	LOCATION IPSD-TT 2201
STATION # 2201 RIVERMILE ______	STREAM CLASS
LAT ______ LONG ______	RIVER BASIN
STOREΤ #	AGENCY
INVESTIGATORS Roberts, O'Neill, Hoskins	
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts	DATE 6/18/01 TIME 3:30 AM (PM) — REASON FOR SURVEY Triad Sampling

Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category: Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor	
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover 12	Greater than 50% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	30-50% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	10-30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.	
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 (12) 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
2. Pool Substrate Characterization 10	Mixture of substrate materials, ~~with gravel and firm sand prevalent~~; root mats and submerged vegetation common.	Mixture ~~of soft sand~~, mud, or clay; mud may be dominant; some root mats and submerged vegetation present.	All (mud) or clay or sand bottom; ~~little or no root mat;~~ no submerged vegetation.	Hard-pan clay or bedrock; no root mat or vegetation.	CPOM Roots No submerged aquatic veg.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	(10) 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
3. Pool Variability 4	Even mix of large-shallow, large-deep, small-shallow, small-deep pools present.	Majority of pools large-deep; very few shallow.	Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools.	Majority of pools small-shallow or pools absent.	
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 (4) 3 2 1 0	
4. Sediment Deposition 3	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than <20% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 20-50% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 50-80% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 80% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.	Low flow leaf litter prevalent
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 (3) 2 1 0	
5. Channel Flow Status 16	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.	Slow flow channel filled
SCORE	20 19 18 17 (16)	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	

TT 2201

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
6. Channel Alteration 20	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
SCORE	(20) 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Channel Sinuosity ~~NA~~	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 3 to 4 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (Note - channel braiding is considered normal in coastal plains and other low-lying areas. This parameter is not easily rated in these areas.)	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	Channel straight; waterway has been channelized for a long distance.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 (9) 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
SCORE 9 (LB)	Left Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 10 (RB)	Right Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 10 (RB)	Right Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 10 (RB)	Right Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

distinct + minor meander

Total Score 125

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Aberdeen River	LOCATION TPSD RM 1203
STATION # 1203 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN
STORET #	AGENCY
INVESTIGATORS Roberts, O'Neill	
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts	DATE 6/19/01 TIME 9:30 (AM) PM
REASON FOR SURVEY Triad sampling	

WEATHER CONDITIONS

Now		Past 24 hours
☐	storm (heavy rain)	☐
☐	rain (steady rain)	☐
☐	showers (intermittent)	☐
___% ☐	%cloud cover	☐ ___%
☒	clear/sunny	☒

Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? ☒ Yes ☐ No 6/17/01 heavy rain

Air Temperature ~80°F

Other water levels high

SITE LOCATION/MAP

Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)



STREAM CHARACTERIZATION

Stream Subsystem
☒ Perennial ☐ Intermittent ☐ Tidal

Stream Type
☐ Coldwater ☒ Warmwater

Stream Origin
☐ Glacial
☐ Non-glacial montane
☐ Swamp and bog
☐ Spring-fed
☒ Mixture of origins
☐ Other_____

Catchment Area_____km²

TT1203

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES

Predominant Surrounding Landuse
☐ Forest ☒ Commercial
☐ Field/Pasture ☐ Industrial
☐ Agricultural ☐ Other _____
☐ Residential

Local Watershed NPS Pollution
☒ No evidence ☐ Some potential sources
☐ Obvious sources No evidence locally visible

Local Watershed Erosion
☒ None ☐ Moderate ☐ Heavy NONE

RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
☐ Trees ☒ Shrubs ☐ Grasses ☒ Herbaceous
dominant species present Cattail, loosestrife, tussock sedge. Shrubs: but ~~spicebush~~ elderberry

INSTREAM FEATURES

Estimated Reach Length _____ m
Estimated Stream Width 5-8 m
Sampling Reach Area _____ m²
Area in km² (m²x1000) 8.5 km²
Estimated Stream Depth ~4.5' m Center Channel
Surface Velocity _____ m/sec (at thalweg) low flow

Canopy Cover
☐ Partly open ☐ Partly shaded ☐ Shaded Open
High Water Mark _____ m water at high level for June
Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types
☐ Riffle 0 % ☐ Run 80 % - main channel
☐ Pool 20 %
Channelized ☐ Yes ☒ No
Dam Present ☐ Yes ☒ No

LARGE WOODY DEBRIS

LWD _____ m²
Density of LWD 0% ~~m²/km² (LWD/ reach area)~~

AQUATIC VEGETATION

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
☒ Rooted emergent ☐ Rooted submergent ☐ Rooted floating ☐ Free floating
☐ Floating Algae ☐ Attached Algae
dominant species present Cattail, loosestrife
Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation 10 % in channel, 100% bordering

WATER QUALITY

Temperature 20.9 °C
Specific Conductance 359.00
Dissolved Oxygen 2.76
pH 7.14
Turbidity 3.5 NTU
WQ Instrument Used YSI
ORP -3.4 mV

Water Odors
☒ Normal/None ☐ Sewage
☐ Petroleum ☐ Chemical
☐ Fishy ☐ Other _____

Water Surface Oils
☐ Slick ☐ Sheen ☐ Globs ☐ Flecks
☒ None ☐ Other _____

Turbidity (if not measured)
☐ Clear ☐ Slightly turbid ☐ Turbid
☐ Opaque ☐ Stained ☐ Other _____

SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE

Odors
☒ Normal ☐ Sewage ☐ Petroleum
☐ Chemical ☐ Anaerobic ☐ None
☐ Other _____

Oils
☒ Absent ☐ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Profuse

Deposits
☐ Sludge ☐ Sawdust ☐ Paper fiber ☐ Sand
☐ Relict shells ☐ Other None

Looking at stones which are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color?
☐ Yes ☐ No N/A

INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%)			ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)		
Substrate Type	**Diameter**	**% Composition in Sampling Reach**	**Substrate Type**	**Characteristic**	**% Composition in Sampling Area**
Bedrock		0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	40
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")	0			
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")	0	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	60
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")	0			
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)	0	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm	100%			
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)	0			

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Aberjona River	LOCATION IPSD TT1203	
STATION # 1203 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET #	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS Hoskins, Roberts, O'Neil, Finkelstein		
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts	DATE 6/19/01 TIME 9:45 (AM) PM	REASON FOR SURVEY Triad

Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover 14 SCORE	Greater than 50% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	30-50% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	10-30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Pool Substrate Characterization 12 SCORE	Mixture of substrate materials, with gravel and firm sand prevalent; root mats and submerged vegetation common.	Mixture of soft sand, mud, or clay; mud may be dominant; some root mats and submerged vegetation present.	All mud or clay or sand bottom; little or no root mat; no submerged vegetation.	Hard-pan clay or bedrock; no root mat or vegetation.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Pool Variability 0 SCORE	Even mix of large-shallow, large-deep, small-shallow, small-deep pools present.	Majority of pools large-deep; very few shallow.	Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools.	Majority of pools small-shallow or pools absent.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition 5 SCORE	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than <20% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 20-50% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 50-80% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 80% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
5. Channel Flow Status 20 SCORE	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

