US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

BOSTON REGION I

In the Matter of:

PUBLIC HEARING

In Re:

PSD PROJECT

PIONEER VALLEY ENERGY CENTER

North Middle School 350 Southampton Road Westfield, Massachusetts

Thursday, January 12, 2012

The above entitled matter came on for hearing,

pursuant to Notice at 7:00 p.m.

BEFORE:

IDA E. McDONNELL, Manager
DONALD DAHL, Environmental Engineer
RONALD FEIN
JIM MURPHY
UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
OEPO5-2, Region 1
5 Post Office Square
Suite 100
Boston, MA 02109-3912

I N D E X

PANEL:	PAGE
Ida E. McDonnell, Manager	4
Donald Dahl, Environmental Engineer	
Ronald Fein, EPA	
Jim Murphy, EPA	4
SPEAKERS:	
Ora Cormier	13
Claire Miller, Toxic Action Center	16
Kathleen Barker	18
Emma Figueroa	20
Maryann Babinski	21
Fred Lakoma	25
Jean Carpenter	28
Pam Perreault	32
Staci Rubin, ACE Attorney	35
John Armstrong	38
Mark Pickford	42
Paul Gour	43
Steven Dowd	46
Michael Durkee	47
Kathy Dowd	48
Michael Maperowski	(Not Present)
Robert Bachman	50
George Delaney	(Not Present)

	3
SPEAKERS, Continued:	PAGE
Gordon Patro, Sr.	(Passed)
Larry Santos	(Not Present)
Steve Sgroi	51
Henry Warchol	52
Gail Bean	53
Eric Hadley	(Not Present)
Ray Frappier	55
Dale Ringer	55
Daniel Hitchcock	57
Kurt Heidinger	58
Henry Jolen	(Not Present)
Paul Vassel	(Passed)
Ermelinda Morizio	60
Dan Diaro	(Not Present)
Jack Sweeney	61
Lisa Lannen	(Not Present)
Bill Cuture	64
Barbara Swords	68
Nicolina Figueroa	69
Thomas Burke	(Not Present)
RETURNS	
Jean Carpenter	70
Maryann Babinski	72

PROCEEDINGS

(7:13 p.m.)

MR. MURPHY: We will record, and so folks who have wanted to make a comment, I'd ask to fill out the cards. If there's somebody else who wants to add their name to that list, that we still have the cards in the back and you're welcome to fill one out and make a comment.

And thank you for your patience and for all the good questions.

MS. McDONNELL: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Ida McDonnell. I am the Manager for the Air Permits, Toxics and Indoor Programs Unit with the New England Regional Office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, also known as Region 1. I am the presiding officer for this hearing. The informational meeting is now over, and I am now convening the public hearing. As I will explain in the next few minutes, the nature of this public hearing is different from the informal public meeting that we have just completed.

Joining me here tonight are Donald Dahl, who you already saw, who presented the material during the informational meeting. Donald works in my unit as the Permitting Engineer for this permit. Ronald Fein from

EPA Region 1's Office of Regional Counsel, is sitting right here to my right. He is the lawyer for EPA working on the permit. We also have several other people in attendance, including a representative from our Office of Civil Rights and urban Affairs, and our Office of Public Affairs. Also, to assist people whose native language is not English, we have provided interpreters in Spanish, French, Russian and Polish. If you would like the assistance of one of these interpreters, please make sure to find the appropriate interpreter.

I'd like to begin by setting the context for tonight's hearing. I will first summarize the draft air permit that is the subject of the hearing, then I'll discuss the permitting process so far, the nature of tonight's hearing, and what happens after the hearing. Finally, I'll discuss the process for giving oral comments of tonight's hearing. I will begin with a summary of the draft air permit for anyone who missed the informational meeting.

Tonight's hearing concerns the issuance of a prevention of significant deterioration, or PSD permit, to Pioneer Valley Energy Center, which I will call Pioneer Valley for short, for the construction and operation of a new 431 megawatt power plant on

Ampad Road in Westfield. The project includes the construction of and operation of a combined cycle gas turbine, along with other equipment necessary to safely operate the new plant. EPA has reviewed the information in the application and other documentation and has issued a draft PSD permit for Pioneer Valley, along with an accompanying fact sheet which explains the decisions made in the draft permit. The draft permit has been available for public comment since December. After EPA has received and considered comments received during the Public Comment Period and comments again received at tonight's hearing, EPA will make a final permit decision.

The legal and factual background for the draft air permit is explained in detail in the fact sheet, and we have just finished an informal public meeting in which Donald Dahl of my office explained the draft permit. But, I will give you a short summary. The project is expected to emit several air pollutants at levels that are high enough to require federal Clean Air Act permitting. Those air pollutants are particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter, otherwise known as PM10, particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in diameter, known as PM2.5, carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, greenhouse

gases, and sulfuric acid mist.

A PSD permit is a Clean Air Act permit that makes sure the facility installs modern technology to reduce air pollution, and that it does not cause unhealthy levels of air pollution. A PSD permit requires the facility to install best available control technology and to conduct air quality modeling. The air quality modeling must show two things: first, that the project's air emissions do not violate National Ambient Air Quality Standards or "NAAQS,", which are designed to protect public health; and second, that the air emissions do not violate the PSD increments, which are designed to protect air quality that is already better than the National Ambient Air Quality Standards.

For this project, EPA is proposing to require Pioneer Valley to install an efficient combustion turbine, to install emission controls for nitrogen oxide and carbon monoxide., and to use low emitting fuels to control emissions of greenhouse gases, particulate matter, and sulfuric acid mist. The air quality analysis showed that the total emissions increase from this project is below the NAAOS and PSD increments.

EPA released the Draft Public Comment, the

Draft Permit for Public Notice for this facility on December 5th, 2011, which opened the Public Comment Period through January 24th, 2012. The legal notice for this hearing was published in the Springfield Republican on December 2nd, 2011; Westfield News also on December 2nd, 2011; El Pueblo, a Spanish weekly newspaper on December 8th, 2011; and a web site for the local Russian Community in December. Copies of the public notice were sent to people who commented on EPA's earlier draft last November.

Since December 5th, the Draft Permit, Fact
Sheet explaining the Draft Permit, and the supporting
documents have been made available for interested
parties to review and to comment on at EPA's Boston
Office. While some of the documents are technical, we
have also provided a one-page "Community Update" that
summarizes the major issues, and this Community Update
has been translated into Spanish, Russian, French and
Polish. The same information also was made available
on EPA's web site. In case you need a copy of the
Draft permit or Fact Sheet, some are available at this
hearing tonight as well as on our Web site.

Tonight's hearing is an informal, nonadversarial hearing providing interested parties with the opportunity to make oral comments and/or submit

written comments on the proposed permit. There will be no cross-examination of either the panel or the commenters. Any questions directed to a commenter from a panel member will be for clarification purposes only. The Public Hearing is being recorded. The transcription will become part of the Official Administrative Record for this permit. However, in order to ensure the record's accuracy, we highly recommend that you submit written statements in addition to any comments that you make tonight. If you want to submit a written comment in addition to or instead of speaking tonight, you can give it to us tonight, or send it to us in the mail or e-mail before the end of the public comment period.

As previously mentioned, the Public Comment Period will close at midnight on January 24th.

Following the close of the Public Comment period, EPA will review and consider all comments received during the Public Comment period, both in writing and in tonight's public hearing. EPA will prepare a document known as the "Response to Comments: briefly describing and addressing the significant issues raised during the comment period and what provisions, if any, of the Draft Permit have been changed and the reasons for these changes. The Response to Comments will

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

accompany the Final Permit for Pioneer Valley when it is issued. Notice of the availability of both the Response to Comments and the Final Permit will be mailed or e-mailed to everyone who commented on the Draft Permit. To save paper, we encourage you to provide an e-mail address if you have one, and are willing to receive notice through the e-mail.

