US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

0001	
1	
2 3	PUBLIC HEARING CONCERNING THE
3 4	DRAFT UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL (UIC) PERMIT FOR THE LAHAINA WASTEWATER RECLAMATION FACILITY
5	FOR THE DAHAINA WASIEWATER RECDAMATION FACILITY
6	
7	
8	PUBLIC HEARING
9	AUGUST 20, 2009
10	7:03 P.M.
11	LAHAINA CIVIC CENTER
12	SOCIAL HALL MEETING AREA
13 14	1840 HONOAPI`ILANI HIGHWAY
14 15	LAHAINA, MAUI, HAWAI`I
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	REPORTED BY: Tonya McDade
23	Hawaii Certified Shorthand Reporter #447 Registered Professional Reporter
43	Certified Realtime Reporter
24	Certified Broadcast Captioner
	info@iwadocourtreporters.com
25	-
0002	
1	ATTENDANCE
2	David Albright, Hearing Officer, Groundwater Office,
2	Environmental Protection Agency
3	Nancy A. Rumrill, Environmental Engineer, Groundwater
4	Office, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
5	Brett P. Moffatt, Office of Regional Counsel, U.S.
	Environmental Protection Agency,
6	
	Wendy Wiltse, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
7	
0	Chauncey Hew, Environmental Management Division, State
8 9	Department of Health PRESS: Akaku, Maui Community Television, Inc.
10	PRESS: ARAKU, MAUI COMMUNITLY TELEVISION, INC.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
18 19	
エノ	

## AUGUST 20, 2009 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS \*\*\*\*\*

 HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Welcome, everyone. Thanks for coming tonight. My name is David Albright. I'm the Manager of the Groundwater Office at the U.S. EPA in San Francisco. And we are here for the next couple of hours to take oral comments, oral testimony on our proposed Class V Permit for the County of Maui's Lahaina Wastewater Reclamation Facility.

And I have a few people up here who I want to introduce. Brett Moffatt is on the end, he's with our Office of Regional Counsel. And then Nancy Rumrill, who is in my office, she's an Environmental Engineer with the Groundwater Office. And then we have Wendy Wiltse, who is also with EPA, U.S. EPA, and she's based in Honolulu, and focus is on water quality issues. And then we also have Chauncey Hew who is with the Underground Injection Control Program with the State Department of Health.

So this is an opportunity to provide oral testimony on the proposed permit. We have a court reporter, who is over here, who will be taking a word-for-word transcript. And we'll make that transcript available. There is an opportunity tonight

to provide comment.

And we've had people sign up on these speaker request forms. So if you would like to provide oral testimony and have not yet signed up, there are some forms over on the table. And I would ask you to sign up. And then we'll -- we're going to call people in the order that they signed up.

In addition, if you have written comments that you wish to submit, you can submit those tonight as well. And, actually, if you have testimony that you're reading, for example, it would be good to give it to the court reporter so that she would have that as she is recording your testimony.

So we're going to ask if people could try to restrict their comments to no more than five minutes. We have a good list of people who want to speak, and we wanna make sure that we have an opportunity for everyone to be heard tonight.

And what we're going to do -- actually, we have Mayor Tavares with the County of Maui here. And she has asked to provide testimony. And so we're going

supply part of that diet.

22 to have her start us off, and she will give her 23 testimony, and then we'll move into the other folks who 24 have signed up. 25 (Applause.) 0005 MAYOR CHARMAINE TAVARES: 1 Thank you. 2 It's kind of weird being with my back to the 3 audience. 4 MEMBER OF AUDIENCE: You wanna use this? 5 MAYOR CHARMAINE TAVARES: No. That's fine. 6 Mr. Albright and Ms. Rumrill, thank you very 7 much for being here, Wendy, and the rest of you. nice to see you again after many, many years. 8 9 Good evening and aloha. 10 I am Charmaine Tavares, Mayor of the County of 11 Maui. And I want to thank you for the opportunity to 12 provide comments during this hearing on the Lahaina 13 Wastewater Reclamation Facility's UIC Permit. 14 All of Maui County, as well the State of 15 Hawaii, and the world, for that matter, have experienced 16 drought conditions for a number of years, decades, in 17 fact. Attention has been focused on water and water 18 availability. It is becoming increasingly more 19 difficult and expensive to develop potable water. 20 I have long regarded wastewater as a water 21 resource that can actually help preserve precious 22 potable water for human consumption while providing for 23 non-potable uses, such as irrigation and toilet 24 facilities. 25 I personally feel that injecting treated 0006 1 wastewater is a waste of a resource that we could be using more productively. 2 3 My administration has been exploring options 4 for reclaimed water besides the obvious landscape and 5 other irrigation applications. New uses related to б renewable energy are surfacing and are quite attractive. 7 I am proposing to dovetail the production of algae as a 8 fuel source with the need to redirect nitrogen-rich 9 treated wastewater to a new use. 10 For example, the cultivation of algae as a 11 transportation fuel source is creating much interest. 12 In this case, wastewater would be delivered to a holding 13 pond or other such device in order to provide the food 14 source for algae. The algae would be harvested and then 15 processed to produce the transportation fuel. 16 The possibilities are exciting as algae can 17 thrive in nitrogen-rich water. We have a source of 18 nitrogen-containing wastewater that has been treated 19 here at our Lahaina Wastewater Treatment Plant. 20 can use that. We may have to add many more other 21 nutrients in order to feed those hungry little algae, 22 but at least this is a part of their diet. And we could

We request that the County of Maui not be required to spend scarce resources to reduce nitrogen in 

our treated wastewater now. Instead, let's use the nitrogen-filled water to attempt to grow algae that can be used for this transportation fuel.

When we expand our vision to include removal -- include removable and sustaining resources, we find new opportunities in a fast-changing world.

Over the past year, my administration has been exploring and researching an algae pilot project for Maui. We are in the preliminary stage and will actively continue pursuing and solidifying a project that will work for Maui. I intend to continue to actively pursue this prospect.

Oahu, Kauai, and the Big Island of Hawaii have pilot algae projects either ongoing or soon to commence. Maui is in a position to build on their own efforts and move forward with our own.

Why the excitement? An algae energy project will move us closer to renewable energy sustainability and, at the same time, reduce our need to place treated wastewater in injection wells.

I request that the EPA consider a five-year extension of the UIC Permit to operate the Lahaina injection well. This will give my Administration time to formulate a plan for the reduction of injected wastewater. If the EPA so desired, benchmarks or

milestones could be included as conditions of the permit, in 12 to 18 months, on a clear and detailed plan to reduce the volume of wastewater being injected. We need time to identify options; analyze costs, time and other factors; and set volume reduction targets.

Late last year, Director Okuma presented me with her idea of forming a community advisory group to assist in addressing wastewater concerns. In February of this year, she presented a draft proposal for the formulation of this working group. After revisions over the past few months, the working group concept is ready to be implemented.

And I believe that a group of interested citizens will frame a plan that is comprehensive, implementable and beneficial to all of us. This group will be convened next month, September 2009.

As you are aware, my Department of Environmental Management has continued to request the comment period September -- comment period to September 21st, in order to develop and suggest permit language for a five-year extension of the existing permit.

In closing -- in closing, I believe that we all have the same goal. We can put our ideas, experiences and expertise together in order to create a better Maui for all of us on land and in the sea.

0009

```
1
               Thank you very much for your consideration.
 2
               (Applause.)
 3
               HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Thank you for those
 4
     comments, Mayor Tavares.
 5
               And I understand that her testimony is
 б
     available. I think there's still copies of it back on
 7
     the back table if people are interested.
 8
               So the next speaker will be Tony Povilitis.
 9
               MR. POVILITIS: I think this is the first time
10
     I followed the Mayor in making a statement. I'm
11
     honored.
              It also makes me feel a little emboldened at
12
     the same time.
13
               Like so many of us on Maui, I am deeply
14
     concerned about the future of our coral reefs.
15
     Scientific authorities and progressive environmental
16
     agencies worldwide are calling for bold measures to save
17
     coral reef ecosystems. Regional and local threats,
18
     including pollution from injection wells, must be abated
19
     if our coral reefs are to stand a chance, given
20
     increasing stress from higher sea temperatures, ocean
21
     acidification, and greater storm surges as a result of
22
     global heating.
23
               Well, you know all this. And I -- I guess I'm
24
     perplexed -- or thoroughly perplexed as to why you have
25
     not come to Maui to hold a public hearing on the need
0010
1
     and options for phasing out the -- the -- the wastewater
 2
     injection well, replacing it with an entirely
 3
     non-polluting wastewater treatment system, in order to
 4
     protect coral reefs and provide other benefits.
 5
     don't understand what's up with government these days.
 6
               This community needs your leadership.
 7
     actually begging for it, from the testimony that I've
 8
     heard previously. Less so in dotting the "I"s and
9
     crossing the "T"s on permits, but in helping us tackle
10
     the growing coral reef crisis.
11
               Fortunately, Maui -- or Maui's DIRE Coalition
12
     has provided private sector leadership by addressing the
13
     ecological, human health, economic and legal aspects of
14
     this issue. We hope DIRE's testimony will convince you
15
     to change course.
16
               Some 40 years ago, David Brower told writer
17
     John McPhee that he would do everything possible to get
18
     people back into balance with the environment, that they
19
     are, in fact, way out of balance. Our environment won't
20
     last and we won't, said Brower.
21
               Given the course of history since, please get
22
     together with your colleagues at EPA and help this
23
     community save its coral reefs. It can be done. It
24
     must be done. And this is -- and there's absolutely no
25
     time to waste.
```

EPA has a clear choice. You can allow

substantial coastal pollution from Lahaina for years to come under the current permit or you can issue an alternative permit that both reduces pollution in the near term and ensures rapid transition to sustainable reuse of properly treated wastewater.

So in closing, I'd just like to thank you for this opportunity to comment. And I am one of many citizens, I'm sure, that is looking for government leadership on this important matter.

Thanks a lot. Mahalo.

(Applause.)

 $\label{eq:hearing officer albright: Thank you for your comments.} \\$ 

The next commenter is Jeff Schwartz.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Sorry I don't have copies of the whole long document for everybody, but the short version is here. And we'll get you extra copies if you want it.

Thank you, EPA Hearing Officers, and -- and State representative from the Department of Health. We appreciate your holding this hearing. I very much appreciate the earlier meeting, question-and-answer meeting, that enabled us to have a discussion about these matters.

