US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND GROUND WATER BRANCH

Review Action

JUN 1 1 1993

To:

Rebecca Cool, PM #41

Special Review and Reregistration Division (H7508W)

From: Akiva Abramovitch, Section Head

Chemistry Review Section 3

Environmental Fate & Ground Water Branch/EFED (H7507C)

Thru:

Henry Jacoby, Chief

Environmental Fate & Ground Water Brangh

Attached, please find the EFGWB review of...

Common Name:	Imidacloprid		Trade name:	Confidor 2 Fl	owable	
Company Name:	Miles		•	•		_
ID #:	93AZ0003				-	-)
Purpose:	EMERGENCY EXEMPTION					

Type Product:	Action Code:	EFGWB #(s):	Review Time:
INSECTICIDE	500	93-0740	1.0 DAYS

STATUS OF STUDIES IN THIS PACKAGE:

STATUS OF DATA REQUIREMENTS ADDRESSED IN THIS PACKAGE:

Guideline #	MRID	Status ¹
	·	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		
	<u> </u>	

Guideline #	Status ²	
		ı
		I
		i
		Į
,		I
endrandistrativistical del primario del prim		
		I
		**

¹Study Status Codes: A=Acceptable IJ=Upgradeable C=Ancillary I=Invalid
Data Requirement Status Codes: S=Satisfied P=Partially satisfied N=Not satisfied R=Reserved W=Waived.

1. CHEMICAL:

Common Name: Imidacloprid

Chemical Name:

Exists as tautomer.

1-((6-Chloro-3-pyridinyl)methyl)-4,5-dihydro-N-nitro-1H-imidazol-2-amine.
1-[(6-chloro-3-pyridinl)methyl]-N-nitro-2-imidazolidinimine.
Preferred tautomer.

Type of product: Insecticide

Chemical Structure:

Physical/Chemical Properties

Molecular formula: C₉H₁₀N₅O₂Cl. Molecular weight: 255.67.

Physical state: Light yellow powder.

Density: 1.542 g/cm³.

Vapor pressure (20 C): 6.0 x 10 Torr.

Solubility (20 C): 0.58 g/L water; miscible in

n-hexane, methylene

chloride, 2-propanol, and

toluene.

2. TEST MATERIAL:

N/A

3. STUDY/ACTION TYPE:

The Arizona Department of Agriculture requests an EMERGENCY EXEMPTION (Section 18) to use Confidor 2 Flowable (21.4% ai) to control the Silverleaf (Sweetpotato) Whitefly on cotton.

4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION:

1. Letter from the Arizona Department of Agriculture dated May 11, 1993 to Rebecca Cool outlining the section 18 application.

2. Contact Persons:

Ed Minch Arizona Department of Agriculture 1688 West Adams Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (602) 542-0954 Dr. Theo Watson
Department of Entomology
University of Arizona
Tucson, Arizona 85721
(602) 621-1151

5. REVIEWED BY:

Kevin L. Poff, Chemist
Environmental Chemistry Review Section #3
Environmental Fate and Groundwater Branch/EFED

6. APPROVED BY:

Akiva Abramovitch, Ph.D., Chemist Environmental Chemistry Review Section #3 Environmental Fate and Groundwater Branch/EFED Date: Jew Hamertes MAY 28 1993

7. CONCLUSIONS:

a. Administrative Conclusions

The EFGWB can support the EMERGENCY EXEMPTION (section 18) petitioned by the Arizona Department of Agriculture to apply Imidacloprid (Confidor 2 Flowable) as a treatment for whiteflies on cotton to 300,000 acres in 6 counties (Lapaz, Maricopa, Mohave, Pima, Pinal, and Yuma) in Arizona from July 5, 1993 to October 15, 1993. However, there is potential concern for this chemical to leach to ground water and/or be transported to surface waters so care must be taken to protect these waters during use, particularly in vulnerable areas.

b. Scientific Conclusions

Although the environmental fate data for imidacloprid under a terrestrial non-food crop use is not totally complete (reviewed and acceptable according to EFGWB guidelines) at this time, we do have enough acceptable and supplemental data to grant the exemption.