No pools

TT1203

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
6. Channel Alteration 20	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
SCORE	(20) 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Channel Sinuosity 19	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 3 to 4 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (Note - channel braiding is considered normal in coastal plains and other low-lying areas. This parameter is not easily rated in these areas.)	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	Channel straight; waterway has been channelized for a long distance.
SCORE	(20) 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 10 (RB)	Right Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 10 (RB)	Right Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 10 (RB)	Right Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

Total Score 149

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Aberjona River	LOCATION IPSDTT 2903
STATION # SD2903 RIVERMILE_____	STREAM CLASS
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN
STORET #	AGENCY
INVESTIGATORS O'Neill, Roberts, Haskins, Finlestein	
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts	DATE 6/19/01 TIME 11:30 (AM) PM
	REASON FOR SURVEY Triad Sampling

WEATHER CONDITIONS

Now		Past 24 hours
☐	storm (heavy rain)	☐
☐	rain (steady rain)	☐
☐	showers (intermittent)	☐
___%☐	%cloud cover	☐___%
☒	clear/sunny	☒

Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? ☒Yes ☐No 6/17/01 Heavy rain

Air Temperature 85° F

Other_____

SITE LOCATION/MAP

Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)



STREAM CHARACTERIZATION

Stream Subsystem
☒Perennial ☐Intermittent ☐Tidal

Stream Type
☐Coldwater ☒Warmwater

Stream Origin
☐Glacial
☐Non-glacial montane
☐Swamp and bog
☐Spring-fed
☐Mixture of origins
☒Other_____

Catchment Area_____km²

TT2903

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES

Predominant Surrounding Landuse
☒ Forest ☒ Commercial
☐ Field/Pasture ☐ Industrial
☐ Agricultural ☐ Other _____
☐ Residential

Local Watershed NPS Pollution
☐ No evidence ☐ Some potential sources
☒ Obvious sources

Local Watershed Erosion
☒ None ☐ Moderate ☐ Heavy

RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
☐ Trees none ☒ Shrubs ☐ Grasses ☒ Herbaceous
dominant species present buttonbush, cattails, loosestrife, tussock sedge

INSTREAM FEATURES

Estimated Reach Length _____ m
Estimated Stream Width 10-12 m
Sampling Reach Area 8 ft² m²
Area in km² (m²x1000) _____ km²
Estimated Stream Depth 0.8-1.2 ft
Surface Velocity (at thalweg) _____ m/sec mod/low flow

Canopy Cover
☐ Partly open ☐ Partly shaded ☐ Shaded open

High Water Mark _____ m
- water high for June

Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types
☐ Riffle 8 % ☐ Run 10 %
☒ Pool 90 %

Channelized ☐ Yes ☒ No
Dam Present ☐ Yes ☒ No

LARGE WOODY DEBRIS

LWD _____ m² 090
Density of LWD _____ m²/km² (LWD/ reach area)

AQUATIC VEGETATION

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
☒ Rooted emergent ☐ Rooted submergent ☐ Rooted floating ☐ Free floating
☐ Floating Algae ☐ Attached Algae
dominant species present tussock sedge, purple loosestrife
Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation _____ % 0% in channel 100% along bank

WATER QUALITY

Temperature 23.23 °C
Specific Conductance 342.00
Dissolved Oxygen 4.44 ppm
pH 7.06
Turbidity 5.1
WQ Instrument Used YSI
ORP -21.8 mV

Water Odors
☒ Normal/None ☐ Sewage
☐ Petroleum ☐ Chemical
☐ Fishy ☐ Other _____

Water Surface Oils
☐ Slick ☐ Sheen ☐ Globs ☐ Flecks
☒ None ☐ Other _____

Turbidity (if not measured)
☐ Clear ☐ Slightly turbid ☐ Turbid
☐ Opaque ☐ Stained ☐ Other _____

SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE

Odors
☒ Normal ☐ Sewage ☐ Petroleum
☐ Chemical ☐ Anaerobic ☐ None
☐ Other _____

Oils
☒ Absent ☐ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Profuse

Deposits
☐ Sludge ☐ Sawdust ☐ Paper fiber ☐ Sand
☐ Relict shells ☐ Other NONE

Looking at stones which are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color?
☐ Yes ☐ No N/A

INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%)			ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)		
Substrate Type	**Diameter**	**% Composition in Sampling Reach**	**Substrate Type**	**Characteristic**	**% Composition in Sampling Area**
Bedrock		0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	50%
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")	0			
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")	0	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	50%
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")	0			
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)	0	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm	100%			
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)	0			

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Aberjona River	LOCATION RSDTT2903	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS	
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN	
STORET #	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS Roberts, Hoskins, Finkelstein		
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts	DATE 6/19/01 TIME 11:50 (AM) PM	REASON FOR SURVEY Triad Sampling

Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	**Suboptimal**	**Marginal**	**Poor**
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 50% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	30-50% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	10-30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
SCORE 14	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Pool Substrate Characterization	Mixture of substrate materials, with gravel and firm sand prevalent; root mats and submerged vegetation common.	Mixture of soft sand, mud, or clay; mud may be dominant; some root mats and submerged vegetation present.	All mud or clay or sand bottom; little or no root mat; no submerged vegetation.	Hard-pan clay or bedrock; no root mat or vegetation.
SCORE 11	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Pool Variability	Even mix of large-shallow, large-deep, small-shallow, small-deep pools present.	Majority of pools large-deep; very few shallow.	Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools.	Majority of pools small-shallow or pools absent.
SCORE 5	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than <20% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 20-50% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 50-80% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 80% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
SCORE 5	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
SCORE 20	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

Not flow / water level high

TT2903

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
6. Channel Alteration 20 SCORE	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern. (20) 19 18 17 16	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present. 15 14 13 12 11	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted. 10 9 8 7 6	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely. 5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Channel Sinuosity 15 SCORE	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 3 to 4 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (Note - channel braiding is considered normal in coastal plains and other low-lying areas. This parameter is not easily rated in these areas.) 20 19 18 17 16	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (15) 14 13 12 11	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line. 10 9 8 7 6	Channel straight; waterway has been channelized for a long distance. 5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) SCORE 10 (LB) SCORE 10 (RB)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected. Left Bank (10) 9 Right Bank (10) 9	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion. 8 7 6 8 7 6	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods. 5 4 3 5 4 3	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars. 2 1 0 2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream. SCORE 10 (LB) SCORE 10 (RB)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally. Left Bank (10) 9 Right Bank (10) 9	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining. 8 7 6 8 7 6	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining. 5 4 3 5 4 3	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height. 2 1 0 2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) SCORE 10 (LB) SCORE 10 (RB)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone. Left Bank (10) 9 Right Bank (10) 9	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally. 8 7 6 8 7 6	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal. 5 4 3 5 4 3	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities. 2 1 0 2 1 0

Total Score 150

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Aberjona River	LOCATION IPSD - TT1901
STATION # 501901 RIVERMILE_____	STREAM CLASS
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN
STORET #	AGENCY
INVESTIGATORS Roberts, O'Neill, Adkman	
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts	DATE 6/19/01 TIME 2:30 AM (PM)
	REASON FOR SURVEY Triad sampling

