

# **Text Searchable File**

#### DATA EVALUATION RECORD

#### STUDY 5

§164-1

039838

# CHEM 129034 Flumioxazin CAS No. 103361-09-7 FORMULATION–06–WETTABLE POWDER

# STUDY ID 44295045

Pensyl, J. W. 1996. Field dissipation study with V-53482 WDG herbicide in Mississippi. Valent Project No.: VP-1013. Unpublished study performed by Valent U.S.A. Corp., Greenville, MS (in-life phase); Valent USA Corporation, Dublin, CA (analytical phase); and Chevron Chemical Company, Richmond, CA (analytical phase); and submitted by Valent USA Corporation, Walnut Creek, CA.

#### STUDY ID 44295042

Kruplak, J. F. 1995. Independent laboratory validation of the method of quantitation of flumioxazin in soil. ADC Project Number: 1550-1. Unpublished study performed by Analytical Development Corporation, Colorado Springs, CO; and submitted by Valent U.S.A. Corporation, Walnut Creek, CA.

DIRECT REVIEW	TIME = 43 Hours		
<b>REVIEWED BY:</b>	A. M. Glucksman, M.S.	Signature:	
TITLE:	Scientist	Date:	· · · ·
EDITED BY:	D. R. Hunt, B.A.	Signature:	
TITLE:	Scientist	Date:	
APPROVED BY:	P. H. Howard, Ph.D.	Signature:	
TITLE:	Project Manager	Date:	
ORG:	Syracuse Research Corporation	n	
	Arlington, VA 22202		
TEL:	703/413-9369		
		$\square$	1 1
APPROVED BY:	Larry Liu	F.A.	() / 2 / 1
TITLE:	Environmental Scientist	$h/\Lambda > 1$	~/~/07
ORG:	ERB IV/EFED/OPP		
TEL:	703/305-5372	~	
SIGNATURE:	н. Т		

1

#### CONCLUSIONS

#### Field Dissipation - Terrestrial

- 1. This study is scientifically valid and provides useful information on the terrestrial field dissipation of flumioxazin (soybean use pattern) on a plot of silt loam soil planted with soybeans in Mississippi.
- 2. This study does not meet Subdivision N Guidelines for the partial fulfillment of EPA data requirements on terrestrial field dissipation for the following reason:
  - (i) soil samples were not analyzed for degradates; therefore, the patterns of formation and decline of degradates could not be addressed.
- 3. Flumioxazin (Sumisoya<sup>®</sup>; V-53482 WDG, 50.9% a.i.), broadcast applied once as a spray at a nominal application rate of 42.5 g a.i./A, dissipated with a registrant-calculated half-life of 10.3 days (r<sup>2</sup> = 0.97) on a plot of silt loam soil planted with soybeans (the day after application) in Mississippi; the observed first half-life occurred between 5 and 8 days posttreatment. However, the half-life of the parent is of questionable worth since the data utilized in the half-life calculation were determined on a wet-weight basis. Residue data were reported as means of multiple replicates. I n the 0- to 7.5-cm depth, the parent was 0.070-0.071 ppm at 0-1 day posttreatment, decreased to 0.051 ppm by 5 days, was 0.031-0.032 ppm from 8 to 14 days, and was last detected at 0.011 ppm at 28 days. The parent compound was not detected below the 0- to 7.5-cm depth. Samples were not analyzed for degradates of flumioxazin.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

Flumioxazin (Sumisoya<sup>®</sup>; V-53482 WDG, 50.9% a.i.) was broadcast applied once as a spray at a nominal rate of 42.5 g a.i./A, onto a plot (120 x 120 ft with nine subplots of 25 x 25 ft, slope 0.5-2.0%; p. 12) of silt loam soil (0-30 cm: 26% sand, 58% silt, 16% clay, organic matter 1.1%, pH 6.0, CEC 12.6 meq/100 g; p. 13) in Greenville, Mississippi (pp. 12-14). The application was made using a tractor-mounted sprayer with eight nozzles and a boom height of 18 inches above the soil (p. 14). Following the application, the pesticide was incorporated to a depth of 1-2 inches; soybeans were planted in the test plot following incorporation (1 day after treatment). An untreated control plot (25 x 25 ft) was located 150 feet from the treated plots (p. 12). A three-year plot history indicated no prior use of flumioxazin or related compounds (Appendix XVIII, p. 204). Plots were treated once with Treflan<sup>®</sup> 4ED (trifluralin) on the day of pesticide application and once each with Select<sup>®</sup> (clethodim) and Blazer<sup>®</sup> 2 (acifluorfen) at 1 month posttreatment to control weeds (Appendix VIII, p. 231). The depth to the water table was approximately 17 feet (p. 12).