The available data indicate that imidacloprid is mobile (Kd_{ads}) = 0.956, 1.02, 4.18, 4.76, 3.45 for a sand (0.4% OM), loamy sand (0.6% OM), silt loam (2.6% OM), silt loam (with sodium azide), and loam (2.0% OM), and persistent (aerobic soil t1/2 = > 1 year in a sandy loam soil, terrestrial field dissipation t1/2 = 107 days to > 1 year). Therefore, imidacloprid has the potential to leach to ground water. In addition, high solubility and mobility are concerns for transport to surface water by dissolved run-off.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS:

The EMERGENCY EXEMPTION (section 18) to use imidacloprid (confidor 2 flowable systemic insecticide) to control white flies in Arizona may be granted provided ground and surface water in the use sites are protected. Also inform the Arizona Department of Agriculture of the following label statement that was not present on the reviewed confidor 2 flowable label: "This chemical demonstrates the properties and characteristics associated with chemicals detected in ground water. The use of this chemical in areas where soils are permeable, particularly where the water table is shallow, may result in ground-water contamination."

9. BACKGROUND:

Imidacloprid [NTN 33893; 1-((6-chloro-3-pyridinyl)methyl)-4,5-dihydro-N-nitro-1H-imidazole-2-amine] is a broad spectrum, systemic insecticide currently being developed by Miles Inc. for Terrestrial Non-food, or Residential and Commercial Outdoor: Lawns, turfgrass, and ornamentals. Also, Greenhouse Non-food or Residential and Commercial Indoor: Ornamentals. The proposed maximum use rates are 0.5 lb ai/A/year or 500-560 g/ha, greenhouse soil applications are limited to a single application per crop cycle, or once per year on crops having a production cycle of longer than one year. Single active ingredient formulations include wettable powder, flowable concentrate, and granular. Multiple active ingredient formulations include carbofuran (5% granular). Formulations include the 94% ai technical, 75% concentrate, and a 2.5% and 0.62% granular

The submission of data required for full registration of imidacloprid on terrestrial non-food use sites is summarized below:

Satisfied:

- -Hydrolysis (161-1); MRID #42055337, EFGWB #92-0210, -0196. Stable at pH 5, 7, some degradation at pH 9 t1/2= 355 days.
- -Photodegradation in Water (161-2); MRID #42256376, EFGWB #92-0847,-1039/42.
 - Half-life of approximately 1 hour (4.2 hours theoretical, under natural sunlight) in sterile aqueous buffer solutions (pH 7) that were continuously irradiated with an artificial light source (xenon lamp) for up to 2 hours at 23-24.5 C.
 - -Photodegradation on Soil (161-3); MRID #42256377, EFGWB #92-0847,-1039/42.
 - Half-life of 39 days (171 hours, theoretical half-life under natural sunlight) on sandy loam soil that was continuously irradiated with a UV-filtered xenon light source for 15 days at 25 \pm 2 C.
 - -Aerobic Soil Metabolism (162-1); MRID #42073501, EFGWB #92-0210,-
 - Calculated half-life of > 1 year in a sandy loam soil that was incubated in the dark at 22 \pm 2°C and 75% of the 0.33 bar moisture. CO₂, was the major degradate.
 - -Anaerobic Aquatic Metabolism (162-3); MRID #42256378, EFGWB #92-0847,-1039/42. Half-life of 27 days in anaerobic silt loam sediment that was incubated in the dark at 22 \pm 1 C for 1 year.
 - -Adsorption/Desorption (163-1); MRID #42520801, EFGWB #93-0266,93-0071. This review. Freundlich K_{ads} constants and 1/N isotherms describing the adsorption of NTN 33893 on a sand (0.4% OM), loamy sand (0.6% OM), silt loam (2.6% OM), silt loam (with sodium azide), and loam (2.0% OM) were K_{ads} =0.956; 1/N=0.781, K_{ads} =1.02; 1/N=0.877, K_{ads} =4.18; 1/N=0.775, K_{ads} =4.76; 1/N=0.729, and K_{ads} =3.45; 1/N=0.757

respectively. The Freundlich K_{des} constants and 1/N isotherms describing the desorption of NTN 33893 on the above soils were K_{des} =0.662; 1/N=0.917, K_{des} =0.542; 1/N=1.02, K_{des} =4.68; 1/N=0.775, K_{des} =3.38; 1/N=0.877, and K_{des} =4.40; 1/N=0.793 respectively.

