US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

24

Da37059

DP Barcode : D219266
PC Code No : 129121

EEB Out :

To: Richard Keigwin

Product Manager 10

Registration Division (7505C)

From: Doug Urban

Screening and Greybeard Panel/EFED (7507C)

Attached, please find the EEB review of...

Reg./File # :264-EUP-RNR RNE; 264-LTN

Chemical Name: Fipronil

Type Product : Insecticide

Product Name: REGENT 80WG

Company Name: Rhone-Polenc Ag Company

Purpose : <u>Section 5 (EUP)-for use on cotton</u>

Action Code : 710 Date: 7/11/97

Reviewer: N.E. Federoff (Wildlife Biologist)

EEB Guideline/MRID Summary Table: The review in this package contains an evaluation of the following:

GDLN NO	MRID NO	CAT	GDLN NO	MRID NO	CAT	GDLN NO	MRID NO	CAT
71-1(A) 04651	437766-01	C	72-2(A)			72-7(A)		
71-1(B) 1 1 1	437766-01 437766-02	0	72-2(B)			72-7(B)		
71-2(A)			72-3(A)			122-1(A)		
1)			72-3(B)			122-1(B)		
71-3			72-3(C)			122-2		٠.
71-4(A)			72-3(D)			123-1(A)		
71-4(B)			72-3(E)			123-1(B)		
71-5(A)			72-3(F)			123-2		
71-5(B)			72-4(A)			124-1		
72-1(A)			72-4(B)			124-2		
72-1(B)			72-5			141-1		
72- 1(C)			72-6			141-2		
72-1(C)						141-5		

Y=Acceptable (Study satisfied Guideline)/Concur P=Partial (Study partially fulfilled Guideline but

additional information is needed

S=Supplemental (Study provided useful information but Guideline was not satisfied) N=Unacceptable (Study was rejected)/Nonconcur

ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS BRANCH REVIEW

Chemical Name: Fipronil: 5-amino-1-(2,6-dichloro-4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4-((1,R,S)-(trifluoromethyl)sulfinyl)-1-H-pyrazole-3-

carbonitrile

Common Name: FIPRONIL

Trade Name: REGENT 80 WG Insecticide

100.0 Submission and Label Information

Nature and Scope of the Submission 100.1

Request for an experimental use permit (Section 5 of FIFRA) for use of Fipronil (REGENT 80 WG) on cotton throughout selected areas in the United States.

100.2 Treatment Area

Proposed label restricts use to the states of Alabama, Arkansas, Arizona, California, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Texas.

Total Acreage: 1996: 3,360 (84 locations) 1997: 4,720 (118 locations)

Total Quantity of Formulated Product: 1996: _1,260 lbs

1997: <u>1,770 lbs</u>

Total Quantity of Active Ingredient: 1996: 1,008 lbs

Alimi - a ca

1997: 1,416 lbs

Target Organisms 100.3

Thrips, Plant bugs (Lygus), and Boll weevil.

100.4 Formulation Information

REGENT 80 WG is considered a dry powder flowable water dispersible formulation and applied by either foliar spray or In-Furrow methods.

*Active Ingredient:

- 5-amino-1-(2,6-dichloro-4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4-((1,R,S)-(trifluoromethyl)sulfinyl)-1-H-pyrazole-
- 3-carbonitrile......80% Inert Ingredients......20%
- *Contains 0.833 pounds of active ingredient per pound of product.

100.5 Application Methods and Rates

POUNDS OF FIPRONIL REGENT 80 WG

APPLICATION METHOD	PESTS CONTROLLED	OUNCES PER ACRE	DIRECTIONS	USE RESTRICTI ONS
In-furrow at planting	Thrips	2.0-3.0 oz. in a minimum of 3 gallons of water	Straight- stream or flat-fan nozzles aligned with the row.	Do not exceed 0.3 lbs ai/acre (6 oz 80 WG)
Foliar spray	Thrips	0.5 to 0.75	Apply a minimum 1 gallon of water mixture by air per acre. Apply minimum of 3 gallons of spray per acre by ground. Repeat as necessary to maintain control.	Do not apply less than 45 days before harvest. Only make one In- furrow application Begin application when insects
Foliar spray	Plant bugs	0.75 tó 1.0	Apply ounces of product in a minimum of 1 gallon	reach recognized economic threshold. Apply at 3- 10 day interval to maintain control.
Foliar spray	Boll Weevil	1.0 to 1.36	Apply ounces of product in a minimum of 1 gallon of water/A by air. 3 gallon minimum spray/A by ground.	

100.6 <u>Date and Duration</u>

Duration is two years from the date of EPA approval.

100.7 <u>Precautionary Labeling (excerpted from proposed product label)</u>

Environmental Hazards

This pesticide is toxic to birds and aquatic and estuarine organisms (fish and invertebrates). Do not apply directly to water, or to areas where surface water is present or to intertidal areas below the mean high water mark. Runoff from treated areas may be hazardous to aquatic organisms in neighboring areas. Cover, incorporate or clean up granules that are spilled during loading or visible on soil surface in turn areas. Do not contaminate water when disposing of equipment wash water.

101.0 Hazard Assessment

101.1 Discussion

Rhone-Poulenc Ag Company has applied for an experimental use permit for FIPRONIL (REGENT 80 WG) insecticide for cotton for the 1996 and 1997 growing seasons. REGENT 80 WG is a new soil insecticide with no currently registered uses.

REGENT 80 WG is a soil insecticide formulated as a fine flowable powder mixable in water and applied by air or with ground equipment. It is applied by using one of two application methods; either one In-Furrow application at planting or by foliar spray.

This EUP will be applied/used in certain states (see below). Each test site will range from 20 to 65 acres (maximum) in size with an average plot size of 40 acres. A maximum of 0.3 lb ai/A of REGENT 80 WG will be applied per acre per season.