After the final permit has been issued, anyone who wishes to contest the Final Permit must file a petition for review or appeal with the Environmental Appeals Board, also known as the EAB. couple of important things to remember if you are considering appealing the final permit; first, the petition for review (or appeal) must be received by the EAB within 30 days of the date the Final Permit is issued. More information on how exactly to calculate this period will be included in the attachment to the Final Permit. Second, only persons who filed comments on the Draft Permit during the Public Comment Period or who provided comments during the Public Hearing may petition the EAB to review final permit conditions. Third, any person seeking review of a permit decision must raise all reasonably ascertainable issues and submit all reasonably available arguments supporting their position during the comment period, including

any Public Hearing. Issues or arguments that are not raised will not be considered by the EAB on appeal. There is one exception to the above: Any person who failed to file comments or failed to participate in the Public Hearing, may petition the EAB only to the extent of the changes from the Draft to the Final Permit. More information on the appeals process can be found on the EPA Web site and at that time of the Final Permit decision.

To begin hearing your comments, I will first request comments from Federal, Tribal, State and Local officials. I will then request comments from members of the public. I will use the attendance cards to call on people who wish to comment. The cards will be called in the order they were submitted. These cards will also be used to notify persons of our subsequent Final Permit Decision.

Speakers should come to the podium right here, where we have a microphone, to speak. Even if you do not wish to speak tonight, you may want to fill out a card nd include your contact information so that you will be notified of our subsequent final permit decision.

And to help make tonight's permit hearing as smooth as possible, I ask you the following. First,

before you begin your statement, please identify yourself and your affiliation, if any, for the record. Second, please speak clearly into the microphone for the transcript. And when you use your own name or anyone else's, or any abbreviations, please spell them out loud for the benefit of the transcript. If you are making your comment in Spanish, Russian, French or Polish, please allow the interpreter a chance to translate your comment. Third, please focus your comments on EPA's draft PSD permit and issues related to this permit.

Fourth, please remember that this is an opportunity for you to state your comments. EPA will carefully consider everyone's comments after the close of the public comment period. This means EPA's responses to your comments will come in a written response to comments not tonight. Fifth, I ask tat members of the audience please refrain from interrupting or making excessive noise while someone is speaking. In order for as many participants as possible to get a chance to express their views, I ask that you limit your comments to five minutes.

Assisting you in this, we will show cards indicating when you have two minutes left, have one minute left then zero when it's times to wrap up. At any time, if

you are asked to stop and you have not finished, I will ask that you defer the remainder of your comments until each person has had an initial opportunity to comment. Then, if there is time at the end of the evening, we will give you a short opportunity to finish your comments. If you have a written statement, you may read it if it can be done in the time period allowed. And, if not, then I ask you to please summarize your statement. In either case, I do encourage you to submit the written comments tonight.

With that, let's begin with the comments and I will call out the names based on the cards in the order that I discussed before.

Will each of the interpreters step forward and let everyone know who you are and why you're here.

INTERPRETER: Speaking in Spanish.

INTERPRETER: Speaking in French.

INTERPRETER: Speaking in Polish.

INTERPRETER: Speaking in Russian.

MS. McDONNELL: Thank you.

The first person that I have is Ora Cormier.

ORA CORMIER: Hello. My name is Ora

23 Cormier. That's O-r-a C-o-r-m-i-e-r. I live in

24 | Westfield and I'm a Westfield concerned citizen. We

have seven grandchildren living in the area and six of

them go to school in Westfield. My husband and I own a tractor-trailer truck. I drive a school bus and I'm familiar with the diesel emissions laws and the laws regarding idling of diesel engines. Years ago, a truck could idle to keep the driver warm in the winter and cool in the summer and there was no laws governing that.

Now, he has five minutes that he can idle the truck and is prohibited to idle longer than that. School buses cannot idle in front of a school because of the air quality and they cannot idle at bus stops longer than five minutes. All this is due to air quality, an article and the health of our children.

I have information here from the Ethnological Society of America saying that the exceedance of critical loads of nitrogen in our area, if you can see, it's a map of the United States and red is above the critical limits of nitrogen from emissions. Again, the red is over the critical limits already of mercury all up and down the East Coast. And above the mercury in the tissues of fish and in our ecosystem, the aquatic ecosystem, the levels of mercury is already above.

And there's an article from the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection.

It says here -- it's from the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. It says here that blue clouds and wax soot are the most obvious forms of emissions from diesel. And it is the pollution that we cannot see or smell that is most harmful. It poses a greater risk with fine particles that penetrate deep into the lungs. It causes aggravating respiratory conditions, asthma, bronchitis and increasing heart disease. It leads to cancer and even premature death.

Common sense governs our desire for clean air, but it's science that proves that we do not -- that we should not approve such a power plant.

Again, the maps and literature from the Ecology Association proves that. I have an article written by the Westfield Water Resources Department that says that Westfield water is vulnerable due to contamination, due to the absence of hydro geologic barriers. The barriers could prevent contamination due to the presence of high threat land use, such as autobody repair shops, railroad, machine shops, the airport, transportation corridors, traffic and illegal dumping. Again, that's written by our Westfield Water Resources Department.

It's been scientifically proven that air quality programs such as the 1990 Clear Act -- Clear

Air Act, and its amendments have helped to clean up our air, but they don't adequately help protect the ecosystems and they have no -- they have no address to the long-term damage being done and they do not address the ammonia emissions.

Where ecosystems are concerned, there's what they call a tipping point. Once we reach that tipping point, there is no return. Let's not reach that tipping point in Westfield or surrounding towns.

I request the EPA to do a health air quality assessment. Please do not make matters worse. Solar and wind energy is the energy for the future.

Thank you.

MS. McDONNELL: Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Excuse me. Ms. Cormier, would you like to submit those into the record?

MS. CORMIER: Yes.

MS. McDONNELL: Claire Miller.

MS. MILLER: Good evening. My name is
Claire Miller and I'm a community organizer with Toxic
Action Center. Toxic Action Center is a public health
and environmental nonprofit that works side-by-side
with communities working to clean up or prevent
solution. Thank you for the opportunity to testify

tonight.

FROM THE FLOOR: It's hard to hear you.

MS. MILLER: Is the mike on?

FROM THE FLOOR: Yes.

MS. McDONNELL: Yes, you need to be right up against it.

MS. MILLER. Great. Thanks ---

MS. McDONNELL: There you go.

MS. MILLER: --- for the tip.

I want to keep my comments brief tonight because I'd rather hear more from concerned citizens here in Westfield.

My main focus tonight is that I would like to ask the Environmental Protection Agency to do a health impact assessment. It's my sense that Westfield is, in fact, an environmental justice community. For folks who don't know what environmental justice communities are, it's communities that are low income or communities of color historically, being overburden to the sources of pollution. And my sense from having spent a good deal of time here in Westfield over the last year and a half is that you are, indeed, an environmental justice community, and it would not -- definitely not hurt to do -- to take a moment, slow down and actually take a

health impact assessment and look at what is this community burdened with and how would this impact that.

Thank you.

MS. McDONNELL: Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Kathleen Barker.

MS. BARKER: Good evening. I'm Kathleen
Barker of 59 Eggleston Road. I'm a member of the
Westfield Concerned Citizens. Thank you for having
this meeting. We appreciate your time.

I live approximately a half mile from the proposed power plant site and my concerns are as follows. Contradictions with the PVEC agree, City of Westfield Planning Board's special permits as it applies the same conditions of the EPA draft air permit. PVEC has moved forward with the EPA's air permit knowingly and without requesting adjustments to parallel the conditions of the Westfield Planning Board permit.

PVEC entered into the local request -- the local permitting of the power plant agreeing to be bound by 63 conditions. I ask that the EAP uphold and incorporate any local permitting common conditions into the Mass. EPA air permit or deny the permit.

The most obvious contradiction is No. 38 of the Westfield Special Permitting, stating the applicant owner will use ultra -- ultra low sulfur fuel with no daily limit of more than 10 million gallons per year or 720 hours, 30 days per year at the maximum heating rate.

The EPA draft will allow for double. The burning of the oil fuel will produce much higher levels of pollutants, including the component of sulfur acid mist.