-Adsorption/Desorption (163-1); MRID #42520802, EFGWB #93-0266, 93-0071, This review. (Supplemental adsorption/desorption data was submitted on degradate NTN 33823 generated in the anaerobic aquatic metabolism 162-3 study. NTN 33823 increased to a total maximum average of 66.0% of the applied radioactivity at 249 days posttreatment and was 64.0% at 358 days). Freundlich Kads constants and 1/N isotherms describing the adsorption of NTN 33823 on a sand (0.4% OM), loamy sand (0.6% OM), silt loam (2.6% OM), and loam (2.0% OM) were $K_{ads}=0.761$; 1/N=1.22, $K_{ads}=2.91$; 1/N=1.09, $K_{ads}=14.2$; 1/N=1.02, and $K_{ads}=10.1$; 1/N=0.819 respectively. The Freundlich K_{des} constants and 1/N isotherms describing desorption of NTN 33823 on a sand, loamy sand, silt loam, and loam were $K_{des} = 0.456$; 1/N = 1.41, $K_{des} = 2.45$; 1/N = 1.13, $K_{des} = 16.9$; 1/N = 1.03, and $K_{des}=12.0$; 1/N=0.840 respectively.

-soil Column Leaching (163-1); MRID #42055339, EFGWB #92-0210,-0196. (Aged) (>85% of the radioactivity was contained in the aged portion and the 0-5cm column layer). Imidacloprid was found at 48.5 \pm 1.1% of the applied radioactivity in the top layer (the applied sandy loam soil). 37 \pm 0.0% of the applied radioactivity was found in the 0-5 cm layer. 10.8 \pm 1.4% was found in the 5-10 cm layer. 4.2 \pm 0.6% was found in the 10-15 cm layer. 1.8 \pm 0.1% was found in the 15-20 cm layer. 0.3 \pm 0.1% was found in the 20-30 cm layer. 0.14% of the applied radioactivity was found in the total volume of leachate. About 90.4% of unchanged parent compound was found in the sandy loam soil after an aging period of 30 days.

Ancillary data (supplemental):

-Adsorption/Desorption (163-1); MRID #42055338, EFGWB #92-0210,-0196. (Unaged) This study was determined to be supplemental due to an inadequate comparison of German soils to US soil. Kads values on a sandy loam soil, (greenhouse Kansas), (1.4% OM), silt soil, Hofchen (1.8% OM), low humus sandy soil, (standard soil 2.1), (0.75% OM), and a silty clay, (Ranschbach), (0.64% OM) were $K_{\rm ads}=3.59$; 1/N=0.744, $K_{\rm ads}=2.38$; 1/N=0.827, $K_{\rm ads}=1.17$; 1/N=0.777, $K_{\rm ads}=1.36$; 1/N=0.851 respectively. The Freundlich $K_{\rm des}$ constants and 1/N isotherms describing the desorption of NTN 33893 on the above soils were $K_{\rm des}=4.0$; 1/N=0.789, $K_{\rm des}=2.75$; 1/N=0.905, $K_{\rm des}=2.09$; 1/N=0.921, $K_{\rm des}=2.11$; 1/N=0.916 respectively.

-Terrestrial Field Dissipation (164-1); EFGWB #92-0847,-1039/42. MRID #42256379. Imidacloprid dissipated with an observed half-life of >1 year from the upper 6 inches of a bareground plot (100 x 102 feet) of loamy sand soil in Georgia following a broadcast application of imidacloprid (23.3% ai liquid suspension) at 0.5 lb ai/A on April 16, 1990.

MRID #42256380. Imidacloprid did not dissipate from the upper 6 inches of a plot (60 x 150 feet) of sandy loam soil planted to

corn in Minnesota during the 12 months following a preemergence application of imidacloprid [Bay NTN 33893 240 FS; 1-((6-chloro-3-pyridinyl)methyl)-4,5-dihydro-N-nitro-1H-imidazol-2-amine; 22.8% liquid suspension] at 0.5 lb ai/A on June 6, 1990.