STATE	COUNTY	ACREAGE 1996	1997
California	Kern, Tulare, Fresno, Madera, Merced, Imperial, Riverside	360	480
Arizona	Maricopa, Yuma, LaPaz, Pinal, Pima	200	400

Texas Dawson, Gaines, Hale, Hockley, Lamb, Lynn, Cochran, Bailey, Floyd, Crosby, Lubbock, Parmer, Castro, Swisher,	80
Hale, Hockley, Lamb, Lynn, Cochran, Bailey, Floyd, Crosby, Lubbock, Parmer,	80
Hale, Hockley, Lamb, Lynn, Cochran, Bailey, Floyd, Crosby, Lubbock, Parmer,	
Cochran, Bailey, Floyd, Crosby, Lubbock, Parmer,	
Floyd, Crosby, Lubbock, Parmer,	
Lubbock, Parmer,	
Cascio, Swisher,	10
Martin, Midland,	
Glasscock, Knox,	
Scurry, Haskell,	-
Hall, Collinsworth,	
Childress, Hardman,	<i>'</i>
Reeves, Pecos, Tom	
Green, Runnels, Williamson,	
Hidalgo,	
Harlington,	
Vonnody Nyogog	
San Patricio,	
Uvalde, Cameron,	
Willacy, Fort Bend,	
Refugio, Matagorda,	
Wharton, Starr, Kleberg, Brazos,	
Robertson,	
Burleson, Caldwell,	•
Milam, Ellis,	
Navarro, Hunt,	
Hill, Fannin,	
Falls, Denton, Collin, Bowie	
COITII, DOWIE	'
	120
Harmon, Washita,	-
Kiowa, Caddo,	
Greer, Beckham,	
Custer, Grady, Cotton, Coal,	
Garvin, Commanche,	
Canadian, Blain	• .
	1.00
Missouri Dunklin, Peniscot, 80 New Madrid,	160
Stoddard	

	<u> </u>		1
Tennessee	Haywood, Crockett, Dyer, Tipton, Fayette, Gibson, Lauderdale, Madison	120	160
Arkansas	Mississippi, Craighead, Crittenden, Lee, Phillips, Lonoke, Miller, Lincoln, Lafayette, Ashley, Drew, Chicot, Desha, Jefferson	320	440
Mississippi	Calhoun, Panola, Le Flore, Tallahatchie, Sunflower, Boliver, Washington, Lee, Marshall, Tunica, Quitman, Sharkey, Adams, Yazoo, Isaquena, Humphreys, Claiborn, Warren, Hinds, Jefferson, Rankin, Copiah, Carroll, Madison, Attala, Leake, Oktibbeha,	480	560
Louisiana	Morehouse, East Carrol, West Carrol, Richaland, Ouachita, Catahoulla, Franklin, Concordia, Madison, Tensas, Caddo, Red River, Natchitoches, Point Coupee, Avoyeles, Rapides, Bossier, DeSoto, Webster	480	560

4

A STATE OF THE STA

⊅1. → .3

Georgia	Thomas, Baker, Cook, Tift, Jeff Davis, Turner, Wilcox, Macon, Siminole, Brooks, Mitchell, Berrien, Irwin, Appling, Sumter, Dooly, Bleckley, Decatur, Early, Colquitt, Worth, Coffee, Lee, Crisp, Pulaski, Burke, Emanuel, Ware, Grady, Lowndes, Clay, Ben Hill, Dodge, Houston, Bulloch, Screven, Pierce	160 240
Florida	Madison, Gadsen, Levy, Suwanee, Gilchrist, Alachua, Lafayette, Jackson, Houston, Russell, Barbour, Covington, Pike, Mobile, Conecuh, Washington, Baldwin, Escambia, Monroe, Wilcox, Santa Rosa	40 40

Total Control (1997) 「一般ない。」 「我ないない」 「ないないない」 「ないないない」

and the second s		And the Committee of th	
Alabama	Cobert, Lawrence, Madison, Blount, Lee, Cherokee, Chambers, Shelby, Tallapoosa, Calhoun, Autauga, Hale, Elmore, Macon, Henry, Montgomery, Lauderdale, Limestone, Morgan, Cullman, Etowah, Fayette, Pickens, Talladega, Chilton, Dallas, Greene, Lowndes, Tuscaloosa, Dale, Coffee, Marengo, Crenshaw, Geneva	160	240
North Carolina	Halifax, Scotland, Sampson, Johnston, Union, North Hampton	80	120
South Carolina	Calhoun, Florence, Clarendon, Lee, Orangeburg, Marlboro, Dillon, Sumter, Hampton, Williamsburg	160	240

101.2Likelihood of Adverse Effects on Non-Target Organisms

Terrestrial Organisms Toxicity

The following summarizes the acute data for birds for Fipronil soil insecticide.

AVIAN TEST RESULTS TABLE 1.

Avian Acute Oral Texicity Findings						
Species	% A.I.	LD ₅₀ mg/kg	MRID No. Author/Year	Toxicity Category	Fulfills Guideline Requirement	
Northern Bobwhite	96 Technical	11.3	429186-17 (1990) Pedersen	Highly toxic	Core	
Mallard	96.8 Technical	>2150	429186-16 (1990) Pedersen	Practically non-toxic	Core	
Pigeon	97.7 Technical	>500	429186-13 (1991) Hakin and Rodgers	Slightly toxic	Supplemental	
Red-legged Partridge	95.4 Technical	34	429186-14 (1992) Hakin and Rodgers	Highly toxic	Supplemental	
Pheasant	95.4 Technical	31	429186-15 (1992) Hakin and Rodgers	Highly toxic	Supplemental	
House Sparrow	96.7 Technical	1000	429186-18 (1991) Pedersen and Helsten	Slightly. toxic	Supplemental	
Northern Bobwhite	99.7 MB46513	5	437766-01 (1993) Pedersen and Solatycki	Very Highly Toxic	Supplemental	
Mallard	98.6 MB46513	420	437766-02 (1994) Helsten and Solatycki	Moderately Toxic	Supplemental	
Northern Bobwhite	1.6 EXP-60655 A	1065 (formulation) 17 (active ingredient)	429186-19 (1993) Pédersen and DuCharme	Slightly toxic = Formul. Highly toxic = Active ingredient	Supplemental	

