US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Work Group on Worker Safety

Pesticide Program Dialogue Committee

June 15, 2006

Role of PPDC Work Group

- Consultation
 - Primary identification of issues
 - Supply support information
 - Review material
- Coordination
 - Provide information to constituents
 - Solicit their input & comments
- Continued Involvement
 - Engage in the iterative process
 - Respond to the evolving regulatory options

Need for Regulatory Change

Risk Reduction

- Close gaps in intended protection
- Address risks identified since original rules
- Respond to stakeholder reviews
- Raise minimal federal standards

Program Improvements

- Basic good government
- Clarity & transparency in programs & guidelines
- Balance statutory requirements with economic & risk analyses

Program Efficiency

- Clarify existing rules
- Improve federal standards to promote reciprocity between states

Areas for Change Applicator Certification Regulation (40 CFR 171)

Protective: Appropriate Coverage & Raise Competency

- Expand users required to demonstrate competence
- Better define "under-the-supervision"
- Require RUP dealers to prove competency
- Require persons training workers to be competent
- Set minimum age for occupational users
- Require testing for occupational users
- Set standard requirements for testing
- Competency requirements consistent with risk
- Better define recertification & process for quality assurance in recertification

Efficiency

- Update plan requirements for states/tribes/ territories
- Develop standard certification categories
- Assure program accountability

Areas for Change Ag Worker Protection Regulation (40 CFR 170)

Protective: Inform Workers

- Ensure meaningful hazard communications
- Ensure meaningful training content, grace period, training interval
- Require trainers to demonstrate competence
- Establish training reporting as verification
- Protect children from pesticide-treated fields

Efficiency

- Clarify vague WPS provisions
- Clarify exceptions
- Exempt certified crop advisors & aerial applicators
- Require handlers to demonstrate competency
- Express regulation in plain language
- Assure program accountability



Areas for Change Labeling Regulations (40 CFR 152,156)

- Amend labeling rules to make applicator certification changes enforceable
- Amend labeling rules to make agricultural worker protection changes enforceable
- Revise labels to conform to rule changes



Request for Feedback from the February Work Group Meeting

Questions / Discussion

Clarifying questions

Other potential issues

Reactions to specific proposals

Documentation pro or con

Identify & add issues for further discussion

Comments Received

Comments

- American Assoc. of Pesticide Safety Educators
- Individual pesticide State Lead Agencies
- Farm worker representative
- Grower representative
- Registrant representative
- EPA's Office of Children's Health Protection FACA committee

Initial Comments

- Generally, with reservation, support intent
- Concern about details/definitions
- Concern about cost impacts
- Concern about time for stakeholder input
- Concern about risk protection issues having priority

Work Group Engagement Matrix to Scope Issues for Discussion

Issue	Work Group (WG) Engagement	Comments
Set 1	WG conference calls	
Set 2	WG Subset calls & e- mails	
Set 3	Ongoing review	

EPA & Work Group Next Steps

- Jul Distribute Set 1 issue papers
- Aug Conference calls on Set 1
- Sept Distribute Set 2, 3 issue papers
- Oct Determine Set 2, 3 engagement
- Nov Distribute draft preamble
- Jul-Dec Distribute various documents at critical junctures
- Aug 07 Comment during public comment period

Process Schedule

- Jun Second meeting of PPDC workgroup
- Jul First draft of regulatory language Review draft economic analysis
- Jul-Dec Ongoing stakeholder involvement
- Nov EPA preliminary options selection
- Dec Final EPA review
 Redraft proposed regulation
- Feb 07 Draft regulation to OMB
- Aug 07 Publish proposed regulation for public comment