I'm -- my name is Jeff Schwartz. I'm speaking on behalf of the DIRE Coalition, which is a group of Maui County residents, visitors and organizations who seek to protect the County's reefs, the public health and the economy by urging the County to phase out wastewater injection wells, improve wastewater treatment, and reclaim and reuse properly treated wastewaters on land for a variety of beneficial uses.

I wanna emphasize that we have never said, "Shut down the injection wells now." That is not our position. We recognize that there has to be some kind of transition or program.

Before I go any further, I really neglected to do one thing that I ought to have done at the outset. And that is to honor the memory of Uncle Ed Lindsey, who, for all of us, taught us not only the importance of the land and the ocean, but addressing the kinds of discussions that we're having with aloha spirit. And so as we might find disagreement, nonetheless hear us all working as a community to try and bring that kind of aloha spirit and shared -- shared ethos to our common goals.

And in that spirit, we applaud the visionary goal of 100 percent wastewater reuse and zero injection that Mayor Tavares has announced on May 22nd and

reiterated today. We are here to support the Mayor's goal and to ask EPA and the Mayor and the City [sic] Council, and the Department of Environmental Manager --

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0014 1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

Management to work together to achieve this goal as soon as possible. And we welcome the Mayor's indication that she -- she is interested in doing exactly that.

And while we don't have -- haven't had time to meet and talk about -- as the DIRE Coalition yet, the request for the extension for an additional month in order to work -- work out a plan for a five-year permit approach, my sense is the group would be very positively inclined to support at least another month of the extension period.

At the November 2008 hearing, the testimony was unanimous. We -- every public witness and all those present made clear on the record their support for ending Maui's discard of wastewater into injection wells that run into the ocean and for reusing inadequate -adequately treated wastewater as a valuable resource on Since that time, EPA has land as soon as possible. revised its proposed permit in beneficial ways. wanna acknowledge that we see progress and appreciate the progress in the revised proposal over what was initially proposed. But the proposed permit would allow 10 more years of wastewater injection without ensuring

sufficiently higher levels of treatment and reuse of the wastewater. And that's why we cannot support the proposed revised proposal.

Again, public opinion has been nearly unanimous. The record shows nearly 200 groups and individuals who are opposed to the proposed revised permit for the overriding reason, as well as others. For the record, we have specified the basis of our concerns with EPA's revised proposal in the written testimony that I've handed you and submitted online, which we will provide only major highlights and conclusions of these thoroughly documented comments.

Overall, we wanna make four main points. First: EPA should not grant the 10-year injection well permit at Lahaina, which, in 19 -- which, in 2019, may leave us no closer to realizing the Mayor's goal than we are today. In our view, any permit for injection at Lahaina must be conditioned on a number of specific requirements leading to achievement of the Mayor's goal as promptly as possible.

The essential conditions include reductions of nitrogen and phosphorous levels, and effective disinfection of pathogens, effective monitoring of groundwater and ocean water quality, and bio impact of public reporting. Within one year, development

0015 submission to the public and the EPA and the State of a detailed plan with benchmarks of progress for design, financing, construction and operation of the necessary treatment upgrades and wastewater facility reuse and -and reuse facilities and phase-out of the injection

б

б

wells as soon as possible, but, in no event, later than January 1, 2015.

Second: EPA should require the County to submit an expedited compliance schedule for obtaining an NPDES permit for the injection well discharges to the ocean as a condition of any UIC Permit that the agency may approve. We think this is well within your authority. And, in fact, it may be your responsibility.

Because, Number Three, EPA may not and should not grant a UIC Permit which authorizes behavior that's expressly prohibited by the Clean Water Act. That is the discharge of pollutants into the ocean through the wells without an NPDES permit. And we cite chapter and verse as to the cases that show why the -- why, in our view, this is illegal behavior that must stop.

Four: The EPA should not grant the Lahaina well until the State has provided the certification required by Section 401 of the Clean Water Act, including the effluent limitations of other requirements necessary to ensure compliance with all applicable

state, constitutional and regulatory policies and requirements. These, too, should begin conditions -- should be added as conditions to any permit that the EPA may grant.

I urge you to look at the Hawaii State Constitution. It is very clear. And -- and it's so clear, as a matter of fact, that it constitutes water quality -- an element of water quality standards in Hawaii, that conservation is to be required, not discard, that the State has a public trust for all waters of the United States -- of the -- of the State, and that -- and under the case law, so does the County have that same responsibility.

As a result of the November 2008 hearing, and extensive research then -- since then, we've learned many important things relevant to this hearing. And I'll only quickly summarize these highlights.

One: As you folks have acknowledged, the wastewater effluent going into the Lahaina injection wells does not stay in the wells, but migrates into the ocean. That's now indisputable on the record.

Two: The wastewater effluent contains high levels of nitrogen. The nitrogen fuels algae growth -- we already heard that from the Mayor. It can do that beneficially if it's done in the right circumstances,

which, in turn, contributes to -- significantly to the decline of the reefs. We never said that this is the only cause of the problem for the reefs, but it's a significant one.

While nutrient releases from County injection wells are not the only cause of reef decline, a compelling body of scientific information, over 20

sources since 1993 that we've laid out, including reports from the National Academy of Science, DAR, EPA, and the -- and in U of H implicate the County injection wells at Lahaina, Kihei and Kahului as significant contributors to serious reef decline.

Number three: The proposed permit will allow over 800,000 additional pounds of nitrogen to go into these wells and into the ocean over the permit's 10-year life. That's just too much given the urgent need for protection of our reefs.

Four: The releases to the ocean through the injection wells without an NPDES permit constitute a violation of 402 of the Clean Water Act. Under the significant nexus doctrine of the Rapanos Supreme Court case, and, most tellingly, and more recently, the Northern California River Watch case, which specifically is a Ninth Circuit case addressing facts very similar to these.

б

EPA should not and may not lawfully issue a permit under the Safe Drinking Water Act which authorizes illegal behavior under the Clean Water Act. Knowing these things, EPA should require the County to obtain an NPDES permit under the Clean Water Act as soon as possible.

Number five: Over 1,900 other communities throughout the U.S. are now raising levels of treatment and reusing more of their wastewater instead of discarding them into the ocean. They have found ways to pay for this. And we can, too.

Number six: We know that the injection wells at Lahaina cannot be shut down until there's a viable alternative. But a strict timetable needs to be set as a condition of the permit for developing that alternative. The County has not borne its burden of proof. And we urge you to look at the cases cited as to why it has the responsibility in this case to show that a 10-year-or-more year of injection is necessary or desirable.

Again, we're pleased by the Mayor's decision announced tonight to try and work out a situation that will get us more like a five-year permit. And we think that's progress. And we're happy to work with her.

We believe that, within one year, Maui County

can establish a plan, schedule required benchmark of progress for the design, financing and construction of wastewater treatment upgrades and the facilities necessarily to safely and beneficially reuse the effluent on land.

We believe that five years is adequate to complete the process and have provided information to that effect. If the County can show us why that is not the case, well, we'll be open to listening to it, and so

should you, but, so far, we haven't heard that.

Number eight: The DIRE website, Don't Inject, lists a number of communities in which this has been accomplished. The wastewater reuse recycling has been accomplished, including Prescott, Arizona, which auctioned off its rights to reclaimed water effluent for up to \$67 million.

Number nine: Our website also references federal grants of tens of millions of dollars made in the last year to help alleviate drought and enable communities to improve treatment of wastewater and reuse of effluent. Moreover, the County estimates that the necessary improvements will cause no more than three to five percent increase in waste management fees. Planned development could help pay for these costs.

Number 10: Working together, we are confident

б

that we can achieve the Mayor's goal. And we are very pleased at the overtures from the County to its citizens to work with -- with the County on that direction. And we welcome that.

For the reasons stated here, but elaborated in our -- in our written testimony, we at the DIRE Coalition urge EPA not to move forward with the proposed revised permit, but, instead, to work with all concerned parties to develop a permit that includes compliance with the Clean Water Act and a binding schedule for realizing the Mayor's goal no later than five years at Lahaina.

Number 11: We not only believe this is wise policy, we believe this is the only permissible outcome based on the science and the applicable federal and state law, and the record of the proposed permit that you have before you.

Number 12: The cost of ensuring reuse will only increase over the next 10 years. And as the appendices to our testimony show, we can find the funds if we have the will to take action now to protect our reefs and preserve our precious water resources for safe and beneficial use on land for the current generation and for future generations.

We thank you for the opportunity to testify.

(Applause.)

HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Thank you for your comments, Mr. Schwartz.

Next is Teri Leonard.

MS. LEONARD: First of all, aloha. And thank you for allowing this opportunity to speak.

I represent myself here, but I'm going to tell you that I'm the manager of Maui Dreams Dive Company in Kihei, and I have been employed as a scuba instructor there for 10 years. I'm also a member of the Maui Nui Marine Resources Council, the Maui Reef Fund, Sea Link,

and I chair the Reef Sustainability Committee of South Maui Sustainability as well.

Tonight I'm addressing three major issues surrounding the Lahaina injection well permit process; reef pollution, water wastage and permitting precedence.

First, through my job experience, I have dove thousands of dives along the Maui coastline and have witnessed the ongoing degradation of our reef system. What began as a subtle layer of algae building across a vibrant coral reef has now become a thick and solid carpet of algae which smothers more and more of our coastal areas.

Scientific evidence gathered by the University of Hawaii and the State of Hawaii Department of Land and 0022

Natural Resources clearly indicates that pollutants and contaminants entering the ocean from injection wells are having a direct effect on the health of our Maui coral reef systems.

Yes, it is true that we have many areas of human activity which contribute to reef pollution that must be addressed; overfishing, misuse and loss of wetlands, runoff issues, overdevelopment and overpopulation are just a few. But here tonight, we have an opportunity and, according to the Clean Water Act, a responsibility to reduce and ultimately end the injection of pollutant wastewater into our coastal waters and our reef environment.

Second: With water shortages always imminent here on Maui, the waste of millions of gallons of water per day that could be used for irrigation purposes seems criminal. I would like to see a mandate demanding the investigation into and the enacting of alternative methods of water disposal and reuse included in the permit as well as a shorter permit lifespan.

As more qualified experts and scientists than I are telling you tonight, incredible amounts of reef pollution and wastage of water will result with the status quo being maintained over the next 10 years.

Third: I am concerned with the precedent set

б

with the Lahaina -- with the Lahaina permit, that they will affect the permit process when it comes to my home in Kihei.