MRID #42256381. Imidacloprid dissipated with a calculated half-life of 146 days (Day 0 -Day 364) from a plot of sandy loam soil planted to tomatoes in California after a preemergence application of imidacloprid (23.3% ai liquid suspension) at 0.5 lb ai/A.

MRID #42256382. Imidacloprid dissipated from turf with a calculated half-life of 107 days (data points 0 - 126 days).

MRID #42256383. Imidacloprid did not appear to dissipate from the 0- to 3- inch depth of loam soil of a bluegrass turf plot (70 x 110 feet) in Minnesota during the 4 months following a broadcast application of imidacloprid (22.8% liquid suspension] at 0.5 lb ai/A to the turf on June 19, 1990.

Not Satisfied:

-Long Term Terrestrial Field Dissipation (164-5). Two studies are required.

Option 1: One study with the granular and one study with the liquid concentrate.

Option 2: Two studies with the liquid concentrate. One of which needs to be incorporated. In addition to the two studies with the liquid concentrate, bridging data between the liquid concentrate and granular formulation are required. All studies are to be completed on a Type A or B soil with low organic matter content.

Waived:

-Laboratory studies of pesticide accumulation in fish (165-4). No data were reviewed, although data provided by the registrant indicates a very low octanol/water (Kow) partition coefficient (Kow for imidacloprid = 3.7 @ 21°C).

Reserved:

-Ground Water Monitoring:

- -Small Prospect. (166-1)
- -Small Retrosp. (166-2)

10. DISCUSSION:

a. The EFGWB has the following comments concerning this submission:

Except for field dissipation data all requirements for the terrestrial non-food use have been satisfied for imidacloprid.

The Arizona Department of Agriculture is petitioning to apply imidacloprid at a maximum of 5 times in one use season (July to October) at 0.05 lb. ai/acre with a total maximum of 0.25 lb ai/acre during this growing season. This is 1/2 the 0.5 lb ai/acre/year maximum use rate proposed by Miles Inc.

b. Description of Emergency Exemption Program:

It is estimated that 300,000 acres will be treated with 0.05 lb. ai (3 fl. oz) per acre with a maximum of 5 applications. A total of 75,000 lbs. of ai or 35,000 gallons of product will be applied (confidor 2 flowable contains 2 lbs of ai/gallon). Applications to blooming cotton will be made at night and the method of application will be ground or air. The use season will be July 5, 1993 to October 15, 1993.

11. <u>COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER</u>: Attached.

12. <u>CBI INDEX</u>: Not Applicable.

IMIDACLOPRID

Last Update on March 3, 1993

[V] = Validated Study [S] = Supplemental Study [U] = USDA Data

LOGOUT Reviewer: Section Head: Date:

Common Name: IMIDACLOPRID

Smiles Code:

PC Code # :129099

CAS #:

Caswell #:

Chem. Name :1-[(6-chloro-3-pyridinyl)methyl]-4,5-dihydro-N-nitro-1H-

imidazole-2-amine

Action Type:Insecticide

Trade Names:NTN 33893

(Formul'tn):0.62% granular, 2.5% granular, 75% concentrate, 94% technical

Physical State: light yellow powder

Use :terrestrial nonfood uses

Patterns : Maximum commercial rate 0.560 kg ai/ha, 0.5 lb ai/A/year

(% Usage) :

Empirical Form: C₉H₁₀ClN₅O₂

Molecular Wgt.: 255.67 Vapor Pressure: 6.90E -9 Torr
Melting Point: °C Boiling Point: °C

Melting Point: °C Boiling Point: °C
Log Kow : 0.52 pKa: @

Log Kow : 0.52 pKa: @ °C
Henry's : E Atm. M3/Mol (Measured) 4.00E-12 (calc'd)

Solubility in ... Comments

Water 5.80E ppm @20.0 °C °C E @ Acetone ppm °C E @ Acetonitrile mqq °C Benzene E **a** ppm E °C Chloroform @ ppm °C E @ Ethanol ppm °C E Methanol ppm °C Ε miscible Toluene ppm 6 °C E 9 Xvlene ppm 1.60E @20.0 °C 2-propanol ppm 3

Hydrolysis (161-1)

[V] pH 5.0:Stable in dark at 25C

[V] pH 7.0:Stable in dark at 25C

[V] pH 9.0:Degraded slightly with a half-life of 355 days.

