

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the CAA. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 *note*) do not apply. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule

cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by January 21, 2003.

Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations,

Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: November 8, 2002.

**James B. Gulliford,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 7.*

Chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

#### Subpart AA—Missouri

2. In § 52.1320(c) the table is amended under Chapter 5 by revising the entry for "10–5.300" to read as follows:

#### § 52.1320 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*

#### EPA-APPROVED MISSOURI REGULATIONS

| Missouri citation                                                                                         | Title                                                  | State effective date | EPA approval date | Explanation |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Missouri Department of Natural Resources                                                                  |                                                        |                      |                   |             |
| * * * * *                                                                                                 |                                                        |                      |                   | *           |
| Chapter 5—Air Quality Standards and Air Pollution Control Regulations for the St. Louis Metropolitan Area |                                                        |                      |                   |             |
| * * * * *                                                                                                 |                                                        |                      |                   | *           |
| 10–5.300 .....                                                                                            | Control of Emissions From Solvent Metal Cleaning ..... | 5/30/02              | ...               | 11/22/02    |
| * * * * *                                                                                                 |                                                        |                      |                   | *           |

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 02–29609 Filed 11–21–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Parts 52 and 70

[MO 166–1166a; FRL–7412–1]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Operating Permits Program; State of Missouri

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is announcing it is approving a revision to the Missouri State Implementation Plan (SIP) and Operating Permits Program. EPA is approving a revision to Missouri rule

"Submission of Emission Data, Emission Fees, and Process Information." This revision will ensure consistency between the state and Federally-approved rules, and ensure Federal enforceability of the state's most recent rule revision.

**DATES:** This direct final rule will be effective January 21, 2003, unless EPA receives adverse comments by December 23, 2002. If adverse comments are received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to Wayne Kaiser, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

Copies of documents relative to this action are available for public

inspection during normal business hours at the above-listed Region 7 location. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the office at least 24 hours in advance.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Wayne Kaiser at (913) 551–7603.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean EPA. This section provides additional information by addressing the following questions:

What is a SIP?

What is the Federal approval process for a SIP?

What does Federal approval of a state regulation mean to me?

What is the part 70 Operating Permits Program?

What is being addressed in this document?

Have the requirements for approval of a SIP revision and part 70 program revision been met?

What action is EPA taking?

### **What Is a SIP?**

Section 110 of the Clean Air Act (CAA) requires states to develop air pollution regulations and control strategies to ensure that state air quality meets the national ambient air quality standards established by us. These ambient standards are established under section 109 of the CAA, and they currently address six criteria pollutants. These pollutants are: carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, ozone, lead, particulate matter, and sulfur dioxide.

Each state must submit these regulations and control strategies to us for approval and incorporation into the Federally-enforceable SIP.

Each Federally-approved SIP protects air quality primarily by addressing air pollution at its point of origin. These SIPs can be extensive, containing state regulations or other enforceable documents and supporting information such as emission inventories, monitoring networks, and modeling demonstrations.

### **What Is the Federal Approval Process for a SIP?**

In order for state regulations to be incorporated into the Federally-enforceable SIP, states must formally adopt the regulations and control strategies consistent with state and Federal requirements. This process generally includes a public notice, public hearing, public comment period, and a formal adoption by a state-authorized rulemaking body.

Once a state rule, regulation, or control strategy is adopted, the state submits it to us for inclusion into the SIP. We must provide public notice and seek additional public comment regarding the proposed Federal action on the state submission. If adverse comments are received, they must be addressed prior to any final Federal action by us.

All state regulations and supporting information approved by us under section 110 of the CAA are incorporated into the Federally-approved SIP. Records of such SIP actions are maintained in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at title 40, part 52, entitled "Approval and Promulgations of Implementation Plans." The actual state regulations which are approved are not reproduced in their entirety in the CFR outright but are "incorporated by reference," which means that we have approved a given state regulation with a specific effective date.

### **What Does Federal Approval of a State Regulation Mean to Me?**

Enforcement of the state regulation before and after it is incorporated into the Federally-approved SIP is primarily a state responsibility. However, after the regulation is Federally approved, we are authorized to take enforcement action against violators. Citizens are also offered legal recourse to address violations as described in the CAA.