I ask the EPA to require a full health impact assessment, specific to Westfield with additional data reflecting that of school aged children, low income residents, elderly and the residents with illness or chronic health conditions as they relate to air quality.

I also ask that an air monitor be placed on Southampton Road in Westfield between the Mass Pike exit and entrance, and the north entrance to Service Star Industrial Way, requiring air monitoring for at least three to six months before any decision of a final permit is issued and continue indefinitely. The results analyzed by an independent entity and the mailing of results to all residents within one mile radius, and to be published on a monthly basis in

local newspapers.

The PVEC should be required to incorporate and uphold all local permits, not as a mere courtesy or to promote any false image on a local level.

Please protect this community.

Thank you.

MS. McDONNELL: Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Emma Figueroa.

And please remember to spell your name.

MS. FIGUEROA: Okay. Hi. I'm Emma, that's spelled E-m-m-a, last name F-i-g-u-e-r-o-a.

I'm not just a Westfield concerned citizen,
I'm also a student of one of those five schools in the
area of where the power plant will be built.

I want to talk about my health, my friends' and, of course, my family's.

For -- from the toxins -- from the toxins being released into the air, into the air from the power plant, it could give kids asthma or with the elders that already have breathing problems, that could become death.

Tell me, do you guys really want to be the cause of families that are getting sick from the toxins in the air?

I think that's it. The toxins from the power plant, that could kill others and that could just be a whole lawsuit waiting to happen, and I don't think any of the Westfield concerned citizens want to go through that and I don't think you guys want it either.

MS. McDONNELL: Okay. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Maryann Babinski.

MS. BABINSKI: Thank you. Maryann Babinski.

That's -- do you want me to spell it?

MS. McDONNELL: Yes.

MS. BABINSKI: M-a-r-y-a-n-n B-a-b-i-n-s-k-

14 | i.

I'm a Westfield resident and I am here today representing the Westfield Concerned Citizens.

WCC, which is the Westfield Concerned
Citizens, is a community action group made up of
individuals who have come together because of a common
concern for the health and well-being of our neighbors
and our environment in general. WCC supports energy
solutions that will educate the public on energy
efficiency, conservation and sustainability.

We are therefore concerned by the many environmental assaults that have been levied on our

neighborhood by numerous sources of toxic air pollution. We are here to deliver a message to the EPA regarding the draft air quality permit that the Pioneer Valley Energy Center developers need to build their 431 megawatt gas and oil fired power plant on Ampad Road in Westfield.

We are a mixed neighborhood with a significant number of low-income residents and we are tired of having sources of air pollution continually dumped on us. We want energy solutions that are sensitive to environmental justice issues. Solutions that do not take advantage of certain neighborhoods and there for put them at greater risk. This plant is no a solution. It is going to become a very big part of our problem, and that's what you're not taking into consideration. Put this in a box and do all the tests you want, but we are a neighborhood here that is being overburdened with many sources of pollution.

We did not come to this conclusion frivolously though, because we have been actively involved in gathering as much data as we could over the past four plus years. All evidence tells us that this plant, if it is built, is most assuredly going to be an environmental injustice to this neighborhood. This neighborhood's air quality is already compromised

by multiple sources of air pollution. Yet here we are again, having to defend it against another assault because the plan is to add a monstrous power plant that will add tons of air pollution to our environment, pollutants that human health -- that will harm human health and destroy the environment.

The proposed site is too close to several schools, daycares and elderly housing facilities.

Children and the elderly are the ones most adversely affected by these toxic emissions. This is not a fairy tale. This is fact. This is a horror story for us. We are a neighborhood that does not deserve this.

We're not here today to tell the EPA about all the adverse health effects these emissions will have on human health. We are not here to document all the reasons why this plant shouldn't be built so close to schools, elderly complexes and residence. We are not here to educate them on regarding the poor air guality that already exists in Hampden County. And we're not here to give details and statistical data about the adverse health impact that emissions from hundreds of diesel truck, trains, highway traffic and airport emissions can have on nearby residents, particularly children and in the elderly This data is

already well documented on their own Web site.

We fit the profile and it indicates that this is a bad idea for this neighborhood. We believe that agencies that have as their mission, the protection of the environment, to take actions for people. If we cannot depend on these agencies, then we do not -- then we -- then who do we turn to for help in defending our environment against these assaults? Our local Board of Health has already let us down. We look to the EPA to do us justice. We are concerned about the cumulative effect of these emissions.

You cannot simply look at figures on a piece of paper and conclude that this plant will do no harm. You must look at the area. We want you to look at our area. Our air quality is at risk and that risk is going to increase with the addition of this plant. We believe that clean energy does not come from a smoke stack. We believe that health and safety of al people should come before power, politics and profit making.

There's no need for extra energy, and that's the only reason why this is going to be built here.

It is for these reasons that we ask the EPA
-- I would want to say we demand that EPA deny this
permit. It is for this reason that we ask the EPA to

require that the PVEC do a health impact assessment. Please do a health impact assessment.

I thank you for your time.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Fred Lakoma.

MR. LAKOMA: My name is Fred Lakoma, L-a-k-o-m-a, and I live on 59 Kitridge Drive, which is probably just a stones throw from here. And I'm here because I'm concerned about the endangerment both to our children and our neighborhoods.

There's quite a few unanswered questions if an incident of severe magnitude should occur. There is no full safe technology to compensate for either mechanical failure, human error, lack of attentiveness, sabotage or climatic change to ensure that we have a 100-percent safe operation. The stored amounts of ammonia and oil is far too great to prevent a catastrophic incident.

I've worked for an explosive company for 20 years in supervision and management, and this company has been around for about a 176 years. We recently, a few years ago, received the Shingle (phonetic) prize for manufacturing excellence. Whenever we started a new process or operation, we always conducted a PHA, which is a process hazard analysis, which is similar

to a health assessment.

What if scenario questions were always asked? Okay? And we always answer these questions to ensure that we met all safety and health concerns for not only the operators, but the neighborhood, in general, because if there was an incident, you wanted to make sure it was confined in that area and it didn't exceed a radius of that incident.

We work not only with explosives, but we also work with caustic chemicals, fuels, oxidizers and carcinogens. Quantities were kept to a real low minimum in case there was an incident of severe magnitude. If we couldn't guarantee that health and safety concerns were met in all cases, we didn't start up any process. My concerns with the draft permit are that there is a lot of questions that are unanswered and they're not specified in their emergency draft.

PVEC should guarantee a spill or an incident of severe magnitude will not happen.

You know, they reference a contingency plan for major spills or fires in their emergence response draft. We don't even know what this contingency draft is. You know, they talk about fires in incipient stages, while these fires are able to be confined with an extinguisher, something that you and I could use.

And who is able to handle an incident of severe magnitude if our local fire department cannot? And how long before the emergency personnel are assembled and they arrive at the site? We don't know that.

What is the safe zone? What is the area of safe zone for a worse case scenario and how large is that affected area? How long would it take to evacuate an area after an incident of severe magnitude? We have six schools within a half a mile - within one and a half miles.

And what is the immediate and long-term impact to our environment for a major spill? We have experienced an earthquake and a tornado last year. And how do we know how large of a containment area that can hold 15,000 gallons of liquified ammonia or 750,000 gallons of oil? How big is this containment area? And what are the full safe technological measures to prevent an accidental major incident?

Once again, there is no 100-percent safe operations. We need to ask the what if scenario questions which haven't been asked. We have a right to ask these questions. Our elected officials have the obligation to ask these questions even though it's not in their backyard. And PVECA needs to answer these questions truthfully.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Jean Carpenter.

MS. CARPENTER: Okay. My name is Jean

Carpenter,

C-a-r-p-e-n-t-e-r. I'm a Westfield resident. I am a member of the Westfield Concerned Citizens and I request that you deny a prevention of significant deterioration permit to PVEC.

We attended a health department meeting in September of 2010 and asked about the emissions that would be released by Pioneer Valley Energy, PVEC.