And -- and, lastly, I would like to address funding for the County's benefit. The County's injection well website and page mentions many times that any improvements or alternative disposal methods will cost taxpayers. However, as recorded in the Water Trust Fund Summary of July 14, 2009, from the U.S. Treasury Department, according to Title 1, Section 101 of the Proposed Water Protection and Reinvestment Act, monies collected from corporate profits will fund appropriate public wastewater treatment and reuse programs. Section

406(a) establishes a national water infrastructure research development and demonstration program within the EPA to develop, demonstrate and transfer innovative or improved technologies and methods for the treatment, control, transport and reuse of drinking water and wastewater. This will help the agency, as well as local treatment works and water systems, meet the needs of the 21st Century.

Section 102 states that 48 percent of that -of those monies will be spent on the Clean Water State
Revolving Funds, almost \$5 billion. These funds are
grants to capitalize state funds which then provide

loans to publicly-owned treatment works for wastewater treatment construction to meet Clean Water Act requirements and provide sewage services.

Yes, we would have to pay the money back, but the investment will ultimately save untold future lost revenues if we allow the reef to perish.

Therefore, I support Mayor Charmaine Tavares and County Council Members Wayne Nishiki and Michael Molina on their choice to commit to ending County injection well usage. Many challenging steps are ahead, including the determination of alternative uses for the 15 million gallons per day of water that is currently being thrown away into Maui coastal waters and onto Maui reefs. Now is the time for actions to speak at least as loud as words.

The first step is for the EPA to not issue another 10-year permit to the County for the operation of the Lahaina injection wells. A much shorter time period for these permits must be established, along with the mandate that alternative methods of wastewater usage are to be investigated and ultimately be used to replace this incredible waste and misuse of our precious water.

You represent our Environmental Protection Agency. Please protect our environment.
Thank you.

б

б

(Applause.)

HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Thank you for those comments, Ms. Leonard.

Rene Umberger.

MS. UMBERGER: I also wanna thank you for the question-and-answer session. I thought that was really beneficial. So thank you for that.

My name's Rene Umberger. Thank you for the opportunity to comment today. I speak on behalf of the Maui Nui Marine Resource Council, which is dedicated to the restoration of our nearshore waters and marine life.

We also appreciate that the current permit proposal is an improvement over the original. However, many of our requests have not been adequately addressed in this new draft. And in addition, we are aware of new

16 information.

As Jeff has already gone over a lot of this in detail, but there is -- we know there's a large body of evidence confirming that nutrients in coastal waters are a problem associated with the mismanagement of waste. According to statements made here this afternoon and previously by current and former County wastewater personnel, the nitrogen-laden effluent from the County wells does make it into the ocean.

There's evidence that was submitted by the

Division of Aquatic Resources Director, in November, that there is evidence that there is a substantial reef degradation immediately offshore of the Lahaina facility. That they have years of data clearly showing a correlation between wastewater injection, decrease in coral cover, and increased problems with invasive algae. And there is scientific evidence that the injection well plumes are percolating up into the nearshore waters.

We ask you to keep in mind that coral reefs are a national and global resource. And a large percentage of the U.S.'s coral reefs are in Hawaii.

Yes, they are threatened by more factors than nutrient pollution. However, according to leading scientists, we no longer have the time for arguments over which factor is the worst culprit. Each one needs to be addressed if we value coral reefs and want to stop their degradation.

As you may know, the socioeconomic value of Maui's coral reefs is tremendous. Continued habitat degradation will spell disaster for our fish populations. And fishing for sustenance is a very important part of Hawaii's culture. In addition, healthy coral reefs are critically important to Maui's economy. For instance, Kihei reefs annually contribute at least \$28 million to the economy from property

biodiversity and recreational value. West Maui reefs are at least equally valuable.

These are just some of the reasons why developing the infrastructure for higher levels of water reuse is economically, not to mention legally, justifiable. The costs of not doing so outweigh the costs of creating alternative methods of treatment and reclamation.

We all know that very substantial progress has been made in other communities to address reuse issues. Here on Maui, using more reclaimed water, instead of injecting it, will help solve critical water shortage issues by helping to alleviate competing water uses.

Given all of this information, we stand by our earlier request asking EPA to address comprehensive watershed planning to ensure that decisions and solutions are beneficial to all concerned.

```
18
               We also ask for timetables for Maui County to
19
     meet all EPA Clean Water Act requirements.
20
               We ask for deeper cuts to nitrogen loading as
     soon as possible. 27,000 pounds quarterly is too much
21
22
     today. And nitrogen injected today won't enter the
23
     ocean for years. Our reefs require better than that to
24
     survive.
25
               We also ask for groundwater/ocean water
0028
1
     quality and marine resource monitoring that is
 2
     implemented with resolution, that can detect change over
 3
     time, so it's possible to know if mandated changes are
 4
     sufficient.
 5
               In addition, we support the Mayor's goal of
 б
     100 percent wastewater reuse and ending all injection
 7
     wells. We support substantially upgrading the
 8
     treatment, reusing the water and shutting down the
 9
     injection wells as the new water reuse system is built.
10
     And we request a less than 10-year duration on the
11
     Lahaina permit.
12
               Thank you.
13
               (Applause.)
14
               HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT:
                                          Thank you for those
15
     comments.
16
               Next will be Robin Knox.
17
               MS. KNOX: Hello. My name is Robin Knox.
                                                           And
18
     I am a water quality professional with 25 years
19
     experience in Clean Water Act regulation and water
20
     pollution control, wastewater treatment, that kind of
21
     thing.
22
               I would like to say aloha and welcome to Maui.
23
     And mahalo for all of your hard work. We really see
24
     improvements in this revised draft permit.
25
               And I support -- I'm speaking for myself, but
0029
1
     there are many like-minded souls who agree with me.
 2
     support what you've done with injectate volume limits
 3
     and mass nitrogen limits and bacterial limits.
 4
     you've pointed out, I think those are absolutely
 5
    necessary to meet the mandates of the Safe Drinking
 6
     Water Act and to protect underground sources of drinking
 7
     water. I think it's a great benefit that it also
 8
     reduces loading to the ocean, as we've been talking
9
             So I support you in concept in those limits.
     about.
10
               I do think that the limits still need to be
11
     tightened up some. Like, for instance, injectate
12
     volume, you still have 7 mgd, but the County website
13
     says that the reliable design capacity of the plant is
14
     4.5 mgd on an average dry basis, which would be like
15
     about 5.5 long-term average mgd. And that makes a big
16
     difference.
17
               If you look at nitrogen loading rates, if we
18
     can get rid of a million gallons a day of the -- of the
```

water, then that cuts the nitrogen -- at seven

б

milligrams, that cuts almost -- or more than 20,000
pounds per year out of the discharge. So limiting that
injectate volume is important. So I don't wanna see the
permit limits being any higher than they absolutely need
to be in terms of volume.

And then, of course, we also could reduce 0030

nitrogen. And in talking to the Mayor this week, I'm really encouraged that there are some really short-term solutions. Because looking at some of the numbers, that maybe we can reduce concentration just a little bit, get a little bit better performance out of the plan, and reuse just a little bit more water, we can significantly start reducing the nitrogen loads. And I don't think that's gonna take five years. I think, within five years, we could figure out how to reuse a million gallons a day of water. I just can't believe that that can't be done.

And I would encourage you to ask the County to show you why it can't be done if they're telling you that it can't be done.

Also, I would like everyone to realize that we need to finalize this permit. I think it's great to keep having these opportunities to have input, but as long as we keep talking about it, there are no limits on nitrogen. And I really feel that clock ticking. Every day, it's 200 more pounds of nitrogen on the reef. Every year, it's 70,000 more pounds. So when you're talking about compliance schedules, please remember that.

And I'm very encouraged by the Mayor and the County. Talking to some of the treatment plant

operations people this week and the Mayor, I feel like we're really close to having some agreements that will work with everybody and move forward.

I would like you to add monitoring of groundwater, ocean and additional effluent monitoring. I think that we really need to understand this system more.

The County has rightfully said that we don't really know what level we need to reduce the total of all nitrogen discharges to in order to protect the reef. We know what we have today is too high. We know it needs to be reduced. But some monitoring and understanding of the groundwater movement of that plume and what kind of degradation is going on in the groundwater would really help us understand where that bottom line is on nitrogen load that we need to get to.

I think the limits need to be in the permit to get the nitrogen out of the permit. The County has their choice of ways of doing that. As we've said, it could be reducing flows, it could be reducing concentrations. So it can either be treatment, reuse or

other options. I really don't care, as long as we get it off the reef. I think there's a number of good things we can do with it.

So that's the extent of my kind of technical

comments.

On a personal basis, I would just like to share with you what I've seen personally. I came here, I moved here, I left my home of 51 years, the house that I grew up in, my children and my grandchildren, to come here to tell you this, to work here for three years so that I really understood this. Because I am horrified at the lack of implementation of the Clean Water Act here.

And I will remind you -- I will reiterate everything Jeff said about the nexus and the fact that we think that this might be unlawful to continue to permit this to happen. I will remind you that knowing violation of the Clean Water Act is a criminal act. And what is happening out there right now is criminal. And I think any one of the scientists or recreational users or professionals in the marine industry who are out there every day feel the same way. We cry about this. Scientists cry at meetings. You know what, we're supposed to be all cold and logical and factual, we're crying.

I have to worry about getting sick when I go to do my job. My co-workers are sick. They have the antibiotic resistant staph infections. It's from diving in the places where the injection wells are come --

effluents are coming out on the reef.

I have seen a friend come to the island for a week and swim in the ocean with a cut, and his foot swole up this big and had to go to the emergency room.

There is no requirement for disinfection for most of the wastewater on this island. It goes into cesspools. And some of it, thank goodness, gets some treatment in the County plants. And even that's not disinfected unless we reuse it. It gets injected out.

I mean, this is like third world. Let's stop it. Because my personal observations are that this is an outrageous, appalling, possibly criminal negligence to implement the Clean Water Act.

The Clean Water Act came about because people were angry. People here on Maui are sad and angry about what's happening to our water quality.

And I thank you for reaching the fullest extent of your authority under the Safe Drinking Water Act and this permit. I can see what you're doing, and I appreciate it and I encourage you to continue it, to protect our drinking water supplies and, also, to protect our surface water supply.