Precipitation was supplemented with irrigation; total water input during May and June, 1991 (14 days prior to application through 19 days following the last detection of flumioxazin residues; 9.4 inches) was 107% of the 30-year mean annual precipitation during those months (pp. 15, 21). Precipitation was measured on-site; pan-evaporation data were not reported.

Soil samples were collected from the treated plots one day prior to the application and at 0, 1, 3, 5, 8, 14, 21, 28, 56, 84, 114, 175, and 262 (samples not analyzed) days posttreatment (p. 15). The control plot was sampled one day prior to the application and at 0, 14, 28, 84, and 175 days posttreatment. At each sampling interval, three soil cores were collected randomly from five designated subplots within the treated plot (15 total; Appendix A, p. 45) and three soil cores were collected from the control plot. A 0- to 90- cm depth sample (diameter unspecified) was collected using a zero-contamination Giddings hydraulic probe with acetate liners. Samples were stored frozen until being shipped overnight on dry ice to one of two analytical laboratories; samples were sectioned into 7.5-cm increments, and composited by depth (p. 16). Soil samples were stored frozen for 6-51 days prior to extraction with the exception of those collected at 175 days posttreatment which were stored for 107-147 days (Table V, pp. 34-36).

Soil samples were analyzed only for the parent compound (p. 17). Soil samples (10 g) were extracted twice by shaking with acetone:0.1 *N* HCl (5:1, v:v; Appendix II, p. 67); the extracts were filtered (filter paper) and combined. The extracts were partitioned with 5% aqueous sodium chloride and dichloromethane; the organic phase was filtered through sodium sulfate and partitioned a second time with dichloromethane. The combined extracts were concentrated by rotary evaporation, redissolved in ethyl acetate:hexane (1:2, v:v), and loaded onto a solid phase extraction column (Florisil). The parent compound was eluted from the column with hexane:ethyl acetate (2:1, v:v) and concentrated by rotary evaporation; extracts were redissolved in acetone and analyzed for the parent by gas chromatography (DB-17 megabore column) with nitrogen-phosphorus flame ionization detection (Appendix II, p. 66); the limits of detection and quantitation were 0.005 ppm and 0.01 ppm, respectively (pp. 19, 20).

The application rate was not confirmed using monitoring pads or a similar method. The concentration of the parent in the 0- to 7.5-cm soil depth immediately following the application was 67% of the expected, based on the nominal application rate (p. 21).

In a method validation study, mean recoveries ( $\pm$  c.v.) of flumioxazin from soil samples fortified with the parent compound at 0.01 ppm (n = 3) and 0.05 ppm (n = 6) were 101  $\pm$  2.3% and 100  $\pm$  16.0%, respectively (p. 17); complete data were not reported.

Mean concurrent recoveries ( $\pm$  c.v.) from control soil samples (depth not specified) fortified with flumioxazin at 0.01-0.05 ppm were  $102 \pm 11.9\%$  (3 of 60 samples >120%; Table III, pp. 30-32).

In a frozen storage stability study, soil samples collected from the test site were fortified in the laboratory with the parent compound at 0.05 ppm, and stored frozen (-20°C) for up to 405 days (p. 17). The parent compound appeared to be stable in frozen storage for up to 405 days. Mean corrected recoveries of flumioxazin were 80-100% following 0-405 days of storage (Table IV, p. 33); a overall pattern of degradation was not observed.

#### Independent Method Validation (MRID 44295042)

Duplicate soil samples (source and texture not specified) were fortified with the parent compound at 0.01 ppm and 0.05 ppm (p. 11). Samples were extracted and analyzed by GC (J&W DB-17 column) as previously described for the test samples (pp. 12, 13); the limit of detection was 0.004 ppm (p. 15). Recoveries (across all fortifications) of the parent ranged from 85% to 90% (Table 2, p. 18).