Avian	Subacute	Dietary	Toxicity Fi	ndings	
Species	% A.I.	LC ₅₀ ppm	MRID No. Author/Year	Toxicity Category	Fulfills Guideline Requirement
Northern Bobwhite	>95 Technical	48.0	429186-20 (1993) Pedersen	Very highly toxic	Core
Mallard	>95 Technical	>5000	429186-21 (1993) Pedersen	Practically non-toxic	Core

These results indicate that Fipronil is highly toxic to upland game bird species on an acute oral basis, is very highly toxic on a subacute dietary basis, and is practically non-toxic to waterfowl on an acute and subacute basis. The guideline requirements are fulfilled. (429186-16, 429186-17, 429186-20, 429186-21)

			Avian Reproduc	tion Findings		
Species	% A.I.	NOEC ppm	LOEC ppm	Endpoints affected	MRID No. Author/Year	Fulfills Guideline Requirement
Northern Bobwhite	96.7 Technical	10	Not reported	None	429186-22 (1993) Pedersen and DuCharme	Supplemental
Mallard Duck	96.7 Technical	1000	Not reported	None	429186-23 (1993) Pedersen and Lesar	Core

The avian reproductive studies indicate that Fipronil had no effects at the highest levels that were tested in Mallard (NOEC=1000) and Bobwhite Quail. The NOEC=10 for Bobwhite, which was the highest level tested, will be used as the regulatory endpoint. Although the quail study does not fulfill guideline requirements, the need for a new study is waived. The quail NOEC is very conservative and no value of information is added by requiring a new study. Therefore the guideline requirements are fulfilled. (429186-22 and 429186-23)

Mammalian Toxicity

Mammals

Wild mammal testing is required on a case-by-case basis, depending on the results of the lower tier studies such as acute and subacute testing, intended use pattern, and pertinent environmental fate characteristics. In most cases, however, an acute oral LD_{50} from the Agency's Health Effects Division (HED) is used to determine toxicity to mammals (HED Tox Oneliners). These LD_{50} are reported below.

Mammalian Acute O	ral Toxicity Findings		
Species	LD ₅₀ mg/kg	MRID #	Toxicity Category
Rat (small mammal surrogate)	97 mg/kg (MB 46030 93% Technical)	429186-28	Mod. Toxic
Rat (small mammal surrogate)	218 mg/kg (MB 46136 98% Technical) oxidation product	429186-75	Mod. Toxic
Rat (small mammal surrogate)	>5000 (EXP 60655A 1.6%)	429186-36	P.Non- Toxic
Rat (small mammal surrogate)	>5000 (RM 1601c 0.25%)	431211-04	P.Non- Toxic

ir seve Ois

The reported available mammalian data indicate that Fipronil (Technical) is moderately toxic to small mammals on an acute oral basis. (429186-28, 429186-75)

Freshwater Fish

In order to establish the toxicity of a pesticide to freshwater fish, the minimum data required on the technical grade of the active ingredient are two freshwater fish toxicity studies. One study should use a coldwater species (preferably the rainbow trout), and the other should use a warmwater species (preferably the bluegill sunfish).

		Preshwater Fish	Acute Toxicity Findings		
Species	% A.I.	LC ₅₀ ppm a.i.	MRID No.	Toxicity . Category	Fulfills Guideline Requirement
Bluegill sunfish	100 Technical	0.083	429186-24	Very highly toxic	Core
Rainbow trout	100 Technical	0.246	429779-02	Highly toxic	Core
*Rainbow trout	99.2 (MB46136)	0.039	429186-73	Very highly toxic	Supplemental
*Rainbow trout	94.7 RPA104615	>100	432917-18	Pract.non-toxic	Supplemental
*Bluegill sunfish	99.2 (MB46136)	0.025	429186-74 · 3 · 3 · 3 · 3 · 3 · 3 · 3 · 3 · 3 ·	Very highly toxic	Supplemental

^{*} Studies used degradates/metabolites of Fipronil.

The results of the 96-hour acute toxicity studies indicate that Fipronil (Technical) is very highly toxic to Bluegill sunfish and highly toxic to Rainbow trout. The guideline requirements are fulfilled. (429779-02, 429186-73, 429186-24, 429186-74)

nt we to us fork

Data from fish early life-stage tests are required if the product is applied directly to water or expected to be transported to water from the intended use site, and when the pesticide is intended for use such that its presence in water is likely to be continuous or recurrent regardless of toxicity; or if any acute LC₅₀ or EC₅₀ is less than 1 mg/L; or if the EEC in water is equal to or greater than 0.01 of any acute EC₅₀ or LC₅₀ value; or if the actual or estimated environmental concentration in water resulting from use is less than 0.01 of any acute EC₅₀ or LC₅₀ value and any of the following studies of other organisms indicate the conditions exist: reproductive physiology of fish and/or invertebrates may be affected; or physicochemical properties indicate cumulative effects; or the pesticide is persistent in water (e.g. half-life greater than 4 This study is required for Fipronil due to high acute toxicity and the probability that it will enter bodies of water from the proposed use on cotton.

		Fish E	arly Life-Si	age Toxicit	r Findings		
Species	% A.I.	NOEC (ppm)	LOEC (ppm)	MATC (ppm)	MRID No. Author/Year	Endpoints Affected	Fulfills Guideline Requirement
Freshwater: Rainbow trout	96.7 Techni	0.0066 ppm	0.015 ppm,	0.0099 ppm	429186-27 (1992) Machado	Larval length	Core

ng Hedge Sebusa ka

The results indicate that Fipronil affects larval growth at a concentrations of greater than 0.0066 ppm in Rainbow Trout. The guideline requirement is fulfilled (429186-27).

the party state of the party of the same o

超级收益 正文 繁華 一人 不到好

MARK LINES IN THE COURSE OF STREET

Freshwater Invertebrates

The minimum testing required to assess the hazard of a pesticide to freshwater invertebrates is a freshwater aquatic invertebrate toxicity test, preferably using first instar *Daphnia magna* or early instar amphipods, stoneflies, mayflies, or midges.