E

[] pH :

[]

Hq [



mqq

6

°C

Last Update on March 3, 1993

[V] = Validated Study [S] = Supplemental Study [U] = USDA Data

Photolysis (161-2, -3, -4) [V] Water:1 hour pH 7 Xenon lamp [] : [] : [] :	
<pre>[V] Soil :t1/2= 39 days on a sandy loam soil under a Xenon light so [] Air :</pre>	urc
Aerobic Soil Metabolism (162-1) [V] In sandy loam in dark, degraded with a calculated half-life of [} 1 year at 22C. CO2 was the major degradate at 7.4% of the application of the load	lid
Anaerobic Soil Metabolism (162-2) [] See anaerobic aquatic metabolism study. [] [] [] [] [] []	
Anaerobic Aquatic Metabolism (162-3) [V] t1/2= 27 days in a silt loam sediment. [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	
Aerobic Aquatic Metabolism (162-4) [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	

IMIDACLOPRID

Last Update on March 3, 1993

[V] = Validated Study [S] = Supplemental Study [U] = USDA Data

[V] [] [] []	Sartition Soil Type sand Imysd Stlm loam Stlm(w/Na	Kads 0.956 1.02 4.18 3.45	1/N .781 .877 .775	Kdes .662 .542 4.68		%OM 0.4% 0.6% 2.6% 2.0% 2.6%	See Comments	Section
[V] [] []	Rf Factors sandy loa layer. 37 the 10-15 the 20-30 of applie	m soil; 49 % in the C cm layer. cm layer.	0-5 cm 1.8% w 0.14%	layer. as fou was fo	11% in nd in t	the 5-10c he 15-20cm	m layer. n layer. 0	4.2% in .3% in
Labora [] []	atory Vola	tility (10	53-2)			,		
Field [] []	Volatilit	y (163-3)						
[S] [S] [S] [S]	rate of 0 did not d MN at 0.5 t1/2=146 suspension t1/2=107	t1/2= >1 y .51b/ai/A lissipate : 51b/ai/A of days in a on.	vear lo of liq in the f liqui sandy	pamy sa quid su upper d susp loam s	spensio 6 inche ension. oil in t 0.51b	on. es of sandy CA at 0.5 /ai/A.	y loam soi lb/ai/A of	l in
Aquat [] [] [] [] []	ic Dissipa	tion (164	-2)					
Fores [] []	try Dissir	oation (16	4-3)					·

Last Update on March 3, 1993

[V] = Validated Study [S] = Supplemental Study [U] = USDA Data

Long-Term Soil Dissipation (164-5) [] []
Accumulation in Rotational Crops, Confined (165-1) [] []
Accumulation in Rotational Crops, Field (165-2) [] []
Accumulation in Irrigated Crops (165-3) [] []
Bioaccumulation in Fish (165-4) [] []
Bioaccumulation in Non-Target Organisms (165-5) [] []
Ground Water Monitoring, Prospective (166-1) [] [] [] []
Ground Water Monitoring, Small Scale Retrospective (166-2) [] [] [] []
Ground Water Monitoring, Large Scale Retrospective (166-3) [] [] [] []
Ground Water Monitoring, Miscellaneous Data (158.75) [] [] []

Last Update on March 3, 1993
[V] = Validated Study [S] = Supplemental Study [U] = USDA Data

Field Runoff (167-1) [] [] [] []
Surface Water Monitoring (167-2) [] [] [] []
<pre>Spray Drift, Droplet Spectrum (201-1) [] [] [] []</pre>
Spray Drift, Field Evaluation (202-1) [] [] [] []
Degradation Products
NTN 33519; Photoproduct NTN 38014; Photoproduct WAK 4103; Photoproduct CPAcid; Photoproduct WAK 3839; Photoproduct NTN 33823

Last Update on March 3, 1993

[V] = Validated Study [S] = Supplemental Study [

[U] = USDA Data

Comments

An aerobic soil metabolism study was completed w/ground cover. Mat. balances were not established although it appears that NTN degrades at an excellerated rate w/ground cover/fertilizers. 10% of applied was taken up by the ground cover (study was supplemental). An ad/des on NTN 33823 (major degradate in 162-3 study) showed mobility on soils of low %OM, <1%.