### **What Is the Part 70 Operating Permits Program?**

The CAA Amendments of 1990 require all states to develop operating permits programs that meet certain Federal criteria. In implementing this program, the states are to require certain sources of air pollution to obtain permits that contain all applicable requirements under the CAA. One purpose of the part 70 operating permits program is to improve enforcement by issuing each source a single permit that consolidates all of the applicable CAA requirements into a Federally-enforceable document. By consolidating all of the applicable requirements for a facility into one document, the source, the public, and the permitting authorities can more easily determine what CAA requirements apply and how compliance with those requirements is determined.

Sources required to obtain an operating permit under this program include "major" sources of air pollution and certain other sources specified in the CAA or in our implementing regulations. For example, all sources regulated under the acid rain program, regardless of size, must obtain permits. Examples of major sources include those that emit 100 tons per year or more of volatile organic compounds, carbon monoxide, lead, sulfur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, or PM<sub>10</sub>; those that emit 10 tons per year of any single hazardous air pollutant (HAP) (specifically listed under the CAA); or those that emit 25 tons per year or more of a combination of HAPs.

Revisions to the state and local agencies operating permits program are also subject to public notice, comment, and our approval.

### **What Is Being Addressed in This Document?**

The state of Missouri has requested that EPA approve as a revision to the Missouri SIP and part 70 Operating Permits Program recently adopted revisions to rule 10 CSR 10-6.110, "Submission of Emission Data, Emission Fees, and Process Information." The rule addresses the

emission reporting requirement of title I of the CAA and the emission fee requirements of title V.

This rule applies to sources that are required to obtain a construction or title V permit, to sources seeking an exemption from major source permitting requirements, and to additional source categories specified in the rule. The rule requires the submittal of an Emission Inventory Questionnaire (EIQ) and payment of emission fees based on information submitted in the EIQ.

Missouri updates this rule annually. The revisions this year were to make the rule applicable to calendar year 2002 emissions by revising the applicability date in section (5)(A) from 2001 to 2002, and to raise the annual emission fee from \$25.70 to \$31.00 per ton. This is the first fee increase since the state began collecting fees in 1994. This fee, along with program cash reserves, is sufficient to fund the cost of administering the part 70 program.

Further discussion and background information is contained in the technical support document prepared for this action, which is available from the EPA contact listed above.

### **Have the Requirements for Approval of a SIP Revision and Part 70 Program Revision Been Met?**

The state submittal has met the public notice requirements for SIP submissions in accordance with 40 CFR 51.102. The submittal also satisfied the completeness criteria of 40 CFR part 51, appendix V. In addition, as explained above and in more detail in the technical support document which is part of this document, the revisions meet the substantive SIP requirements of the CAA, including section 110 and 40 CFR 51.211, relating to submission of emissions data. Finally, the submittal meets the substantive requirements of Title V of the 1990 CAA Amendments and 40 CFR part 70, including the requirement in 40 CFR 70.9 relating to emission fees.

### **What Action Is EPA Taking?**

EPA is processing this action as a direct final action because the revisions make routine changes to the existing rules which are noncontroversial, and make regulatory revisions required by state statute. Therefore, we do not anticipate any adverse comments.

*Final Action:* EPA is approving as an amendment to the Missouri SIP revisions to rule 10 CSR 10-6.110, "Submission of Emission Data, Emission Fees, and Process Information" pursuant to section 110. EPA is also approving this rule as a program revision to the state's part 70

Operating Permits Program pursuant to part 70.

#### Administrative Requirements

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a “significant regulatory action” and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, “Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use” (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-4).

This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely approves a state rule implementing a

Federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the CAA. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045, “Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks” (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the CAA. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate

circuit by January 21, 2003. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (*See* section 307(b)(2).)

#### List of Subjects

##### 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

##### 40 CFR Part 70

Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: November 12, 2002.

**James B. Gulliford,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 7.*

Chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

##### Subpart AA—Missouri

2. In § 52.1320(c) the table is amended under Chapter 6 by revising the entry for “10-6.110” to read as follows:

##### § 52.1320 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*

#### EPA-APPROVED MISSOURI REGULATIONS

| Missouri citation                                                                                                                             | Title | State effective date | EPA approval date | Explanation |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Missouri Department of Natural Resources                                                                                                      |       |                      |                   |             |
| * * * * *                                                                                                                                     |       |                      |                   |             |
| Chapter 6—Air Quality Standards, Definitions, Sampling and Reference Methods, and Air Pollution Control Regulations for the State of Missouri |       |                      |                   |             |

## EPA-APPROVED MISSOURI REGULATIONS—Continued

| Missouri citation | Title                                                                | State effective date | EPA approval date                            | Explanation                                                           |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 10–6.110 .....    | Submission of Emission Data, Emission Fees, and Process Information. | 8/30/02              | November 22, 2002<br>[and FR page citation]. | Section (5), Emission Fees, has not been approved as part of the SIP. |