#### DATA SUMMARY

Flumioxazin (Sumisoya<sup>®</sup>; V-53482 WDG, 50.9% a.i.), broadcast applied once as a spray at a nominal application rate of 42.5 g a.i./A, dissipated with a registrant-calculated half-life of 10.3 days ( $r^2 = 0.97$ ; Figure 1, p. 37) on a plot of silt loam soil planted with soybeans (the day after application) in Mississippi; the observed first half-life occurred between 5 and 8 days posttreatment (see Comment #5). However, the half-life of the parent is of questionable worth since the data utilized in the half-life calculation were determined on a wet-weight basis. Residue data were reported as means of multiple replicates. The parent compound was present in the 0- to 7.5-cm depth at 0.070-0.071 ppm from 0 to 1 day posttreatment, decreased to 0.051 ppm by 5 days posttreatment, was 0.031-0.032 ppm from 8 to 14 days posttreatment, and was last detected at 0.011 ppm at 28 days posttreatment (Table II, pp. 28-29). The parent compound was not detected below the 0- to 7.5-cm depth. Samples were not analyzed for degradates of flumioxazin.

#### <u>COMMENTS</u>

1. The registrant-calculated half-life is of questionable worth, as is the observed first half-life. The data were reported on a wet weight basis. Because the moisture in the soil samples was not consistent over time (9.7-18.3%) for 0- to 7.5-cm depth soil samples collected between 0 and 28 days posttreatment (p. 16), the resulting concentration data may not be validly compared over time, as a dilution or concentration effect may occur. All data should be reported on a dry-weight basis (corrected for moisture content). The reviewer notes, however, that the parent compound dissipated relatively rapidly and that a corrected half-life may not be significantly different from the half-life reported in the study.

- 2. The study failed to adequately demonstrate the field dissipation of the test compound. The parent did not leach, but degraded relatively rapidly. However, the patterns of formation and decline of degradates were not addressed. Soil samples were not analyzed for degradates of flumioxazin. One of the primary purposes of a terrestrial field dissipation study is the determination of the patterns of formation and decline of major degradates of the parent. However, the study author stated that in two aerobic soil metabolism studies (MRID's 42684906 and 42884009) conducted with radiolabeled moieties of the parent compound, only minor degradates ( $\leq 0.01$  ppm or  $\leq 6.6\%$  of the applied radioactivity) were detected (p. 11).
- 3. Pan evaporation data were not reported. Such data are necessary to determine water balances and to assess whether sufficient moisture was present in the soil to facilitate leaching of the test substance. The reviewer notes that the parent compound was not observed to leach.
- 4. The soybean plants were not analyzed for the parent or its degradates. It is necessary that total residues in the crop be monitored in order to accurately determine the routes of dissipation of the test material. The study author did not state whether the soybean plants were harvested or remained on the plot throughout the study period.
- 5. The study author stated that day 8 samples (Event #6) "were inadvertently left out of the freezer overnight on the day of receipt" at the analytical laboratory (p. 16). The reviewer notes that, as a result of this error, the day 8 samples may not be useful for the determination of the half-life of flumioxazin. It is further noted that the data reported for that interval do not follow the general pattern of decline observed throughout the study.
- 6. Confirmation of the application rate was not performed. Typically, application monitoring pads or similar devices of a known surface area are utilized to confirm the application rate.
- 7. The soil series at the test site was a reported as a Dundee very fine sandy loam soil (Appendix VIII, p. 203); however, based on soil characterization data reported for the top 0-30 cm depth (p. 13), the soil was classified as a silt loam (p. 203).
- 8. The formulation was reported as a "water dispersible granular formation" for which no formulation code was available (p. 12); therefore, the reviewer reported it as a wettable powder (formulation code 06).
- 9. The study was conducted at one site (Mississippi). Additional terrestrial field dissipation studies conducted in Illinois (MRID 44295044), Iowa (MRID 4429504), Indiana (MRID 44295047), and North Carolina (MRID 44295048) were also submitted.