	Freshwi	ter Invertebrate 3	foxicity Findings		
Species	% A.I.	EC ₅₀ (48hr)	MRID NO. Author/Year	Toxicity Category	Fulfills Guideline Requirement
Daphnia magna	100 Technical	190 pptr	429186-25 (1990) McNamara	Very Highly toxic	Core
Daphnia magna	*94.7 RPA104615	100 ppm	432917-19 (1992) Collins	Prac.non- toxic	Supplemental
Daphnia magna	*100 (MB46136)	29 ppb	429186-71 (1990) McNamara	Very highly toxic	Supplemental
Daphnia magna	*100 (MB45950)	100 ppb	429186-69 (1990) McNamara	Highly toxic	Supplemental

^{*} studies used different degradates/metabolites of Fipronil.

There is sufficient information to characterize Fipronil as very highly toxic to aquatic invertebrates. The guideline requirement is fulfilled. (429186-25, 429186-71, 429186-69).

CONTRACTOR OF THE CO

regionally a reach to a region.

Data from invertebrate life cycle tests are required if the product is applied directly to water or expected to be transported to water from the intended use site, and when the pesticide is intended for use such that its presence in water is likely to be continuous or recurrent regardless of toxicity; or if any acute LC₅₀ or EC₅₀ is less than 1 mg/L; or if the EEC in water is equal to or greater than 0.01 of any acute EC₅₀ or LC₅₀ value; or if the actual or estimated environmental concentration in water resulting from use is less than 0.01 of any acute EC₅₀ or LC₅₀ value and any of the following studies of other organisms indicate the reproductive physiology of fish and/or invertebrates may be affected: or physicochemical properties indicate cumulative effects; or the pesticide is persistent in water (e.g. half-life greater than 4 days). These studies are required for Fipronil due to high acute toxicity and the probability that the compound will enter bodies of water from the proposed use on cotton.

Aquatic Invertebrate Life-Cycle Toxicity Findings							
Species	% A.I.	NOEC (ppb or ppt)		MATC	photos in the	Endpo ints Affect ed	Fulfills Guideli ne Requir ement
Mysid Shrimp estuarine study	97.7 Tech	<5 pptr	A	<5 pptr	436812-01 (1995) Machado	Surviv Repro Dry wt Length	Supple mental
Daphnia magna freshwater study	100 Tech	9.8 ppb	20 ppb	14 ppb	429186-26 (1990) McNamara		Supple mental

The reported 21 day EC50 was 39 ppb. The results indicate that Fipronil affects length in Daphnids at concentrations greater than 9.8 ppb (429186-26). The results also indicate that Fipronil affects growth in Mysids at concentrations less than 5 pptr (436812-01). The Mysid study does not meet guideline requirements because effects occured at all test concentrations and an NOEC was not determined. The Daphnia study does not meet guideline requirements due to high mortality in the dilution water control and high variability in the analytical measurements. However, the requirement for a new Daphnia study is waived for this use (see memo of Sept 6, 1995 from A. Maciorowski).

Estuarine and Marine Animals

Acute toxicity testing with estuarine and marine organisms is required when an end-use product is intended for direct application to the marine/estuarine environment or is expected to reach this environment in significant concentrations. The use of Fipronil on turf may result in exposure to the estuarine environment. The requirements under this category include a 96-hour LC₅₀ for an estuarine fish, a 96-hour LC₅₀ for shrimp, and either a 48-hour embryo-larvae study or a 96-hour shell deposition study with oysters.

	Estua	rine/Marine Acute Tox	icity Findings		
Species	% A.I.	LC ₅₀ /EC ₅₀	MRID No. Author/Year	Toxicity Category	Fulfills Guideline Requirement
Eastern oyster embryo larvae	96.1 Technical	EC50=0.77ppm	432917-01 (1993) Dionne	Highly toxic	Core
Mysid Shrimp	96.1 Technical	EC50=140pptr	432797-01 (1994) Machado	Very highly toxic	Upgraded to core
Sheepshead minnow	96.1 Technical	LC50=0.13ppm		Highly toxic	Core

There is sufficient information to characterize Fipronil as highly acutely toxic to oysters and sheepshead minnows, and very highly toxic to mysids. The guideline requirement is fulfilled. (432917-01, 432797-01, 432917-02)

Toxicity to Plants

Aquatic

Currently, aquatic plant testing is not required for insecticides, although data is supplemental and can be used in a risk assessment. The following species could be tested: Selenastrum capricornutum, Lemna gibba, Skeletonema costatum, Anabaena flosaquae, and a freshwater diatom.

Tier 1 toxicity data on the technical/TEP material is listed below:

	Nontarget Aqua	ic Plant Toxicity Findings
Species	% A.I.	120hr EC50 MRID# Author/Year Fulfills guideline requirements
Navicula pelliculosa (Freshwater diatom)	96.1	>0.12 ppm 429186-58 Hoberg (1993) Upgraded to core
Lemna gibba (Duckweed)	96.1	>0.10 ppm 429186-56 " (1993) Supplemental
Selenastrum capricornutum (Freshwater green alga)	96.1	0.14 ppm 429186-60 " (1993) Core
Skeletonema costatum (Marine Diatom)	96.1	>0.14 ppm, 429186-59 " (1993) Core
Anabaena flos-aquae (Freshwater Blue-green alga)	96.1 _{/n†}	>0,17 ppm 429186-57 " (1993) Core

Environmental Fate and Residues

Environmental fate data was submitted by the registrant but has not been fully reviewed by EFGWB.

Exposure and Risk Characterization

Ecological Exposure and Risk Characterization

Explanation of the Risk Quotient (RQ) and the Level of Concern (LOC): The Levels of Concern are criteria used to indicate potential risk to The criteria indicate that a chemical, when used as nontarget organisms. directed, has the potential to cause undesirable effects on nontarget organisms. There are two general categories of LOC (acute and chronic) for each of the four nontarget faunal groups and one category (acute) for each of two nontarget floral groups. In order to determine if an LOC has been exceeded, a risk quotient must be derived and compared to the LOC's. A risk quotient is calculated by dividing an appropriate exposure estimate, e.g. the estimated environmental concentration, (EEC) by an appropriate toxicity test effect level, e.g. the LC₅₀. The acute effect levels typically are:

- -EC₂₅ (terrestrial plants),
- MONEY TRANSPORT -EC₅₀ (aquatic plants and invertebrates),
- -LC₅₀ (fish and birds), and
- -LD₅₀ (birds and mammals)

The chronic test results are the:

-NOEL (sometimes referred to as the NOEC) for avian and mammal reproduction studies, and either the NOEL for chronic aquatic studies, or the Maximum Allowable Toxicant Concentration (MATC), the geometric mean of the NOEL and the LOEL (sometimes referred to as the LOEC) for chronic aquatic studies.