They claimed -- this is the health department. They claimed they didn't know. I asked specifically about the PM2.5 particulates. Was told that I needed to show them proof the PM2.5 particulates would be produced by -- in PVEC's emissions.

Obviously, our health department never looked into the effects this company would have on Westfield residents and school children on the north side, including the school we are in now, which is so close to the proposed site, the power plant.

At the meeting the following month I gave the board of health a stack of information about PM2.5 particulates, some of which came from PVEC's own

manuals, the rest from EPA, Journal of American
Medical Association, New England Journal of Medicine
Research, American Lung Association, as well as other
medical and research facilities. I asked a few
questions and asked that the health department notify
me with the answers. I waited for months and nothing.
So I wrote a letter and asked seven questions
pertaining specifically to the PM2.5 particulates and
hand delivered it to the health department at city
hall.

I received a brief e-mail the next day stating, in part: While I understand your concerns, the project as proposed does not appear to be in violation of codes or statutes. Further, as I have communicated to you in the past, which he did not, health department input on the project has not been solicited by any department or agency.

Obviously our health department does not feel it needs to respond to health related concerns from a group of residents. Incidently, he never answered one question. Therefore, we hope that EPA will step in to help the residents of Westfield protect our right to cleaner air.

There are many people in my neighborhood with respiratory problems, including myself. We

already have emissions from the airport, with the F15s which were relocated here from the Cape, costing
the taxpayers over \$77 million. Was it because of the
high emissions and the cancer rates at the Cape?

I have not been able to find what the actual emissions are from all of the planes at Barnes
Airport. Why is this information not readily available? There are maybe thousands of trucks daily on Route 202 from Duie Pyle, Genco, Lowe's, Home
Depot, CNS and many other companies on the north side that travel to and from Exit 3 of the Mass Turnpike.
These trucks go to North High and North Middle School, where we are now; Southampton Road School and Kitt's Place, all on Southampton Road. All of the trucks, plus the school buses and other traffic are emitting emissions that the residents are constantly breathing in.

I recently was at a bank on 202 and counted 20 tractor-trailer trucks passing that point in eight minutes time. That would be roughly over 1200 tractor-trailer trucks per eight-hour day passing by the schools. And to that, the pollutants from the trucks that go to Exit 3, to other areas of town, plus the routine turnpike traffic, all about a half mile from these schools. Plus add the emissions. I've got

a lot more to go.

Add the emissions from the trains in the area and the school children and residents are already inundated with a high rate of emissions and pollution, every day, all day, all night.

According to the Mass DEP, PVEC has to conduct a two-week air monitoring, especially for PM2.5 particulates before it can start building, just to see how polluted our air already is. PM2.5 particulates are so microscopic that they are easily breathed in, especially by children and the elderly. They can cause lung and heart problems and even cancer, according to many health studies.

We don't need to add another million and half tons per year of pollutants into this valley produced by PVEC. The Westfield Board of Health admitted we have inversions on the north side, which means this valley holds the emissions and pollutants.

Mass DEP has also stated that PVEC has to pay the state \$4 million per year in offsets to compensate for its overpollution from this power plant emissions. However, that will not get rid of these pollutions, they will remain here in the valley for a long time.

PVEC's location is by wetlands. So some of

the emissions and pollutants will fall into the wetlands and ponds, which can eventually leach into the aquifer located beneath the City of Westfield. As we know, the wetlands ---

MS. McDONNELL: Excuse me. You're going to need to wrap up. We have a lot of people ---

MS. CARPENTER: Okay.

MS. McDONNELL: --- wanting to speak.

MS. CARPENTER: As we know, the wetlands feed the aquifer as well as several neighboring towns in our waters, drinking water, from the Barnes Aquifer. We don't want the aquifer contaminated.

I have more stuff, but I'll be back.

(Laughter.)

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Pam Perreault.

MS. PERREAULT: Hello. I'm Pam Perreault, P-e-r-r-e-a-u-l-t.

And this will be a repetitive theme. You've heard some of these from all of us already. Reasons to not allow PVEC to place a 431 megawatt power plant on Ampad Road. Let's see. Location, location, location, pollution, pollution, pollution. Let's start with pollution.

No matter what kind of spin, gas companies

or PVEC and to wrap around this project with comments such as that's available technology and clean energy, you can just look at that data indicating the emissions from this plant and realize this is not clean energy. And this is not the only gig in town for pollution. As already stated by some my compadres, our air is already saturated with emissions from a significant amount of truck traffic and fully operational airport with an incredible amount of jet fuel emissions, a train and a heavily traveled turnpike. There is not an air quality monitor in our specific area that currently assesses the air that we are breathing today.

Now, let's consider location. We have elementary schools, middle schools, daycare centers, a densely populated residential area within a half a mile, if not closer to this power plant, as well as a high school within a one-mile radius. To quote information from the EPA Web site, everyone, parents, communities, environment and health agencies share the vital responsibility and interest in protecting the health of children in every possible way, including protecting them from environmental risk where they go to school.

When selecting a school location, it is

important to identify and balance environmental risk and benefits. EPA recommends that local education agencies seek to avoid locations that are in close proximity to pollution sources, especially collections of multiple sources. So if the EPA wouldn't even allow a school to be built under these circumstances in this area, why would they allow another polluter to be sited here?

Due to the already multiple sources of pollution that I've already stated in this immediate area, as well as our location in the Pioneer Valley with an air quality rating of F minus, with a nationally disportion of high number of asthma and COPD cases, it is an environmental injustice and a health burden to place a power plant in this area, especially when this project is unnecessary. since there is no need for new energy sources current day.

At the very least, the action I urge the EPA to take is requiring a health impact assessment. But ultimately I urge the EPA to deny PVEC the right to build this plant in this location by denying this air permit. I urge you to not let big business with big money make big profits by violating our rights to cleaner air and by adding even more pollution. It is your job to protect us. You are all in a position to

move this nation as a whole, to cleaner energy. You can change the tide by endorsing only clean air projects, such as solar.

Clean air does not come from smokestacks.

Thank your for your time.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Kathleen Barker.

Staci Rubin.

MS. RUBIN: Good evening. My name is Staci Rubin, S-t-a-c-i R-u-b-i-n, and I am a staff attorney at ACE, Alternative for Community and Environment.

ACE is providing legal services to the Westfield Concerned Citizens regarding this power plant. I offer these comments on behalf of the Westfield Concerned Citizens who ask that EPA not grant a PSE permit to PVEC because it does not meet the Clean Air Act or public health standards.

Thank you, EPA, for granting the residents' request to hold a public hearing concerning this PSE permit tonight. Clearly, there is significant interest.

I also appreciate that EPA Region 1 staff allowed me the time to explain ACE and Westfield Concerned Citizens environmental justice concerns back in August.

Since we raised environmental justice concerns and highlighted the previous draft permit's environmental justice analysis and its flaws, EPA's staff has spent time doing a more detailed analysis. However, I encourage EPA to do more.

According to EPA's environmental justice 2014 plan, EPA had submitted to building a strong scientific foundation for supporting environmental justice and to building disproportionate impact analysis, particularly the methods to appropriately categorize and assess cumulative impact. The 2014 plan states that the agency is in process of developing scientific tools and we ask that EPA use those tools to complete a health impact assessment here in Westfield. The bottom line is that Westfield is an environmental justice community and it is an environmental justice concern.

According to Dr. Daniel Faber's report titled, Unequal Exposure to Ecological Hazards, some 27 power plants in Massachusetts are responsible for over 114 tons of combined sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxide and volatile organic compound emissions. These emissions are a major source of respiratory disease in Massachusetts residents, particularly in low-income communities, such as Westfield.

Westfield has a higher than average asthma rate according to the Asthma Regional Council. The three-year average annual, aged-adjusted rate of emergency room visits due to asthma by the community health network area in 2003 through 2005 is significantly higher, based on statistical significance than the statewide rate.

The current environmental justice analysis is incomplete. There are multiple approaches to define the proximity and potential exposure to hazards in the environmental justice literature.

