AIR MONITORING RESULTS
KINGSTON FOSSIL FLY ASH RESPONSE
HARRIMAN, ROANE COUNTY, TENNESSEE

Prepared for:

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
EMERGENCY RESPONSE AND REMOVAL BRANCH
REGION 4
61 Forsyth Street
Atlanta, GA 30303

Prepared by:

Tetra Tech, Inc.
Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team
1955 Evergreen Blvd
Building 200, Suite 300
Duluth, GA
Contract No. EP-W-05-054
Technical Direction Document TTEMI-05-001-0084

January 4, 2009
AIR MONITORING RESULTS

KINGSTON FOSSIL FLY ASH RESPONSE
HARRIMAN, ROANE COUNTY, TENNESSEE

INTRODUCTION
The Tetra Tech EM Inc. (Tetra Tech) Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START) is submitting this report summarizing air monitoring activities conducted at the Kingston Fossil Fly Ash Response in Harriman, Tennessee. EPA tasked Tetra Tech to monitor ambient particulate concentrations in and around the area impacted by the release of fly ash. The purpose of the investigation was to provide an initial characterization of the ambient air quality in the area surrounding the released ash. EPA will use the data to assess public health risks, in consultation with state and federal health agencies. Tetra Tech conducted the investigation using the Field Branches Quality System and Technical Procedures located at http://www.epa.gov/region4/sesd/tbqsp/.

Figure 1 depicts the sampling locations, and tables summarizing analytic data are included in this report.

SITUATION
On December 22, 2008, at approximately 0100 hours, the northeastern dike at the TVA Kingston Power Plant, located in Harriman, Roane County, Tennessee, failed. The dike retained one of three cells at the facility used for dewatering fly ash. Subsequently, approximately 5.4 million cubic yards of fly ash were released into two sloughs which flow into the Emory River. The release extended approximately 300 acres outside of the ash storage areas. Local emergency officials first responded to the scene, and then shortly thereafter, began to assist residents affected by the fly ash flow. Three residential homes became condemned as a result of the release.

On December 22, 2008, the National Response Center (NRC), and subsequently the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 4, was notified of the incident. A Federal On-Scene Coordinator (OSC) and Tetra Tech START contractor were mobilized to the TVA Kingston Power Plant Facility the same day.

MONITORING ACTIVITIES
Beginning on December 27, 2008, EPA contractors staged a fixed monitor near on-going response activities to assess particulate generation in the area immediately around active disturbance of the ash. This monitor was operated for approximately 10 hours per day.

On December 30, 2008, EPA contractors commenced monitoring at eight additional locations. Five locations are in the immediate vicinity of the release on the Kingston Fossil Plant property, and four others are in residential areas near the release. The monitoring locations are presented in Figure 1. Monitoring was performed with a portable monitor equipped with a 2.5 micrometer filter. This particulate matter-2.5 (PM-2.5) filter removes the particulates that are too large to be inhaled deep into human lungs, about 2.5 micrometers in width. The second monitor was rotated through all nine locations throughout the day, monitoring each location for approximately 10 minutes, and returning to each location several times.

On January 1, 2009, both instruments were equipped with a PM-10 filter. PM-10 includes all particles with a diameter of 10 micrometers or less, including the PM-2.5 particles. PM-10 particles are small
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enough to be inhaled, but do not generally penetrate deep into the lungs, whereas PM-2.5 particles can penetrate into the deepest portions. The standard was moved to the PM-10 particle size to validate comparable data being collected by TVA.

MONITORING RESULTS
Table 1 summarizes the daily averages recorded at the various locations since EPA monitoring began on December 27, 2008. The short-term (24-hour or daily average) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for PM-10 is 150 micrograms per cubic meter of air (μg/m³). The NAAQS for PM-2.5 is 35 μg/m³. For total particulate readings, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration Permissible Exposure Level (PEL) for nuisance dust of 5.0 mg/kg is presented for comparison.

As shown in the attached table, no exceedance of the NAAQS for either PM-2.5 or PM-10 or the PEL (based on daily averages) has been observed to date. The wet nature of the ash and the continuing rain and fog in the days since the release has served to keep ambient particulate levels low.

Beginning December 28, 2008, TVA set up low-volume samplers on the plant property. On January 1, 2009, these were moved to the surrounding residential areas. The samples are being analyzed for arsenic, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, lead, selenium, thallium, vanadium, PM-10 dust, and quartz. As of January 3, 2009, TVA has collected 120 air samples for laboratory analysis. TVA is also using mobile monitoring units to collect real-time particulate data. To date, TVA has recorded 743 real-time particulate values.

As of January 4, 2008, EPA and TVA continue to monitor dust concentrations in the immediate vicinity of the ash and in nearby residential areas.

CONCLUSION
EPA data indicates that particulate levels in the area around the TVA ash release have remained below EPA standards for ambient air quality throughout the monitored periods of the response. Rain and moisture in the ash are factors contributing to the low reading. TVA is currently installing long term air monitors. Continued air monitoring through the clean up is necessary to protect human health.
TABLE 1
EPA PARTICULATE MONITORING RESULTS
MONITORING PERFORMED DECEMBER 27, 2008, THROUGH JANUARY 3, 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Number of Sample Point</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Avg</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/27/08</td>
<td>Continuous 10-hr day</td>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>On site</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>mg/m3</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>mg/m3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/28/08</td>
<td>Continuous 10-hr day</td>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>On site</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>mg/m3</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>mg/m3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/29/08</td>
<td>Continuous 10-hr day</td>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>On site</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.586</td>
<td>mg/m3</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>mg/m3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/30/08</td>
<td>3 (instantaneous)</td>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>On site</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>mg/m3</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>mg/m3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/30/08</td>
<td>8 (instantaneous)</td>
<td>PM-2.5</td>
<td>On site</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/31/08</td>
<td>3 (instantaneous)</td>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>On site</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>mg/m3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>mg/m3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/31/08</td>
<td>10 (instantaneous)</td>
<td>PM-2.5</td>
<td>On site</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/31/08</td>
<td>10 (instantaneous)</td>
<td>PM-2.5</td>
<td>Offsite</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/01/09</td>
<td>Continuous 10-hr day</td>
<td>PM-10</td>
<td>On site</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>119.3</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/01/09</td>
<td>13 (instantaneous)</td>
<td>PM-10</td>
<td>On site</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/01/09</td>
<td>8 (instantaneous)</td>
<td>PM-10</td>
<td>Offsite</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/02/09</td>
<td>Continuous 10-hr day</td>
<td>PM-10</td>
<td>On site</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/02/09</td>
<td>19 (instantaneous)</td>
<td>PM-10</td>
<td>On site</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/02/09</td>
<td>9 (instantaneous)</td>
<td>PM-10</td>
<td>Offsite</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/03/09</td>
<td>2 (instantaneous)¹</td>
<td>PM-10</td>
<td>On site</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>μg/m3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: ¹ - air monitoring was halted at 0930 due to instrumentation interference from the continuous light precipitation

Standards used: PM-2.5= 35 μg/m3
PM-10= 150 μg/m3
Total PM= 5.0 mg/kg

mg/m3= milligrams per cubic meter of air
μg/m3= micrograms per cubic meter of air