WEATHER CONDITIONS

Now		Past 24 hours
☐	storm (heavy rain)	☐
☐	rain (steady rain)	☐
☐	showers (intermittent)	☐
___% ☐	%cloud cover	☐ ___%
☒	clear/sunny	☒

Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? ☒ Yes ☐ No 6/17/01 ~2.5" rain

Air Temperature 90 °F

Other_____

SITE LOCATION/MAP

Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)



STREAM CHARACTERIZATION

Stream Subsystem
☐ Perennial ☒ Intermittent ☐ Tidal

Stream Type
☐ Coldwater ☒ Warmwater

Stream Origin
☐ Glacial
☐ Non-glacial montane
☐ Swamp and bog
☐ Spring-fed
☐ Mixture of origins
☒ Other_____

Catchment Area_____km²

TT1901

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES

Predominant Surrounding Landuse
☒ Forest ☒ Commercial
☐ Field/Pasture ☐ Industrial
☐ Agricultural ☐ Other _____
☐ Residential

Local Watershed NPS Pollution
☒ No evidence ☐ Some potential sources
☐ Obvious sources (locally)

Local Watershed Erosion
☒ None ☐ Moderate ☐ Heavy

RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
☐ Trees none ☒ Shrubs ☐ Grasses ☒ Herbaceous
dominant species present buttonbushy, tussock sedge, purple loosestrife

INSTREAM FEATURES

Estimated Reach Length ____ m
Estimated Stream Width 5-6' m
Sampling Reach Area 1050 ft² m²
Area in km² (m²x1000) ____ km²
Estimated Stream Depth 0.8 ft m
Surface Velocity 0 m/sec (at thalweg) NO flow

Canopy Cover
☐ Partly open ☐ Partly shaded ☐ Shaded open

High Water Mark ____ m
Water level high for June - heavy rain 6/17/01
Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types
☐ Riffle 0 % ☐ Run 0 %
☒ Pool 100 %

Channelized ☐ Yes ☒ No
Dam Present ☐ Yes ☒ No

LARGE WOODY DEBRIS

LWD ____ m² 0%
Density of LWD ____ m³/km² (LWD/ reach area)

AQUATIC VEGETATION

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
☒ Rooted emergent ☐ Rooted submergent ☐ Rooted floating ☐ Free floating
☐ Floating Algae ☐ Attached Algae

dominant species present tussock sedge, loosestrife along borders
Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation ____ % <5% in pool / 100% bordering

WATER QUALITY

Temperature 25.83 C
Specific Conductance 397.00
Dissolved Oxygen 4.90
pH 7.22
Turbidity 9.6 NTU
WQ Instrument Used YSI
ORP -59.8

Water Odors
☒ Normal/None ☐ Sewage
☐ Petroleum ☐ Chemical
☐ Fishy ☐ Other _____

Water Surface Oils
☐ Slick ☐ Sheen ☐ Globs ☐ Flecks
☒ None ☐ Other _____

Turbidity (if not measured)
☐ Clear ☐ Slightly turbid ☐ Turbid
☐ Opaque ☐ Stained ☐ Other _____

SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE

Odors
☒ Normal ☐ Sewage ☐ Petroleum
☐ Chemical ☐ Anaerobic ☐ None
☐ Other _____

Oils
☒ Absent ☐ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Profuse

Deposits
☐ Sludge ☐ Sawdust ☐ Paper fiber ☐ Sand
☐ Relict shells ☒ Other None
Iron deposits on sed floc

Looking at stones which are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color?
☐ Yes ☐ No N/A

INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%)			ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)		
Substrate Type	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area
Bedrock		0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	25%
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")	0			
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")	0	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	75%
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")	0			
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)	0	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm	100%			
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)	0			

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Aberjona River	LOCATION IPSD-TT19 01
STATION # 1961 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN
STORET #	AGENCY
INVESTIGATORS O'Neill, Roberts, Adelman	
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts	DATE 4/19/01 TIME 2:30 AM (PM)
REASON FOR SURVEY Triad sampling	

Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover 14 SCORE	Greater than 50% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient). 20 19 18 17 16	30-50% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale). 15 14 13 12 11	10-30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed. 10 9 8 7 6	Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking. 5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Pool Substrate Characterization 12 SCORE	Mixture of substrate materials, with gravel and firm sand prevalent; root mats and submerged vegetation common. 20 19 18 17 16	Mixture of soft sand, mud, or clay; mud may be dominant; some root mats and submerged vegetation present. 15 14 13 12 11	All mud or clay or sand bottom; little or no root mat; no submerged vegetation. 10 9 8 7 6	Hard-pan clay or bedrock; no root mat or vegetation. 5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Pool Variability 6 SCORE	Even mix of large-shallow, large-deep, small-shallow, small-deep pools present. 20 19 18 17 16	Majority of pools large-deep; very few shallow. 15 14 13 12 11	Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools. 10 9 8 7 6	Majority of pools small-shallow or pools absent. 5 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition 5 SCORE	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than <20% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. 20 19 18 17 16	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 20-50% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools. 15 14 13 12 11	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 50-80% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent. 10 9 8 7 6	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 80% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition. 5 4 3 2 1 0
5. Channel Flow Status 20 SCORE	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. 20 19 18 17 16	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed. 15 14 13 12 11	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed. 10 9 8 7 6	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools. 5 4 3 2 1 0

No flow / water level high

TT1901

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
20				
SCORE	(20) 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Channel Sinuosity	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 3 to 4 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (Note - channel braiding is considered normal in coastal plains and other low-lying areas. This parameter is not easily rated in these areas.)	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	Channel straight; waterway has been channelized for a long distance.
~~20~~ N/A				
SCORE	(20) 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4	3 2 1 0
SCORE 10 (RB)	Right Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4	3 2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4	3 2 1 0
SCORE 10 (RB)	Right Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4	3 2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 10 (RB)	Right Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

NO distinct Channel

Borderline vegetation ~100% Enough margin

Total Score 137

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Aberjona River	LOCATION Cranberry Bog IPSD-TT3302
STATION # TT33 02 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN
STORET #	AGENCY
INVESTIGATORS O'Neill, Roberts, Hoskins	
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts	DATE 6/20/01 TIME 11:00 (AM) PM
REASON FOR SURVEY Triad Sampling	

WEATHER CONDITIONS

Now		Past 24 hours
☐	storm (heavy rain)	☐
☐	rain (steady rain)	☐
☐	showers (intermittent)	☐
___% ☐	%cloud cover	☐ ___%
☒	clear/sunny	☒

Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? ☒ Yes ☐ No 6/17/01 .5" rain

Air Temperature 80 °F

Other _____

SITE LOCATION/MAP

Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)



STREAM CHARACTERIZATION

Stream Subsystem
☒ Perennial ☐ Intermittent ☐ Tidal

Stream Type
☐ Coldwater ☒ Warmwater

Stream Origin
☐ Glacial
☐ Non-glacial montane
☐ Swamp and bog
☐ Spring-fed
☐ Mixture of origins
☒ Other_____