\* \* \* \* \*

## PART 70—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 70 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

### Appendix A—[Amended]

2. Appendix A to Part 70 is amended by adding paragraph (m) to read as follows:

#### Appendix A to Part 70—Approval Status of State and Local Operating Permits Programs

\* \* \* \* \*

Missouri

\* \* \* \* \*

(m) The Missouri Department of Natural Resources submitted Missouri rule 10 CSR 10–6.110, “Submission of Emission Data, Emission Fees, and Process Information” on September 9, 2002, approval effective January 21, 2003.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 02–29607 Filed 11–21–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

#### 42 CFR Part 411

[CMS–1809–F2]

RIN 0938–AM21

#### Medicare and Medicaid Programs; Physicians’ Referrals to Health Care Entities With Which They Have Financial Relationships: Extension of Partial Delay of Effective Date

**AGENCY:** Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), DHHS.

**ACTION:** Final rule; extension of partial delay in effective date.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule further delays for 6 months, until July 7, 2003, the effective date of the last sentence of 42 CFR 411.354(d)(1). Section 411.354(d)(1) was promulgated in the

final rule entitled “Medicare and Medicaid Programs; Physicians’ Referrals to Health Care Entities With Which They Have Financial Relationships,” published in the **Federal Register** on January 4, 2001 (66 FR 856). A 1-year delay of the effective date of the last sentence in § 411.354(d)(1) was published in the **Federal Register** on December 3, 2001 (66 FR 60154). This extension of the 1-year delay in the effective date of that sentence will give us additional time to reconsider the definition of compensation that is “set in advance” as it relates to percentage compensation methodologies in order to avoid unnecessarily disrupting existing contractual arrangements for physician services. Accordingly, the last sentence of § 411.354(d)(1), which would have become effective January 6, 2003, will not become effective until July 7, 2003. We expect a future final rule with comment period, entitled “Medicare Program; Physicians’ Referrals to Health Care Entities With Which They Have Financial Relationships” (Phase II), to further address this issue prior to this effective date.

**DATES:** *Effective date:* The effective date of the last sentence in § 411.354(d)(1) of the final rule published in the **Federal Register** on January 4, 2001 (66 FR 856), is delayed for an additional 6 month period to July 7, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Karen Raschke, (410) 786–0016.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Copies:* This **Federal Register** document is available from the **Federal Register** online database through *GPO Access*, a service of the U.S. Government Printing Office. The Web site address is: <http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/index.html>.

In addition, the information in this final rule will be available soon after publication in the **Federal Register** on our MEDLEARN Web site: <http://cms.hhs.gov/medlearn/refphys.asp>.

#### I. Background

The final rule, entitled “Medicare and Medicaid Programs; Physicians’ Referrals to Health Care Entities With

Which They Have Financial Relationships,” published in the **Federal Register** on January 4, 2001 (66 FR 856), interpreted certain provisions of section 1877 of the Social Security Act (the Act). Under section 1877, if a physician or a member of a physician’s immediate family has a financial relationship with a health care entity, the physician may not make referrals to that entity for the furnishing of designated health services (DHS) under the Medicare program, and the entity may not bill for the services, unless an exception applies. Many of the statutory and new regulatory exceptions that apply to compensation relationships require that the amount of compensation be “set in advance.” Section 411.354(d)(1) of the final rule defines the term “set in advance.”

The last sentence of § 411.354(d)(1) reads: “Percentage compensation arrangements do not constitute compensation that is ‘set in advance’ in which the percentage compensation is based on fluctuating or indeterminate measures or in which the arrangement results in the seller receiving different payment amounts for the same service from the same purchaser.” Many of the comments we received regarding the January 4, 2001 physician self-referral final rule indicated that physicians are commonly paid for their professional services using a formula that takes into account a percentage of a fluctuating or indeterminate measure (for example, revenues billed or collected for physician services). According to the commenters, this compensation methodology is frequently used by hospitals, physician group practices, academic medical centers, and medical foundations. Several commenters pointed out that this aspect of the final rule, which is applicable to academic medical centers and medical foundations (among others), is inconsistent with the compensation methods permitted under the statute for many physician group practices and employed physicians (that is, neither section 1877(h)(4)(B)(i) of the Act nor section 1877(e)(2) of the Act contains the “set in advance” requirement). We