- 10. The study author stated that during a four-day period the storage freezer was 5 °F (p. 19); the study author stated that freezer storage stability data indicated that storage stability was not affected by the temperature deviation.
- 11. The nominal application rate for the test compound (42.5 g a.i./A) was slightly less than the proposed maximum use rate for soybeans (43.4 g a.i./A; p. 11). The reviewer notes, however, that in MRID 44295048 (p. 10), the study author stated that the proposed maximum use rate for flumioxazin is 36.1 g a.i./A for soybeans and 43.4 g a.i./A for peanuts.

# TABLE 1

#### Nomenclature and Structures of Reference Standards

#### Common Name

#### Chemical Name

#### **Identifying Numbers**

Flumioxazin V-53482 S-53482 7-fluoro-6-((3,4,5,6-tetrahydro)phthalimido)-4-(2-propynyl)-1,4-benzoxazin-3(2H)-one CAS#: 103361-09-7 Lot #: PYG-89021-M Purity: 94.8%

Lot #: PPG-90111-M Purity: 94.7%

EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

#### MATERIALS (CONTINUED)

		Depth, cm	
Physical Property	0-30	30-60.	60-90
% Sand	26	18	28
% Silt	58	56	58
% Clay	16	26	14
% Organic Matter	1.1	1.1	0.6
pH	6.0	6.4	6.8
Exchange Cap (meq/100 g)	12.6	19.6	11.6
Field Capacity (1/3 bar)	21.03	30.79	17.09
Bulk Density	1.33	1.27	1.29
Textural Classification	Silt Loam	Silt Loam	Silt Loam

#### Summary of Soil Characterization (Treated Plot)

# WEATHER DATA

Weather information collected at the test site during the study can be found in Appendix VIII along with historical data collected at the NOAA Midsouth Agricultural Weather Service Center in Stoneville, Mississippi. A summary of the weather data for the study interval of interest is tabulated below:

#### Summary of Weather Conditions During Study

Study Month & Year	Air Te Min	mp, *F Max	% Hu Min	nidity Max	Total Rainfall, in.
May 1991	52	91	40	94	6.31
June 1991	62	97	35	94	2.58

A comparison of the monthly rainfall during the study with historical rainfall information is presented with the irrigation data in the next section of this report.

#### MATERIALS (CONTINUED)

#### PLOT HISTORY

In 1988 the test plot area was fallow. In 1989-90, the test plot area was planted with cotton and treated with Treflan and Cotoran for weed control and with Pydrin, Baythroid, Swat, Orthene, and Curacron for insect control. In 1991, in preparation for study initiation, the plot area was planed and blank planted. On May 14, 1991 (the day of test substance application), Treflan was applied for weed control. Complete pesticide history can be found in the FRDB in Appendix VIII.

#### **TEST METHOD**

The study protocol is found in Appendix I. Information on the field portion of the study can be found in Appendix VIII.

#### APPLICATION

V-53482 WDG Herbicide was applied at the rate of 42.5 grams active ingredient per acre in a single application to bare ground and incorporated to a depth of 1-2 inches. Soybeans were planted in the test plots on the same day that the application was made. The test material was diluted in water and applied in 20 gallons per acre using a tractor mounted spray boom equipped with eight flat fan nozzles (#11003) spaced 20 inches apart. Nozzle height was 18 inches above ground level. The application was made within 30 minutes of preparing the spray mixture. No adjuvants were used in the application.

Pertinent weather information at the time the application was made is summarized in the following table:

Application Date	Rainfall (Inches)	Air Temp °F	Soil Temp °F*	Relative Humidity %	Wind MPH/Direction
5/14/91	0.00	75	75	90	4/East

Weather Conditions During Application

\* Soil temperature taken at 2 inch depth.

#### POST-TREATMENT PLOT MAINTENANCE

On June 14, Select and Blazer were applied for weed control. Further information on test plot maintenance chemical usage can be found in Appendix VIII.