So please go home, tell your administrators

```
24
     that we told you, you can't say it's not your table.
25
     It's -- it's EPA's table. It's EPA's job. And we have
0034
 1
     every faith that you will help us resolve this.
 2
               Thank you.
 3
               (Applause.)
 4
               HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT:
                                          Thank you for that
 5
     testimony.
 6
               Next speaker is Irene Bowie.
               MS. BOWIE: Aloha, EPA officers and State
 7
 8
     Department of Health staff. I'm Irene Bowie, Maui
 9
     Tomorrow Foundation. And I wanna thank you for
10
     returning to Maui to hold this meeting.
11
               Maui Tomorrow Foundation is a member of the
12
     DIRE Coalition, representing the interests of many
13
     members of our community concerned with the state of our
14
     County's reefs, public health and economy.
                                                We strongly
15
     support Mayor Tavares' goal of 100 percent wastewater
16
     reuse and zero injection. And ask the County's
17
     Wastewater Management Department to work with the
18
     community and DIRE Coalition to achieve this goal.
19
               We ask that EPA not grant the requested
20
     application as written, but, instead, issue a permit for
21
     no longer than five years conditioned on a number of
```

requirements, including more stringent waste treatment of nitrogen removal and effective disinfection of pathogens; effective ground and ocean water quality monitoring and public reporting; development, within one

year, of a detailed plan for building and funding necessary treatment upgrades in wastewater reuse facilities.

renewal permit for Maui County to continue to discharge inadequately treated wastewater effluent into the injection wells because this effluent flows into the ocean, stimulates algal growth, harms coral reefs, and releases pathogens that threaten the health of those using West Maui's nearshore waters.

Maui Tomorrow believes it is feasible to end all reuse within five years. We ask that EPA not grant a UIC Permit as it allows behavior prohibited by the Clean Water Act.

A five-year term is warranted to ensure that progress is made and to also ensure that this situation is revisited more than once in a decade.

We ask for reductions in nitrogen loading and injectate rates during the five years as Maui County moves towards an ultimate goal of eliminating underground injection as a disposal option.

With the 2030 Maui Island Plan projecting growth of more than 5,000 units in West Maui, it is imperative that we move towards more water reuse and away from detrimental practices.

Maui Tomorrow Foundation asks the EPA not approve the permanent renewal application as the current wastewater injection practice at Lahaina violates the policies of the Federal Pollution Prevention Act, Hawaii State Water Pollution Control regulations and policies, and the Hawaii Constitutional requirement that the counties, as well as the State, treat all waters of Hawaii as a public trust.

We ask that the County of Maui phase out wastewater injection wells and reclaim and reuse properly treated wastewaters on land for a variety of beneficial uses as soon as possible, and no later than January 1st, 2015.

And, again, ask EPA to issue a permit for Lahaina Wastewater Treatment Facility for only five years.

Again, we applaud Mayor Tavares' commitment to 100 percent wastewater reuse and zero injection and look forward to working together towards that goal.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Thank you for your comments.

Next speaker is Ramon Madden.

MR. MADDEN: Hi, folks. My name is Ramon K.

Madden and I'm here representing myself as a citizen of West Maui.

First of all, I would like to just start by saying that this is -- we're celebrating our 50th year of statehood here. And Maui has seen a lot of changes over the past 50 years, but, unfortunately, they haven't all been for the better.

In the early Seventies, a new deal for development was implemented over here on West Maui. And the people knew that there would be issues with the wastewater treatment. They were promised that -- and assured that the environment would be protected. And in my opinion, they failed miserably.

We have problems with our coral reef. We have problems with our turtles, they have fibropapilloma, they have cancer. We have algae blooms. They're disgusting to swim in. Not to mention rampant staph infections. All of these could be attributed to improper wastewater treatment, among other things.

Now, our population is already overburdened with this current wastewater treatment. And we're asking for another 10 years. I just -- if you look at this map for a second, this is a -- all the colored areas are proposed new developments in accordance with the -- with the 2030 plan. So as you can see, our

1 population is gonna be hugely, massively increased, but

4

5 б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

0039 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

this would all be happening during this time that this -- this 10 years. So I'm not sure how you can say that under the -- that we'll be able to stick with the current standards with increased population.

In addition, I'd like to say that, you know, clean water is a -- is a global challenge and a key concern in many industries.

The nitrogen produced is responsible for uncontrolled algae blooms. Virtually, the high cost for waste disposal, mostly can be attributed to not having enough power. Because the cleaner the water needs to be, the more power that gets consumed.

So I took the time to do a little bit of And I found this company that does fuel cell research. energy which, basically, what they do is they do a direct full -- a direct fuel power plant and they use -recycle the sludge and create batteries that not only power all the necessary -- the electricity needed to create 100 percent clean water, but, also, they give power back to the community.

So as I -- as I go back down, I would like to give you some -- some of these.

In -- in closing, I would like to say that Hawaii's coral reefs, on Maui and elsewhere, have an

exceptionally high biodiversity. They don't -- it's not just fishing, but it's also an integral part of our economy, with -- with tourism. And we can't afford to kill the goose that laid the golden egg like that.

And so, with that, I'd just like to thank you for your time in coming here tonight. And I'll drop these off.

(Applause.)

HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Did you want to submit the map as part of your testimony?

MR. RAMONE: Yeah. Thanks. Thank you very much.

HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Okay. Thank you for those comments, Mr. Madden.

And the next speaker is Hannah Bernard.

MS. BERNARD: Hi, again.

I will pass out my written testimony after I speak since I don't have enough for everybody right now.

I do have two hats that I'm wearing tonight. I am representing the Hawaii Wildlife Fund, and this nonprofit organization is a member of the DIRE Coalition, and the Ohana Coalition, Maui political

23 action committee.

So, first, I'll share -- basically, what I 25 have to say is ditto to what Jeff Schwartz said, and 0040

1 most of the other speakers.

2 Once again, I just wanna thank you so much for 3 this second opportunity on Maui. And especially for the earlier opportunity to have the exchange. We -- we -- the DIRE Coalition, as you know, really lobbied for that. And we really appreciate your willingness to be here for that. That meant a lot to us. And it helped us a lot.

I also would like to have -- take this opportunity to say a special mahalo to our Mayor Tavares in the audience for her leadership in this issue, for her willingness to step forward and support reduction in the 10-year permit process, and finding ways to reuse the water rather than having it go down the injection wells.

(Applause.)

б

MS. BERNARD: We really appreciate her.

(Applause.)

MS. BERNARD: So, you know, it was nearly a year ago that we stood before you and voiced our opposition to having another 10-year permit for the injection well in Lahaina.

And as you know, millions of gallons of wastewater continue to flow down into the injection well and seeping -- eventually, they'll seep into the ocean

during this time. And I would just like to reiterate that we don't have time. And you folks know this. And folks in the audience know this. We don't have time to -- to waste.

We cannot support the proposed revisions to the permit as you represented them. Even though the burden of proof under the Federal Administrative Procedures, the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act, and the Hawaii State Constitution is on the applicant, County of Maui, for the renewal of the underground injection well permit, not -- not those of us who oppose the issuance of this permit, we've prevented -- we've presented more than enough documentation, both legal and scientific, to persuade the EPA, and the County of Maui, as a public trustee, that this permit should be modified, at least according to the DIRE Coalition's suggestions.

And that this situation is urgent. It impacts our reefs, possibly our own health, our quality of life, and our way of life. So we definitely, Hawaii Wildlife Fund, support the expanded DIRE Coalition testimony given by Jeff Schwartz, and -- and even the 15-page document that he submitted to you as well.

I've also attached to my testimony the two pages that he read to you. Just to reiterate, we're proponents of that testimony and -- and participants in

the coalition.

We agree with the basic four principles that he's already shared, and so have several of the other members. And I'm just gonna quickly go over them for the record.

б

We wanna see reductions of nitrogen and phosphorous levels and effective disinfection of the pathogens, effective monitoring of ground and ocean water quality, on bio impact, and public reporting, within one year, development and submission to the public and the EPA of a detailed plan, with benchmarks of progress for design, financing, construction, operation of necessary treatment upgrades and water reuse facilities. And, finally, phase out the injection wells as soon as possible, but in no event later than January 1, 2015.

So, once again, thank you for much for you being here and for working with us. And we look forward to working with our Mayor, who's already demonstrated her willingness to support our wishes on this issue.

And I'm just gonna quickly go over the Ohana Coalition testimony, which is very similar.

The Ohana Coalition welcomes you to Maui. We deeply appreciate your coming to explain the terms and conditions of the Draft UIC Permit and to consider

community input on the issue.

The Ohana Coalition is a political action committee formed in 2002 by citizens of Maui County, including, already mentioned, our beloved kupuna, Ed Lindsey. It was formed to serve the best interest of the people and the `aina. And since then, we have been active in each election, raising public awareness on issues, promoting candidates who meet our criteria and reaching thousands of voters. Our mission is to support the election of ethical government representatives who are committed to protecting the culture and the environment and promoting sustainability, social justice, education, and island-style small-town living for Maui. The Coalition also communicates with elected officials about relevant community issues.

The issue of injection wells was featured in our discussions with candidates in the last election. We asked them where they stood on the issue of transitioning from injection well disposal to reuse of treated reclaimed water. And I want to emphasize "treated reclaimed water." This matter is of great concern to our group and, obviously, our community.

So at present, we understand that one committee chair of the Maui Council is drafting legislation to submit to the Council which would phase

out injection wells for all of Maui County. And, also, once again, that Mayor Tavares announced, in May, her goal of 100 percent phaseout of injection wells and recycling reclaimed water at Maui wastewater plants.

And although she did not specify that -- that she also could commit to the end of injections wells in five years, we support her intention to have a plan in

place and bring our community together to formulate ways to -- to reduce injection well water. We do need an expedited timetable. And, once again, feel like one year is pretty much the maximum we need to work on this plan. A five-year term for the permit is something that we agree with and not -- not another decade.

If it is not feasible to end injection practices in five years, then the Ohana Coalition would ask for reductions in nitrogen loading and injectate rates during that five years, toward the ultimate goal of eliminating underground injection as a disposal option. Every small amount of reuse is better.

I'm gonna skip over some of it. It's been already stated by a number of the other participants who testified, some a bit redundant.

Once again, we're concerned about the amount of nitrogen that is already going to be percolating through the groundwater and into the reefs.

So, once again, the Ohana Coalition wants to see a strong water quality monitoring plan with information accessible to the public as part of the permission -- permit conditions.

With regard to funding, more and more housing and commercial development is being proposed, as you already heard, for West Maui. And most of it will depend on the present treatment facility. So having that cap on the nitrogen that was proposed is at least a good start.

The County should have a plan in place also to use these -- to use new user fees to help fund a transition to cleaner and more efficient use of treated effluent.