In concluding that the .63 mile radius and one mile radius around PVEC did not include the state's recognized EJ community, EPA concluded there was no need for additional fine particulate matter analysis. The buffer radii in EJ studies often extend to three miles from the source and takes into account cumulative impact.

Further, the national ambient air quality standards are not health based. The current fine particulate matter standards were remanded to the EPA by the Federal DC Circuit in 2009. It has been nearly three years since the court's decision and EPA has yet to propose additional action to address the deficiencies identified by the Circuit Court. By

EPA's own analyses, each year of delay results in thousands of avoidable deaths.

EPA should not grant the PSE permit because of flawed analyses and they much be reconciled. If EPA disagrees and issues a final permit, then please add several conditions. One, no ultra low sulfur diesel can be burned on days when the air quality index value exceeds 100, require continuous fine particulate matter emissions monitoring and do not allow ULSG to be burned more than 30 days per the planning board conditions.

I will file written comments before the public comment period closes. Thank you for taking these environmental justice concerns seriously and the Westfield Concerned Citizens respectfully request clean air, please.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: John Armstrong.

MR. ARMSTRONG: Thank you. John Armstrong, J-o-h-n A-r-m-s-t-r-o-n-g.

I'm here tonight to address the false notions that natural gas is a clean source of energy and an alternative to coal and oil, as I understand is part of the reason why this is proposed.

These are lies touted by the gas industry. Indeed, the gas industry spent \$747 million in the last ten years in campaign contributions and lobbying at the federal level and a fortune in an advertising campaign aimed at duping the American public into believing that gas is clean. They tend to prey upon low-income communities in focusing their efforts. The truth is that gas is dangerous and dirty from extraction to combustion. The reality of natural gas is toxic water contamination, lethal air pollution and increased rates of cancer and respiratory illnesses. But the gas industry's money lobbying has not gone without return. The gas industry is barely regulated and enjoys exemption from most environmental laws and standards.

Tell me this: If gas is so clean, why has the industry spent so much money to win exemption from the Clear Air Act, the Clean Water Act, the Superfund Act and the Safe Drinking Water Act?

Where does it come from? Over 90 percent of gas is now extracted in the U.S. by a dangerous process called fracking, hydraulic fracking. Fracking entails drilling over a mile underground and generally horizontally up to a mile and blasting apart the shale down there with a cocktail of one to nine million

gallons of water mixed with sand and hundreds of toxic chemicals. Among these chemicals are toluene, mercury, diesel and various known carcinogens such benzine. More than 25 percent of over 500 chemicals used in fracking have been demonstrated to cause cancer or mutations. Across the country in 34 states where fracking is taking place there are well over a thousand known cases of ground water contamination with these same chemicals and a string of sick families, including heart breaking cases of children with developmental problems and barium poisoning in their blood.

Unfortunately, fracking pollutes the air just as it pollutes the water, from intense levels of diesel exhaust, spewing from thousands of industrial truck trips necessary to frack each well, to benzine and volatile organic compounds released at well heads and to burning methane flares 60-feet high at frack sites, to formaldehyde produced by natural gas compression stations, to combustion in power plants, fracking pollutes our air.

This is more and more evident -- there's more and more evidence of associated impact, including increased cancer rates, severe asthma cases and respiratory illnesses.

In regions of Wyoming, where fracking development is taking off for example, the air quality there in a few short years has gone from pristine mountain air to air worse than Los Angeles on a bad day. That is not clean.

Similarly, natural gas is far from clean for the climate. The holistic process of natural gas extraction, transportation and combustion leaves a tremendous amount of methane, which is a particularly heavy greenhouse gas.

Recent science from Cornell University shows that natural gas from fracking is holistically worse for the climate than coal. In the 20-year horizon research shows that gas is twice as bad as coal and equally bad or worse on a hundred horizon. Although they are exempt from laws requiring disclosure of how much, gas power plants release the same methane into our air, as well as a host of hazard air pollutants and fine particulate matter. Where will these impact the scheme? Right here in Westfield, in the form of increased cancer rates, more emergency room visits, children absent from school because of asthma.

At the same time, we have ample alternatives, such as energy efficiency, wind and solar. Westfield may be low income just like many

places, the gas industry is exploiting the fracking. By permitting this plant and burying the lies of natural gas would be to sacrifice the health of this town and the children who breathe the air here.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Mark Pickford. Mark Pickford.

MR. PICKFORD: Good evening. My name is Mark Pickford, M-a-r-k P-i-c-k-f-o-r-d.

I'm a respiratory nurse. I work at the Western Mass Hospital. I've taken care of respiratory patients for over 15 years. I myself have asthma, my daughter has asthma. We live within three miles of where the proposed plant is going to be. My grandsons go to school here. My other grandson goes to the other school within a thousand yards of here. Two of those grandsons have asthma. My concern tonight is, they already have asthma, and if you're putting more toxic chemicals into the air, how is that going to affect them in the future? What is their life going to be like with emergency room visits, with hospital time, with loss of school? How am I going to deal on a daily basis, coming out of my home and trying to breathe?

I carry my asthma inhaler with me wherever I go, because I never know from day-to-day how my asthma is going to kick up and how I'm going to feel on that day. My concern is, don't make the air quality worse than it already is in this valley.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Paul Gour.

MR. GOUR: Paul Gour. P-a-u-l G-o-u-r.

I'm a Westfield resident. I'm also an electrician. I think a lot of people think their electricity comes from magic. They're used to have taking a flashlight, putting batteries in it and light comes out the end. Well, what happens when the batteries die? They throw them away. So what happens with those batteries? They become a disposable problem. It's waste. Humans make waste. We're toxic — we give away toxic emissions out of our bodies all the time.

I think electricity has been taken for granted. And you think it just happens? Where's it going to come from? Say solar and wind. There's wind plants in this state that are still under lawsuit. Every single one that's been brought up in the state has been brought up under a lawsuit because they don't

want it in their backyard. They don't want nuclear, they don't want wind and they don't want solar. There was a solar plant in Amherst that got shot down by the residents because they don't want it near them. They want magic to happen and electricity comes from nowhere.

Well, it has to come from somewhere. And this is a good start.

Excuse me, ma'am. Everybody was quiet when you talked, weren't they?

FROM THE FLOOR: Throw him out.

MR. GOUR: Thank you.

FROM THE FLOOR: You're out of here. You don't need to ---

MR. GOUR: In my opinion, there should be more of these built. This is the cleanest plant in New England. If you got rid of all the dirty ones, we'd be breathing a lot cleaner air. And then it makes way for cleaner electric vehicles and everything that goes along with that.

If you want to clean up the air, this is one of the stepping stones, in my opinion.

The free market will take out the dirty plants. That's how it works. The free market will take them out. They're not going to subsidize the

coal plants to keep them going.

Now, when you have solar, there's night.

And when you have wind, there's calm. So what happens on a calm night? You don't get any electricity

Where's it going to come from? You don't want anything else to happen, this has to happen.

Now my daughters go right up the street up here. One of my daughters, and both of them will be going here next year. And they're going to be coming to this school. I have no problem. This is one of the cleanest plants. And Pioneer Valley has guaranteed safety. They said this is going to be safe. It's going to be a safe project and we believe them. We've seen their plans.

The air quality has to start getting better. It's a necessity and this is one of the ways that it will start.

Now, I fully support this plant. I'm sorry, I don't have a prepared statement. I kind of scribbled.

MS. McDONNELL: That's okay.

MR. GOUR: So -- oh, this -- it also got the Massachusetts permit, which is one of the toughest in the state. So I fully support this and I thank you for your time.

MS. McDONNELL: Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Seven Dowd.

MR DOWD: My name is Steven Dowd. I live at 43 Eggleston Road, Westfield, Mass. The last spelling of my name is D-o-w-d.

I'll make this as short as I really possibly can. I won't stick with what I originally had written. A lot has been covered, more -- probably better than I could have done. And I'd especially like to thank the last speaker of his lecture on how electricity is made. It's kind of insulting to us Westfield residents that I think are a little bit higher mentality than that.

Thank you.