Catchment Area_____km²

TT3302

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES

Predominant Surrounding Landuse
☐ Forest ☒ Commercial
☐ Field/Pasture ☐ Industrial
☐ Agricultural ☐ Other _____
☒ Residential

Local Watershed NPS Pollution
☒ No evidence ☐ Some potential sources
☐ Obvious sources

Local Watershed Erosion
☒ None ☐ Moderate ☐ Heavy

RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
☐ Trees ☒ Shrubs ☐ Grasses ☐ Herbaceous

dominant species present silkwood, fuzzack sedge, loosestrife

INSTREAM FEATURES

Estimated Reach Length 4ft m
Estimated Stream Width 1ft m
Sampling Reach Area 4x1.5 m² = 6sf
Area in km² (m²x1000) — km²
Estimated Stream Depth 3"-6" m
Surface Velocity (at thalweg) _____ m/sec No flow

Canopy Cover
☐ Partly open ☒ Partly shaded ☐ Shaded

High Water Mark _____ m water mainstage for June

Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types
☐ Riffle _____ % ☐ Run _____ %
☒ Pool 100 %

Channelized ☐ Yes ☐ No

Dam Present ☐ Yes ☐ No

LARGE WOODY DEBRIS

LWD — m² 20% sticks, partially degraded leaf litter
Density of LWD _____ m²/km² (LWD/reach area)

AQUATIC VEGETATION

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
☒ Rooted emergent ☐ Rooted submergent ☐ Rooted floating ☐ Free floating
☐ Floating Algae ☐ Attached Algae

dominant species present fuzzack sedge,

Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation 20 %

WATER QUALITY

Temperature 23.6 °C
Specific Conductance 444.00
Dissolved Oxygen 4.55
pH 7.22
Turbidity 2.4 NTU
WQ Instrument Used YSI ORP -14.1 mV

Water Odors
☒ Normal/None ☐ Sewage
☐ Petroleum ☐ Chemical
☐ Fishy ☐ Other _____

Water Surface Oils
☐ Slick ☒ Sheen ☐ Globs ☐ Flecks
☐ None ☐ Other _____

Turbidity (if not measured)
☐ Clear ☐ Slightly turbid ☐ Turbid
☐ Opaque ☐ Stained ☐ Other _____

SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE

Odors
☒ Normal ☐ Sewage ☐ Petroleum
☐ Chemical ☐ Anaerobic ☐ None
☐ Other _____

Oils – sheen
☒ Absent ☐ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Profuse

Deposits
☐ Sludge ☐ Sawdust ☐ Paper fiber ☐ Sand
☐ Relict shells ☐ Other N/A

Looking at stones which are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color?
☐ Yes ☒ No N/A

INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%)			ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)		
Substrate Type	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area
Bedrock		0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	40
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")	0			
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")	0	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	60
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")	0			
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)	50	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm	50			
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)	0			

Substrate Sandier

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Aberjona River	LOCATION IPSD-TT3302	
STATION # 3302 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN	
STORET #	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS Roberts, O'Neil, Hoskins		
FORM COMPLETED BY D. Roberts	DATE 6/20/01 TIME 11:30 (AM) PM	REASON FOR SURVEY Trial

Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover 11 SCORE	Greater than 50% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient). 20 19 18 17 16	30-50% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale). 15 14 13 12 11	10-30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed. 10 9 8 7 6	Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking. 5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Pool Substrate Characterization 14 SCORE	Mixture of substrate materials, with gravel and firm sand prevalent; root mats and submerged vegetation common. 20 19 18 17 16	Mixture of soft sand, mud, or clay; mud may be dominant; some root mats and submerged vegetation present. 15 14 13 12 11	All mud or clay or sand bottom; little or no root mat; no submerged vegetation. 10 9 8 7 6	Hard-pan clay or bedrock; no root mat or vegetation. 5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Pool Variability 2 SCORE	Even mix of large-shallow, large-deep, small-shallow, small-deep pools present. 20 19 18 17 16	Majority of pools large-deep; very few shallow. 15 14 13 12 11	Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools. 10 9 8 7 6	Majority of pools small-shallow or pools absent. 5 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition 8 SCORE	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than <20% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. 20 19 18 17 16	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 20-50% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools. 15 14 13 12 11	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 50-80% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent. 10 9 8 7 6	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 80% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition. 5 4 3 2 1 0
5. Channel Flow Status 16 SCORE	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. 20 19 18 17 16	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed. 15 14 13 12 11	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed. 10 9 8 7 6	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools. 5 4 3 2 1 0

TT3302

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
6. Channel Alteration 13	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 (13) 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Channel Sinuosity 5 N/A	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 3 to 4 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (Note - channel braiding is considered normal in coastal plains and other low-lying areas. This parameter is not easily rated in these areas.)	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	Channel straight; waterway has been channelized for a long distance.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	(5) 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
SCORE 9 (LB)	Left Bank 10 (9)	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 9 (RB)	Right Bank 10 (9)	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 10 (RB)	Right Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 10 (RB)	Right Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

Total Score 122

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET
(FRONT)

STREAM NAME Aborjona River	LOCATION Cranberry Bay, IPSD-TT3202
STATION # TT32-02 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN
STORET #	AGENCY
INVESTIGATORS O'Neill, Rote, Hoskins	
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts	DATE 6/20/01 TIME 2:00 AM (PM)
	REASON FOR SURVEY Triad Sampling

WEATHER CONDITIONS

Now		Past 24 hours
☐	storm (heavy rain)	☐
☐	rain (steady rain)	☐
☐	showers (intermittent)	☐
___% ☐	%cloud cover	☐ ___%
☒	clear/sunny	☒

Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? ☒ Yes ☐ No 6/17/01

Air Temperature 90° F

Other _____

SITE LOCATION/MAP

Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)



STREAM CHARACTERIZATION

Stream Subsystem ☐ Perennial ☒ Intermittent ☐ Tidal

Stream Type ☐ Coldwater ☒ Warmwater

Stream Origin
☐ Glacial
☐ Non-glacial montane
☐ Swamp and bog
☐ Spring-fed
☐ Mixture of origins
☒ Other _____

Catchment Area _____ km²

PT3202

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES

Predominant Surrounding Landuse
☐ Forest ☒ Commercial
☐ Field/Pasture ☐ Industrial
☐ Agricultural ☐ Other _____
☒ Residential

Local Watershed NPS Pollution
☒ No evidence ☐ Some potential sources
☐ Obvious sources

Local Watershed Erosion
☒ None ☐ Moderate ☐ Heavy

RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
☐ Trees ☐ Shrubs ☒ Grasses ☐ Herbaceous

dominant species present grass collected - Panicum sp?

