accommodate this need and allow registrants time to develop replacement products. The current temephos products would not be cancelled until December 30, 2015. For these reasons, the Agency does not believe that the comment period should be extended.

IV. Cancellation Order

Pursuant to FIFRA section 6(f), EPA hereby approves the requested cancellations of the registrations identified in Tables 1, 2, and 3 of Unit II. Accordingly, the Agency hereby orders that the product registrations identified in Tables 1, 2, and 3 of Unit II. are cancelled. The effective date of the cancellation of the products listed in Tables 1 and 3 of this notice is February 25, 2011. The effective date of the cancellation of the products listed in Table 2 is December 31, 2015. Any distribution, sale, or use of existing stocks of the products identified in Tables 1, 2, and 3 of Unit II. in a manner inconsistent with any of the provisions for disposition of existing stocks set forth in Unit VI. will be a violation of FIFRA.

V. What is the agency's authority for taking this action?

Section 6(f)(1) of FIFRA provides that a registrant of a pesticide product may at any time request that any of its pesticide registrations be canceled or amended to terminate one or more uses. FIFRA further provides that, before acting on the request, EPA must publish a notice of receipt of any such request in the **Federal Register**. Thereafter, following the public comment period, the EPA Administrator may approve such a request. The notices of receipt for this action were published for comment in the **Federal Register** issues of November 10, 2010 (75 FR 69073) (FRL–8851–5) and November 17, 2010 (75 FR 70256) (FRL–8850–1). The comment periods closed on December 10, 2010 and December 17, 2010 respectively.

VI. Provisions for Disposition of Existing Stocks

Existing stocks are those stocks of registered pesticide products which are currently in the United States and which were packaged, labeled, and released for shipment prior to the effective date of the cancellation action. The existing stocks provisions for the products subject to this order are as follows.

A. For All Products Listed in Table 1 of Unit II

The registrants may continue to sell and distribute existing stocks of products listed in Table 1 of Unit II. until February 25, 2012, which is 1 year after the publication of the Cancellation Order in the **Federal Register**. Thereafter, the registrants are prohibited from selling or distributing products listed in Table 1, except for export in accordance with FIFRA section 17, or proper disposal. Persons other than the registrants may sell, distribute, or use existing stocks of products listed in Table 1 of Unit II. until existing stocks are exhausted, provided that such sale, distribution, or use is consistent with the terms of the previously approved labeling on, or that accompanied, the canceled products.

B. For All Products Listed in Table 2 of Unit II

After December 31, 2015, registrants are prohibited from selling or distributing existing stocks of products containing temephos labeled for all uses.

After December 31, 2016, persons other than registrants are prohibited from selling or distributing existing stocks of products containing temephos labeled for all uses.

After December 31, 2016, existing stocks of products containing temephos labeled for all uses, already in the hands of users can be used legally until they are exhausted, provided that such use complies with the EPA-approved label and labeling of the affected product.

C. For All Products Listed in Table 3 of Unit II

All sale or distribution by the registrant of existing stocks is prohibited after publication of the cancellation order in the **Federal Register**, unless that sale or distribution is solely for the purpose of facilitating disposal or export of the product.

Existing stocks may be sold and distributed by persons other than the registrant for 120 days from the effective date of the cancellation order.

Existing stocks may be used until exhausted, provided that such use complies with the EPA-approved label and labeling of the product.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: February 8, 2011.

Richard P. Keigwin, Jr.,

Director, Pesticide Re-evaluation Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 2011–4140 Filed 2–24–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA/100/R–11/001; FRL–9270–7]

Notice of Availability; Recommended Use of Body Weight$^{3/4}$ as the Default Method in Derivation of the Oral Reference Dose

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the availability of "Recommended Use of Body Weight$^{3/4}$ as the Default Method in Derivation of the Oral Reference Dose" (referred to hereafter as BW$^{3/4}$). This document was developed as part of an Agency-wide guidance development program by a technical panel of the U.S. EPA's Risk Assessment Forum, composed of scientists from across the Agency. Selected drafts were peer reviewed internally by EPA scientists and externally by experts from academia, industry, environmental groups and other government agencies.

DATES: The document will be available for use by EPA risk assessors on February 25, 2011.