When the risk quotient exceeds the LOC for a particular category, risk to that particular category is presumed to exist. Risk presumptions are presented along with the corresponding LOC's.



Levels of Concern (LOC) and associated Risk Presumption

Mammals, Birds	. A	
IF THE	<u>LOC</u>	PRESUMPTION
acute RQ>	0.5	High acute risk
acute RQ>	0.2	Risk that may be mitigated through restricted use
acute RQ>	0.10 S	Endangered species may be affected acutely
chronic RQ>	1	Chronic risk, endangered species may be affected chronically,
Fish, Aquatic invertebrates	www.sec.edu.com	and the second of the second o
IF THE	LOC	PRESUMPTION
acute RQ>	0.5	High acute risk
acute RQ>	0.1	Risk that may be mitigated through restricted use
acute RQ>	0.05	Endangered species may be affected acutely
chronic RQ>	1	Chronic risk, endangered species may be affected chronically
Plants		South a skilling of
IF THE	LOC	PRESUMPTION
RQ>		High risk
RQ>	1	Endangered plants may be affected

Currently, no separate criteria for restricted use or chronic effects for plants exist.

Exposure and Risk to Nontarget Terrestrial Animals

Terrestrial Risk Assessment

The principles of ecological risk assessment used to regulate pesticides under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) are explained in the EPA Standard Evaluation Procedures (SEP). These procedures define risk or hazard in the form of a hazard ratio comparing the potential estimated exposure to the greatest experimental toxicity level obtained.

The potential estimated exposure is represented by the calculation of an Estimated Environmental Concentration (EEC) based on application rates, intervals, frequencies, and other quantitative information found on the label. The greatest toxicity level comes from the results of studies which are required for registration.

<u>Avian and Mammalian Exposure</u>: Mammalian and Avian risk for aerial and ground spray application.

SPECIES	TOXICITY (ppm)	EXPECTED CONCENTRATIONS (Fletcher 1994) (ppm for 1 lb ai/A)	EEC (ppm) 0.068 lb ai/A rate X Fletcher	RISK QUOTIENT
Bobwhite Acute	LC50= 48	shortgrass = 240 broadleaf = 135 long grass = 110 fruit = 15	16.32 9.18 7.48 1.02	0.34* 0.19** 0.16** 0.02
Bobwhite ¹ Chronic	NOEC= 10	"	64 36 29	6.4*** 3.6*** 2.9***
Rat	LD50= 97 Converted to mg/Kg/day	a Something And service asserting And	u Sie kan in Sikingkii	0.008 0.005 0.004 0.001

^{*} Risk may be mitigated through restricted use

Based on the risk quotients above, Fipronil exceeds the acute LOC values for Restricted Use Classification and risks to endangered bird species. Also, all chronic LOCs were exceeded (RQ>1) for avian species. There are no risks to mammals from acute dietary exposure. Risk may be mitigated through restricted use.

A. A. B. Barre

^{**} Exceeds LOC for endangered species

^{***} Exceeds LOC for Chronic risk, endangered species may be affected chronically Rat LD50 / % body wt consumed = 1940

EEC/1940 = Rat RO

¹ Based on aerobic soil metabolism

Aquatic Risk Assessment

Expected Aquatic Concentrations: Fipronil displays high toxicity to most aquatic organisms tested to date. EFED calculated generic EEC levels based on runoff from a 10 hectare field to a 1 hectare x 2 meter deep water body. These generic EEC's (GEEC's) take into account degradation in the field prior to a rain event. The available environmental fate inputs typically used in GENEEC and the input values used for Fipronil are as follows:

Water solubility	2.4ppm
Koc (Organic Carbon Adsorption coefficient)	Ave=803
Hydrolysis half-life	stable (pH 7)
Aqueous photolysis half-life	. 0.15 days
Aerobic soil metabolism	122-128 days
Aerobic aquatic metabolism half-life	
Incorporation depth	1 inch for In-furrow
% spray drift	. 5% Aerial and 1% Ground

LOCs were exceeded using the GENEEC model. Thus, tier 2 assessment models (PRZM2/EXAMII) were then needed to calculate refined EECs. The Pesticide Root Zone Model (PRZM2) simulates pesticides in field runoff on daily time steps incorporating runoff, infiltration, erosion, and evapotransporation. The model calculates foliar dissipation and runoff, pesticide uptake by plants, microbial transformation, volatilization, and soil dispersion and retardation. The Exposure Analysis Modeling System (EXAMII) simulates pesticide fate and transport in an aquatic environment. Refined EECs are tabulated below:

REFINED EEC's (PRZM2 MODEL, VERSION 2.3)

	ESTIM	ATED ENVIRONME	NTAL CONCE	NTRATIC	NS (PRZM2)	
Crop	Application Method	Application Rate in lbs a.i./A	Peak EEC (pptr)	4-day EEC (pptr)	21-day EEC (pptr)	60-day EEC (pptr)	90-day EEC (pptr)
Cotton	Foliar spray (Aerial)	0.068 x 4 applications	2459	2391	2205	1946	1845

AQUATIC RISK QUOTIENTS FOR USE CLASSIFICATION FOR FIPRONIL FOR AERIAL SPRAY METHODS OF APPLICATION

The acute risk quotients (RQ) for freshwater and estuarine organisms are:

Organism/ MRID No.	LC50/EC 50 (pptr)	PRZM2 EEC's (pptr) (PEAK)	RISK QUOTIENTS
Bluegill 429186-24	83,000	753 Aerial	0.03
Mysid Shrimp 432797-01	140	753 Aerial	***17.6
Oyster 432917-01	770,000	753 Aerial	0.003
Sheepshead Minnow 432917-02	130,000	753 Aerial	0.02
Daphnia 429186-25	190	753 Aerial	***13.0
Daphnia 429186-71 (MB46136) Degradate	29,000	753 Aerial	*0.08
Bluegill 429186-74 (MB46136) Degradate	25,000	753 Aerial	*0.1

^{*} Endangered species may be affected acutely

Based on the PRZM2/EXAMII model derived acute risk quotient (RQ) values (RQ=EEC/LC50 or EC50) for regulatory action outlined by the new paradigm, Fipronil exceeds the LOC values for high acute risk, risk that may be mitigated through restricted use and endangered species LOCs for freshwater and estuarine invertebrates (daphnids and mysids) for aerial application methods. Therefore, if Fipronil enters nearby bodies of water following application to cotton, these invertebrates are likely to be adversely impacted.