So, once again, on behalf of the Ohana Coalition, Maui Steering Committee, of which I am a member, mahalo for coming to Maui and giving us this opportunity.

(Applause.)

 $$\operatorname{\text{HEARING}}$  OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Thank you for those comments. I just want to make sure we have what you are submitting. Okay.

The next speaker is Shawn Reid. Is Shawn Reid here?

MR. REID: Halfway.

Aloha. My name is Shawn Reid. I'm a citizen of the land, a child of the sea.

And I would like to thank you for everything that you've done so far today in reviewing the permit and making revisions.

However, I'm slightly upset that there's so much emphasis on the drinking water and ground surface water, and not the reefs. You're gonna hear more testimony tonight regarding the reefs. I read the

б

1 2

```
document online, it was 108 pages. Every testimony on
there was regarding the reef. And I'm really
disappointed that the revisions haven't been put into
place in the new permit, application requirements that
address more of those concerns.
```

I echo everything that everybody here has said, is going to say.

You know, I wanna thank all you, too. You fill my heart, definitely. Thank you so much for coming out here and being a part of this process. It's important.

With that being said, I know that, you know, your jobs are -- you have to follow laws and regulations and standards that are put into place by law.

The UIC -- I believe it's UIC Permit, okay, it's about injection wells. I also -- I would like to 0047

see more revisions put in place for a five-year maximum.

We're looking at 15 years now from the last

We're looking at 15 years now from the last time, right? Okay, 10 years. Five years from now, so we're almost at 15 years, I believe, since the last permit.

So five years, get rid of all the -- all of them. I want to see a president -- a precedent set.

Teri said that she was concerned about precedent being set here for injection well permit renewals. Those things need to be phased out completely, especially on an island. They may work on the mainland somewhere, but we're a reef system, a continental shelf system, on the coastline. They do not work here. They have not worked here.

I know on the north shore of Oahu, four more or five hotels are planned. And they're also requesting that a injection well treatment plant be allowed for that. If the State is here, I'm asking you to take a look at that, deny that, whatever, at all costs, come up with a different method.

And I don't have written testimony. I'm speaking from my heart. And I'm asking you to do the same, think with your heart. I know you have to follow the rules and regulations. And please do whatever you can, also, to bring the laws and regulations up to

today's needs.

One other gentleman said we have a lot more buildings coming in or wanting to come in. Our infrastructure can't hold what we have now. And they put up another monstrosity across the street -- right across the street from the current treatment plant.

That, luckily, one good thing coming out of this crisis of -- of economics, is that they don't have all those units sold and filled. Someday they might. And the current treatment plant that we have right

11 now -- I'm speaking overall treatment plant, not just

б

7

2009.

```
12
     injection -- also needs to be reviewed and looked at.
13
               So thank you again.
                                   And thanks.
14
               (Applause.)
15
               HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT:
                                          Thank you,
16
     Mr. Reid, for those comments.
17
               And the next speaker is Russell Sparks.
18
               MR. SPARKS: Good evening. And thank you for
19
     coming back again.
20
               My name is Russell Sparks.
                                           And I'm
21
     representing the Division of Aquatic Resources,
22
     Department of Land and Natural Resources.
23
               Last time you guys came, I thanked you and
24
     expressed the fact that I'm normally in that seat
25
     listening to the public. And what was interesting is,
0049
1
     shortly after you left, I was in that seat, listening to
 2
     the public again. And I heard a very strong message
 3
     from many people in the public that they were not happy
 4
     with us for always picking on fishermen and fish.
 5
     Unfortunately, the same way you explain your
 6
     jurisdiction and legal authorities is the same way that
 7
     I felt.
 8
               But I -- I did comment to a lot of people in a
 9
     lot of discussions in the past, that our division is --
10
     is committed to working out holistic approaches to
11
     better managing the nearshore reef environment.
12
               Coral reefs are very complex, probably a
13
     little more complex even than the bureaucracy and the
14
     working arrangement of the EPA. Maybe just a little
15
     bit.
16
               On a very simplistic note, however, coral
17
     reefs can be broken down, or at least reef degradation
18
     where -- associated with problems with algae, can be
19
     broken down to things that control the growth of the
20
     algae, fish, grazing, sea urchins, things of that sort,
21
     and things that promote the growth of algae. And a
22
     simple -- or explanation there is nutrients, oftentimes
23
     coming from land.
24
               The reefs off of the Lahaina Wastewater
25
     Reclamation Facility have experienced somewhere around
0050
1
     50 percent coral decline since we've been monitoring it,
 2
     since 1994. The Department decided to do what we could.
 3
     And we took measures to protect grazing fish, to help
 4
     control the algae. And not everybody may be aware, but
 5
```

(Applause.)

But that, as I said, is only part 8 MR. SPARKS: 9 of the problem. And we do not expect the reef to come 10 back if we're not able to address this holistically. 11 And so we really do need measurable steps taken to 12 reduce the factors that promote algae growth and 13 degradation, i.e., land-based nutrient loads.

that rule was actually passed and was effective July 25,

б

I'm not gonna read everything because we're going to go on all night here, but I wanted to mention something else that is kind of important.

The Division of Aquatic Resources Coral Program is funded by the United States Coral Reef Task Force. Okay. Your EPA Administrator does sit on that task force as well. The funds that they give the State to better manage and reduce coral reef loss has been prioritized for two areas in the State of Hawaii. One of those areas is, in fact, the reefs at North Kaanapali. So there will be a fair amount of research and priority put to this very reef to further study it

over the coming years. And we fully expect that there will be a fair amount of natural etching as well.

This is good, I think. Hopefully, this will help for the problem we've discussed about, within the EPA, within the State Department of Health, even within the County, willingness to really get together and address this holistically and deal with the overall problem.

With all of this in mind, I offer the specific recommendations. The protocols for the Underground Injection Control Program within the EPA and the Hawaii State Department of Health need to be modified so as to assess impacts to Hawaii's coastal waters. The Clean Water Act, in addition to the Safe Water Act, must be considered when regulating existing and future injection well permit applications.

We applaud the efforts that you've made, that the EPA has made, in implementing permit -- or attempting to implement permit conditions to regulate all the nitrogen loads and wastewater injectate volume. We're particularly happy with the efforts to encourage wastewater reuse by requiring treatment of all wastewater to R-1 standards, and by gradually reducing permitted levels of daily nitrogen over the -- over the course of the permit's 10-year time period.

We feel, however, that the draft permit conditions do not go far enough. And we offer the following specific suggestion.

Currently, the County injects between three to five million gallons a day, average's around 3.34. This data comes from 2006 to 2008. The draft permit would allow for daily rates of seven million gallons per day, whereas we're currently averaging 3.34. It would even allow for maximum daily limit of 10 million gallons. We feel this allowed average injection rate should be capped at no more than what is currently being injected, four to five million gallons per day.

Furthermore, we feel it's appropriate to implement stage reductions in injectate volume over time. At the very least, we would like to see the

б

permit conditions set as to prevent any further injection volumes from current levels.

Although much concern has been placed on nutrient loads, marine scientists have also expressed concerns that high volumes of fresh water entering marine ecosystems can alter water chemistry and adversely affect coral reef health. This concern is especially important in areas where coral reefs have evolved in the absence of natural fresh water or, at least, significant fresh water. Real short, you can

change the chemistry of the water, change the pH, and adversely impact the way corals fix calcium and build the skeleton that supports 'em. Reefs off of Lahaina, or outside of the wastewater injection facility, are collapsing on themselves. We feel this could be one reason why.

Currently, the wastewater treated at the wastewater facility has an average total nitrogen action level of 6.87 milligrams per liter. The draft permit would allow this to be a 10. We suggest the permitted total nitrogen action level be capped at 7, with permit conditions set to further reduce this over time.

Currently, the facility injects total daily nitrogen loads of about 192 pounds per day. And monthly loads that average about 5,788. Your permit conditions limit these loads at levels well above these current levels.

The draft permit conditions required to be implemented in December 31st, 2015, are actually about where we're at today. So we suggest that the permitted caps, the maximum daily nitrogen loads, be set at the current levels, and that further reductions be required by the year 2015.

The current 10-year permit timeframe is too long. Science and technology is rapidly increasing our

ability to identify wastewater plumes and to measure their impacts on natural aquatic ecosystems.

The designation of the North Kaanapali area has a priority research site for the Hawaii Coral Reef Program, will likely increase our understanding and impacts from the facility. As new information is gathered, the EPA needs to be able to apply these findings as quickly as possible. We suggest the permit timeframe to reduce to no more than five years. And just so happens, that seems to be the timeframe everybody else is talking about tonight. So our stars must be all aligned.

Just -- just in -- in concluding, the -- we appreciate the willingness of you folks to continue to come back and hear from us, continue to work towards permit conditions to help reduce impacts of Lahaina Wastewater Reclamation Facility.

17

18

19

```
18
               We applaud the Mayor's testimony tonight.
19
     reality of the world, however, is that if there is not
20
     real conditions to ensure this, I'm afraid political
     winds may change, and things may never ever get funded
21
22
     and done. So we really need the County to work together
23
     with the EPA, with the Department of Health, and with
24
     all of the citizens of Maui to -- to really work forward
25
     to that goal of reducing or eliminating completely
0055
     injection wells and using that water for a reuse.
1
 2
               Thanks again for coming.
 3
               (Applause.)
 4
               MR. SPARKS:
                            I have a copy. I think you got
 5
     one in the mail, but I'll leave it with the reporter.
 6
               HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Is that -- Russell,
 7
     is that your letter, the August 13th letter?
 8
               MR. SPARKS: Yes.
 9
               HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Okay.
                                                 Thank you
10
     for those comments.
11
                      The next speaker is Lucienne de Naie.
               Okay.
12
               MS. De NAIE: It's a tall person.
13
               Thank you. Lucienne de Naie, once again,
14
     speaking on behalf of Sierra Club, Maui Group.
15
               Well, I'm really glad that we had that earlier
16
               That was so helpful to try to get questions
     session.
17
     answered. Because, you know, for the average citizen,
18
     this is a very complex and convoluted process.
19
     more we can understand, the more we can have some sort
20
     of solution where everybody can, you know, put their
21
     ideas in the same direction rather than just arguing
22
     about details, because nobody understands what is going
23
          So mahalo nui loa, as we say here.
24
               The Hawaii Chapter of the Sierra Club has a
25
     long history of working with the EPA to improve water
0056
1
     quality in our fresh water and marine environment.
 2
     fact, we've been at it in Honolulu, I think, for 15, 18,
 3
     20 years, something like that.
                                     The Maui Group of the
 4
     Sierra Club is part of the state-wide chapter. And I'm
 5
     the vice president of the State Chapter and I'm also a
 б
     member of the Maui Group. Sierra Club is also part of
 7
     the DIRE Coalition.
 8
               And at the November EPA hearing last year
 9
     here, we presented testimony in support of setting a
10
     specific timetable for conversion of the injection well
11
     disposal system at Lahaina into a system which allows
12
     all reclaimed water to be processed to a level that
13
     meets State and Federal standards for reuse.
14
               Now, we also supported the UIC Permit,
15
     including conditions which require planning and
```

implementation of the infrastructure that's gonna be

non-potable use in extremely water-deficient West Maui.