References: Mobay Studies

Writer : KLP

DP BARCODE: D191406

CASE: 284691

DATA PACKAGE RECORD

DATE: 05/18/93 SUBMISSION: S440617 BEAN SHEET Page 1 of 1

* * * CASE/SUBMISSION INFORMATION * * *

CASE TYPE: EMERGENCY EXEMP ACTION: 500 SECT18 SPC EXE NC F/F USE

CHEMICALS: 129099 Imidacloprid

ID#: 93AZ0003

COMPANY:

PRODUCT MANAGER: 41 REBECCA COOL

ANDREA BEARD PM TEAM REVIEWER:

703-308-8417 ROOM: CS1 703-308-8791 ROOM: CS1

RECEIVED DATE: 05/12/93 DUE OUT DATE: 07/01/93

* * * DATA PACKAGE INFORMATION * * *

DP BARCODE: 191406 EXPEDITE: N DATE SENT: 05/18/93 DATE RET.:

CHEMICAL: 129099 Imidacloprid

DP TYPE: 001 Submission Related Data Package

ADMIN DUE DATE: 06/07/93 CSF: N LABEL: Y

ASSIGNED TO DATE IN DATE OUT 5 1201 93 DIV : EFED BRAN: EFGB SECT: REVR: CONTR:

* * * DATA REVIEW INSTRUCTIONS * * *

Please provide a review of the attached request for a specific exemption from AZ for use of imidacloprid (new chemical) on cotton for control of whiteflies. Please indicate whether existing data submitted by the registrant (PP#s 3F4169 & 3H5655) supports this emergency use, and whether there are groundwater concerns expected. Thanks.

Andrea Beard

* * * ADDITIONAL DATA PACKAGES FOR THIS SUBMISSION * * *

DP BC	BRANCH/SECTION	DATE OUT	DUE BACK	INS	CSF	LABEL
191402	BAB	05/18/93	06/07/93	Y	N	Y
191403	EAB	05/18/93	06/07/93	Y	N	Y .
191404	TB-1	05/18/93	06/07/93	Y	N	Y
191405	TSCB	05/18/93	06/07/93	Y	N	Y
191407	EEB	05/18/93	06/07/93	Y	N	Y

73/60 Al

J. H. "BUD" PAULSON Associate Director

Arizona Department of Agriculture

1688 West Adams, Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (602) 542-3578 FAX (602) 542-0466

ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIVISION

May 11, 1993

Ms. Rebecca Cool (H7505W)
Emergency Response and Minor Use Section
Registration Support Branch
Registration Division/OPP
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Room 52, 6th Floor
2800 Jefferson Davis Highway
Arlington, Virginia 22202

Dear Ms. Cool:

We would like to apply for a specific exemption under the provision of Section 18 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act, as amended, as indicated in the attached request. Please contact me if there are any questions.

The following supporting documents are included:

- 1. Proposed label (p. 4-7).
- 2. List of alternative pesticides (p. 8).
- 3. "Sweetpotato Whitefly in Arizona" and "1993 Insect Pest Management for Cotton" (p. 9-20).
- 4. Efficacy studies (p. 21-58).
- 5. List of Endangered Species by county (p. 59-63).
- 6. Letter from the product registrant (p. 64).
- 7. Table of economic data (p. 65-66).
- Letter from Dr. Theo Watson, Department of Entomology, University of Arizona (p. 67).

Sincerely yours,

Edein W. Minch

Edwin W. Minch Environmental Specialist ALTERNATIVE METHODS OF CONTROL (166.20(a)(4))

Alternative Pesticides: See attached sheet (p. 8).

Other Alternative Practices:

Please see publication, "Sweetpotato Whitefly in Arizona" and "1993 Insect Pest Management for Cotton" (p. 9-20).

EFFICACY OF PROPOSED USE (166.20(a)(5))

Please see attached studies (p. 21-58).

FOOD RESIDUES (166.20(a)(6))

Data has been submitted by Miles - Pesticide Petition Number 3F4169 and Food Additive Petition Number 3H5655.

RISK INFORMATION (166.20(a)(7))

Description of Application Sites: Use is requested for LaPaz, Maricopa, Mohave, Pima, Pinal and Yuma Counties.