#### TEST METHOD (CONTINUED)

#### IRRIGATION

The test plots were irrigated periodically during the study to ensure 100 to 110% of the monthly average rainfall for the test site area and to maintain soil moisture appropriate for the soybean crop. Complete irrigation information is found in Appendix VIII. The test site irrigation and rainfall data are summarized in the following table along with 30-year average rainfall data from the NOAA, Midsouth Agricultural Weather Service Center in Stoneville, Mississippi:

Study Month	30 Year Avg Rainfall Inches	Rainfall During Study, Inches	Irrigation Applied Inches	Total Inches	% of Monthly Normal Precip.
May	5.09	6.31	0	6.31	124
June	3.72	2.58	0.5	3.08	83
Totals	8.81	8.89	0.5	9.39	107

#### Summary of Irrigation and Rainfall at Test Site

#### SAMPLE COLLECTION

At each sampling interval, soil cores were collected to a depth of 90 cm using a zero contamination probe equipped with acetate liners, attached to a Giddings hydraulic sampler. The untreated control plot was always sampled prior to the treated plot. Soil cores were collected from designated subplots in the five sections of the treated plot and the control plot as described in the study protocol. At each scheduled sampling interval, three cores were collected from each of the five designated subplots in the treated plot and, at selected intervals, from the designated subplot in the untreated control plot.

Soil cores were collected from the treated plot one day prior to the application (i.e. pretreatment), immediately after the application and incorporation (Day 0) and also at 1, 3, 5, 8, 14, 21, 28, 56, 84, 114, 175 and 262 days following the application. The untreated control plot was sampled at the pretreatment interval and at 0, 14, 28, 84, and 175 days following the application.

The cores were capped in the field and immediately placed horizontally in insulated coolers on ice and held until sampling was completed. All core samples were placed in a freezer within two hours of sampling and were stored frozen until shipped to Chevron's Residue Laboratory in Richmond, California or Valent's Dublin Laboratory in Dublin, California for analysis. The frozen cores were packed on dry ice in insulated boxes and shipped by overnight delivery.

10

Soil information for sampling dates of interest are summarized in the following table:

#### TEST METHOD (CONTINUED)

Sample Date	Sampling Interval	Soil Moisture % (0-7.5 cm)*	Sojl Temperature °F at 15 cm
5/13/91	Day -1	15.2	75
5/14/91	Day 0	14.8	75
5/15/91	Day 1	13.3	76
5/17/91	Day 3	12.1	76
5/19/91	Day 5	17.9	76
5/22/91	Day 8	18.3	75
5/28/91	Day 14	16.7	76
6/04/91	Day 21	10.9	- 79
6/11/91	Day 28	9.7	77

#### Summary of Soil Conditions on Sampling Days

\* Mean of 3 replicate samples.

#### SAMPLE HANDLING

Segmenting of soil cores was performed in accordance with Chevron's SOP # RE-48/Valent SOP #VR-044, "Segmentation of Soil Cores". For each sampling interval, three replicate composite samples (designated X, Y, and Z) were prepared from the treated plot cores by combining, by depth, one of the three cores from each of the five sampled sections of the treated plot.

For the untreated control plot, one composite sample (designated U) was obtained by combining the appropriate soil segments from the three soil cores collected. All cores were cut while frozen using a power band saw. (Samples for Event #6 were inadvertently left out of the freezer overnight on the day of receipt. The samples were cut the next day). After cutting, samples were placed in polyethylene bags and stored frozen until analysis. Prior to analysis, the samples were thawed for several hours at room temperature and composited by thoroughly mixing by hand. Subsamples were removed for analysis and the remaining sample returned to the freezer.

#### ANALYSIS

For the treated plot, a minimum of three depth segments (0-7.5, 7.5-15 and 15-22.5 cm) were analyzed at each sampling interval. In addition, for the pretreatment interval and the Day 14, 28, 56, 84, 114, and 175 post-application intervals, the lower depth segments were also analyzed. For the UTC samples, one depth segment was analyzed with each set of treated samples. These depth segments were randomly selected to obtain residue data (i.e. matrix background and recovery) on all segments.