So we're grateful that the EPA's staff has returned to

necessary to deliver the plant's reclaimed water to

20 Maui for an update before final decision is made on this 21 permit and its conditions.

Like others before us, we do support the shorter timeframe for the permit. In part, because we all wanna stay involved. And, you know, we're glad to hear that the Mayor feels that that would be reasonable,

1 too.

You know, it's like government and the public work together. It's hard for the government to do things without knowing that there's public support and public involvement. And it's hard for the public to give that support and involvement if there is no opportunity to learn what's going on.

So you're our opportunity. So we -- we are grateful for that.

Well, Sierra Club Maui was among the earliest groups to question the assumption that injection of nutrient-rich waters into the nearshore waters of Maui -- of West Maui would have no effects. Now scientific studies have come to support the presence of those same nutrients in the algae that's smothering West Maui reefs in the immediate vicinity of the Lahaina injection wells.

And I, for one, in 1995, was pushing to see if we could get Earth Justice to sue you guys, you know. And I have to admit it, because we were just so disgusted by what was happening with our waters.

Well, it hasn't gotten any better, but I think our -- our conditions between government and federal regulatory agencies are improving. So I have little hope for the future.

We are in full support of Mayor Tavares' stated goal to have a solid practical plan to phase out the injection wells and use the water in all of Maui's wastewater facilities, to -- to reuse it. This sensible strategy can help alleviate water shortages and return the valuable resource to a more natural ecological cycle.

And this is very important. I mean, it is not the natural cycle for all this water to be gathered from our streams and our underground aquifers and then sent out in a different state out into the ocean. This isn't what nature does. Nature does send water out into the ocean, but it sends it out with different stuff than what we're doing here.

So the more that we kind of go against nature, the more problems we're going to have, that then we have to spend money and time to clean up. We would like to avoid that part of the puzzle here.

One thing is very clear. It's time to act. And we are counting on the EPA, the watchdog of our irreplaceable natural resources, to lead the way.

Now, we don't know -- you know, Sierra Club, too, like other members of DIRE, we've not analyzed the Mayor's plan. It's my understanding that there aren't any operant algae-to-energy plants that -- right now 

that we can, you know, point to and say, hey, yeah, that's really a great thing. They're all like in the experimental stage. So that might be where we go, but it may not.

However, I think it's very good that the Mayor's convening a task force. I would urge this task force to have a very finite timetable.

And I'm just saying this -- you know, I'm an old battle veteran here. I remember years ago when the Iao Aquifer was being overpumped. This is 1995, 1996, 1997. And a group of citizens appeared before the Water Commission and say, you know, you're gonna decimate this aquifer, you know, it's being degraded. And, oh, no, no, no, a task force was proposed. Well, guess what? All we did was see our chlorate levels get higher and higher over the five years that that task force operated. And, finally, we had to sue and get designation as the eventual result of that.

So task forces are great, but let's make sure that they're really directed and that they have some goals that they have to meet. And we're not back here five years from now saying, well, the task force tried this and tried that, and we're still injecting a lot of nitrogen into the ocean.

So I believe the Mayor has, you know, a good

effort here, but I just wanna put that caveat in. You know, we all need to be aware we don't live in the perfect world. So let's give a little nudge.

Now, Maui's reefs, as you probably know, are extremely important to Hawaiian cultural practices. And these practices are protected under Article 12, Article 12, Chapter 7 of the State's Constitution. In fact, there's no action that can really legally take place in this state that has a deleterious effect on Hawaiian cultural practice. Now, this ain't what happens all the time, but it is the law.

And you may be familiar that in the Creation Chant that the Hawaiian people have, that tells about the evolution of the Hawaiian islands, the very, very first life form to emerge is the coral polyp. It is the building block of all life for the Hawaiian view of the world.

And traditional Hawaiian land management considered the actions from the summit all the way to the reefs, not to the edge of the shore, but all the way to the reefs. So we feel, you know, if we're gonna give lip service that we live here in Hawaii and we respect Hawaiian culture, we need to look at our actions from

the summit to the reefs, whether they're an injection well or a grading permit. We cannot stand by and watch 

while one of the cornerstones of Hawaiian culture is degraded to the point of lifelessness simply because change comes with a price tag. We need to figure out a way to meet that price tag.

Maui's reefs are also an integral part of the island's economic infrastructure. A Sierra Club survey done of 1,000 randomly selected visitors in 1998 found that swimming in ocean waters and observing reef life was the activity most drawing visitors to Maui. A similar survey by the Hawaiian Tourism Authority, in 2006, found exactly the same response. This is why people come. It's such a unique experience to swim in warm, hopefully clean, water and see this amazing world under the surface, on our reefs.

We, at Sierra Club, have used the courts for years to seek compliance with the Clean Water Act policies and provisions. And we note that a group of citizens in Maui recently got impatient and filed suit to compel the County to phase out injection wells in South Maui and to create infrastructure to distribute reclaimed water. We were approached to consider becoming part of this suit, the Sierra Club was, but we felt there were better opportunities to work in partnership with Mayor Tavares' administration and the EPA to achieve the same goals through a collaborative

process.

So I just wanna state this for the record, you know. But we wanna see something happen here because Sierra Club is famous all over the nation for suing on sewage treatment plants. San Diego, you name it, you know, you name it, they've sued. We don't wanna go that route, but we wanna see something happen.

Based on the stated intentions of the Mayor and her administration, we ask the EPA to craft a shorter term permit with strong benchmarks and conditions that will result in support for turning good intentions into sound actions.

The draft proposal does not currently meet this need. Please keep in mind that between 3,000 and 6,000 new residential units and scores of new commercial units are being supported by County planners to be built in the West Maui area as part of our new General Plan Urban Growth Boundary. This does not even take into account the thousands of units that could also be built on Hawaiian Home Lands parcels that flank the Kaanapali area. They're just on either side of the sewage treatment plant area, and will not be subject to any County review. All of these are likely to be depending on this treatment facility. Not all, but the majority. And these units will be constructed over the next 20

б

years and are a potential source of funding for repaying bonds which may be necessary to finance improvements needed in Lahaina, to make the changeover from injection wells to high-quality recycled water. If there was no vision and no plan to make this change, every new unit will just become part of the problem rather than part of the solution.

So we ask this agency to craft a permit that gets answers to the tough questions regarding the treatment plant's compliance with Clean Water Act rules.

We note that the nearshore waters around Honokowai Stream, which is just a few thousand feet from where these injection wells are, are listed as impaired on the State's 303D list. We can and must do a better job of protecting our marine and fresh water resources and the public health that depends on them through the current permit process.

We ask that the permit address the following concerns:

A clear transition plan, in a timely manner, to deal with physical, infrastructure and economic aspects of ending the injection of wastewater, and producing and distributing reclaimed water, and specific benchmarks and timetables to set incremental goals during this transition process.

 We also support the reduction of nitrogen and phosphorous levels in affluent during the phaseout, and state-of-the-art treatment testing and monitoring for pathogens.

This is a must. We hear complaints all the time. Robin Knox is not making this up. This is a fact of life here. It's a dirty little secret. People are getting sick from swimming in our waters, people that have to spend a lot of time in the waters. So they're boat captains, they're scuba dive leaders, they're surfers, they're people who, you know, just like to snorkel every morning. And it's not fair. And it's not right. We're the health state. And we got to do something about it.

We also support improved and continuing monitoring the ground and ocean water quality.

So we look forward to working with the EPA and Maui County to find the best ideas, technologies and sources of funding to make 100 percent reuse of Maui's wastewater a practical reality. Hopefully, we'll go a long way in the next five years.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. De NAIE: By the way, I'm not gonna turn this in, but 50 other people who couldn't be here signed

a petition saying they more or less support these same

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0067

3

```
2
     things. I'm gonna Xerox these and mail them to you,
 3
     because I wanna keep touch with these people.
 4
               HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Okay. Thank you
 5
     for those comments.
 б
               The next speaker is Ke'eaumoku Kapu.
 7
               MEMBER OF AUDIENCE:
                                   Not here.
 8
               HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Okay. And Vilani
 9
     Kapu.
10
               MEMBER OF AUDIENCE: Not here.
               HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Then Darla White.
11
12
               MS. WHITE: Aloha.
                                   As you mentioned, my name
13
     is Darla White. I am testifying this evening on behalf
14
     of myself.
15
               I have been a coral reef research diver here
16
     in Hawaii for nearly a decade now.
                                         I currently work for
17
     the Division of Aquatic Resources here on Maui and
18
     collaboratively with the University of Hawaii's Botany
19
     Group to conduct research on the reef at Kahekili.
20
               I know I speak on behalf of the greater island
21
     community when I say mahalo for the time and attention
22
     the EPA has given to the revision efforts of the Lahaina
23
     UIC Permit.
                 There has been substantial positive
24
     progress towards incorporating the concerns of the Maui
25
     community. And we sincerely thank you.
0066
 1
               However, there's a lot more that can be
 2
     accomplished. And I hope that the EPA and the County
 3
     will commit to a plan to move forward towards reduced
 4
     wastewater injection, reduced nitrogen, disinfection of
 5
     the injectant, advanced treatment, and increased water
 б
     reuse under the revised permit.
 7
               The reef at Kahekili, as you may well know by
     now, is -- it's in trouble. It's been declining at an
 8
 9
     incredible rate. A lot of time and money and manpower
10
     have been dedicated to try to understand what's going on
11
     and implement measures to save it.
12
               The university, federal and state researchers
13
```

The university, federal and state researchers have spent an inordinate amount of effort trying to collect data and understand the complexities of the reef's deterioration. And they've done a great job in furthering our knowledge of the impacts there.