Possible Risks Posed by Use: Product is generally environmentally safe, but may pose a risk to bees.

Proposals To Mitigate Risks: Applications to blooming cotton will be made at night.

COORDINATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES (166.20(a)(8))

Please see separate sheet for list of endangered of threatened species (p. 59-63).

NOTIFICATION OF REGISTRANT (166.20(a)(9))

Please see attached letter (p. 64).

ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM (166.20(a)(10))

The Arizona Department of Agriculture is the lead agency in the state for enforcement of pesticide use within the state except for structural use (ARS 3-361 et. seq.).

REPEAT USES (166.20(a)(11))

Has a final report from the previous exemption been submitted? N/

PROGRESS TOWARDS REGISTRATION (166.25(b)(2)(ii))

Section 3 application pending at EPA for use on cotton.

CONFIDOR 2 Flowable Systemic Insecticide

FOR CONTROL OF CERTAIN INSECTS INFESTING COTTON

ACTIVE INGREDIENT:
Imidacloprid, 1-[(8-Chloro-3-pyridinyl)methyl]
-N-ritro-2-imidazolidinimine 21.4%
INERT INGREDIENTS 21.4%
T8.6%
100.0%
Contains 2 pounds of imidacloprid per gallon

EPA File Symbol No. 3125-URI Net Contents: Gallons

STOP - READ THE LABEL BEFORE USE

Keep Out Of Reach of Children

CAUTION

(See Rear Panel for Statements of Practical Treatment and Other Precautionary Statements)

SHAKE WELL BEFORE USING

AVISO

PRECAUCION AL USUARIO: Si usted no puede leer o entender inglés, no use exte producto hasta que la ctiqueta le haya sido explicada ampliamente.

(TO THE USER: If you cannot read or understand English, do not use this product until the label has been fully explained to you.)



DIRECTIONS FOR USE (Continued)

CONFIDOR 2 Flowable may also be used with other pesticides and/or fertilizer solutions as recommended under specific crop use directions (see NOTE below). When tank mixtures of CONFIDOR 2 Flowable and other pesticides are involved, prepare the tank mixture as recommended above. When pesticide mixtures are needed, add wettable powders first, CONFIDOR 2 Flowable, or other flowables second, and emulsifiable concentrates last. Ensure good agitation as each component is added. Do not add the second component in the mixture until the tank contains at least 1/2 of desired amount of water. If a fertilizer solution is added, a fertilizer pesticide compatibility agent may be needed. Maintain constant agitation during both mixing and application to ensure uniformity of spray mixture.

NOTE: Test compatibility of the intended tank mixture before adding CONFIDOR 2 Flowable to the spray or mix tank. Add proportionate amounts of each ingredient in the appropriate order, to a pint or quart jar, cap, shake for 5 minutes, and let set for 5 minutes. Poor mixing or formation of precipitates that do not readily redisperse indicates an incompatible mixture that should not be used. For further information, contact your local Miles representative.

		RECOMMENDED AF	PPLICATIONS
CROP	PEST	RATE PER APPLICATION	REMARKS
Cotton	Whiteflies (Including silverleaf or sweet potato)	Foliar Application 3.0 fl oz per acre	Apply specified dosage per acre as a foliar-spray whe whiteflies reach treatable levels. Repeat application every 7 days. Thorough coverage of the plant canopies essential for optimal control. The preferred method of application is by ground using a minimum of 2 gallons of spray volume per acre. If applied by all utilize a minimum of 10 gallons of spray volume per acre. This product may be tank mixed with other pesticides as recommended for control of other pest or for improved control of whitefly. A spray adjuve may be tank mixed with CONFIDOR 2 Flowable 1 improve coverage.
			CONFIDOR 2 Flowable will not knock down heave whitefly populations. If the whitefly population is we established, use CONFIDOR 2 Flowable only it combination with another recommended pesticide apply sequential applications of CONFIDOR 2 Flowable following a minimum of 2 sequential applications of pyrathroid-organophosphate tank mix. After maximum of 5 applications of CONFIDOR 2 Flowable use alternative pesticides to maintain control Regardless of the number of CONFIDOR 2 Flowable applications made, a minimum of two applications alternative pesticide must be utilized as the fine whitefly treatments of the season.
			Application of CONFIDOR 2 Flowable made for contr of whitefiles will also control aphids and plant bugs
			Do not apply more than 15 fluid ounces (0.25 active ingredient) of CONFIDOR 2 Flowable per ac per season. Allow at least 14 days between la application and harvest.

