

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

H. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12 of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) of 1995 requires Federal agencies to evaluate existing technical standards when developing a new regulation. To comply with NTTAA, EPA must consider and use "voluntary consensus standards" (VCS) if available and applicable when developing programs and policies unless doing so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical.

EPA believes that VCS are inapplicable to today's action because it does not require the public to perform activities conducive to the use of VCS.

I. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This rule is not a "major" rule as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

J. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by November 17, 2003. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations,

Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: August 5, 2003.

Debbie Jordan,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

■ Part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart F—California

■ 2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(245)(i)(C)(2), (302)(i)(B)(3), (303)(i)(C)(2), and (315)(i)(B)(2) to read as follows:

§ 52.220 Identification of plan.

```

*   *   *   *   *
(c) * * *
(245) * * *
(i) * * *
(C) * * *
(2) Previously approved on February
9, 1999 in (245)(i)(C)(I) and now deleted
without replacement Rule 430.
*   *   *   *   *
(302) * * *
(i) * * *
(B) * * *
(3) Rule 414, adopted on August 21,
2002.
*   *   *   *   *
(303) * * *
(i) * * *
(C) * * *
(2) Rule 4661, adopted on May 16,
2002.
*   *   *   *   *
(315) * * *
(i) * * *
(B) * * *
(2) Rule 4610, adopted on December
19, 2002.
*   *   *   *   *

```

[FR Doc. 03-23588 Filed 9-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 70

[IA 183-1183a; FRL-7559-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Operating Permits Program; State of Iowa

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is approving revisions to the Iowa Operating Permits Program for air pollution control. This action approves numerous rule revisions adopted by the state since the initial approval of its program in 1995. Rule revisions approved in this action pertain to the deadlines for which an application for a significant modification is due, and Title V insignificant activities and insignificant emission levels.

EPA approval of these revisions will ensure consistency between the state and Federally-approved rules.

DATES: This direct final rule is effective November 17, 2003, without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comment by October 16, 2003. If adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted either by mail or electronically. Written comments should be submitted to Judith Robinson, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101. Electronic comments should be sent either to robinson.judith@epa.gov or to <http://www.regulations.gov>, which is an alternative method for submitting electronic comments to EPA. To submit comments, please follow the detailed instructions described in "What action is EPA taking" in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

Copies of the state submittals are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the above-listed Region 7 location. Interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the office at least 24 hours in advance.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Judith Robinson at (913) 551-7825, or by e-mail at robinson.judith@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This section provides additional information by addressing the following questions:

What is the part 70 operating permits program?
 What is the Federal approval process for an operating permits program?
 What does Federal approval of a state operating permits program mean to me?
 What is being addressed in this document?
 Have the requirements for approval of a revision to the operating permits program been met?
 What action is EPA taking?

What Is the Part 70 Operating Permits Program?

The Clean Air Act Amendments (CAA) of 1990 require all states to develop an operating permits program that meets certain Federal criteria listed in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 70. In implementing this program, the states are to require certain sources of air pollution to obtain permits that contain all applicable requirements under the CAA. One purpose of the part 70 operating permits program is to improve enforcement by issuing each source a single permit that consolidates all of the applicable CAA requirements into a Federally-enforceable document. By consolidating all of the applicable requirements for a facility into one document, the source, the public, and the permitting authorities can more easily determine what CAA requirements apply and how compliance with those requirements is determined.

Sources required to obtain an operating permit under this program include "major" sources of air pollution and certain other sources specified in the CAA or in our implementing regulations. For example, all sources regulated under the acid rain program, regardless of size, must obtain permits. Examples of major sources include those that emit 100 tons per year or more of volatile organic compounds, carbon monoxide, lead, sulfur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, or PM₁₀; those that emit 10 tons per year of any single hazardous air pollutant (HAP) (specifically listed under the CAA); or those that emit 25 tons per year or more of a combination of HAPs.

What Is the Federal Approval Process for an Operating Permits Program?

In order for state regulations to be incorporated into the Federally-enforceable Title V operating permits program, states must formally adopt regulations consistent with state and Federal requirements. This process generally includes a public notice, public hearing, public comment period, and a formal adoption by a state-authorized rulemaking body.

Once a state rule, regulation, or control strategy is adopted, the state submits it to us for inclusion into the approved operating permits program. We must provide public notice and seek additional public comment regarding the proposed Federal action on the state submission. If adverse comments are received, they must be addressed prior to any final Federal action by us.

All state regulations and supporting information approved by EPA under

section 502 of the CAA are incorporated into the Federally-approved operating permits program. Records of such actions are maintained in the CFR at Title 40, part 70, appendix A, entitled "Approval Status of State and Local Operating Permits Programs."

What Does Federal Approval of a State Operating Permits Program Mean to Me?

Enforcement of the state regulation before and after it is incorporated into the Federally-approved operating permits program is primarily a state responsibility. However, we are also authorized to take enforcement action against violators. Citizens are also offered legal recourse to address violations as described in section 304 of the CAA.