ADDRESSES: The Guidelines are available electronically through the EPA Web site at *http://www.epa.gov/raf/publications/interspecies-extrapolation.htm.* A limited number of paper copies will be available from EPA's National Service Center for Environmental Publications (NSCEP), P.O. Box 42419, Cincinnati, OH 45242; telephone (800) 490–9198 or (513) 489–8695; facsimile: (513) 489–8190. Please provide your name, mailing address and the title and number of the requested publication. Additionally, copies of the document will be available for inspection at EPA headquarters and regional libraries, through the U.S. Government Depository Library program.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Michael W. Broder, Risk Assessment Forum, Office of the Science Advisor (8105R), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC 20460; telephone (202) 564–3393 or e-mail: *broder.michael@epa.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In order to assess the toxicity of a particular chemical in the absence of human data, EPA relies on the use of animal models as surrogates. EPA endorses a hierarchy of approaches to derive human equivalent oral exposures from data from laboratory animal species, with the preferred approach being physiologically based toxicokinetic

modeling. As a default method to account for differences in dosimetry between the animal models and humans, EPA previously applied a direct body-weight conversion from the model to humans (*i.e.,* $BW^{1/1}$) for non-cancer endpoints, in the absence of chemical-specific data. In contrast, EPA applies a dosimetric adjustment factor (DAF) based on body weight raised to the three-quarter power ($BW^{3/4}$) for cancer assessments. By adopting the adjustment, this document moves in the direction of harmonizing the approach for assessing cancer and noncancer endpoints.

In addition to laying out the computational method for interspecies extrapolation, the document also addresses the issue of changes to the interspecies uncertainty factor (UF_A). The document recommends a reduced interspecies UF_A (with a default value of 3) in lieu of a default of 10 for the reference dose (RfD) calculation. The quantitative significance of this procedure with regard to the magnitude of an RfD will depend on the body weight of the species (as well as the value assigned to the UF_A) and may be more or less than the current procedure of dividing by the default composite UF_A of 10.

$BW^{3/4}$ scaling for derivation of the human equivalent dose is recommended as the default approach for RfDs for remote, as well as portal-of-entry effects. It is noted that this scaling is not inclusive of lethal or frank effects for which maximum concentration (C_{max}) may be the most appropriate dose metric and that such effects are not among those effects recommended for use in deriving RfDs (USEPA, 2002). This default approach generally applies to different durations of exposure. The reader is encouraged to read the document carefully, however, in order to fully understand how to apply the policy appropriately. Additionally, although non-oral RfDs can be estimated (*e.g.,* a dermal RfD), this document focuses only on oral RfDs and for this document the acronym refers only to RfDs for oral exposure.

It is recognized that this procedure, as with all default procedures, may not always predict oral exposures associated with precise toxicologically-equivalent doses for specific chemicals. It should be emphasized that other biological information not discussed in this document may inform interspecies adjustments. As a general default procedure, however, it may be anticipated to provide a reasonable description of average behavior of many chemicals much of the time.

Even though this document is not a binding rule, EPA is issuing it in a manner consistent with the procedures in the Administrative Procedure Act that are generally applicable to rulemaking, including providing opportunity for public comment. EPA considered and responded to all significant public comments as it prepared the document.

Dated: February 16, 2011.

Paul T. Anastas,

EPA Science Advisor.

[FR Doc. 2011–4250 Filed 2–24–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

February 18, 2011.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3501—3520. Comments are requested concerning: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, and (e) ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before April 26, 2011. If you anticipate that you will be submitting PRA comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the FCC contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to the Federal Communications Commission via e-mail to *PRA@fcc.gov.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Judith B. Herman, Office of Managing Director, (202) 418–0214. For additional information, contact Judith B. Herman, OMD, 202–418–0214 or e-mail *judith-b.herman@fcc.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–1113.

Title: Commercial Mobile Alert System (CMAS).

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 1,253 respondents; 1,253 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: .5 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement, recordkeeping requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

Obligation to Respond: Mandatory. Statutory authority for this information collection is contained in 47 U.S.C. 151, 154(i), 154(j), 154(o), 218, 219, 230, 256, 301, 302(a), 303(f), 303(g), 303(j), 303(r), 403, 621(b)(3), and 621(d).

Total Annual Burden: 627 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: There is no need for confidentiality.

Needs and Uses: The Commission will submit this expiring information collection after this comment period to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to obtain the three year clearance from them. The Commission is reporting a 502 hour increase in the total annual burden. The Commission will submit this collection to the OMB as a revision.

This information collection is being submitted because, in the *Third Report and Order* in PS Docket No. 07–287, FCC 08–184, the Commission adopted rules that require Commercial Mobile Service (CMS) providers to collect information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act. In the *Third Report and Order,* the Commission adopted rules obligating entities participating in the Commercial Mobile Alert System (CMAS) to provide written election of intent to participate in the CMAS.

All CMS providers are required to submit a CMAS election, including those that were not licensed at the time of the initial deadline for filing an