In addition -- this is just gonna echo what Russell said a moment ago. The new Kahekili Herbivore Fisheries Management rule that was designed to help reduce the algal threats, and recently signed into law by the governor, the Hawaii Coral Program and the U.S. Coral Reef Task Force has identified Kahekili as the number one priority site in the main Hawaiian islands. That's pretty big stuff. Scientists from NOAA and Scripps are starting to come here to design and

implement new research strategies because this area is
implement new research strategies because this area is
implement new research strategies because this area is

We have a few of them sitting right over

there, all the way from Scripps.

This ecosystem is in peril. And any successful recovery will depend on efforts to remove impacts of land-based pollution, a major component of which has been identified as wastewater intrusion. We note that the current levels of wastewater are too high.

One of my primary concerns, being one of the people that always works there and gets sick all the time, is the antibiotic resistent pathogens, things such as endocrine receptors and toxic and hazardous compounds that are found in wastewater. I know that there's probably a lot I don't understand as far as definitions and semantics goes, but if it's hazardous and toxic by any standard, it should be considered.

I would like to see the UIC Permit address this issue for the health of the public and the nearshore environment. The levels of toxins and even nitrogen in the environment, even at acceptable levels for people, can be deleterious to more sensitive organisms such as corals. Several coral diseases to date have been linked to land-based pollution. And I can tell you there is a lot of coral disease at Kahekili

and a lot of reefs around Maui.

I personally have also had MRSA seven times, three of which have been confirmed by hospitals, with one minor surgery. MRSA is not fun. And I have a number of colleagues and friends who are also water researchers, water people, who are constantly getting sick. And if you haven't had this before, it's just not fun. It's a super bug.

Public health and safety is a very important issue here, and so is the health of the marine organisms. Testing needs to be done and treatment needs to be consistent with potential health threats. We know enough to take action and enact better requirements. The Clean Water Act is applicable here.

I want fishable, swimmable waters that support my personal health and the health of the coral reef ecosystem.

I would also like to ask for accountability for the environmental monitoring as an aspect of the permit for injectant as well as reuse. This could come in several forms, such as working collaborative -- collaboratively with state, federal and university institutions. The fate and transport of pollution, including nutrients, pathogens and toxins, needs to be monitored and accounted for.

б

The burden of proof should not always be on the scientific community to define causal connections when an ecosystem is headed towards collapse. It should be on the polluter to demonstrate where it is going and it is not causing a problem.

Again, monitoring is needed for reuse as well б 7 as injectant. 8 There's much too much to cover, so I would 9 like to state my emphatic support for the testimonies

provided this evening and in writing by the Hawaii DLNR, Division of Aquatic Resources, the DIRE Coalition, Robin Knox, Meghan Dailer and Liz Foote, who have yet to testify, but you'll hear them.

And thank you again for your time.

I would also like to thank Mayor Tavares for her willingness to commit to reuse of this important resource. I know that it is an achievable goal. with a political will, it will succeed.

Thank you again.

(Applause.)

HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Thank you for those comments.

Did you want to submit something in writing or were you -- oh, you did. Okay. I'm sorry about that. Thanks.

25 0070 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0071

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Liz Foote.

MS. FOOTE: Hello. Thank you. Thanks for the chance to provide my testimony. I'm Liz Foote. testifying as an individual, but I do serve as the Hawaii Field Manager for the Coral Reef Alliance, as the Executive Director of the project S.E.A.-Link.

I would like to state that I support the testimonies of DIRE Coalition, the Hawaii DLNR Division of Aquatic Resources to address many of the details that have been brought up here today by others, so I will not waste time by repeating those now. However, I do want to take this opportunity to make a few general comments that I feel are necessary in these deliberations.

First, I recognize that this is a complex issue, that there are a lot of factors involved, such as feasibility and cost. However, I think that the science as well as the local community have demonstrated that the issue of injection wells and wastewater treatment needs to be addressed sooner rather than later.

The main thing I'd like to speak about today involves the nature of science and its role in forming political action.

When we say that management decisions need to be based on the best available science, that has a lot of implications. What it should not imply is that a

1 particular question must be proved in order to justify a 2 particular decision. The reality is nothing can ever be 3 proved through scientific processes; scientists can only

fail to disprove something. And in doing so, 5

methodology is constantly refined and improved, and

6 supporting evidence is gathered so that a more thorough

7 understanding can be achieved.

9

8 It's also important to note that marine 9 ecological processes are complicated and dynamic. 10 often difficult to develop effective methodologies, to 11 conduct the sampling necessary for question-driven 12 research. Many interrelated factors may be at work and 13 are difficult to tease apart due to all sorts of 14 constraints and confounding factors. Science is an 15 adaptive process and is constantly refining itself. 16 In addition, an absence of studies and 17 significant data don't necessarily imply the absence of 18 a particular condition; it only indicates a study may 19 not have been conducted or that they are still in the 20 process. Research activities and peer-reviewed results 21 are themselves constrained by funding, agency 22 priorities, research available, technology and so forth. 23 A key responsibility of science is to inform the 24 rulemaking process, but the presence or absence of data 25 should not be the sole determinant factor. 0072 In this case, I do believe we have some 1 2 compelling evidence from the University of Hawaii Botany 3 Department and DIRE that demonstrates the impact of 4 injection wells and provides support for mitigative 5 action. I absolutely applaud those scientists who are 6 willing to stand up for their data -- you know who you 7 are -- and get involved in shaping public policy. 8 Science often needs translating. And we need to look to 9 those who have carried out the studies for clarification 10 and assistance when applying their findings. 11 In closing, the many human-induced threats 12 that impact reefs are interconnected and will all need 13 addressing in a concerted effort between community 14 stakeholders and resource managers. Addressing the 15 injection wells is one part of what should be a 16 comprehensive data strategy to reduce all types of local 17 threats to our nearshore resources and to maintain our 18 water resources. 19 We should always seek to employ the 20 precautionary principle and base decisions upon a 21 combination of sound science, relevant anecdotal and 22 common sense, erring on the side of caution. 23 Thank you very much. 24 (Applause.) 25 HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Thank you for your 0073 1 comments. 2 Tamara Paltin. 3 MS. WHITE: She had to leave. 4 HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Victoria Martocci. 5 MS. MARTOCCI: Aloha. Good evening. My name 6 is Victoria Martocci. I'm a Lahaina resident. 7 tonight on behalf of the Maui Reef Fund. And thank you

for this opportunity to express our point of view.

The Maui Reef Fund is a group of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

```
10
     conservation-minded individuals, organizations and
11
                  That's right, you heard me,
     businesses.
12
     conservation-minded businesses. We are part of the DIRE
13
     Coalition. And I know I am so ecstatic to hear the
14
     community's all in support of your working toward a new
15
     plan here. And so I'm gonna go with the DIRE
16
     Coalition's recommendations here, and spare us all a few
17
     moments of our time.
18
               So thank you very much. And thanks to the
19
     Mayor for her recommendation. So thank you.
20
               (Applause.)
21
               HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT:
                                          Thank you for your
22
     comments.
23
               We have four more speakers who have signed up,
24
     so we're doing pretty good here on time, I think.
25
               Andrew Lehmann.
0074
 1
               MR. LEHMANN: Hi. My name's Andrew Lehmann.
 2
     I'm here tonight on behalf of myself.
 3
               I just wanted to testify that I'm really glad
 4
     the EPA is here because I really think that our
 5
     nearshore waters need some protection.
 б
               My case is that if I go in the water between
 7
     Launiupoko and North Kaanapali, I get staph.
     gotten worse over the last 15 years.
 8
                                           Initially, I could
 9
     just come home and put Neosporin on a cut or something
10
     like that, and I'd be fine. In the last five years,
11
     it's gotten to the point where I have to take
12
     antibiotics at least five to six times a year.
13
     now, I have staph right there that developed since
14
     Sunday.
15
               Sick case in point: I -- earlier this summer,
16
     I went for a surf here in town. I had a small nick on
17
     my shin, on this shin. And two days later, I left for
18
     Australia. When I get off the plane there, my foot was
19
     swollen and I had staph. I went to the doctor there,
20
     was prescribed an antibiotic.
                                   And I asked that doctor
21
     if I should stay out of the water.
                                        He said that's not
22
     the problem, you can go in the water, just take your
23
     antibiotics. And I spent a month there and got a few
24
     cuts here and there while I was there, never developed
25
     staph. I have been home for two weeks, I went in the
0075
 1
```

water for the first time on Sunday, and I have staph again.

So I think the thing about this is I have a choice, I cannot go in the water here, which is pretty much becoming the case, but the reef has no choice. And it's being killed.

So I just really appreciate if you do something to stop these injection wells because they definitely have made this water unsafe for people. I'm probably an extreme case, but it's gonna happen to everybody soon. So anything you can do, we would

11

12

13

golf course.

```
12
     appreciate it.
13
               Thank you.
14
               (Applause.)
15
               HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Thank you for your
16
     testimony.
17
               Next is Ellen Levinsky.
18
               MS. LEVINSKY: Good evening.
                                             My name is Ellen
19
               I've lived in Lahaina for 25 years.
     Levinsky.
20
               I wanna thank you so much for coming here to
21
     hear our testimony.
22
               During my 25 years, I've been the adult taking
23
     a lot of children out surfing and swimming.
                                                  And I have
24
     seen many cases of staph. From 25 years ago until this
25
     year, they're constantly getting it. It's really sad to
0076
     see. And a lot of the kids here don't have adequate
 1
 2
     medical coverage, so a lot of times it keeps going and
 3
     gets worse and worse. And adults, also.
 4
               I've worked in many hotels on the west side
 5
     during this 25 years. And I've also heard different
 6
     guests, visitors, complaining about rashes and
 7
     irritation on their skin from swimming.
                                              And I've also
 8
     heard people in the hotels talking among themselves and
 9
     blaming it on what's in the water, whether it's been
10
     runoff. Or, you know, every once in a while in the
11
     newspaper, on radio, we hear there was a spill at the
12
     sewage treatment plant, but none of it got in the ocean.
13
     90 percent of the time, it always includes "didn't get
     into the ocean." But where did it go?
14
                                             It goes down
15
     into the soil, it's gonna filter into the ocean.
16
               Also, driving up north, many times, I can
17
     smell, going by the sewage treatment plant, which is --
18
     always makes my kind of shudder going by.
19
               The other point, with -- doing something
     besides the injection wells is there's quite a few
20
21
     communities in other states that recycle, reuse the
22
     water, rather than just putting it through injection
23
     wells. Here in Hawaii, we're dependent on the weather
24
     for our fresh water, drinking water, bathing water,
25
     water for the hotels, for their swimming pools, for the
0077
 1
     ponds and fountains, the farmers are dependent on it.
 2
     And it just seems to make so much more sense to recycle
 3
     that water, reuse it, instead of just injecting it and
 4
     it goes away.
 5
               Our water rates keep increasing.
                                                 It's more
 б
     costly to get water. There's articles in the newspaper
 7
     about how the farmers, especially the taro farmers,
 8
     aren't having enough water for the crops. And if it's
9
     possible to recycle this wastewater, then why not.
```