Hesistance: Some insects are known to develop resistance to insecticides after repeated use. As with any insecticide, the use of this product should conform to resistance management strategies established for the use area. Consult your agricultural advisor for resistance management strategies and recommended pest management practices for your area.





Agriculture Division

May 5, 1993

Miles Inc. 8400 Hawthorn Road P.O. Box 4913 Kansas City, MO 64120-0013 Phone: 816 242-2000

Dr. Edwin Minch, Environmental Specialist Arizona Department of Agriculture 1688 West Adams Phoenix, Arizona 85006

Re: CONFIDOR 2 Flowable (imidacloprid; NTN 33893) Letter of Support / Cotton

Dear Dr. Minch:

It is our understanding that the Arizona Cotton Growers Association is pursuing an Emergency Exemption for the use of CONFIDOR 2 Flowable systemic insecticide (EPA File Symbol No. 3125-URI) to control whitefly on cotton.

This letter is to inform the Department of our support of the proposed Section 18 in Arizona, and to update the Department regarding our pursuit of a Section 3 registration for the use of CONFIDOR 2 Flowable on cotton. As you are aware, we have a Section 3 application pending with the U.S. EPA for use on cotton (Pesticide Petition No. 3F4169; Food Additive Petition No. 3H5655). Furthermore, we have recently received the U.S. EPA acceptance of our proposed Experimental Use Permit (EPA EUP No. 3125-EUP-204) for the use of imidacloprid (PREMISE) as a termiticide; this EUP has just recently been submitted for your review.

Since we are actively pursuing this Section 3 use of CONFIDOR 2 Flowable on cotton, we gladly support any Section 18 requested by the cotton growers in your state. We also hereby authorize the Arizona Department of Agriculture to refer to any research and test data which may become a part of the Department's files on our active ingredient imidacloprid, in support of this specific action.

Yours very truly,

MILES INC.

AGRICULTURE DIVISION

Douglas A. Spilker, 4h.D. Regulatory Affairs Specialist

Registrations Department

DAS/jcm DAS93133

cc: Mr. Robert Barkley, President

Arizona Cotton Growers Association

Mr. Rick Lavis, Executive Vice President Arizona Cotton Growers Association Dr. Andy-Jordan, National Cotton Council



J. H. "BUD" PAULSON Associate Director

12-114-06

Arizona Department of Agriculture

(Last year) 1688 West Adams, Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (602) 542-3578 FAX (602) 542-0466

ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIVISION

EMERGENCY EXEMPTION FINAL REPORT (92-AZ-02)

Total Acreage Treated Under Exemption: 158,808 acres permitted.

Total Quantity of Pesticide Used:

Total Amount of Active Ingredient: 11,895 pounds

Total Amount of Product: 7,930 gallons

Discussion of the Effectiveness of the Pesticide Used:

I have discussed the value of this material in control of the whitefly on cotton with a number of growers who used it this past crop season. There is considerable disagreement as to the effectiveness of the product. Some growers felt that it was of little value, others indicated that it had helped. In general I feel that if the product was applied in a timely fashion and used several times that it did increase the control above that which would have been achieved without it. Ovasyn cannot provide the spectacular control of whiteflies that I have seen accomplished by other chemicals when used in different pest situations.

Discussion of Any Unexpected Adverse Effects Which Resulted from This Use: None

Results of Any Compliance Monitoring Required and/or Carried Out Under This Exemption: None

Discussion of Any Enforcement Actions Taken in Connection With the Exemption: We issued grower use permits to cover 158,808 acres of cotton.

Method of Disposition of Food Crop, if Required to be Destroye $\hat{\alpha}$ Under the Exemption: N/A

Any Other Information Requested by the EPA in Connection With the Exemption: None

Was a Crisis Also Declared? No

Does this Report Cover Both a Specific or Quarantine, and a Crisis Exemption? No

Explanation Why there was a Need to Utilize Crisis Provisions, if a Crisis was Declared: N/A