INSTREAM FEATURES

Estimated Reach Length _____ m
Estimated Stream Width 1' m ft²
Sampling Reach Area 7 m ft²
Area in km² (m²x1000) _____ km²
Estimated Stream Depth 0.4-0.8'
Surface Velocity _____ m/sec (at thalweg) Very slow almost no flow

Canopy Cover
☐ Partly open ☐ Partly shaded ☐ Shaded Open

High Water Mark _____ m
Stage high for June

Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types
☐ Riffle _____ % ☐ Run _____ %
☒ Pool 100 %

Channelized ☒ Yes ☐ No – Channelized by Boy

Dam Present ☐ Yes ☒ No

LARGE WOODY DEBRIS

LWD _____ m²
Density of LWD _____ m²/m² (LWD/reach area) <5%

Leaf litter
small sticks
Not much large WD

AQUATIC VEGETATION

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
☒ Rooted emergent ☐ Rooted submergent ☐ Rooted floating ☐ Free floating
☐ Floating Algae ☐ Attached Algae

dominant species present Grass* loosestrife* *collected
on banks - little in channel

Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation 5% 1090

WATER QUALITY

Temperature 25.84
Specific Conductance 436.00
Dissolved Oxygen 8.23
pH 7.20
Turbidity 1.3
WQ Instrument Used YSI
ORP - 10.3

Water Odors
☒ Normal/None ☐ Sewage
☐ Petroleum ☐ Chemical
☐ Fishy ☐ Other _____

Water Surface Oils
☐ Slick ☐ Sheen ☐ Globs ☐ Flecks
☒ None ☐ Other _____

Turbidity (if not measured)
☐ Clear ☐ Slightly turbid ☐ Turbid
☐ Opaque ☐ Stained ☐ Other _____

SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE

Odors
☐ Normal ☐ Sewage ☐ Petroleum
☐ Chemical ☒ Anaerobic ☐ None
☐ Other _____

Oils
☒ Absent ☐ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Profuse

Deposits
☐ Sludge ☐ Sawdust ☐ Paper fiber ☐ Sand
☐ Relict shells ☐ Other _____

Looking at stones which are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color?
☐ Yes ☐ No

INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%)

Substrate Type	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach
Bedrock		0
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")	0
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")	0
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")	0
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)	40
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm	60
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)	0

ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)

Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area
Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	60
Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	40
Marl	grey, shell fragments	0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Abejawa River	LOCATION IPSO-TT3202
STATION # TT3202 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN
STORET #	AGENCY
INVESTIGATORS Roberts, O'Neill, Haskins (earlier)	
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts	DATE 6/29/01 TIME 2:00 AM (PM)
REASON FOR SURVEY Triad Sampling	

Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover 11 SCORE	Greater than 50% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	30-50% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	10-30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Pool Substrate Characterization 12 SCORE	Mixture of substrate materials, with gravel and firm sand prevalent; root mats and submerged vegetation common.	Mixture of soft sand, mud, or clay; mud may be dominant; some root mats and submerged vegetation present.	All mud or clay or sand bottom; little or no root mat; no submerged vegetation.	Hard-pan clay or bedrock; no root mat or vegetation.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Pool Variability 2 SCORE	Even mix of large-shallow, large-deep, small-shallow, small-deep pools present.	Majority of pools large-deep; very few shallow.	Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools.	Majority of pools small-shallow or pools absent.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition 8 SCORE	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than <20% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 20-50% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 50-80% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 80% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
5. Channel Flow Status 17 SCORE	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

TT3202

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
6. Channel Alteration 13	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Channel Sinuosity 8 N/A	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 3 to 4 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (Note - channel braiding is considered normal in coastal plains and other low-lying areas. This parameter is not easily rated in these areas.)	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	Channel straight; waterway has been channelized for a long distance.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	(5) 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 10 (RB)	Right Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 10 (RB)	Right Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 10 (RB)	Right Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

Total Score 123

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Aberjona River	LOCATION IPSD-WW06	
STATION # WW06 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET #	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS Roberts, O'Neill, Rosiu		
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts	DATE 6/21/01 TIME 8:55 AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY Triad Sampling

WEATHER CONDITIONS high thin cloud cover

Now		Past 24 hours
☐	storm (heavy rain)	☒
☐	rain (steady rain)	☐
☐	showers (intermittent)	☐
80 %	%cloud cover	☐ ___ %
☐	clear/sunny	☐

Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? ☒ Yes ☐ No T-storm last night heavy rain 6/17/01

Air Temperature 70 °F

Other T-storms

SITE LOCATION/MAP Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)



STREAM CHARACTERIZATION

Stream Subsystem
☐ Perennial ☒ Intermittent ☐ Tidal
seasonally inundated wetland

Stream Type
☐ Coldwater ☒ Warmwater

Catchment Area ___ km²

Stream Origin
☐ Glacial
☐ Non-glacial montane
☐ Swamp and bog
☐ Spring-fed
☐ Mixture of origins
☐ Other

IPSD-WW06

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES

Predominant Surrounding Landuse
☐ Forest ☒ Commercial
☐ Field/Pasture ☐ Industrial
☐ Agricultural ☐ Other RR tracks, GW Treatment system
☐ Residential

Local Watershed NPS Pollution
☐ No evidence ☒ Some potential sources
☐ Obvious sources GW extraction system

Local Watershed Erosion
☐ None ☐ Moderate ☐ Heavy

RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
☒ Trees ☒ Shrubs ☐ Grasses ☒ Herbaceous
dominant species present red maple, Arrowwood, sensitive fern, loosestrife

INSTREAM FEATURES

Estimated Reach Length — m
Estimated Stream Width — m
Sampling Reach Area 8 ft²
Area in km² (m²x1000) _____ km²
Estimated Stream Depth 0.5 - 1.0 ft
Surface Velocity (at thalweg) 0 m/sec, No flow

Canopy Cover
☐ Partly open ☒ Partly shaded ☐ Shaded

High Water Mark — m
water at high stage
Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types
☐ Riffle ___ % ☐ Run ___ %
☐ Pool 100 %

Channelized ☐ Yes ☒ No
Dam Present ☐ Yes ☒ No

LARGE WOODY DEBRIS

LWD _____ m² 10%
Density of LWD _____ m²/km² (LWD/ reach area)

AQUATIC VEGETATION

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
☒ Rooted emergent ☐ Rooted submergent ☐ Rooted floating ☐ Free floating
☐ Floating Algae ☐ Attached Algae
dominant species present Sens. fern, purple loosestrife, Ludwigia?
Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation ____ %

WATER QUALITY

Temperature 20.8 °C
Specific Conductance 177.0 µMHOS
Dissolved Oxygen 3.74 ppm
pH 7.30
Turbidity 2.4 NTU
WQ Instrument Used YSI 610DM
ORP - 23.6

Water Odors
☒ Normal/None ☐ Sewage
☐ Petroleum ☐ Chemical
☐ Fishy ☐ Other _____

Water Surface Oils
☐ Slick ☐ Sheen ☐ Globs ☐ Flecks
☒ None ☐ Other _____

Turbidity (if not measured)
☐ Clear ☐ Slightly turbid ☐ Turbid
☐ Opaque ☐ Stained ☐ Other _____

SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE

Odors
☒ Normal ☐ Sewage ☐ Petroleum
☐ Chemical ☐ Anaerobic ☒ None
☐ Other _____

Oils
☒ Absent ☐ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Profuse

Deposits
☐ Sludge ☐ Sawdust ☐ Paper fiber ☐ Sand
☐ Relict shells ☐ Other None

Looking at stones which are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color?
☐ Yes ☐ No

INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%)			ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)		
Substrate Type	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area
Bedrock		0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	30%
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")	0			
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")	0	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	70%
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")	0			
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)	0	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0%
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm	100%			
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)	0			

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Aberjona River	LOCATION IPSD-WW06
STATION # WW06 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN
STORET #	AGENCY
INVESTIGATORS Roberts, O'Neil, Rosin, Haskins	
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts	DATE 6/21/01 TIME 9:15 (AM) PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover 13 SCORE	Greater than 50% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient). 20 19 18 17 16	30-50% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale). 15 14 (13) 12 11	10-30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed. 10 9 8 7 6	Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking. 5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Pool Substrate Characterization 14 SCORE	Mixture of substrate materials, with gravel and firm sand prevalent; root mats and submerged vegetation common. 20 19 18 17 16	Mixture of soft sand, mud, or clay; mud may be dominant; some root mats and submerged vegetation present. 15 (14) 13 12 11	All mud or clay or sand bottom; little or no root mat; no submerged vegetation. 10 9 8 7 6	Hard-pan clay or bedrock; no root mat or vegetation. 5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Pool Variability SCORE	Even mix of large-shallow, large-deep, small-shallow, small-deep pools present. 20 19 18 17 16	Majority of pools large-deep; very few shallow. 15 14 13 12 11	Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools. 10 9 8 7 6	Majority of pools small-shallow or pools absent. (5) 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition 5 SCORE	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than <20% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. 20 19 18 17 16	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 20-50% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools. 15 14 13 12 11	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 50-80% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent. 10 9 8 7 6	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 80% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition. (5) 4 3 2 1 0
5. Channel Flow Status 17 SCORE	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. 20 19 18 (17) 16	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed. 15 14 13 12 11	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed. 10 9 8 7 6	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools. 5 4 3 2 (1) 0

All small pool

No flow, edge PFO

No flow standing water - But Bank full

WW06

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
6. Channel Alteration 20	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
SCORE	(20) 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Channel Sinuosity 20 N/A	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 3 to 4 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (Note - channel braiding is considered normal in coastal plains and other low-lying areas. This parameter is not easily rated in these areas.)	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	Channel straight; waterway has been channelized for a long distance. ✓
SCORE	(20) 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 10 (RB)	Right Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 10 (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal. ✓	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 4 (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 (4) 3	2 1 0

No chann

Total Score 128

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET
(FRONT)

STREAM NAME Aberjona River	LOCATION IPSD - TT1802
STATION # 1802 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN
STORET #	AGENCY
INVESTIGATORS O'Neill, Hoskins, Roberts	
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts	DATE 6/21/01 TIME 21:36 AM (PM)
	REASON FOR SURVEY Triad Sampling

WEATHER CONDITIONS

Now		Past 24 hours
☐	storm (heavy rain)	☒
☐	rain (steady rain)	☐
☐	showers (intermittent)	☐
100 % ☐	%cloud cover	☐ ___ %
☐	clear/sunny	☒

Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? ☒ Yes ☐ No 6/17 HEAVY rain. Rain again on 6/20

Air Temperature 75°F

Other T-storm yesterday 7pm

SITE LOCATION/MAP

Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)



STREAM CHARACTERIZATION

Stream Subsystem
☒ Perennial ☐ Intermittent ☐ Tidal

Stream Type
☐ Coldwater ☒ Warmwater

Stream Origin
☐ Glacial
☐ Non-glacial montane
☐ Swamp and bog
☐ Spring-fed
☒ Mixture of origins
☒ Other _____

Catchment Area _____ km²

TT1802

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES

Predominant Surrounding Landuse
- ☐ Forest
- ☒ Commercial
- ☐ Field/Pasture
- ☐ Industrial
- ☐ Agricultural
- ☒ Other RR
- ☐ Residential

Wild Wood Treatment

Local Watershed NPS Pollution
- ☒ No evidence
- ☐ Some potential sources
- ☐ Obvious sources – Wildwood

Local Watershed Erosion
- ☒ None
- ☐ Moderate
- ☐ Heavy

RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
- ☐ Trees
- ☐ Shrubs
- ☐ Grasses
- ☒ Herbaceous

dominant species present tussock sedge, loosestrife,

INSTREAM FEATURES

Estimated Reach Length ___ m

Estimated Stream Width 20' m

Sampling Reach Area 65 m²

Area in km² (m²x1000) ___ km²

Estimated Stream Depth 1.1 ft

Surface Velocity ~~m/sec~~ (at thalweg) Moderate flow

Canopy Cover
- ☐ Partly open
- ☐ Partly shaded
- ☐ Shaded
- Open

High Water Mark ___ m
water level high stage

Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types
- ☐ Riffle ___ %
- ☒ Run ___ %
- ☐ Pool ___ %

located inlet adjacent to channel

Channelized ☐ Yes ☒ No

Dam Present ☐ Yes ☒ No

LARGE WOODY DEBRIS

LWD ___ m²

Density of LWD ___ m²/km² (LWD/ reach area) 0%

AQUATIC VEGETATION

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
- ☐ Rooted emergent
- ☐ Rooted submergent
- ☐ Rooted floating
- ☐ Free floating
- ☐ Floating Algae
- ☐ Attached Algae

dominant species present rooted at borders not in channel

Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation 0 %

WATER QUALITY

Temperature 22.11 °C

Specific Conductance 358.00 µS/cm

Dissolved Oxygen 4.92 ppm

pH 7.55

Turbidity 5.0 ISU

WQ Instrument Used YSI

ORP -54.0

Water Odors
- ☒ Normal/None
- ☐ Sewage
- ☐ Petroleum
- ☐ Chemical
- ☐ Fishy
- ☐ Other ___

Water Surface Oils
- ☐ Slick
- ☐ Sheen
- ☐ Globs
- ☐ Flecks
- ☒ None
- ☐ Other ___

Turbidity (if not measured)
- ☐ Clear
- ☐ Slightly turbid
- ☐ Turbid
- ☐ Opaque
- ☐ Stained
- ☐ Other ___

SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE

Odors
- ☐ Normal
- ☐ Sewage
- ☐ Petroleum
- ☐ Chemical
- ☒ Anaerobic
- ☐ None
- ☐ Other ___

Oils: Sheen
- ☐ Absent
- ☒ Slight
- ☐ Moderate
- ☐ Profuse

Deposits
- ☐ Sludge
- ☐ Sawdust
- ☐ Paper fiber
- ☐ Sand
- ☐ Relict shells
- ☐ Other None

Looking at stones which are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color?
- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- N/A

INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%)			ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)		
Substrate Type	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area
Bedrock		0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	20
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")	0			
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")	0	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	80
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")	0			
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)	0	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm	100%			
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)	0			

