US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Work Group Charge

- Explore initial, science-based risk management approaches including appropriate label restrictions and training;
- Develop information on State approaches and different authorities
- □ Transfer of lesson learned by various stakeholders in order to improve existing management practices [across multiple factors affecting pollinator declines]
- Continuing international communication; and,
- Consider other issues the WG wishes to bring to the PPDC's attention

Meetings

- Initial meeting of the WG on September 8th
 - Discussed ground rules and Work Group charge
 - Discussed ideas and identified major themes
- WG meeting on September 28th
 - Subgroups are formed around major themes
 - Discussed subgroups holding their own meetings to further explore their respective themes
- Subgroup meetings during the week of October 3rd

WG Composition

- 45 WG members, representation from:
 - Grower groups; agro. industry; beekeepers; applicators; State Lead Agencies; academia; cooperative extension; non-governmental groups; and USDA
- WG members formed into subgroups around themes
 - 28 members in management strategies
 - 5 in communication
 - 5 in enforcement
 - 7 in data and databases
- □ In WG meeting on Oct. 11th, subgroups reported out and the WG slightly redefined its focus areas.

Theme 1: Best Management Practices

- WG to explore information that currently exists or what works now for growers and beekeepers
- WG to explore voluntary registries
 - What models are out there, what works, what doesn't, an opportunity for communication and information exchange.
- WG to explore case-studies where stakeholders worked together for successful protection of pollinators and crops what worked in these cases.

Theme 2: Training and Education

- □ WG will look into what training information currently exists
 - Current effort underway by The North American Pollinator Protection Campaign
- WG to explore ways for parties to communicate and get information between stakeholders
 - Through co-operative extension, information bulletins, websites, journals, farmer to farmer
- Explore what information or training could be made available amongst stakeholders that will lead to win-win situations
 - Toxicity information on products - information that may be beyond the label
 - Information on inerts, etc.

Theme 3: Enforcement

- Understanding the difference between an incident and an investigation
- Explore whether there is, or can be a standard processes
 - How to report
 - What to report
- Explore information sharing between the State and EPA

Theme 4: Labeling

Short-term

- WG to explore what exists today and how EPA currently determines what goes on the label
- Commercial agricultural products vs. Home owner products
 - What information is available on each type of product
 - Is the label information clear and easy to read
- WG to explore whether label language to protect bees can be made simpler/clearer for both commercial products and homeowner products

Long-term

 Risk-assessment based - - after data requirements and a risk assessment process is defined