I guess we all know what comes out of these stacks is bad for us. For those of us that think it's fine and it's not harmful, I truly challenge you to place your head over one for a few hours, breathe very deeply for those same few hours and let's see how you make out. Then we can build our plant with safety if you come out okay.

Thank you very much.

By the way, any takers?

(Applause.)

MR. DOWD: Any takers that want to stick their head over that plant? How about Mr. Palmer? (Laughter.)

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Michael Durkee.

Michael Durkee.

MR. DURKEE: Mike Durkee, Westfield

resident. D-u-r-k-e-e.

It's funny that you would say that it's insulting to the Westfield residents, but I think back in October we had a little bit of a snowstorm. It wasn't real insulting to all those Westfield residents that went without electricity for five, six, seven days. I was one of those residents. I didn't see any one of you concerned citizens saying anything about the four-, five-, six-hundred generators running to provide electricity for some of us. I don't see any of you concerned citizens saying anything about the wood-burning stoves that people used to heat their homes, they keep going in day after day after day.

Of course, there are, with everything in this life, constant progression. Without progressing, we regress. If there's a big issue with air quality, where were you during the idling thousands of vehicles during the construction of Western Mass.'s Little Dig?

That's what I thought. With everything that we do to move forward, it's only for the better of the community. Unfortunately, the community might be in shambles now, but in order to move forward, we have to take a step somewhere.

How many of you people drive a electric vehicle?

None?

Solar vehicles?

No?

We all commute by what? Gas motors, diesel motors, SUVs, minivans? It's a way of life. You have to start somewhere. I say, let's get it done.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Kathy Dowd.

MS. DOWD: Hi. My name is Kathy Dowd and I live at 43 Eggleston Road. I'm a registered nurse and I am concerned about the health effect of this community. I just want to share something I got off the Internet and pass it in as documentation from the county health rankings.

It talks about elevated air pollution, fine particles and ozone. It compares seven different communities in Massachusetts. Of course, the target

is zero, and Hampden County is listed as 3, and for the air pollution the ozone days, they targeted as 2 as being the baseline, and Hampden County has 15 listed. And this was documented, like I said, from county health rankings 2010.

I'm just going to read a small paragraph.

The relationship between the elevated air pollution particularly the particulate matter and ozone compromise the health, has been well-documented and the negative consequences of the air pollution include — some of these have been mentioned. Lung function, bronchitis, asthma and adverse pulmonary effects.

We live in the Pioneer Valley already and we have already the airport, the trucking. I know with this plant going up, they mentioned in Mr. Palmer's plan that 520 trucks a day are going to be coming in with supplies. And how well are these trucks going to be monitored with their pollution to the air?

Granted, that's only going to take a few years to go up, but I also would like to request this assessment, health impact assessment, for the health of the community. I think it needs to be done. Everything is getting passed individually and nobody is taking into account the overall impact of where we live.

Thank you very much.

	50
1	(Applause.)
2	MS. McDONNELL: Michael Maperowski.
3	Michael Maperowski.
4	FROM THE FLOOR: He's not here.
5	MS. McDONNELL: Robert Bachman.
6	MR. BACHMAN: Hello. I'm Robert Bachman,
7	99 Glenwood Drive, Westfield.
8	The question I wanted to ask earlier was
9	about the emissions in the regulatory approval of the
10	state and now I know that it is approved and I'm
11	thankful of that.
12	Some of the problems we have in town are
13	other emissions, I believe. I just switched over to
14	natural gas within the last past year and I'm quite
15	happy with it. It burns awfully clean.
16	And addressing the energy issues in our
17	area, I believe that we will be needing more energy in
18	the future, not tying our hands behind our backs. And
19	I believe it's a good thing for our community and the
20	rest of our town.
21	Thank you.
22	(Applause.)
23	MS. McDONNELL: George Delaney.
24	George Delaney.
25	Gordon Patro, Sr.

1		. PA	TRO:	I pa	ass.						
2	MS	. Mc	DONNEL	L:	Can	you	add	it	to	the	record

3 | that he passed?

Thank you.

MS. McDONNELL: Larry Santas.

FROM THE FLOOR: He's gone.

MS. McDONNELL: Thank you.

Steve Sgroi.

MR. SGROI: Steve Sgroi. That's S-g-r-o-i.

MS. McDONNELL: Thank you.

MR. SGROI: I'm a Westfield resident, too.

I've attended almost every meeting for this project and I've done lots of research as a resident. And these are some of the things I've learned and concluded.

This project will be a state-of-the-art facility. The need for power is there from this town. Living here for 34 years, I've seen several farm fields turn into developments, several new businesses like Home Depot and new Walmart were built.

Also in this day and age, EPA would not allow a project like this to be built if air quality was that bad and that bad for us.

In the year 2012, unemployment rates are through the roof and job creation is needed.

Also, this will be built in the industrial park where industrial things belong.

On October 30th, we all know about the snowstorm that happened here. And due to the fact that our power has not been maintained over the last 30 years, a power plant is needed to bring more power, otherwise this will continue to hurt and happen.

Upgrades are needed and a power plant is needed to do this. I fully support this project.

Thank you.

MS. McDONNELL: Henry Warchol.

MR. WARCHOL: My name is Henry Warchol,
W-a-r-c-h-o-l, 2 Sackville Avenue in Westfield, on the
north side. And this is not quite -- just a partial
EPA comment, more of a comment with question to the
company itself.

Indications are that this plant will not shut down when there is no demand for electricity, so the plant will use the surplus electricity how? To produce hydrogen in a number of tanks on site. I could find no reference to what happens to the hydrogen tanks in the Middletown, Connecticut, plant explosion here a while back. Hydrogen being very explosive with but a spark and many valves being switched on and off is troubling scenario.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If there is an explosion at this plant, at this site, what would be the number of acreages of damage and the number of casualties? This will also create a lot of air pollution, so that is my main question, and I hope you can address it.

I have just scribbled this down just before I got here, so I don't have anything to give you.

MS. McDONNELL: Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Gail Bean.

MS. BEAN: Good evening. My name is Gail, G-a-i-l, Bean, B-e-a-n. I live at 66 Sherwood Avenue here in Westfield. I'm a registered nurse for over 30 years and I'm here basically to support, because I am a member of the Westfield Concerned Citizens, I implore you to listen to our plea to have a health risk assessment done. I think we can all -- many of us can think back to the years when cigarettes were not concerned with health effects. There was nothing mentioned in the health care field. We knew that these things were not good for individuals. implored the government to put warnings on packages and it took many, many years before the Surgeon General could do this. Filters have been put on these things, you know, trying to cut down toxins that go

into people's lungs.

I have relatives that have died from cancer. And I guess all I can say is that this kind of reminds me of that smokestack that probably will be coming out of this power plant, if it gets built. There will be filters, there will be buffers, but ultimately the stuff coming out, regardless of whether you say it's within legal limits, et cetera, it's still going to impact our environment, it will impact on all of our health issues. And each individual has a choice as to whether they pick up one of these things and put them in their mouth.

As residents of Westfield, we will have no choice when that thing gets built as to what come out of that smokestack and how it affects us. The regulations are there and I just pray that they will get stricter.

I think back on the episode in the Gulf and how in drilling for oil and everything was proper and everything was going to be fine, and it was reviewed by the government. And then after the disaster happened, lo and behold we found there were thing that were not in place. I implore, on behalf of the Westfield Concerned Citizens, that you deny this permit; and if it isn't denied, to at least do the

health impact assessment. And, please, please, we need clean air.

Thank you.

(Pause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Eric Hadley.

Eric Hadley.

Ray Frappier.

MR. FRAPPIER: You guys amaze me, to have to sit through this city after city. My name is Ray Frappier, F-r-a-p-p-i-e-r. I'm a resident of Westfield and I'm a concerned resident of Westfield.

This plant has met all city specifications, has met or exceeded all state and federal regulations. There is no reason not to grant them a permit to work. This is all smoke and mirrors, the argument is, not in my backyard.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Dale Ringer.

Dale Ringer.

MR. RINGER: My name is Dale Ringer and I'm a resident of Westfield for 30 years. I'm simply voicing my opinion to try to have some sort of monitoring if the plant is built or during the construction of it, because that's quite a high number

of vehicles that are going to be coming through town. And at that time, during the construction process, I'm looking for some sort of a monitoring process to prohibit air quality going above any kind of unhealthy standards.

Also, once the plant is built, if it's built, monitoring should continue so that, you know, if it exceeds -- if they happen to run oil, for instance, as a backup, I think it would be helpful to know when we should be telling the plant, hey, you know, you got something going on wrong here. I don't see how we're going to stop the plant from being built, but if it's going to be built, there should be a way to monitor what comes out of it.

I'm not against the plant. I'm against the unhealthy effect if it's here. And you've got a lot of truck traffic coming through. You've got a lot of things that you need to keep aware of. Basically, the water quality in the aquifer itself, it's one of the biggest aquifers in Western Mass. And that should be important to the residents of Westfield and the EPA.

So what I'm saying is, monitoring of the air and water during the construction and once it is built, because I'm not against the plant, I'm against whatever unhealthy effects it might have if things get

out of control, because we all know that funds have been cut to the EPA and because of that, a lack of oversight contributes to problems. And we're the ones that are going to have to face that problem, in this town.

Like I said, I've been here 30 years. I fish in this river, I was catching trout in it yesterday. Okay? I want that to continue. I want this to be a wild and scenic river with what I understand is to be some of the best water quality in the country, not only this part of the state. I'd like to see that continue.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Daniel Hitchcock.

MR. HITCHCOCK: Hi. Daniel Hitchcock, 65
East Silver Street, H-i-t-c-h-c-o-c-k.

I've been coming to these meetings for, what, three years now, and I've listened to things over and over again. I'm in favor of the power plant after going through the three years of listening to everything. I truly believe that if there was not a need for power, this power plant would not go in because they would not make money. It comes down to us as individuals to have fluorescent light bulbs,

drive hybrid cars or gas efficient cars, buy Energy Star appliances. You know, we all need to do our part.

I have two daughters. This is one of them.

They could -- we live in Westfield, so the plant will be coming here. I'm not concerned for their health as far as the air quality. But, again, if people can just promote just better living, lower energy consumption as a consumer, recycling, you know, we can make a difference if we all do our part. So thank you.

(Pause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Kurt Heidinger.

MR. HEIDINGER: Thank you for the opportunity to express the opinions of myself. I'm speaking on behalf of the Biocitizens School of Environmental Philosophy, a nonprofit that operates out of Northampton.

I want to comment first about the deference of the EPA, which is the lead agency. The deference to the Mass. DEP. I think that it represents a failure of ethics of leadership. I would like a discussion in the permit of why the EPA did not stand up for its standards. Mass DEP needs the EPA to direct it and not the other way around. So this is an

ethical issued, but it also will speak to anybody who reads the permit about how the decision was made to back away from, one, the EPA's own standards.

I'll just read this from the handout that the EPA gave tonight. This modeling shows that the Westfield area will still meet all of EPA's air quality standards, except ozone.

My second point also has to do with the issue of leadership. I'm disappointed with the EPA because it knows the air of the Pioneer Valley is bad. I would like a discussion in the permit that assesses the emissions of this plant as a regional pollution source in terms of long-term and cumulative impacts alone, and along with the proposed power plants in Russell, Springfield and Greenfield, and of new manufacturing and other entities that will create air pollution as projected in regional plans of development.

Third, as a resident of Westhampton, who runs a business in Northampton, I want a discussion in the permit of why this hearing was not mentioned or publicized in the media in Northampton, Amherst and Greenfield. Nobody knows about this hearing up there where I live. Most don't even know about this proposed facility, much less the effects it will have

on the air.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Henry Jolen.

Henry Jolen.

Paul Vassel.

FROM THE FLOOR: Pass.

MS. McDONNELL: Pass. Thank you.

Ermelinda Morizio.

MS. MORIZIO: I'm Ermelinda Morizio,

M-o-r-i-z-i-o, 432 Montgomery Road.

I'm here as a concerned parent. My children are in their twenties but I am concerned and I'm protecting the sort of children that are very young in the Hartford area as a teacher. And I won't take too much time, but I did want to share, again, this very concise pocket guide to chemical hazards. If you flip through this, just looking up ammonia, we're looking at eye irritants, ear, nose, throat, chest pain, pulmonary edema, skin burns. Chemicals speak for themselves and they speak even louder in your personal doctor's office. The emissions are by the tons. Just some things to think about.

This plant does not protect our health, our children, our community or our future, including our

I've heard a lot tonight. One of the gentlemen that spoke said that this power plant is the cleanest, but cleanest is not clean. There should be no question that the EPA would automatically request a health impact assessment. It should be a given. If our own health department in the community can't seem to come to the table to protect its citizens, then the EPA most likely would take that step.

I just don't see how we would allow a profit-making mechanism to ruin our lives, our health and our children's health. Ask yourself if you would purchase a home and build a future for your family in this community if you knew a power plant was spewing chemicals into your children's lungs.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Dan Diamo.

Jason Paquette.

Jason Paquette.

Jack Sweeney.

MR. SWEENEY: Jack Sweeney, S-w-e-e-n-e-y.

I'd just like to address the EPA and the audience and let you know that first and foremost I empathize with the concerned citizens about the impact

of this plant. I happen to be a power plant expert.

I've worked in power plants all my life and I

understand how our grid system works, and I know the

EPA does, too.

We have an energy portfolio that drives our country and that portfolio is by and large nuclear, coal, oil, a little bit of hydro, a sliver of solar and a sliver of wind, and you can't store electricity except by pumping it up a mountain into a reservoir and having it come back down later to drive a turbine. We must emit to produce electricity. There's no choice. We must.

And as a coal-fired power plant expert, I would like to see the EPA do everything in their power to reduce the emissions from coal-fired power plants; and you can do this by making sure that plants such as this, on the leading edge of technology with regards to the amount of emissions that are produced per kilowatt of power. You can make sure these plants are built, because there is no such thing as surplus power. Any extra power can't be made. There's a thing called the dispatcher system and the dispatchers control which plants produce and which don't.

The first to produce are nuclear. They draw straight lines all day long on their control charts.

The last to produce are very expensive plants, oil, coal. Gas plants would produce electricity before a coal plant. So when this plant is put in place, the Greater New England air quality will get better because we will dispatch off the grid, Malcom, the coal-fired plants in Upstate New York, the coal-fired plants along the Connecticut shoreline, the coal-fired plants all throughout New England will incrementally be backed down, for every megawatt that this plants produces, all things being equal with regards to demand.

There are not going to be any trucks going to a coal-fired -- there are tens of thousands of trucks going to a coal-fired plant. There aren't any trucks that go to a gas plant. The fuel goes in, the exhaust gas goes out. And, by the way, the exhaust gas is hot. It goes up a stack, it creates a plume. It's hundreds of degrees Fahrenheit, it rises high into the sky, it travels tens of hundred of thousands of miles and disperses. The cleanest air is the air right next to this plant because the stack is following a draft and it's pulling in the ambient air to the plant. The only place where the air is polluted is directly at the exhaust and it's going up and it's going away.

So in conclusion, I would like to say that, yeah, you should do the environmental health assessment. I'm sure that, in my mind, it'll say, this is the right thing to do. Especially if you take into context that it'll shut down coal-fired plants.

I echo the sentiment that people need to really be careful about the energy you consume. You can make a difference. So the EPA has a job to do, do it. Make sure that leading edge, combined cycle, gasfired plants are the plants that are installed and then make sure they're built right, monitor them and enforce them.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Lisa Lannen.

Lisa Lannen.

Bill Cuture.

MR. CUTURE: I'd like to say thank you for everybody that stayed and who listen to everybody else.

Most of it, this is about safety, air quality and, you know, danger, the danger of it all.