What Is Being Addressed in This Document?

We have requested that each permitting authority periodically submit any revised part 70 rules to us for approval as a revision to their approved part 70 program. The purpose for this process is to ensure that the state program is consistent with Federal requirements.

Consequently, the state of Iowa has requested that we approve a number of revisions to its part 70 rules. In letters dated March 11, 2002, and July 17, 2002, the state requested that we approve various revisions to rules 567–22.105, 567–22.113, 567–22.100, and 567–22.103.

The rules were amended to accomplish a number of changes. Some amendments were primarily minor changes in wording to rules which were already in the approved program. In some instances clarifications and corrections were made. A complete listing of each rule change is contained in the technical support document which is a part of the docket for this action and which is available from the EPA contact above. A few of the rule revisions which may be of interest, however, are discussed here.

Rule 22.100: Definition of "manually operated equipment": Language was added so that manually operated equipment was defined.

Rule 22.103(1): This rule lists insignificant activities excluded from Title V operating permit applications. A new introductory paragraph was added for clarification, which did not result in substantive changes. Several additional activities were added. A few of the new categories are: photographic process equipment; cafeterias, kitchens, and other facilities used for preparing food or beverages primarily for consumption

at the source; housekeeping activities for cleaning purposes; and administrative activities including paper shredding, copying, photographic activities, and blueprinting machines.

Rule 22.103(2): This rule lists insignificant activities which must be included in Title V operating permit applications based on emission rates and capacity of the source or unit. The potential emissions and storage tank definitions were revised. The following is an insignificant activity which was added: internal combustion engines that are used for emergency response purposes with a brake horsepower rating of less than 400 measured at the shaft.

Rule 22.105: This rule revises the deadline for application submittal to no later than 3 months after commencing operation of the changed source, if the change is not prohibited by the current permit.

Rule 22.113: A new subrule was added to make clear when the application for a significant modification is due, consistent with the change to Rule 22.105.

Have the Requirements for Approval of a Revision to the Operating Permits Program Been Met?

Our review of the material submitted indicates that the state has amended rules for the Title V program in accordance with the requirements of section 502 of the CAA and the Federal rule, 40 CFR part 70, and has met the requirement for a program revision as established in 40 CFR 70.4(i).

What Action Is EPA Taking?

We are approving revisions to the Iowa part 70 operating permits program which were submitted to EPA on March 11, 2002, and July 17, 2002. We are processing this action as a final action because the revisions make routine changes to the existing rules which are noncontroversial. Therefore, we do not anticipate any adverse comments. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on part of this rule and if that part can be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those parts of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

You may submit comments either electronically or by mail. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, identify the appropriate rulemaking identification number (IA 183–1183a) in the subject line on the first page of your comment. Please ensure that your comments are submitted within the specified comment period. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be

marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

1. Electronically. If you submit an electronic comment as prescribed below, EPA recommends that you include your name, mailing address, and an e-mail address or other contact information in the body of your comment. This ensures that you can be identified as the submitter of the comment and allows EPA to contact you in case EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties or needs further information on the substance of your comment. EPA's policy is that EPA will not edit your comment, and any identifying or contact information provided in the body of a comment will be included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

a. Electronic mail. Comments may be sent by e-mail to robinson.judith@epa.gov. Please include identification number (IA 183-1183a) in the subject line. EPA's e-mail system is not an "anonymous access" system. If you send an e-mail comment directly without going through Regulations.gov, EPA's e-mail system automatically captures your e-mail address. E-mail addresses that are automatically captured by EPA's e-mail system are included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket.

b. Regulations.gov. Your use of Regulations.gov is an alternative method of submitting electronic comments to EPA. Go directly to <http://www.regulations.gov>, click on "To Search for Regulations," then select Environmental Protection Agency and use the "go" button. The list of current EPA actions available for comment will be listed. Please follow the online instructions for submitting comments. The system is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity, e-mail address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment.

2. By Mail. Written comments should be sent to the name and address listed in the ADDRESSES section of this document.

Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply,

Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandates or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4).

This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132, (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely approves a state rule implementing a Federal standard and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the CAA. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045, "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing state operating permits programs submitted pursuant to Title V of the CAA, EPA will approve state programs provided that they meet the requirements of the CAA and EPA's regulations codified at 40 CFR part 70. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the state to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a state operating permits program for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews an operating permit program submission, to use VCS in place of a state program that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the CAA. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology

Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by November 17, 2003. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 70

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Operating permits, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: September 4, 2003.

William W. Rice,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7.

■ Chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 70—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for Part 70 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

■ 2. Appendix A to Part 70 is amended by adding under "Iowa" paragraph (f) to read as follows:

Appendix A to Part 70—Approval Status of State and Local Operating Permits Programs

* * * * *

Iowa

* * * * *

(f) The Iowa Department of Natural Resources submitted for program approval rules 567–22.100, 567–22.103 on July 17, 2002, and rules 567–22.105, 567–22.113, on March 11, 2002. These revisions to the Iowa program are approved effective November 17, 2003.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 03–23584 Filed 9–15–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 2 and 20

[WT Docket No. 01–309; FCC 03–168]

Hearing Aid-Compatible Telephones

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Commission modifies the exemption for wireless phones under the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1988 (HAC Act) to require that digital wireless phones be capable of being effectively used with hearing aids. It finds that modifying the exemption will extend the benefits of wireless telecommunications to individuals with hearing disabilities—including emergency, business, and social communications—thereby increasing the value of the wireless network for all Americans.

DATES: Effective November 17, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mindy Littell, Policy Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, at (202) 418–0789 or Gregory Guice, Policy Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, at (202) 418–0095.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the *Report and Order*, adopted on July 10, 2003, and released on August 14, 2003. The full text of the *Report and Order* is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–A257, Washington, DC 20554. This document may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 202–863–2893, facsimile 202–863–2898, or via e-mail qualexint@aol.com.

Overview

1. In the *Report and Order*, the Commission modifies the exemption for wireless phones under the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1988 (HAC Act) to require that digital wireless phones be capable of being effectively used with hearing aids. It finds that modifying the exemption will extend the benefits of wireless telecommunications to individuals with hearing disabilities—including emergency, business, and social communications—thereby increasing the value of the wireless network for all Americans.

2. The Commission takes these actions to facilitate the Congressional goal of ensuring access to telecommunications services for individuals with hearing disabilities. In light of the rising number of calls to emergency services placed by wireless phone users, preserving access to wireless telecommunications for individuals with hearing disabilities is critical. In addition to the public safety benefits, these actions will also extend to individuals with hearing disabilities the social, professional, and convenience benefits offered by wireless telecommunications as well. In light of our society's increased reliance on wireless phones and the growing trend among wireless carriers to move away from analog services in favor of more efficient, feature-rich digital services, these steps will ensure that individuals with hearing disabilities continue to enjoy access to wireless telecommunications devices and services.

Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

3. As required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, as amended (RFA), an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) was incorporated in the § 68.4(a) of the Commission's Rules Governing Hearing Aid-Compatible Telephones Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), 66 FR 58703 (November 23, 2001). The Commission sought written public comment on the proposal in the NPRM, including comment on the IRFA. This present Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (FRFA) conforms to the RFA.

A. Need for, and Objectives of, Adopted Rules

4. In the *Report and Order*, the Commission modifies the exemption for wireless phones under the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1988 (“HAC Act”) to require digital wireless phones to provide for effective use with hearing aids. We find that modifying the exemption in the manner described in

the *Report and Order* will extend the benefits of wireless telecommunication to persons with hearing disabilities, thereby increasing the value of the wireless network for all Americans. The Commission took the following actions:

- i. Adopts certain performance levels set forth in a technical standard established by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) as the applicable technical standard for compatibility of digital wireless phones with hearing aids;
- ii. requires certain digital wireless phone models to provide reduced radio frequency (RF) interference (*i.e.*, meet a “U3” rating under the ANSI standard), and requires certain digital wireless phone models to provide telecoil coupling capability (*i.e.* meet a “U3T” rating under the ANSI standard);
- iii. requires, within two years, each digital wireless phone manufacturer to make available to carriers and require each carrier providing digital wireless services to make available to consumers at least two handset models for each air interface it offers which provide reduced RF emissions (“U3” rating);
- iv. requires each Tier I wireless carrier providing digital wireless services to make available to consumers within two years at least two handset models for each air interface it offers to provide reduced RF emissions (“U3” rating) or 25 percent of the total number of phone models it offers, whichever is greater;
- v. requires, within three years, each digital wireless phone manufacturer to make available to carriers and require each carrier providing digital wireless services to make available to consumers at least two handset models for each air interface it offers which provide telecoil coupling (“U3T” rating);
- vi. adopts a *de minimis* exception for certain digital wireless phone manufacturers and carriers;
- vii. encourages digital wireless phone manufacturers and service providers to offer at least one compliant handset that is a lower-priced model and one that has higher-end features;
- viii. requires 50 percent of all digital wireless phone models offered by a manufacturer or carrier to be compliant with the reduced RF emissions requirements by February 18, 2008;
- ix. requires wireless carriers and digital wireless handset manufacturers to report semiannually (every six months) on efforts toward compliance during the first three years, then annually thereafter through the fifth year of implementation;
- x. requires manufacturers to label packages containing compliant handsets and to make information available in the package or product manual, and require service providers to make available to consumers the performance ratings of compliant phones;
- xi. commits the Commission staff to deliver a report to the Commission shortly after three years from the effective date of this Order to examine the impact of these requirements, and which will form the basis for the Commission to initiate a proceeding soon after the report is issued to evaluate whether to increase or decrease the 2008 requirement to make 50 percent of phone models with