^{**} Exceeds the LOC, risk may be mitigated through restricted use

^{***} Exceeds the LOC for high acute risk

The chronic RQ's (RQ=EEC/MATC) for freshwater and estuarine organisms are:

ORGANISMS/MRID	MATC (pptr)	PRZM2 EEC VALUES	RISK QUOTIENTS
Rainbow trout 429186-27	9900	1946 pptr (60 day)	0.02 Aerial
Daphnia magna 429186-26	14,000		0:16 Aerial
Mysid shrimp 436812-01	5 16 2 CANA CANA CANA CANA CANA CANA CANA CA	2205 pptr (21 day)	*441.0 Aerial

^{*} Exceeds chronic LOC (RQ>1)

These results indicate that there is a high chronic risk to estuarine invertebrates exposed to Fipronil in their environment. Based on the results of the Mysid life cycle study, estuarine invertebrates are likely to show significant reproductive effects from chronic, low level exposure to Fipronil.

AQUATIC PLANT RISK

The EC₅₀ for the freshwater green algae, Selenastrum capricornutum, is 140,000 pptr. Based on the RQ value (RQ=0.02 aerial spray), Fipronil does not exceed the LOC (RQ>1), therefore, Fipronil has a low risk to aquatic plants.

101.3 Endangered Species Concern

The only group of endangered/threatened organisms likely to be jeopardized by the proposed use of Fipronil on cotton are freshwater and estuarine invertebrates. Invertebrates living in vernal pools in California (California Lideriella, Conservancy shrimp, Longhorn Fairy shrimp, Riverside Fairy shrimp, Vernal pool fairy shrimp, and the Vernal pool tadpool shrimp) may be affected from runoff or direct drift. Contact CA Dept. of Pesticide Regulation (DPR). There are no acute avian risks associated with the proposed use of Fipronil. For aquatic plants there are no endangered species concerns. The Endangered Species Protection Program is expected to become final sometime in the near future. Limitations in the use of Fipronil may be required to protect endangered and threatened species, but these limitations have not been defined and may be formulation specific. EPA anticipates that a consultation with the Fish and Wildlife Service will be conducted in accordance with the species-based priority approach described in the Program. Modifications would most likely consist of the generic label statement referring pesticide users to use limitations contained in county Bulletins.

101.4 Adequacy of Data

Only one outstanding study is a fish early life stage study (72-4a) conducted with an estuarine fish species. This data requirement is waived for this submission, based on the similarities between the acute toxicities and risk quotients of estuarine and freshwater fish and the comparative chronic toxicities and RQ's of both invertebrate test species and freshwater fish.

101.5 Adequacy of Labeling

The environmental hazards label statement for REGENT 80 WG for use on cotton needs to be amended as follows:

This pesticide is toxic to birds, mammals, fish, and aquatic invertebrates. Do not apply directly to water, or to areas where surface water is present or to intertidal areas below the mean high water mark. Runoff and drift from treated areas may be hazardous to aquatic organisms in neighboring areas. Do not contaminate water when disposing of equipment washwater or rinsate.

This pesticide also meets the criteria for classification as a Restricted Use Pesticide (40 CFR 152.170 (c) (iii) with regard to risks to estuarine invertebrates.

101.6 Conclusions

Based on the current toxicity data and proposed use of Fipronil (Regent 80 WG) on cotton, EEB concludes that Fipronil may present an acute risk to nontarget avian species which may be mitigated through restricted use. There may also be chronic risk to avian species at all trophic levels. Although Fipronil is highly toxic to terrestrial organisms, the method of application may significantly reduce exposure of these animals feeding on the treated areas. However, freshwater and estuarine invertebrates are at high acute and chronic risks from the entry of Fipronil into estuaries adjacent to treated areas, especially from aerial (based on PRZM2/EXAMSII model values) and ground spray application methods (GENEEC values). The registrant needs to consider methods to reduce exposure in freshwater and estuarine areas.

Use on cotton may jeopardize federally listed endangered/threatened aquatic organisms and other endangered or threatened avian and mammalian species.

N.E. Federoff, Wildlife Biologist ERB IV

Environmental Fate and Effects Division

Doug Urban Screening and Greybeard Panel Environmental Fate and Effects Division

Fipion / Chronic Avian

DAILY ACCUMULATED PESTICIDE RESIDUES --- MULTP. APPL.

47.59334

63.28313 62.92461

62.56812 62.21364

61.86117

61.51071

61.16222 60.81571

60.47116

60.12857

59.78791

59.44919 59.11238 58.77748

58.44449

58.11338 57.78414

57.45677

57.13125 56.80758

56.48574

56.16572

55.84752 55.53112

55.21651

54.90369

54.59263

54.28334

53.97581

53.67001

53.36594

52.76298

52.46405

53.0636

63.6437

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

Chemical name Initial concentration (ppm) Half-life A number of application Application interval Length of simulation (day)	fipronil 16.32	0.068x 240 11m
DAY RESIDUE (PPM) 16.32 1 16.22754 2 16.1356 3 32.36419 4 32.18083 5 31.99851 6 48.13723 7 4786451		

```
52.16682
44
45
               51.87128
               51.5774
46
               51.28519
47
48
               50.99464
49
               50.70574
               50.41847
50
51
               50.13283
52
               49.8488
53
               49.56639
54
               49.28557
               49.00635
55
               48.72871
56
57
               48.45264
               48.17813
 58
               47.90518
 59
 60
               47.63378
                                           63.6437
Maximum residue -----
                                           51.84191
Average residue
DI Y ACCUMULATED PESTICIDE RESIDUES --- MULTP. APPL.
                                          fipronil
Chemical name -----
Initial concentration (ppm) -----
                                         9.18
                                                       0.068 x 135 110
Half-life -----
                                          122
A number of application -----
                                          4
Application interval -----
                                           3
                                          60
Length of simulation (day) -----
DAY
              RESIDUE (PPM)
 0
               9.18
               9.127992
 1
 2
               9.076278
               18.20486
 4
               18.10172
 5
               17.99917
 6
               27.07719
 7
               26.92379
 8
               26.77126
 9
               35.79958
               35.59676
 10
               35.39509
 11
               35.19457
 12
               34.99517
 13
                34.79691
 14
                34.59977
 15
                34.40375
 16
                34.20884
 17
```