TABLE II RESIDUES OF FLUMIOXAZIN (TRIAL V-1013)

	82.5-90 Cin	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005						<0.005 <0.005 <0.005		<0.005 <0.005 <0.005
	75-82.5 cm	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005						<0.005 <0.005 <0.005		<0.005 <0.005 <0.005
	67.5-75 cm	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005				<u>ب</u> ہ		<0.005 <0.005 <0.005		<0.005 <0.005 <0.005
	60-67.5 cm	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					<0.005 <0.005 <0.005		<0.005 <0.005 <0.005
	\$2.5-60 cm	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005			t			<0.005 <0.005 <0.005		<0.005 <0.005 <0.005
Found , ppm	45-52.5 cm	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	· .					<0.005		<0.005 <0.005 <0.005
Flumiovazin	37.5-45 an	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005					•	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	-	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005
	30-37.5 em	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005			-			<0.005 <0.005 <0.005		<0.005 <0.005 <0.005
	22.5-30 cm	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005						<0.005 <0.005 <0.005		<0.005 <0.005 <0.005
	15-22.5 cm	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005
	7 5-15 cm	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005
	0-7.5 cm	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	0.084 0.040 0.047 0.097 0.082	0.081 0.067 0.066	0.064 0.058 0.062	0.040 0.048 0.065	0.028 0.031 0.035	0.033 0.031 0.032	0.019 0.014 0.014	0.012 0.011 0.009
	Date	5/13/91	5/14/91	5/15/91	16/11/91	16/61/5	5/22/91	5/28/91	6/4/91	16/11/9
	Internal	Day -1	Day 0	Day I	Day 3	Day 5	Day 8	Day 14	Day 21	Day 28
	Event	-	2	3	4	\$	9	7	∞	6

Valent Project VP-1013 Page 28

.

12

**US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT** 

# TABLE II (CON'T) RESIDUES OF FLUMIOXAZIN (TRIAL V-1013)

Connolling	Cam-11							Flumioxazin	Found, ppm					
Event	Internal	Date	0.7.5 cm	7.5.15 cm	15-22.5 em	22.5-30 em	30-37.5 cm	37.5-45 Cm	45-52.5 cm	\$2.5-60 CM	60-67.5 en	67.5-75 cm	75-82.5 CII	82.5-90 cm
10	Day 56	I 6/6/L	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<ul> <li>&lt;0.005</li> <li>&lt;0.005</li> <li>&lt;0.005</li> <li>&lt;0.005</li> </ul>	<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005
=	Day 84	8/6/91	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005	<0.005
12	Day 114	9/5/91	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<ul><li>&lt;0.005</li><li>&lt;0.005</li><li>&lt;0.005</li><li>&lt;0.005</li></ul>	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005
13	Day 175	16/2/11	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005<0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005
						-		200 2 11						

Valent Project VP-1013 Page 29

Valent Project VP-1013 Page 30

# TABLE III

# RECOVERY OF FLUMIOXAZIN FROM MISSISSIPPI SOIL

Date Analyzed	Amount Added	Amount Found ppm	% Recovery
05/23/91	0.01	0.011	110
05/23/91	0.01	0.008	83
05/24/91	0.01	0.013	127
05/24/91	0.01	0.009	91
05/28/91	0.01	0.010	105
06/11/91	0.01	0.010	101
05/29/91	0.01	0.010	98
06/07/91	-0.01	0.011	114
05/31/95	0.01	0.011	113
06/13/91	0.01	0.011	114
06/04/91	0.01	0.010	99
06/12/91	0.01	0.011	107
06/21/91	0.01	0.011	,115
06/19/91	0.01	0.012	120
06/25/91	0.01	0.011	112
07/12/91	0.01	0.010	101
07/12/91	0.01	0.007	73
07/17/91	0.01	0.011	109
07/17/91	0.01	0.009	91
07/19/91	0.01	0.012	116
07/10/91	0.01	0.010	97
07/18/91	0.01	0.011	105
06/18/91	0.01	0.010	100
06/20/91	0.01	0.012	123

111

# TABLE III (continued)

# **RECOVERY OF FLUMIOXAZIN FROM MISSISSIPPI SOIL**

Date Analyzed	Amount Added ppm	Amount Found ppm	% • Recovery
06/26/91	0.01	0.011	109
06/27/91	0.01	0.012	115
06/28/91	0.01	0.01	105
07/02/91	0.01	0.009	92
07/23/91	0.01	0.01	103
07/30/91	0.01	0.01	96
07/31/91	0.01	0.01	104 _
07/31/91	0.01	0.008	85
08/01/91	0.01	0.012	119
08/01/91	0.01	0.01	98
08/21/91	0.01	0.011	114
08/21/91	0.01	0.01	102
08/26/91	0.01	0.01	104
09/04/91	0.01	0.011	114
09/05/91	0.01	0.01	96
09/06/91	0.01	0.01	101
09/17/91	0.01	0.011	111
09/18/91	0.01	0.008	76
09/26/91	0.01	0.01	96
09/27/91	0.01	0.01	98
09/30/91	0.01	0.011	105
10/01/91	0.01	0.011	110