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Alyeska River	LOCATION IPSD-TT1802
STATION # 18-02 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN
STORET #	AGENCY
INVESTIGATORS Roberts, Hoskins	
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts	DATE 6/21/01 TIME 2:30 AM (PM)
REASON FOR SURVEY Triad Sampling	

Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	**Suboptimal**	**Marginal**	**Poor**
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover 15 SCORE	Greater than 50% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	30-50% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	10-30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Pool Substrate Characterization 6 SCORE	Mixture of substrate materials, with gravel and firm sand prevalent; root mats and submerged vegetation common.	Mixture of soft sand, mud, or clay; mud may be dominant; some root mats and submerged vegetation present.	All mud or clay or sand bottom; little or no root mat; no submerged vegetation.	Hard-pan clay or bedrock; no root mat or vegetation.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 (6)	5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Pool Variability 5 SCORE	Even mix of large-shallow, large-deep, small-shallow, small-deep pools present.	Majority of pools large-deep; very few shallow.	Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools.	Majority of pools small-shallow or pools absent.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	(5) 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition 8 SCORE	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than <20% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 20-50% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 50-80% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 80% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 (8) 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
5. Channel Flow Status 20 SCORE	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	(20) 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

TT1806

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
6. Channel Alteration 20 SCORE	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern. (20) 19 18 17 16	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present. 15 14 13 12 11	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted. 10 9 8 7 6	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely. 5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Channel Sinuosity 16 SCORE	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 3 to 4 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (Note - channel braiding is considered normal in coastal plains and other low-lying areas. This parameter is not easily rated in these areas.) 20 19 18 17 (16)	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line. 15 14 13 12 11	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line. 10 9 8 7 6	Channel straight; waterway has been channelized for a long distance. 5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) SCORE 16 (LB) SCORE 10 (RB)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected. Left Bank (10) 9 Right Bank (10) 9	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion. 8 7 6 8 7 6	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods. 5 4 3 5 4 3	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars. 2 1 0 2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream. SCORE 10 (LB) SCORE 10 (RB)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally. Left Bank (10) 9 Right Bank (10) 9	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining. 8 7 6 8 7 6	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining. 5 4 3 5 4 3	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height. 2 1 0 2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) SCORE 10 (LB) SCORE 10 (RB)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone. Left Bank (10) 9 Right Bank (10) 9	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally. 8 7 6 8 7 6	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal. 5 4 3 5 4 3	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities. 2 1 0 2 1 0

Total Score 150

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Aberjona River	LOCATION IPSD - TT1002
STATION # SD10-02 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN
STORET #	AGENCY
INVESTIGATORS Roberts, O'Neill, Hoskins	
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE 6/22/01 TIME 8:45 (AM) PM
	REASON FOR SURVEY Triad Survey

WEATHER CONDITIONS

Now		Past 24 hours
☐	storm (heavy rain)	☐
☐	rain (steady rain)	☐
☐	showers (intermittent)	☐
100% ☐	%cloud cover	☐ ___%
☐	clear/sunny	☐

Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Air Temperature 66°F

Other _____

SITE LOCATION/MAP

Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)



STREAM CHARACTERIZATION

Stream Subsystem
☒ Perennial ☐ Intermittent ☐ Tidal

Stream Type
☐ Coldwater ☒ Warmwater

Stream Origin
☐ Glacial
☐ Non-glacial montane
☐ Swamp and bog
☐ Spring-fed
☐ Mixture of origins
☒ Other _____

Catchment Area _____ km²

TT 1002

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES

Predominant Surrounding Landuse
☐ Forest ☒ Commercial
☐ Field/Pasture ☐ Industrial
☐ Agricultural ☐ Other _____
☒ Residential

Local Watershed NPS Pollution
☒ No evidence ☐ Some potential sources
☐ Obvious sources

Local Watershed Erosion
☒ None ☐ Moderate ☐ Heavy

RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
☒ Trees ☐ Shrubs ☐ Grasses ☒ Herbaceous
dominant species present willows, purple loosestrife, cattails

INSTREAM FEATURES

Estimated Reach Length _____ m
Estimated Stream Width 150'
Sampling Reach Area 6 SF m²
Area in km² (m²x1000) _____ km²
Estimated Stream Depth 1.4 ft
Surface Velocity _____ m/sec
(at thalweg) Not very minimal flow

Canopy Cover
☐ Partly open ☐ Partly shaded ☐ Shaded open

High Water Mark _____ m
water level high
Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types
☐ Riffle _____ % ☐ Run _____ %
☒ Pool 100 %

Channelized ☐ Yes ☒ No
Dam Present ☐ Yes ☒ No

LARGE WOODY DEBRIS

LWD _____ m² 0%
Density of LWD _____ m²/km² (LWD/ reach area)

AQUATIC VEGETATION

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
☐ Rooted emergent ☐ Rooted submergent ☒ Rooted floating ☐ Free floating
☐ Floating Algae ☐ Attached Algae
dominant species present Nuphar lutetum (Spatterdock)
Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation 20%

WATER QUALITY

Temperature 19.15°C
Specific Conductance 331.00
Dissolved Oxygen 3.73
pH 7.09
Turbidity 6.0 NTU
WQ Instrument Used YSI
ORP -53.4

Water Odors
☒ Normal/None ☐ Sewage
☐ Petroleum ☐ Chemical
☐ Fishy ☐ Other _____

Water Surface Oils
☐ Slick ☐ Sheen ☐ Globs ☐ Flecks
☒ None ☐ Other _____

Turbidity (if not measured)
☐ Clear ☐ Slightly turbid ☐ Turbid
☐ Opaque ☐ Stained ☐ Other _____

SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE

Odors
☒ Normal ☐ Sewage ☐ Petroleum
☐ Chemical ☐ Anaerobic ☐ None
☐ Other Slight sulfide

Oils
☐ Absent ☒ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Profuse

Deposits
☐ Sludge ☐ Sawdust ☐ Paper fiber ☐ Sand
☐ Relict shells ☒ Other None

Looking at stones which are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color?
☐ Yes ☐ No N/A

INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%)			ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)		
Substrate Type	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area
Bedrock		0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	80
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")	0			
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")	0	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	20
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")	0			
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)	0	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0%
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm	100%			
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)	0			

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Aboujagua River	LOCATION TT1002 Salem Street	
STATION # TT1002 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN	
STORET #	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS Roberts O'Neill Hopkins		
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts	DATE 6/22/01 TIME 9:10 (AM) PM	REASON FOR SURVEY Third sampling

Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover 13 SCORE	Greater than 50% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient). 20 19 18 17 16	30-50% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale). 15 14 13 12 11	10-30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed. 10 9 8 7 6	Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking. 5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Pool Substrate Characterization 14 SCORE	Mixture of substrate materials, with gravel and firm sand prevalent; root mats and submerged vegetation common. 20 19 18 17 16	Mixture of soft sand, mud, or clay; mud may be dominant; some root mats and submerged vegetation present. 15 14 13 12 11	All mud or clay or sand bottom; little or no root mat; no submerged vegetation. 10 9 8 7 6	Hard-pan clay or bedrock; no root mat or vegetation. 5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Pool Variability 11 SCORE	Even mix of large-shallow, large-deep, small-shallow, small-deep pools present. 20 19 18 17 16	Majority of pools large-deep; very few shallow. 15 14 13 12 11	Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools. 10 9 8 7 6	Majority of pools small-shallow or pools absent. 5 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition 5 SCORE	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than <20% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. 20 19 18 17 16	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 20-50% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools. 15 14 13 12 11	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 50-80% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent. 10 9 8 7 6	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 80% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition. 5 4 3 2 1 0
5. Channel Flow Status 17 SCORE	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. 20 19 18 17 16	Water fills >75% of the available channel, or <25% of channel substrate is exposed. 15 14 13 12 11	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed. 10 9 8 7 6	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools. 5 4 3 2 1 0

TT1002

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
6. Channel Alteration 16	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 (16)	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Channel Sinuosity 6	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 3 to 4 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (Note - channel braiding is considered normal in coastal plains and other low-lying areas. This parameter is not easily rated in these areas.)	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	Channel straight; waterway has been channelized for a long distance.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 (6)	5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 7 (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 (7) 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank (10) 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 6 (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 (6)	5 4 3	2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
SCORE 7 (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 (7) 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 6 (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 (6)	5 4 3	2 1 0

Total Score 128

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Albergue River	LOCATION TPSD - TT1301
STATION # SD13-01 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN
STORET #	AGENCY
INVESTIGATORS Roberts, O'Neill, Hoskins	
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts	DATE 6/22/01 TIME 10:45 (AM) PM
REASON FOR SURVEY Triad sampling	

WEATHER CONDITIONS

Now		Past 24 hours
☐	storm (heavy rain)	☐
☐	rain (steady rain)	☐
☐	showers (intermittent)	☐
100% ☒	%cloud cover	☒ ___%
☐	clear/sunny	☐

Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? ☒ Yes ☐ No 6/17 heavy rain

Air Temperature 70°F 6/20 T-storms

Other _____

SITE LOCATION/MAP

Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)



STREAM CHARACTERIZATION

Stream Subsystem
☒ Perennial? ☐ Intermittent ☐ Tidal
maybe intermittent

Stream Origin
☐ Glacial
☐ Non-glacial montane
☐ Swamp and bog
☐ Spring-fed
☐ Mixture of origins
☒ Other _____

Stream Type
☐ Coldwater ☒ Warmwater

Catchment Area _____ km²

TT1301

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES

Predominant Surrounding Landuse
☐ Forest ☒ Commercial
☐ Field/Pasture ☐ Industrial
☐ Agricultural ☒ Other RR Track
☐ Residential

Local Watershed NPS Pollution
☒ No evidence ☐ Some potential sources
☐ Obvious sources

Local Watershed Erosion
☒ None ☐ Moderate ☐ Heavy

RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
☒ Trees ☐ Shrubs ☐ Grasses ☐ Herbaceous
dominant species present White oak, Arrowwood, elderberry, loosestrife, jewelweed

INSTREAM FEATURES

Estimated Reach Length ~~m~~
Estimated Stream Width 15' ~~m~~
Sampling Reach Area 6 ~~m²~~ ft²
Area in km² (m²x1000) ~~km²~~
Estimated Stream Depth 0.3 ~~m~~ 0.4'
Surface Velocity (at thalweg) ~~m/sec~~ very low flow

Canopy Cover
☐ Partly open ☐ Partly shaded ☐ Shaded open
High Water Mark ~~m~~ water level just below high water mark on bank
Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types
☐ Riffle ___% ☐ Run ___%
☐ Pool 100 %
Channelized ☐ Yes ☒ No
Dam Present ☐ Yes ☒ No

LARGE WOODY DEBRIS

LWD ~~m²~~ 590
Density of LWD ~~m²/km² (LWD/ reach area)~~

AQUATIC VEGETATION

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
☐ Rooted emergent ☐ Rooted submergent ☐ Rooted floating ☐ Free floating
☐ Floating Algae ☐ Attached Algae No vegetation in pool only on banks
dominant species present ___
Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation 0 %

WATER QUALITY

Temperature 19.38 °C
Specific Conductance 225.00
Dissolved Oxygen 4.10
pH 7.21
Turbidity 4.3 NTU
WQ Instrument Used YSI OAK - 58.5

Water Odors
☒ Normal/None ☐ Sewage
☐ Petroleum ☐ Chemical
☐ Fishy ☐ Other___

Water Surface Oils
☐ Slick ☐ Sheen ☐ Globs ☐ Flecks
☒ None ☐ Other___

Turbidity (if not measured)
☐ Clear ☐ Slightly turbid ☐ Turbid
☐ Opaque ☐ Stained ☐ Other___

SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE

Odors
☒ Normal ☐ Sewage ☐ Petroleum
☐ Chemical ☐ Anaerobic ☐ None
☐ Other___

Oils Sheen
☐ Absent ☐ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Profuse

Deposits
☐ Sludge ☐ Sawdust ☐ Paper fiber ☐ Sand
☐ Relict shells ☐ Other N/A

Looking at stones which are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color?
☐ Yes ☐ No N/A

INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%)			ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)		
Substrate Type	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area
Bedrock		0	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	20
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")	0			
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")	0	Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	80
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")	0			
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)	0	Marl	grey, shell fragments	0
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm	100%			
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)	0			

raccoon track
Geese in open channel under trees to north

IPSO-TT1301

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Aberjona River	LOCATION IPSO-TT1301
STATION # SO13-01 RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN
STORET #	AGENCY
INVESTIGATORS Roberts, Hoskins, O'Neill	
FORM COMPLETED BY Roberts	DATE 6/22/01 TIME 10:55 (AM) PM
REASON FOR SURVEY Triad	

Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover 13 SCORE	Greater than 50% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	30-50% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	10-30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Pool Substrate Characterization 10 SCORE	Mixture of substrate materials, with gravel and firm sand prevalent; root mats and submerged vegetation common.	Mixture of soft sand, mud, or clay; mud may be dominant; some root mats and submerged vegetation present.	All mud or clay or sand bottom; little or no root mat; no submerged vegetation.	Hard-pan clay or bedrock; no root mat or vegetation.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Pool Variability 5 SCORE	Even mix of large-shallow, large-deep, small-shallow, small-deep pools present.	Majority of pools large-deep; very few shallow.	Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools.	Majority of pools small-shallow or pools absent.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition 4 SCORE	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than <20% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 20-50% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 50-80% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 80% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
5. Channel Flow Status 18 SCORE	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

Jewelweed, Arrowarum in wetland

TT1301

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
6. Channel Alteration 15	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Channel Sinuosity 8 N/A	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 3 to 4 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (Note - channel braiding is considered normal in coastal plains and other low-lying areas. This parameter is not easily rated in these areas.)	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	Channel straight; waterway has been channelized for a long distance.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
SCORE 6 (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 7 (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
SCORE 9 (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 7 (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
SCORE 9 (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 5 (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

No distinct channel area, flood wetland

RB little veg layer

RBank clearing w/in 12 m zone, garbage, wells

Total Score 104