And I just -- you know, a few things were just recently mentioned about how you can, yourself at home, conserve energy by buying, you know, the latest

equipment with the All Star, you know, as far as uses less electricity and all. I just -- I would like to -- you know, people with asthma, it bothers me. I coach soccer and different sports, and it bothers me to see a kid with inhalator. It ticks me off. And I don't realize -- know if you guys really realize it yourself, but when it comes down to air quality, the EPA says that your home is ten times more pollutant than the air you're breathing outside. And you've got a highway going by here. You're talking about all these trucks going by here.

Well, guess what, the Tide you're using to wash your clothes with phosphates, it's polluting -phosphates, they're polluting your waters. Okay? The Glass Plus you use with ammonia. Ammonia? Did we talk about ammonia earlier? How it burns. You spray it on your windows. You are breathing it in, and when you wipe it, your skin is -- all these nurses out here talked about chemicals. Your body is a sponge. When you wipe that, you're sucking in ammonia. Okay?

So you want to talk about health. Let's start at home. Your home is more toxic than any plant they're going to build right here. Okay? And you think it's a joke? It's not a joke. You can -- have a kid spray Glass Plus in his eyes, a little one, a

two-year old that crawls into the cabinet under the sink and sprays his eyes, he's blind. Sucks some Ajax down when he crawls into the bathroom. You talk about chemicals and chemical burns and things like that. I'm just happy I could speak to you to make your own awareness, because you think it's joke? It's not a joke.

How about every time you do something, okay, as far as -- when you convert energy, we're talking about gas, coal, nuclear. I work in a nuclear power plant. The cleanest energy there is. You bounce a couple of atoms together, you got a waste product.

Guess what. You got a waste product whenever you walk around and do exercises. It's lactic acid, right?

Gee, maybe we ought to do a little bit more it. Why not? Because I feel sore the next day. I don't -- I want -- I'd rather gain the weight. You know what I mean?

There's a waste product to every form of energy change. All right? So, oh, I'm sorry, it's going to be built next to a school in an industrial zone? Maybe you didn't look across the street, there's a gol-darn (sic) airport over here that's got fine in stored fuel.

I mean, I'm not here to preach. Another

reason why maybe they're building it, you've got a coal plant that's over 50 years old down the street. You've got a nuclear plant that's over 30 years old down the street. They want to shut that thing down bad. They probably want to shut down the coal thing. So would you rather have coal flying in and all these other things? You make the call.

You know, I mean, I'm not here -- I have no -- I don't know the reason why they're building it or whatever, but obviously there's going to be a need for it. These -- they're cogens, basically 25 years ago, things were built until they come up with a brand-new science that we don't have to use oil or coal. Well, guess what, it hasn't happened yet. That's why we're still driving -- who is this lady who owns a -- owns a trucking company, you know what I mean? Talked about -- yeah, she's talking about, oh, yeah, now you can only let your trucks idle for a couple of minutes now, five minutes.

Well, guess what? Is she going to be out of business if tell you you can't use diesel fuel any more? You're not going to get the toilet paper delivered to the store and you're not going to drive to it, you're going to be stranded. All right? Okay?

So you need -- right now, until you come up

with a better source, good luck.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Barbara Swords.

MS. SWORDS: Good evening. Barbara Swords, B-a-r-b-a-r-a S-w-o-r-d-s.

I'm a retired member of the Westfield City
Council and I also am a founding member of the Barnes
Aquifer Committee. I have a excerpt I want to read
from the letter from the Barnes Aquifer sent to the
Chair of the Westfield Planning Board on January 19th,
2010, when they were hearing the special permit and
site plan approval.

This is the excerpt that Barnes Aquifer sent to the planning board.

Barnes Aquifer Committee has commented on this project during the MEPA review. The revised plans submitted for this review sufficiently address pretreatment, infiltration and containment of spills. Barnes Aquifer Committee continues to be concerned with the long-term culmative (sic) effects of emmission particulate deposition already aquifer. This area includes deposition on land as well as surface water resources, both of which can contribute to pollutants to the aquifer.

We ask the planning board and now the EPA to review information carefully relative to this issue, considering culmative impact for the anticipated life span of the facility.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Nicolina Figueroa.

MS. FIGUEROA: Hello. My name is Nicolina Figueroa, N-i-c-o-l-i-n-a. I'm a Westfield concerned citizen and a mother of four.

I would like a full health assessment done by the EPA and would also request a public evacuation plan made public prior to the permit being issued, if issued at all, for our health, for everyone. Not just for my family and my children, but the future of my children and their children.

I would like to add, I am against the plant being built in Westfield and for that matter greener energy and resources would be a better solution.

As far as the diesel fuel comment made by a prior person that was at the stand, the trucking company is going down the tubes because they can't afford the diesel fuel, the cost. It's too expensive. So promise, as far as -- it's nice to see that the local union was here to join us tonight and that they

had to add their two cents.

 $\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{I appreciate everybody hearing me out and} \\ \mbox{the EAP for what you do.}$

(Pause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Thomas Burke.

Thomas Burke.

Is there anyone else that hasn't spoken that would like to give a comment?

Did you want to come up and finish?

MS. CARPENTER: And, again, I'm Jean
Carpenter.

The health of the Westfield residents is already compromised by the amount of emissions here. We don't need any more environmental or health problems. I ask that the EPA require a health impact assessment so that the agency can make an informed decision as to whether to issue a final permit only after knowing the health consequences of adding PVEC's submission to an already -- an area already home to other sources, industrial and commercial air pollution.

Please, EPA, we don't need any more pollution in Westfield. We already have too much. We don't need or want PVEC to site here and give us more.

Westfield built the schools on the north

side. Southampton Road, North Middle School, where we are here, Head Start, Westfield High, but the City of Westfield is failing to protect the children from the pollution on the north side of town. We don't need or want any more pollution by having that large, 431 megawatt power plant to further contaminate the air we all breathe. Too many children already have asthma and other respiratory problems. Adding to -- and adding another million and a half tons per year of pollutants will only make these children suffer more.

Evidence is growing of air pollution's link to heart disease and death. The scientific evidence linking air pollution to heart attacks and strokes and cardiovascular deaths have substantially strengthened; and people, particularly those at high cardiovascular risk should limit their exposure. The evidence is strongest for fine particulate matter, PM2.5, having a casual -- causal relationship to cardiovascular disease, a study author concluded. These studies also indicate that there is no safe level of PM2 exposure.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Thank you.

Does anyone else have any comments they'd like to make tonight?

Yes, you can. Just please state name.

MS. BABINSKI: Maryann Babinski. Do you

3 want me to spell it? B-a-b-i-n-s-k-i.

I just wanted to make an additional comment that some times gets overlooked. Is that this particular power plant is going to be having to pay \$4 million per year in offsets for their carbon emissions. That's like a fine, because they are of the emissions that they're going to be sending out of that smokestack. That means somebody else in another part of the state will have the benefit of cleaner air because they're going to be allowed to go above what they should be emitting into the air.

I don't think it's been proven, I haven't seen it anywhere, I haven't heard it anywhere, that we absolutely need this extra power. We're hoping that if this gets built, it'll take down some other coal plant or lessen our need for those kinds of energy produces and that this will be cleaner. We never refer to this, within our group, as clean energy. It is just less dirty, that's all.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

MS. McDONNELL: Is there anyone else that would like to make a comment?

7

8

9

10

1	If not, I'd like to thank all of you for
2	coming this evening and for your interest in the
3	permit. Please remember the public comment period
4	ends on January 24th at midnight.
5	The public hearing is now formally

The public hearing is now formally adjourned.

(Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned at $8:58~\mathrm{p.m.}$)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER & TRANSCRIBER

This is to certify that the attached proceedings in the Matter of:

PUBLIC HEARING

In re:

PSD PROJECT

PIONEER VALLEY ENERGY CENTER

Place: Westfield, Massachusetts

Date: January 4, 2012

were held as herein appears, and that this is the true, accurate and complete transcript prepared from the notes and/or recordings taken of the aboveentitled proceeding.

Diana Strzemienski January 4, 2012

Date Reporter

Norton Beecroft January 24, 2012

Transcriber Date