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

34.01503 33.82232

33.6307

33.44017

33.25072

33.06234

32.87502

```
26
               32.50358
27
               32.31943
28
               32.13633
29
               31.95426
               31.77323
30
31
               31.59322
               31.41423
32
33
               31.23626
               31.05929
34
35
               30.88332
               30.70836
37
                30.53438
38
                30.36139
39
                30.18938
40
                30.01835
41
                29.84828
                29.67917
42
43
                29.51103
44
                29.34384
45
                29.17759
                29.01229
                28.84792
4.
48
                28.68449
49
                28.52198
50
                28.36039
 51
                28.19972
 52
                28.03995
 53
                27.88109
 54
                27.72313
                27.56607
 55
                27.4099
 56
 57
                27.25461
                27.1002
 58
                26.94667
 59
                26.794
 60
                                             35.79958
Maximum residue ----
Arrage residue
                                             29.16107
DAILY ACCUMULATED PESTICIDE RESIDUES --- MULTP. APPL.
                                            fipronil
Chemical name
                                             7.48 ____ 0.068 x 1/0
Initial concentration (ppm) -----
Half-life -----
                                             122 -
A number of application -----
                                             4
Application interval -----
                                             3
                                             60
Length of simulation (day) -----
DAY
               RESIDUE (PPM)
```

25

0

1 2

3

4

5

7.48 7.437623

7.395485

14.83359

14.74955

14.66599

32.68878

6		.0629							
7 8		.9379 .81362							
9		.17003							
10		.00477							
11 12		.84045 .67705		•			٠.		
13		.51459	-,						
14		.35304							
15 16		.19241 .03268							
17		.87387							•
18		.71595							
19 20		.55893 .4028							
21	The second secon	.24755						*	
22		.09318							
23 24		.93968 .78706							
2 4 25		.6353							
26		.4844			•				
2		.33435				•			
29		.03681							
30		.8893							
31 32		.74262 .59678						•	
33		.45176	-						
34		.30757							
35 36		.16419							
37		.87986							
38		.73891			<i>i</i> .	•			
39 40		.59875							
41	24	.32082							
42 43		.18303 .04602		•					
4 4		3.9098		·	.**				
45	23	3.77434					-	•	
46 47		3.63964 3.50572							
48		3.37254		•					
49		3.24013							
50 51		3.10846 2.97754							
52		2.84737					*		
53		2.71793							
54 55		2.58922				, .			
55 56		2.33399	•						
57	22	2.20746							
58 50		2.08164 1.95654							
59 60		1.95654						ý.	
Maximum	residue								7003
Average	residue			-			2.	5. 7€	5087

DP Barcode: D219266 MRID No.: 437766-02

DATA EVALUATION RECORD § 71-1(*) - AVIAN SINGLE-DOSE LD₅₀ TEST (B)

1. CHEMICAL: Fipronil PC Code No.:129121

2. TEST MATERIAL: MB 46513 (Photodegredate) Purity: 99.7 %

3. CITATION: see title

Authors: B.R. Helsten and A.M. Solatycki Title: 14-Day Acute Oral LD50 Study with

MB46513 in Mallard Ducks.

Study Completion Date: 8/10/94

Laboratory: Bio-Life

Sponsor: Rhone-Poulenc Ag Co.

Laboratory Report ID: BLAL no. 108-027-04

MRID No.: 437766-02

4. REVIEWED BY: N.E. Federoff (Wildlife Biologist) EEB, EFED

Signature: # L. Hzelul

Date: 10/20/95

5. APPROVED BY: Ann Stavola, Head, Section (5), EEB, EFED

Signature:

Date 10/20/95

6. STUDY PARAMETERS

Scientific Name of Test Organism: (Anas platyrhynchos)
Test Organisms Age/Size: 26 weeks of age at test initiation.
Definitive Study Duration: 14 days

7. <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>: The study was scientifically sound and fulfills guideline requirements for an avian acute oral study. With an LD50 of 420 mg ai/kg, MB46513 is moderately toxic to waterfowl.

Results Synopsis

 LD_{50} : 420 mg ai/kg 95% C.I.: 298-581 mg ai/kg

LOEL: 147 mg ai/kg Probit Slope: 3.34

8. ADEQUACY OF THE STUDY

- A. Classification: Core
- B. Rationale: N/A
- C. Repairability: N/A

9. GUIDELINE DEVIATIONS

1. No NOEL was obtained.

10. SUBMISSION PURPOSE: Registration

11. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Test Organisms

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Species: A wild waterfowl species, preferably the mallard (Anas platy-rhynchos), or an upland game bird species, preferably the bobwhite (Colinus virginianus).	Mallard Duck
Age at beginning of test: At least 16 weeks old.	26 weeks
Supplier	Whistling Wings
Acclimation period: At least 15 days.	70 days

B. Test System

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Pen facilities adequate?	122x122x122 cm steel wire pens
Photoperiod: 10-h light, 14-h dark is recommended.	10L/14D
Diet was nutritious and appropriate for species?	Yes
Feed withheld at least 15 hours prior to dosing?	Yes/21.5 hrs

C. Test Design

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Range finding test?	Yes
Definitive Test Nominal concentrations: At least five, in a geometric scale, unless LD ₅₀ > 2000 mg ai / kg.	147,215,464,1000,1470, and 2150 mg/kg
Controls: Water control or vehicle control (if vehicle is used)	Gelatin capsule
Number of birds per group: 10 (strongly recommended)	10 (5M/5F)
Vehicle: Distilled water, corn oil, propylene glycol, 1% carboxy- methylcellulose, or gum arabic.	None
Amount of vehicle per body weight: Constant volume/weight % of body weight, not to exceed 1% (1ml/100g).	N/A
Observations period: At least 14 days.	14 days

12. REPORTED RESULTS

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Quality assurance and GLP compliance statements were included in the report?	Yes
Individual body weights measured at beginning of test, on day 14 and at end of test if extended beyond 14 days?	Yes
Mean feed consumption measured at beginning of test, on day 14, and at end of test if extended beyond 14 days?	Yes
Control Mortality: Not more than 10%	0 %
Raw data included?	Yes
Signs of toxicity (if any) were described?	Yes

<u>Mortality</u>

		. Cumulative Number of Dead							
Dosage	No. of	Day of Study							
(mg/kg)	Birds	1	2	3	4	5	6-8	9-11	12-14
Control	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 0
147	10	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
215	10	0	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
464	10	1	2	3	3	4	4	4	4
1000	10	3	8	9	9	9	9	9	9
1470	10	4	9	10	10	10	10	10	10
2150	10	8	10	10	10	10	10	10	10

Other Significant Results: Clinical signs noted included lack of coordination, convulsions, lethargy, chalky droppings, and death as well as reductions in bodyweight and food consumption.

Reported Statistical Results

Statistical Method: Litchfield and Wilcoxon

 LD_{50} : 437 mg/kg

95% C.I.: 332-576 mg/kg

NOEL: Not achieved

Probit Slope: 1.88

13. Verification of Statistical Results

Statistical Method: Probit

 LD_{50} : 420 mg/kg

95% C.I.: 298-581 mg/kg

LOEL: 147 mg/kg Probit Slope: 3.34

14. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS: None

N.E.Federoff FIPRONIL MB46513 ACUTE ORAL LD50 (MALLARD)

CONC.	NUMBER EXPOSED	NUMBER DEAD	PERCENT DEAD	BINOMIAL PROB. (PERCENT)	
2150	10	10	100	9.765625E-02	
1470	10	10	100	9.765625E-02	
1000	10	9.	90	1.074219	
464	10	4	40	37.69531	
215	10	2	20	5.46875	
147	10	1	10	1.074219	

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 147 AND 1000 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 533.6925

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD
SPAN G LC50 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS
4 .118332 423.8456 302.661 574.3138

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD ITERATIONS G H
GOODNESS OF FIT PROBABILITY
5 .1517387 1
.780214

SLOPE = 3.340668

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 2.039356 AND 4.64198

LOSO = 420.4598 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 297.5286 AND 581.2357

* THESE DATA CHARACTERIZE FIPRONIL MB46513 AS BEING MEDERATELY TOXIC

TO WATERFOUND ON AN ACUTE ORAC BASIS. - N.E. FEDEROFF

MRID No.: 437766-01 DP Barcode: D219266

DATA EVALUATION RECORD § 71-1(A) - AVIAN SINGLE-DOSE LD₅₀ TEST

PC Code No.: 129121 1. CHEMICAL: Fipronil

TEST MATERIAL: MB 46513 (Photodegredate)

Purity:98.6 %

CITATION: 21-Day Acute Oral LD50 Study In Bobwhite Quail. 3.

Authors: C.A Pedersen and A.M. Solatycki

Title: see citation

Study Completion Date: 6/25/93

Laboratory: Bio-Life

Sponsor: Rhone-Poulenc Ag Company Laboratory Report ID: 108-017-03

437766-01 MRID No.:

4. N.E. Federoff (Wildlife Biologist), EEB, EFED REVIEWED BY:

Date: 10/20/95

Ann Stavola, Head, Section (5), EEB, EFED 5. APPROVED BY:

Signature:

STUDY PARAMETERS

Scientific Name of Test Organism: Colinus virginianus Test Organisms Age/Size: 20 weeks of age Definitive Study Duration: 21 days

CONCLUSIONS: This study is scientifically sound and fulfills quideline requirements for an acute oral study. With an LD50 of 5 mg/kg, MB 46513 is very highly toxic to upland gamebirds.

Results Synopsis

95% C.I.: 2.44-12 mg ai/kg LD_{50} : 5 mg ai/kg

NOEL: 3.16 mg ai/kg Probit Slope: 3.62

8. ADEQUACY OF THE STUDY

> Α. Classification: Core

Rationale: N/A В.

Repairability: N/A

GUIDELINE DEVIATIONS 9.

DP Barcode: D219266 MRID No.: 437766-01

10. SUBMISSION PURPOSE: Registration

11. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Test Organisms

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Species: A wild waterfowl species, preferably the mallard (Anas platy-rhynchos), or an upland game bird species, preferably the bobwhite (Colinus virginianus).	Bobwhite Quail
Age at beginning of test: At least 16 weeks old.	20 weeks
Supplier	Sand Prairie Quail Farm, IA.
Acclimation period: At least 15 days.	32 days

B. Test System

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Pen facilities adequate?	Yes in 61x53.3x38.1 cm steel wire pens.
Photoperiod: 10-h light, 14-h dark is recommended.	10L/14D
Diet was nutritious and appropriate for species?	Yes
Feed withheld at least 15 hours prior to dosing?	Yes, 21 hrs

DP Barcode: D219266 MRID No.: 437766-01

C. Test Design

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Range finding test?	Yes
Definitive Test Nominal concentrations: At least five, in a geometric scale, unless LD ₅₀ > 2000 mg ai / kg.	31.6, 14.7, 6.81, 3.16, and 1.47 mg ai/kg
Controls: Water control or vehicle control (if vehicle is used)	Vehicle
Number of birds per group: 10 (strongly recommended)	10
Vehicle: Distilled water, corn oil, propylene glycol, 1% carboxy- methylcellulose, or gum arabic.	Evaporated Acetone in gelatin capsules
Amount of vehicle per body weight: Constant volume/weight % of body weight, not to exceed 1% (1ml/100g).	N/A
Observations period: At least 14 days.	21 days