I was in Australia 20 years ago and went to a

water watering the grass there. If we could, if nothing

else, use the water to water the golf courses here on

And they said it was all recycled sewage

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

```
14
     Maui.
            That would certainly save a lot of fresh water
15
     usage.
16
               So I wanna thank you for coming. And, also,
17
     to everyone else who's testified, especially the people
18
     with the scientific information. And, hopefully, we can
19
     improve the water quality and conditions here on Maui.
20
               (Applause.)
21
               HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT:
                                          Thank you for your
22
     comments.
               Next speaker, Daniel Kanahele.
23
24
               MR. KANAHELE: Aloha ahiahi, good evening,
25
     representatives of the EPA and the State and the County.
0078
1
     And mahalo nui loa, you know, for going the second mile,
 2
     for having this public hearing. Thank you so much for
     the previous meeting, because I think it helped to give
 3
 4
     the -- the public who attended a context for this
 5
     meeting and the parameters of your jurisdictional
 б
     authority.
 7
               I think that each of you here are, you know,
 8
     no doubt, very concerned about the environment, if not
9
     passionate about what you do, Brent, Nancy, David,
10
     Wendy, and Mr. Hew. And you're in a field where you can
11
     do a great deal of good. And I'm sure sometimes it must
12
     be very frustrating for you to not to be able to do
13
     everything you would like to be able to do, but may be
14
     constrained by budgetary or jurisdictional restraints,
15
     regulatory restraints, what-have-you. And I can
16
                      And -- and I'm sure that you're very
     appreciate that.
17
     sympathetic and empathetic to many of the testimonies
18
     that have been given thus far.
19
               And personally, I -- I -- I support the
20
     testimonies. Many of the speakers, including Jeff
21
     Schwartz from DIRE, and Russell Sparks and Robin Knox
22
     and -- and some others, also.
23
               Having said that, I -- I wish you could put on
24
     your other hat for a second, the hat that tasks the EPA
25
     with the protection of our aquatic wildlife and
0079
1
     recreational uses of our surface water so that our
 2
     waters will be fishable and swimmable. Now let's
 3
     pretend you're wearing that hat for a second.
 4
               Now, I'm wondering how many of you here have
 5
     ever seen a drowning victim. Growing up here in Hawaii,
 6
```

ever seen a drowning victim. Growing up here in Hawaii, all my life, I have seen many drowning victims pulled out of the water, out of the surf, male and female, young and old. And some of them have been resuscitated and saved. And some of them, unfortunately, haven't, and the blanket is put over them and they're left on the beach until someone comes to take away the body.

That is kind of the way that I think I and many of us here are viewing our aquatic wildlife, our pukua, the reef, and the marine animals that depend on the reef, that they are in critical condition. And so

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0081 1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

16 many of us are here because we're doing CPR on the reef. 17 We wanna do CPR on the reef. We're doing everything we 18 can on our aquatic resources to save them before they 19 are gone forever.

Because, sadly, once it is gone, in many cases, it's gone forever. And it doesn't matter what laws you have down on the books and regulations. it's gone, it's gone forever.

Many of the deleterious effects to our reefs are man-made. We are doing CPR, but maybe CPR isn't 0800

Maybe we need a defibrillator. And maybe, for some of us, we see the Clean Water Act as a defibrillator that's going to save some of our aquatic wildlife before it's too late.

You folks have a defibrillator and you have the power to implement -- we're pretending you have that hat on right now, okay -- the Clean Water Act. we're coming to you as the voice of the people to bring consciousness to what is happening here. Because we believe that you're caring people.

And it will be hard for me to believe that you're not gonna go back home and tell somebody something, you're not going to take some of this emotion I know you are. And I know -- and I back with you. appreciate it ahead of time.

I would just like to conclude by saying, as Lucienne de Naie talked about the creation story, it's called the Kumulipo, it's the ancient Hawaiian story, the Kanaka Maoli story of the creation of the world. And in the genealogy of creation, the very last creation is man, man, and we're the last ones. We're the youngest siblings. And as the youngest siblings, we are responsible for everything else that came before us; the trees, the plants, the things that live in the ocean, coral reefs. We, as youngest siblings, have the

responsibility to our kupuna -- kupuna means our elders, it's one of the meanings -- to take care of that. have a kuleana, a moral obligation, and a responsibility. So it's not just you, everyone in this room, including me, we all have a moral responsibility to take care of our national resources.

So we're all in this canoe together, but you have the defibrillator and we could use it. that the Clean Water Act will make a difference in saving some of our reefs before they're gone forever.

Mahalo.

(Applause.)

HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Thank you for your I appreciate that. comments.

15 And Maury King.

16 MR. KING: Daniel, you stole some of my best material. But I wanna thank everybody else that came

at Kahekili.

18 tonight and shared their wisdom. So I won't go over any 19 of that because I -- I hope you at least believe what 20 you are being told about our reef suffering and the 21 concerns people have in sickness and all these issues. 22 I feel like we've come full circle. 23 very first person up after Nancy gave her initial 24 report. And my comments were about how all I heard 25 about was drinking water, and a lot of people were 0082 concerned about the reef. And what I got was you guys 1 2 have a limited job. So when people are talking about 3 improving the waste treatment plant, it's really not 4 your job to do that. In fact, what I got is you would 5 be happy if we weren't putting anything into injection 6 wells at all, but, if we are, then it's your job to 7 regulate it. 8 So what I'm hoping is that you can just go 9 home and find a way to include your Clean Water Act 10 buddies in this conversation, find a way to include 'em, 11 instead of finding every way you can not to. If it just 12 means that we prove there's poop on the reef finally, 13 whatever it is, you have some power here. I realize 14 you're -- you're defined by the niches of your jobs, but 15 open up your hearts, open up your minds and get creative 16 and find a way to partner and bring it all together in 17 one piece. And I think that would really make a 18 difference to this issue. And I hope there's a way you 19 guys could do that. 20 Thanks. 21 (Applause.) 22 HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Thank you for your 23 comments. 24 Vilani Kapu? Ke`eamoku Kapu? Okay. 25 brings us to the end of all the speakers who requested 0083 1 to speak. We need to take just a moment to confer, if 2 you'll just give us a moment or two. 3 (Pause in proceedings.) 4 HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Okay. 5 I, first of all, will ask if there is anyone who didn't б sign up who still would like to provide any oral 7 testimony before we wrap this up? Okay. 8 MS. KELLY: I'm sorry. I apologize, I'm 9 feeling a little bit unprepared to do so, but, also, 10 very excited to have this opportunity. 11 I'm a graduate student -- my name is Emily 12 I'm a graduate student at the Scripps 13 Institution of Oceanography in San Diego, part of the UC 14 system. And myself and a number of other people are 15 here from the Scripps Institution of Oceanography, a few 16 have been here earlier this summer as well, and we are 17 some of the people that are spending some of that 18 research money from the Federal Government at the reef

And just from our perspective, the reef brought us down here and the issues surrounding the reef brought us down here. Unfortunately, not for happy reasons, but for the reasons that there are a number of different threats to this ecosystem. As a number of people mentioned, there's a new herbivore fisheries

management in place at this time, but, also, the nutrient levels, in addition to the fishing pressures, are issues that we're looking at as part of our research.

So I am particularly looking at the interactions between fish, algae and -- excuse me -- and coral. And others have been looking at the microbes on the reef as well as other impacts in the ecosystem, ranging from the little guys that exist in the rubble that help break down dead pieces of the reef to some of the microbes that have caused staph infections, from people who have spoken, to coral cover which you know has decreased by about 50 percent over the last 15 years or so of this particular reef.

So I just wanted to comment that there are a number of us working on this issue, and we find it extremely important to look at, and that's why we're here. And so we're also very interested in seeing how this can be improved in the future so that, as our research continues, including our Ph.d, which will last about five or six years, we can, hopefully, see positive changes in the reef and see recovery, also.

Thanks.

(Applause.)

HEARING OFFICER ALBRIGHT: Thank you, Emily,

б

for your comments.

So, again, thanks to everyone for coming tonight and providing testimony.

We did get a few requests to extend the comment period. We got a request, a specific request from the County, and we had several testifiers also make that request. So I am going to extend the comment period for this proposed permit for 30 days. So the comment period is now extended to September 21st. We are going to put a notice on our website. We have a website that we've put up with information about the permit, with all the comments that have been submitted thus far, and that will reflect the extended comment period to September 21st.

If people have additional comments they wish to submit, they should submit them to Nancy Rumrill. And Nancy's information is on that website. You can get to our website at www.epa.gov/region9, and follow various prompts to get to the permit site. And if anyone has a question about how to do that, when we break up here, we'll be around, we can give you our

```
22
     card, and you can contact us for further information.
23
               So, again, I just wanna thank everyone for
24
     coming out for your comments. We really appreciate the
25
     interest of the community in coming out. We appreciate
0086
     the County and Mayor Tavares for being here as well, and
1
 2
     Chauncey with the Department of Health. Thank you all.
 3
               And with that, the hearing has ended.
 4
               (Applause.)
 5
               (Hearing concluded at 9:00 p.m.)
 б
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0087
1
                            CERTIFICATE
 2
 3
 4
               I, TONYA MCDADE, Certified Court Reporter of
 5
     the State of Hawaii, #447, Registered Professional
 6
     Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified
 7
     Broadcast Captioner, do hereby certify that the
 8
     proceedings contained herein were taken by me in machine
 9
     shorthand and thereafter was reduced to print by means
10
     of computer-aided transcription; and that the foregoing
11
     represents, to the best of my ability, a true and
12
     accurate transcript of the proceedings had in the
13
     foregoing matter.
14
               I further certify that I am not an attorney
15
     for any of the parties hereto, nor in any way concerned
16
17
               DATED this 23rd day of September, 2009.
18
19
20
                     Tonya McDade
21
                     Certified Shorthand Reporter #447
```

Registered Professional Reporter

Certified Realtime Reporter Certified Broadcast Reporter