15

# TABLE III (continued)

# RECOVERY OF FLUMIOXAZIN FROM MISSISSIPPI SOIL

Date Analyzed	Amount Added ppm	Amount Found ppm	% Recovery		
10/02/91	0.01	0.010	102		
03/05/92	0.01	84			
03/24/92	03/24/92 0.01 0.008				
03/24/92	03/24/92 0.01 0.012				
03/27/92	0.01	0.009	93		
03/27/92	0.01	0.009	88		
03/31/92	0.01	0.009	91		
04/01/92	0.01	0.009	92		
04/16/92	04/16/92 0.01 0.011				
08/19/92	91				
Mean Recovery	102				
Coefficient of Vari	11.8				
07/16/91	0.05	0.041	82		
08/09/91	0.05	0.050	101		
09/09/91	0.05	0.061	121		
11/08/91	0.05	0.056	111		
Mean Recovery (0.	104				
Coefficient of Varia	ation (n=4)		16.0		
Overall Mean Reco	very		102		
Coefficient of Varia	ation (n=60)	Ŷ	11.9		

# TABLE IV

Time Interval Days	% Recover	very (0.05 ppm Forti Stored Sample A	fication) Stored Sample B	Corrected Mean Recovery %
Days				
0	82	90	86	86*
30	101	86	104	94
60	121	115	112	94
120	111	102	102	92
279	106	85	85	80
405	91	92	90	100

# FREEZER STORAGE STABILITY OF FLUMIOXAZIN IN MISSISSIPPI SOIL

\* This result is mean of the 3 results.

#### FIGURE 1



Log Least Squ Y = b*EXP(m)	iare Estimate ( <) (or LN Y = n	of 'm' and 'b' nX + LN b) a	for: nd for correla	ation coeffici	ent 'r'.
m=	-0.06754				
LN b=	-2.65435				
b=	0.07034			1 <sup>0</sup>	
Half-life=	10.26	Days			
<b>F=</b>	-0.98254	-			
X (Days)	Y (ppm)	LN Y	LN YP	YP	Residual
0	0.07	-2.65926	-2.65435	0.070345	0.004914
1 <sup>06</sup> 1	0.071	-2.64508	-2.72189	0.065751	-0.07681
- <b>3</b>	0.061	-2.79688	-2.85697	0.057443	-0.06008
5	0.051	-2.97593	-2.99205	0.050185	-0.01612
8	0.031	-3.47377	-3.19467	0.04098	0.279103
14	0.032	-3.44202	-3,5999	0.027326	-0.15789
21	0.016	-4.13517	-4.07268	0.017032	0.062482
28	0.011	-4.50986	-4.54546	0.010615	-0.0356



Valent Project VP-1013 Page 201 1013A V -VALENT TRIAL NUMBER: 6 PLOT PLAN D.3 NORTH Percent Slope: 0.5 to 2% Y An Additional 5 Ft area mes under to This butter Area 75 U Surrounding the Apple. Plot. shaking the total treated Area 120' × 120'. BUFFER A 10 FE Aren surrounding the sample aren will also ZONE be truited but not sampled ÷ <u>د 'ک</u> Por D DIAT ROA D Ē IOFt Alky С ٨ 8 95 Ft (**2**4 Sections of Applie. Plot DIRT ROAD 48 Ft. Between The E. Elge This is an exact copy of The Sample Area MMP of the original document and The W. Edge of by Kmp Date 8-1-91 H/10/91 The Drainage Ditch. Provide Dimensions -- Include sprayed area and buffer area measurements. COMMENTS: Total treated area 12 120'x 120'. 4/10/51 DATE: COMPLETED BY (SIG) : VALENT U.S.A. CORPORATION + + + FIELD RESIDUE DATA BOOK

EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT