

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

S406678
SUBMISSION #

129011
SHAUGHNESSY NO.

REVIEW NO.

EEB REVIEW

DATE: IN 11-26-91

DATE: OUT 3-29-93

FILE OR ID NO. MRID 420410-01

PETITION OR EXP. NO. _____

DATE OF SUBMISSION 10-28-91

DATE RECEIVED BY EFED 11-26-91

RD REQUESTED COMPLETION DATE 5-5-92

EEB ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE 03-15-93

RD ACTION CODE/TYPE OF REVIEW Data Evaluation Record

Fish Early Life-Stage --

Fathead Minnow

TYPE OF PRODUCT(S) : I,D,H,F,N,R,S Fungicide

DATA ACCESSION NO(S). _____

PRODUCT MANAGER (NO.) Cynthia Giles-Parker

PRODUCT NAME(S) Fenbuconazole, RH7592, Fenethanil, Indar, RH-57,592

COMPANY NAME Rohm and Haas

SUBMISSION PURPOSE Meet EEB Study requirements

SHAUGHNESSY NO. 129011 CHEMICAL & FORMULATION(S) Fenbuconazole % A.I. 98.3

Inert 1.7

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

1. **CHEMICAL:** RH-7592. Shaughnessey No. 129011.
2. **TEST MATERIAL:** RH-7592 Technical; Lot No. BPP-3-1786R; 96.7% active ingredient; a white powder.
3. **STUDY TYPE:** 72-4. Freshwater Fish Early Life-Stage Toxicity Test. Species Tested: Fathead Minnow (*Pimephales promelas*).
4. **CITATION:** Sword, M.C. and J.L. Stratton. 1991. Early Life-Stage Toxicity of RH-7592 Technical to Fathead Minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) Under Flow-Through Conditions. ABC Report No. 39266. Rohm and Haas Report No. 91RC-0007. Study conducted by Analytical Bio-Chemistry Laboratories, Inc., Columbia, MO. Submitted by Rohm and Haas, Spring House, PA. EPA MRID No. 420410-01.

5. **REVIEWED BY:**

Rosemary Graham Mora, M.S.
Associate Scientist
KBN Engineering and
Applied Sciences, Inc.

Signature:

Date:

12/3/92

6. **APPROVED BY:**

Louis M. Rifici, M.S.
Associate Scientist
KBN Engineering and
Applied Sciences, Inc.

Signature:

Date:

12/4/92

Henry T. Craven, M.S.
Supervisor, EEB/EFED
USEPA

Signature:

Date:

3/22/93

7. **CONCLUSIONS:** This study is scientifically sound and fulfills the guideline requirements for an early life-stage test using freshwater fish. Based on mean measured concentrations and the results, the MATC for *Pimephales promelas* exposed to RH-7592 Technical was >0.082 and <0.16 mg a.i./l (geometric MATC of 0.11 mg a.i./l).

8. **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

9. **BACKGROUND:**

10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS: N/A.

11. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

- A. Test Animals: Newly fertilized eggs (<24 hours post-fertilization) of the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) were obtained from the spawning culture at the testing facility.
- B. Test System: The test system was a 2-1 proportional diluter which intermittently delivered test solutions to replicate test chambers. Duplicate glass aquaria were divided into two replicate chambers, each measuring 15.8 x 30.4 cm with a water depth of 24.4 cm, yielding a 12-liter chamber volume. All chamber drains were covered with stainless steel screen. The diluter delivered an average of 90 l of solution per day to each replicate chamber resulting in 7.5 volume replacements per day. The test solutions were allowed to flow through the test system for an 18-hour equilibration period before test initiation.

Embryo incubation cups were glass jars (9-cm diameter) with Nitex screen bottoms. One cup was suspended in each test chamber. A rocker arm apparatus was used to gently oscillate the incubation cups in the test chambers.

Sixteen hours of light at an intensity of 99.1 \pm 7.8 footcandles at the water surface were provided each day. Test temperature was maintained by a water bath.

The dilution water was a mixture of untreated and treated (reverse osmosis) well water. The water had a pH of 8.1-8.4, a specific conductivity of 350-440 $\mu\text{mhos}/\text{cm}$, and a hardness and alkalinity of 170-178 and 190-198 mg/l as CaCO_3 , respectively.

Stock solutions (4.0 mg a.i./ml) were prepared by dissolving the appropriate amount of whole test material in acetone.

- C. Dosage: Thirty-five-day, flow-through test. Nominal test concentrations selected based on results of a previous definitive study were 0.006, 0.013, 0.025, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, and 0.4 mg a.i./l. A dilution water control and solvent control were also included. The solvent control contained an acetone concentration of 0.10 ml/l which corresponds to the highest acetone concentration used in exposure levels 1-7.

- D. Design: Four replicates of each treatment and control were included in the test. Duplicate test aquaria per concentration were arranged in two rows on one tier using a random number table to assign specific test concentrations, providing a nested experimental design.

Embryos were impartially selected and distributed to the incubation cups by twos until each cup contained 20 eggs. Hatching was 100% complete on test day 6 at which time the fry were released into their respective chambers. Biomass loading was 0.037 g/l/day at test termination.

Initial feeding on study day 4 consisted of rotifers. Starting on study day 5, larvae were fed live brine shrimp nauplii and rotifers. Beginning on day 21, a commercial dry food was added to the diet. Frequency of feeding along with quantity and food size were adjusted during the study on the basis of average fish size. Feeding was discontinued 24 hours prior to test termination. The aquaria were cleaned as needed.

Behavior, appearance, and survival of embryos and larvae were observed and recorded daily. Dead individuals were removed at each observation. At test termination (study day 35), the larvae were counted and standard length and wet weight of each individual were measured.

Dissolved oxygen concentration (DO), pH, conductivity, hardness, alkalinity, and temperature were measured on days 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, and 35. Temperature, DO, pH, and conductivity were also measured on day 1. Temperature and DO were measured in two of four replicates (alternating weekly). Total hardness and alkalinity, pH, and specific conductance were measured in one replicate of the control, lowest and highest test concentrations containing live fish. Temperature was also measured continuously throughout the test period.

Water samples were collected from each test concentration for the determination of RH-7592 Technical using gas-liquid chromatography. These samples were collected on test days 0, 1, 7, 14, 21, 28, and 35.

- E. Statistics: Statistical analyses were based on a $p \leq 0.05$ level of significance. T-tests and Fisher's Exact tests demonstrated no significant difference

between the dilution water control data and solvent control data. Therefore, the pooled control data were used to assess the response of the treatment groups. Shapiro-Wilk normality test statistics were computed within each test concentration to assess departures from normality. When the data suggested departures from normality, the data were examined for indications of central tendency. If the variability between replicates within aquaria was not significant or there was no strong evidence of biological significance, then the aquaria within concentrations and replicate within aquaria error sources were combined.

Egg hatchability and survival data were analyzed using frequency analysis comparing the pooled control responses to each exposure level. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedure for nested design experiments, coupled with Dunnett's one-tailed multiple comparison procedure, was used to analyze the growth data.

12. **REPORTED RESULTS:** Mean measured concentrations were 0.0097, 0.013, 0.02, 0.047, 0.082, 0.16, and 0.33 mg a.i./l (Table 2, attached). These values ranged from 80 to 162% of nominal concentrations. Test material was detected in the solvent control solution on days 0 and 1 but was not found on day 5 or at any other time during the test.

The sublethal effects (spinal curvature, fish on bottom of chamber, erratic swimming, and abnormal coloration) noted did not appear to be toxicant related. Hatching success and larval survival were not significantly affected at any test concentration when compared to the pooled control data (Table 7, attached). Five embryos in replicate C of the 0.05 mg a.i./l nominal concentration were found to be enclosed in a fungal clump; these eggs were discarded and were not included in statistical analysis. Standard length was significantly reduced at the highest test concentration (0.33 mg a.i./l) and wet weight was significantly reduced at the two highest test concentrations (0.16 and 0.33 mg a.i./l) when compared to the pooled control data (Table 8, attached).

During the study, pH was 8.1-8.4, specific conductance was 350-440 $\mu\text{mhos}/\text{cm}$, and total hardness and alkalinity were 170-178 and 190-198 mg/l as CaCO_3 , respectively. The DO ranged from 6.3 to 8.8 mg/l. The temperature range was 23.9-25.3°C based on weekly measurements and 22.5-27.0°C based on continuous measurements.

23.9-25.3°C based on weekly measurements and 22.5-27.0°C based on continuous measurements.

13.

STUDY AUTHOR'S CONCLUSIONS/QUALITY ASSURANCE MEASURES:

"Based on egg hatchability, fry survival and growth data for this 29-day post-hatch fathead minnow early life stage study, the Maximum Acceptable Toxicant Concentration (MATC) for RH-7592 Technical was estimated to be 0.11 mg a.i./l with limits of 0.082 and 0.16 mg a.i./l."

A GLP compliance statement was included in the report indicating that the study was conducted in accordance with EPA Good Laboratory Practice Regulations (40 CFR, Part 160). A quality assurance statement was also included.

14.

REVIEWER'S DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION OF STUDY RESULTS:

A. Test Procedure: The test procedure is generally in accordance with the SEP guidelines, except for the following deviations:

The light intensity used during the test was 1067 ±84 lux (reported as 99.1 ±7.8 footcandles); the SEP recommends a light intensity of 400-800 lux.

The report did not indicate the use of 15- to 30-minute dawn/dusk simulation periods as recommended.

The number of male and female fish used to produce the test embryos was not reported; the SEP recommends at least 3 males and 3 females.

Embryos were "impartially" selected and distributed; the SEP requires random selection.

B.

Statistical Analysis:

Growth data were individually measured; however, the authors statistically analyzed these data using a one-way ANOVA and the replicate means. When replicate mean values are used, the variation that exists within each replicate is ignored. Individual measurements of growth should have been analyzed using a two-way ANOVA design.

The reviewer analyzed the growth data (both weight and length) using both Duncan's Multiple Range Test and Bonferroni (Dunn) T-tests (printouts attached). The results from the individual wet weight data indicate a significant reduction in weight in the treatment groups

0.16 and 0.33 mg/L. In addition, there was a significant difference in length between control groups and 0.33 mg/L treatment group. These results were the same as those presented by the study author.

Survival and hatchability data were analyzed using Toxstat® (Version 3.3). The hatchability data (arcsine square-root transformed) failed to meet the assumptions of homogeneity of variance due to zero variance at one level. Therefore, a non-parametric test (Kruskal-Wallis test) was used to determine treatment effects (printouts, attached). The results showed no significant difference in hatchability in the treatment levels when compared to the solvent control. The survival data did meet the assumptions of homogeneity of variance and normality, therefore, these data were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA coupled with Dunnett's comparison test (printouts, attached). The reviewer's results were the same as those presented by the authors.

C. **Discussion/Results:** This study is scientifically sound and meets the requirements for an early life-stage toxicity test using freshwater fish. Based on the results, the MATC for *Pimephales promelas* exposed to RH-7592 Technical was >0.082 and <0.16 mg a.i./l mean measured concentrations (geometric mean MATC of 0.11 mg a.i./l).

D. **Adequacy of the Study:**

(1) **Classification:** Core.

(2) **Rationale:**

(3) **Repairability:**

15. **COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER:** Yes, November 24, 1992.

RIN 3477-95

EEB FENBUCONAZOLE REVIEW

Page _____ is not included in this copy.

Pages 8 through 12 are not included.

The material not included contains the following type of information:

- Identity of product inert ingredients.
 - Identity of product impurities.
 - Description of the product manufacturing process.
 - Description of quality control procedures.
 - Identity of the source of product ingredients.
 - Sales or other commercial/financial information.
 - A draft product label.
 - The product confidential statement of formula.
 - Information about a pending registration action.
 - FIFRA registration data.
 - The document is a duplicate of page(s) _____.
 - The document is not responsive to the request.
-

The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.

SAS	OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH	SAS	OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH
13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	1				13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	1			
	1	1	0.309	20.4818		52	1	0.196	21.0153
	2	1	0.211	20.2358		53	1	0.251	17.4690
	3	1	0.148	22.1856		54	1	0.053	20.6565
	4	1	0.174	22.2370		55	1	0.225	22.6573
	5	1	0.188	22.1856		56	1	0.166	23.1275
	6	1	0.162	21.2695		57	1	0.164	20.5591
	7	1	0.251	19.9733		58	1	0.122	19.8865
	8	1	0.199	20.7425		59	1	0.125	19.6062
	9	1	0.144	17.6904		60	1	0.231	20.0421
	10	1	0.097	16.9312		61	1	0.204	20.6721
	11	1	0.169	19.9774		62	1	0.192	20.1404
	12	1	0.218	21.0219		63	1	0.250	21.8490
	13	1	0.095	25.5967		64	1	0.117	17.5954
	14	1	0.179	22.5072		65	1	0.178	23.3392
	15	1	0.175	23.0040		66	1	0.218	21.0503
	16	1	0.224	20.3582		67	1	0.138	22.2686
	17	1	0.159	22.2814		68	1	0.151	21.9111
SAS					SAS				
13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	2				13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	2			
	OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH		OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH
18	1	0.099	17.0132		69	1	0.147	19.7251	
19	1	0.196	18.1402		70	1	0.172	20.6341	
20	1	0.191	18.3725		71	1	0.129	22.6330	
21	1	0.196	18.7220		72	1	0.139	24.1107	
22	1	0.079	19.9733		73	1	0.176	24.8085	
23	1	0.175	16.6653		74	1	0.088	19.8278	
24	1	0.192	20.5705		75	1	0.207	23.0293	
25	1	0.185	20.8239		76	1	0.185	17.4662	
26	1	0.219	21.7841		77	1	0.216	24.3146	
27	1	0.178	21.8945		78	1	0.177	23.0693	
28	1	0.161	20.6216		79	1	0.063	19.2164	
29	1	0.111	17.0915		80	1	0.110	22.4824	
30	1	0.146	19.3891		81	1	0.098	16.0318	
31	1	0.171	19.7648		82	1	0.272	22.0066	
32	1	0.082	16.5459		83	1	0.230	21.0661	
33	1	0.132	21.1367		84	1	0.226	19.7288	
34	1	0.170	19.6016		85	1	0.233	20.2310	
SAS					SAS				
13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	3				13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	5			
	OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH		OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH
35	1	0.271	21.7633		86	1	0.189	22.9162	
36	1	0.086	24.0469		87	1	0.262	19.3042	
37	1	0.128	20.3868			1	0.104	18.2789	
38	1	0.148	20.2881			1	0.262	19.2202	
39	1	0.149	22.7731			1	0.115	19.7448	
40	1	0.201	13.7417			1	0.129	18.3632	
41	1	0.083	20.2338			1	0.000	18.4417	
42	1	0.062	22.1415			1	0.154	17.4953	
43	1	0.165	20.7366			1	0.138	21.7599	
44	1	0.101	21.2668			1	0.154	22.7144	
45	1	0.182	17.0574			1	0.117	17.9032	
46	1	0.141	20.6341			1	0.115	25.4890	
47	1	0.143	18.4222			1	0.104	19.8522	
48	1	0.201	20.3689			1	0.148	21.1317	
49	1	0.101	18.3690			1	0.144	18.1790	
50	1	0.099	16.1444			1	0.239	21.3818	
51	1	0.163	0.159			1	0.172	18.3196	
SAS					SAS				
13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	4				13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	6			
	OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH		OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH
103	1	0.168	23.1883						

SAS	OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH	SAS	OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH	
13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	1				13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	5				
	69	1	0.147	19.7251		70	1	0.172	20.6341	
	71	1	0.129	22.6330		72	1	0.139	24.1107	
	73	1	0.139	24.8085		74	1	0.088	19.8278	
	75	1	0.207	23.0293		76	1	0.185	17.4662	
	77	1	0.216	24.3146		78	1	0.177	23.0693	
	79	1	0.177	23.0693		80	1	0.063	19.2164	
	81	1	0.110	22.4824		82	1	0.098	16.0318	
	83	1	0.272	22.0066		84	1	0.230	21.0661	
	85	1	0.226	19.7288		86	1	0.233	20.2310	
SAS					SAS					
13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	6				13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	7				
	OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH		OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH	
103	1	0.168	23.1883							

3

OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH	SAS	13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	8
120	1	0.046	22.1535			
121	1	0.206	19.3519			
122	1	0.123	21.5371			
123	1	0.162	20.5386			
124	1	0.183	17.8175			
125	1	0.188	18.2877			
126	1	0.170	18.0257			
127	1	0.193	14.1216			
128	1	0.138	21.7152			
129	1	0.109	20.7378			
130	1	0.224	18.6770			
131	1	0.202	21.8816			
132	1	0.131	17.7123			
133	1	0.095	21.1631			
134	1	0.226	22.1590			
135	1	0.176	22.3958			
136	1	0.163	21.6451			
				SAS	13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	9

OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH	SAS	13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	10
171	3	0.101	24.4732			
172	3	0.132	15.5391			
173	3	0.109	22.1371			
174	3	0.075	23.4854			
175	3	0.255	19.8494			
176	3	0.213	22.1652			
177	3	0.270	17.9488			
178	3	0.177	19.5454			
179	3	0.121	14.7571			
180	3	0.108	24.8153			
181	3	0.057	18.6088			
182	3	0.107	21.4826			
183	3	0.138	18.7630			
184	3	0.172	19.8233			
185	3	0.128	23.6588			
186	3	0.187	18.3632			
187	3	0.248	21.2158			
				SAS	13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	11
				SAS	13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	12
188	3	0.229	20.2673			
189	3	0.142	14.8930			
190	3	0.166	22.0286			
191	3	0.057	21.6507			
192	3	0.177	20.1605			
193	3	0.129	20.6094			
194	3	0.195	23.3219			
195	3	0.195	23.3538			
196	3	0.178				
197	3	0.209	15.8689			
198	3	0.111	19.8400			
199	3	0.181	21.8871			
200	3	0.180	18.7289			
201	3	0.102	18.5098			
202	3	0.102	20.4830			
203	3	0.058	21.5401			
204	3	0.067	18.4503			
		0.134	15.1665			
				SAS	13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	13

OBS	TRT	WT	SAS
212		0.120	23.4575
213		0.219	16.4940
214		0.149	22.0382
215		0.065	19.9632
216		0.058	22.5690
217		0.170	22.1331
218		0.206	18.7832
219		0.176	21.3822
220		0.193	22.1415
221		0.175	20.7425

OBS	TRT	WT	SAS
266		0.164	21.3723
267		0.152	21.1600
268		0.171	23.1275
269		0.203	19.4225
270		0.139	23.3832
271		0.187	22.6620
272		0.134	21.5056

OBS	TRT	WT	SAS
13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	14		
273	4	0.210	16.5879
274	4	0.175	23.3486
275	4	0.080	20.1905
276	4	0.076	16.4114
277	4	0.238	18.8091
278	4	0.192	21.4456
279	4	0.118	21.8812
280	4	0.131	24.6750
281	4	0.194	20.7678
282	4	0.206	21.3738
283	4	0.069	23.9166
284	4	0.069	0.175
285	4	0.200	19.6016
286	4	0.123	20.3995
287	4	0.202	21.7674
288	4	0.202	22.4543
289	4	0.161	23.2663
		0.082	17.4360

OBS	TRT	WT	SAS
13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	15		
290	4	0.110	20.2605
291	4	0.258	23.7169
292	4	0.134	16.2060
293	4	0.151	19.3920
294	4	0.240	23.8017
295	4	0.080	16.8618
296	4	0.080	22.1590
297	4	0.158	18.1188
298	4	0.230	20.8638
299	4	0.159	21.5180
300	4	0.224	24.6484
301	4	0.178	21.1929
302	4	0.124	19.6136
303	4	0.178	21.2096
304	4	0.212	22.2036
305	4	0.158	24.4761
		0.175	21.1653

OBS	TRT	WT	SAS
13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	16		
307	5	0.162	20.9598
308	5	0.271	22.9430
309	5	0.087	23.0949
310	5	0.130	19.7189
311	5	0.117	17.8148
312	5	0.137	17.4360
313	5	0.162	20.5705
314	5	0.170	20.6972
315	5	0.169	16.8656
316	5	0.169	19.4786
317	5	0.092	21.4815
318	5	0.076	20.6329
319	5	0.120	21.9830

S

SAS	13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	17
13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	18	
290	4	0.110
291	4	0.258
292	4	0.134
293	4	0.151
294	4	0.240
295	4	0.080
296	4	0.080
297	4	0.158
298	4	0.230
299	4	0.159
300	4	0.224
301	4	0.178
302	4	0.124
303	4	0.178
304	4	0.212
305	4	0.158
		0.175

SAS	13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	19
13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	20	
307	5	0.162
308	5	0.271
309	5	0.087
310	5	0.130
311	5	0.117
312	5	0.137
313	5	0.162
314	5	0.170
315	5	0.169
316	5	0.169
317	5	0.092
318	5	0.076
319	5	0.120

320	5	0.206	23.1016
321	5	0.128	18.7470
322	5	0.119	16.8205
323	5	0.217	22.6573
SAS			13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 20
OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH
0.120	23.4868	375	5
0.101	23.2123	376	5
0.130	16.9217	377	5
0.107	20.6753	378	5
0.098	20.6847	379	5
0.149	21.3196	380	6
0.123	21.7000	381	6
0.107	19.5929	382	6
0.192	18.4744	383	6
0.145	18.4902	384	6
0.169	20.5339	385	6
0.113	19.4437	386	6
0.169	17.3448	387	6
0.167	20.1018	388	6
0.065	19.3109	389	6
0.044	23.4333	390	6
SAS			13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 21
OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH
0.125	14.7181	392	6
0.206	18.8280	393	6
0.069	20.4818	394	6
0.264	23.4333	395	6
0.095	22.0216	396	6
0.101	24.3326	397	6
0.200	17.8216	398	6
0.195	19.3042	399	6
0.219	22.4031	400	6
0.173	23.6636	401	6
0.197	15.9188	402	6
0.132	22.7802	403	6
0.201	17.8193	404	6
16.4104	21.8782	405	6
0.093	23.0303	406	6
0.171	21.5010	407	6
0.147		408	6
SAS			13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 22
OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH
0.195	19.9875	409	6
0.127	19.2349	410	6
0.076	22.7144	411	6
0.111	21.1837	412	6
0.240	21.2908	413	6
0.175	21.1285	414	6
0.200	21.3253	415	6
0.276	23.6584	416	6
0.192	21.3424	417	6
0.164	19.3251	418	6
0.155	21.8827	419	6
0.153	19.1607	420	6
0.194	24.6156	421	6
0.095	17.5558	422	6
0.139	18.1523	423	6
0.227	22.1523	424	6

375	5	0.173	20.2182
SAS			13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 23
OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH
0.116	16.5694	375	5
0.216	23.2580	376	5
0.106	15.2749	377	5
0.057	20.8343	378	5
0.182	23.2426	379	5
0.235	19.1121	380	6
0.217	24.1982	381	6
0.191	23.075	382	6
0.198	22.2308	383	6
0.105	22.9342	384	6
0.172	18.5892	385	6
0.109	22.084	386	6
0.181	17.4662	387	6
0.158	20.1580	388	6
0.145	17.0640	389	6
0.184	23.3028	390	6
0.115	21.5010	391	6
SAS			13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 24
OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH
0.076	21.7573	392	6
0.119	20.8948	393	6
0.134	18.4744	394	6
0.212	19.4844	395	6
0.100	22.4712	396	6
0.156	17.0708	397	6
0.152	24.3066	398	6
0.153	23.1275	399	6
0.141	21.1600	400	6
0.178	21.3557	401	6
0.253	22.9046	402	6
0.180	21.7543	403	6
0.207	22.2071	404	6
0.252	19.1654	405	6
0.070	23.9481	406	6
0.170	22.7358	407	6
0.192	20.3713	408	6
SAS			13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 25
OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH
0.108	21.4818	409	6
0.129	15.9528	410	6
0.068	15.7075	411	6
0.202	19.8147	412	6
0.148	19.7288	413	6
0.193	18.5932	414	6
0.158	22.9003	415	6
0.121	19.1121	416	6
0.234	22.0099	417	6
0.176	18.0490	418	6
0.207	23.1816	419	6
0.171	22.6180	420	6
0.102	19.0068	421	6
0.184	23.1327	422	6
0.240	20.7655	423	6
0.225	23.5597	424	6
0.101	23.6721	425	6
SAS			13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 26

16

OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH
426	6	0.159	21.5210
427	6	0.142	21.8945
428	6	0.112	19.6930
429	6	0.138	18.1746
430	6	0.084	20.6505
431	6	0.147	17.6940
432	6	0.171	14.8903
433	6	0.162	22.5116
434	6	0.149	17.4694
435	6	0.167	20.2274
436	6	0.246	23.9575
437	6	0.154	20.5169
438	6	0.095	19.0782
439	6	0.124	19.9498
440	6	0.156	21.0050
441	6	0.221	20.8650
442	6	0.135	21.0580

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 27

OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH
494	7	0.163	19.2303
495	7	0.090	21.0661
496	7	0.089	21.5119
497	7	0.151	20.5591
498	7	0.128	19.9161
499	7	0.185	19.9125
500	7	0.145	20.7460
501	7	0.149	22.8993
502	7	0.141	19.8791
503	7	0.119	17.4402
504	7	0.147	19.7873
505	7	0.106	20.7503
506	7	0.121	19.1620
507	7	0.212	21.4489
508	7	0.219	20.0748
509	7	0.110	23.3403
510	7	0.151	16.9202

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 30

OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH
486	7	0.050	22.0319
487	7	0.121	17.8470
488	7	0.176	20.7378
489	7	0.140	13.0742
490	7	0.237	19.5917
491	7	0.134	20.4881
492	7	0.141	20.3800
493	7	0.184	23.7114

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 28

OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH
511	7	0.200	24.4182
512	7	0.122	17.2175
513	7	0.149	16.6687
514	7	0.126	22.8515
515	7	0.134	19.9774
516	7	0.081	22.1360
517	7	0.141	19.0421
518	7	0.203	19.9875
519	7	0.073	23.5116
520	7	0.071	18.8563
521	7	0.104	20.5988
522	7	0.102	18.8632
523	7	0.240	17.4953
524	7	0.071	18.0467
525	8	0.114	23.3375
526	8	0.202	21.3548
527	8	0.124	19.8787

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 32

OBS	TRT	WT	LGTH
528	8	0.133	20.2274
529	8	0.140	22.0143
530	8	0.167	22.7144

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 29

531	0.125	23.2792
532	0.111	16.9241
533	0.093	21.5086
534	0.131	20.8774
535	0.073	19.8604
536	0.163	19.7902
537	0.127	19.0166
538	0.137	21.5010
539	0.155	16.4153
540	0.067	20.2386
541	0.082	16.8618
542	0.069	18.3191
543	0.174	16.0201
544	0.130	18.9620

596	0.111	21.1653
597	0.167	18.4534
598	0.180	15.2665
599	0.059	17.0318
600	0.082	18.405
601	0.088	16.6687
602	0.082	16.8051
603	0.087	17.6322
604	0.107	17.3262
605	0.095	18.2137
606	0.063	14.9283
607	0.098	16.5425
608	0.153	19.7595
609	0.086	20.1905
610	0.125	17.7857
611	0.081	18.0356
612	0.125	17.7857

10

613	0.094	22.0143
614	0.107	16.9432
615	0.090	20.1199
616	0.137	17.5724
617	0.068	16.3808
618	0.177	14.0756
619	0.106	15.2674
620	0.128	15.9933
621	0.136	21.8827
622	0.186	16.9789
623	0.075	19.620
624	0.137	20.6584
625	0.081	15.6559
626	0.179	19.3970
627	0.074	18.3185
628	0.080	15.3185
629	0.048	19.1248

10

596	0.111	21.1653
597	0.167	18.4534
598	0.180	15.2665
599	0.059	17.0318
600	0.082	18.405
601	0.088	16.6687
602	0.082	16.8051
603	0.087	17.6322
604	0.107	17.3262
605	0.095	18.2137
606	0.063	14.9283
607	0.098	16.5425
608	0.153	19.7595
609	0.086	20.1905
610	0.125	17.7857
611	0.081	18.0356
612	0.125	17.7857

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 33

545	0.088	21.8827
546	0.126	17.5761
547	0.129	18.9825
548	0.087	19.9320
549	0.149	19.6115
550	0.088	19.1654
551	0.140	19.9940
552	0.126	22.3937
553	0.121	18.2789
554	0.186	19.5665
555	0.211	19.0862
556	0.115	20.4881
557	0.106	22.8848
558	0.189	18.7047
559	0.111	19.8474
560	0.098	17.2175
561	0.110	18.9706

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 34

562	0.169	20.8343
563	0.162	17.3649
564	0.091	21.0072
565	0.120	19.4187
566	0.163	18.7077
567	0.220	20.1343
568	0.148	19.4923
569	0.164	24.0775
570	0.152	21.3196
571	0.114	20.7460
572	0.143	20.3741
573	0.117	19.2235
574	0.083	20.4854
575	0.147	19.4857
576	0.126	20.2418
577	0.073	17.1930
578	0.105	19.0510

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 35

596	0.111	21.1653
597	0.167	18.4534
598	0.180	15.2665
599	0.059	17.0318
600	0.082	18.405
601	0.088	16.6687
602	0.082	16.8051
603	0.087	17.6322
604	0.107	17.3262
605	0.095	18.2137
606	0.063	14.9283
607	0.098	16.5425
608	0.153	19.7595
609	0.086	20.1905
610	0.125	17.7857
611	0.081	18.0356
612	0.125	17.7857

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 36

613	0.094	22.0143
614	0.107	16.9432
615	0.090	20.1199
616	0.137	17.5724
617	0.068	16.3808
618	0.177	14.0756
619	0.106	15.2674
620	0.128	15.9933
621	0.136	21.8827
622	0.186	16.9789
623	0.075	19.620
624	0.137	20.6584
625	0.081	15.6559
626	0.179	19.3970
627	0.074	18.3185
628	0.080	15.3185
629	0.048	19.1248

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 37

630	0.138	16.5503
631	0.071	15.0793
632	0.099	21.5311
633	0.062	17.8475
634	0.068	17.4662
635	0.093	15.8577
636	0.073	16.4148
637	0.117	16.4239
638	0.065	14.2392

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 38

	OBS	WT	LGTH	SAS	13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	39
639	640	0.101	18.6973			
640	641	0.061	18.6713			
641	642	0.150	17.2043			
642	643	0.116	19.5917			
643	644	0.080	15.5833			
644	645	0.082	17.9897			
645	646	0.084	18.3555			
646	647	0.179	19.3970			

	OBS	WT	LGTH	SAS	13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993	43
647	648	0.096	15.1387			
648	649	0.129	16.4232			
649	650	0.204	16.4232			
650	651	0.071	21.7599			
651	652	0.084	17.5563			
652	653	0.070	16.8814			
653	654	0.148	22.4221			
654	655	0.058	20.7597			
655	656	0.102	15.2059			
656	657	0.085	16.7527			
657	658	0.081	17.8271			
658	659	0.101	17.5471			
659	660	0.156	20.4561			
660	661	0.138	16.7732			
661	662	0.101	16.7738			
662	663	0.060	19.3310			
663	664	0.072	19.5205			

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 40

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 44

General Linear Models Procedure

Duncan's Multiple Range Test for variable: WT

NOTE: This test controls the type I comparisonwise error rate, not the experimentwise error rate

Alpha= 0.05 df= 670 MSE= 0.002303

WARNING: Cell sizes are not equal

Harmonic Mean of cell sizes= 80.10687

Number of Means 2 Critical Range .0151 .0158 .0163 .0167 .0170 .0173 .0175

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 45

General Linear Models Procedure

Duncan Grouping

Class	Levels	Values
TRT	8	1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Number of observations in data set = 678

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 42

General Linear Models Procedure

B	0.13208	75	8
C	0.10325	79	9

SAS
13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 46

General Linear Models Procedure

Bonferroni (Dunn) T tests for variable: WT

NOTE: This test controls the type I experimentwise error rate but generally has a higher type II error rate than Tukey's for all pairwise comparisons.

Alpha= 0.05 Confidence= 0.95 df= 670 MSE= 0.002203
Critical Value of T= 3.13632

Comparisons significant at the 0.05 level are indicated by ***.

SAS
13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 47

General Linear Models Procedure

TRT Comparison	Simultaneous Lower Confidence Limit	Difference Between Means	Simultaneous Upper Confidence Limit
1 - 4	-0.01557	0.00567	0.02691
1 - 6	-0.01525	0.00649	0.02823
1 - 3	-0.01456	0.00707	0.02850
1 - 5	-0.00973	0.01123	0.03220
1 - 7	-0.00834	0.01290	0.03404
1 - 8	-0.01006	0.03130	0.05253
1 - 9	-0.03925	0.06012	0.08100

SAS
13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 48

General Linear Models Procedure

TRT Comparison	Simultaneous Lower Confidence Limit	Difference Between Means	Simultaneous Upper Confidence Limit
1 - 4	-0.02691	-0.00567	0.01557
1 - 6	-0.02449	0.00082	0.02583
1 - 3	-0.02334	0.00141	0.02615

SAS
13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 49

General Linear Models Procedure

TRT Comparison	Simultaneous Lower Confidence Limit	Difference Between Means	Simultaneous Upper Confidence Limit
1 - 4	-0.02833	-0.00649	0.01525
1 - 6	-0.02833	-0.00882	0.02419
1 - 5	-0.02459	0.00558	0.03576
1 - 7	-0.01852	0.00441	0.0334
1 - 8	-0.00021	0.02481	0.04982

File:A:GROWTH.OUT Page 16
Comparison Limit Means Limit

3	-1	-0.02850	-0.00707	0.01436
3	-4	-0.02615	-0.00141	0.02334
3	-6	-0.02576	-0.00058	0.02459
3	-5	-0.02035	0.00416	0.02867
3	-7	-0.01884	0.00583	0.03049
3	-8	-0.00052	0.02422	0.04897
3	-9	0.02862	0.05205	0.07748
5	-1	-0.03220	-0.01123	0.00973

SAS
13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 50

General Linear Models Procedure

TRT Comparison	Simultaneous Lower Confidence Limit	Difference Between Means	Simultaneous Upper Confidence Limit
5 - 4	-0.02990	-0.00557	0.01877
5 - 6	-0.02952	-0.00474	0.02003
5 - 3	-0.02867	-0.00416	0.02035
5 - 5	-0.02259	0.00167	0.02592
5 - 8	-0.00428	0.02006	0.04440
5 - 9	0.02487	0.04889	0.07291
7 - 4	-0.03406	-0.01290	0.00824
7 - 7	-0.03173	-0.00723	0.01726
7 - 3	-0.03134	-0.00641	0.01852
7 - 6	-0.03049	-0.00583	0.01884

SAS
13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 51

General Linear Models Procedure

TRT Comparison	Simultaneous Lower Confidence Limit	Difference Between Means	Simultaneous Upper Confidence Limit
7 - 5	-0.02592	-0.00167	0.0259
7 - 8	-0.00610	0.01839	0.0489
7 - 9	0.02304	0.04722	0.07740
8 - 1	-0.05253	-0.03130	-0.01006
8 - 4	-0.05020	-0.02563	-0.00105
8 - 6	-0.04982	-0.02481	-0.00021
8 - 3	-0.04897	-0.02422	-0.00052
8 - 5	-0.04440	-0.02006	-0.00428
8 - 7	-0.04289	-0.01839	-0.00610
8 - 9	-0.040456	-0.02883	-0.05309

SAS
13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 52

General Linear Models Procedure

TRT Comparison	Simultaneous Lower Confidence Limit	Difference Between Means	Simultaneous Upper Confidence Limit
9 - 1	-0.08100	-0.06012	-0.03925
9 - 4	-0.07872	-0.05445	-0.03019
9 - 6	-0.07834	-0.05363	-0.02893
9 - 3	-0.07748	-0.05305	-0.02862

20

File:A:GROWTH.OUT Page 17

9	- 5	-0.07291	-0.04889	-0.02487	***
9	- 7	-0.07140	-0.04722	-0.02304	***
9	- 8	-0.05309	-0.02883	-0.00456	***

File:A:GROWTH.OUT Page 18
0.13310 11.00016 2.213838 20.1255044

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 53

General Linear Models Procedure

Dunnett's T tests for variable: WT

NOTE: This test controls the type I experimentwise error for comparisons of all treatments against a control.

Alpha= 0.05 Confidence= 0.95 df= 670 MSE= 0.002303
Critical Value of Dunnett's T= 2.662

Comparisons significant at the 0.05 level are indicated by ***.

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 54

General Linear Models Procedure

TRT Comparison	Simultaneous Lower Confidence Limit		Simultaneous Upper Confidence Limit	
	Between Means	Confidence Limit	Between Means	Confidence Limit
4 - 1	-0.02370	-0.00567	0.01236	
4 - 1	-0.02424	-0.00649	0.01196	
5 - 1	-0.02527	-0.00707	0.01112	
5 - 1	-0.02903	-0.01223	0.00656	
7 - 1	-0.03085	-0.01290	0.00505	
7 - 1	-0.04932	-0.01310	-0.01327	***
9 - 1	-0.07784	-0.06012	-0.04240	***

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 55

General Linear Models Procedure

Duncan's Multiple Range Test for variable: LGTH
NOTE: This test controls the type I comparisonwise error rate, not the experimentwise error rate.

Alpha= 0.05 df= 670 MSE= 4.90108
WARNING: Cell sizes are not equal.

Harmonic Mean of cell sizes= 80.10687

Number of Means 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
Critical Range 0.695 0.751 0.754 0.771 0.786 0.798 0.807

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 59

General Linear Models Procedure

Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
TRT	8	1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Number of observations in data set = 678

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 56

General Linear Models Procedure

Dependent Variable: LGTH

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
Model	7	505.0861381	72.1551626	14.72	0.0001
Error	670	3283.7237442	4.9010802		

Corrected Total	677	3788.8098823
-----------------	-----	--------------

R-Square C.V.

Root MSE

LGTH Mean

Dependent Variable: LGTH
Source DF Type I SS Mean Square F Value Pr > F
TRT 7 505.0861381 72.1551626 14.72 0.0001
Source DF Type III SS Mean Square F Value Pr > F
TRT 7 505.0861381 72.1551626 14.72 0.0001

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 60

General Linear Models Procedure

Bonferroni (Dunn) T tests for variable: LGTH

NOTE: This test controls the type I experimentwise error rate but

7

Alpha= 0.05 Conf= 0.95 df= 670 MSE= 4.90108
 Critical Value of T= 3.13632

Comparisons significant at the 0.05 level are indicated by ***.

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 61

General Linear Models Procedure

TRT Comparison	Simultaneous Confidence Limit	Simultaneous Lower Difference Between Means	Simultaneous Upper Confidence Limit
1 - 6	-1.266	-0.263	0.740
1 - 7	-1.063	-0.088	0.888
1 - 5	-1.051	-0.084	0.883
1 - 1	-0.957	0.032	1.021
1 - 8	-0.199	0.780	1.760
1 - 9	1.586	2.549	3.512 ***
3 - 6	-1.440	-0.299	0.843
3 - 5	-1.457	-0.295	0.866
3 - 3	-1.247	-0.120	1.018
3 - 5	-1.247	-0.116	1.015

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 62

General Linear Models Procedure

TRT Comparison	Simultaneous Lower Confidence Limit	Simultaneous Difference Between Means	Simultaneous Upper Confidence Limit
3 - 1	-1.021	-0.032	0.957
3 - 8	-0.393	0.749	1.890
3 - 9	1.390	2.517	3.645 ***
8 - 4	-2.181	-1.047	0.086
8 - 3	-2.198	-1.044	0.110
8 - 7	-1.998	-0.868	0.262
8 - 8	-1.988	-0.865	0.258
8 - 5	-1.988	-0.780	0.199
8 - 1	-1.760	-0.780	0.393
8 - 3	-1.890	-0.769	2.888 ***
8 - 9	0.649	1.769	

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 63

General Linear Models Procedure

TRT Comparison	Simultaneous Lower Confidence Limit	Simultaneous Difference Between Means	Simultaneous Upper Confidence Limit
9 - 4	-3.936	-2.816	-1.697 ***
9 - 9	-3.952	-2.813	-1.673 ***
9 - 6	-3.753	-2.637	-1.521 ***
9 - 7	-3.742	-2.633	-1.525 ***
9 - 9	-3.512	-2.549	-1.586 ***
9 - 1	-3.645	-2.517	-1.390 ***
9 - 3	-2.888	-1.769	-0.649 ***
8 - 8			

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 66

General Linear Models Procedure

TRT Comparison	Simultaneous Lower Confidence Limit	Simultaneous Difference Between Means	Simultaneous Upper Confidence Limit
7 - 9	1.521	2.637	3.753 ***
7 - 9	1.521	2.637	3.753 ***
5 - 4	-1.306	-0.183	0.940
5 - 5	-1.322	-0.179	0.964
5 - 6	-1.322	-0.004	1.116
5 - 7	-0.883	0.084	1.051
5 - 8	-0.258	0.116	1.247
5 - 9	-0.258	0.988	1.525
5 - 9	-0.258	2.633	3.742 ***

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 67

General Linear Models Procedure

Dunnett's T tests for variable: LGTH

File:A:GROWTH.OUT Page 20

	1	- 4	- 1.247	- 0.267	0.713
SAS					
13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993					64

22

NOTE: This tests controls the type I experimentwise error for comparisons of all treatments against a control.

Alpha= 0.05 Confidence= 0.95 df= 670 MSE= 4.90108
Critical Value of Dunnett's T= 2.662

Comparisons significant at the 0.05 level are indicated by ***.

SAS 13:19 Tuesday, March 30, 1993 68

General Linear Models Procedure

TRT Comparison	Simultaneous		
	Lower Confidence Limit	Difference Between Means	Upper Confidence Limit
4 - 1	-0.565	0.267	1.099
6 - 1	-0.588	0.263	1.115
7 - 1	-0.740	0.088	0.916
5 - 1	-0.737	0.084	0.905
3 - 1	-0.871	-0.032	0.807
8 - 1	-1.612	-0.780	0.051
9 - 1	-3.367	-2.549	-1.752

23

RH-7592: Survival of Exposed FHM Larvae
File: 42041001.sur Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies

INTERVAL	<-1.5	-1.5 to <-0.5	-0.5 to 0.5	>0.5 to 1.5	>1.5
EXPECTED	2.412	8.712	13.752	8.712	2.412
OBSERVED	0	11	18	7	0

Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 7.0735
Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277

Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis.

RH-7592: Survival of Exposed FHM Larvae
File: 42041001.sur Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Shapiro Wilks test for normality

D = 0.045

W = 0.958

Critical W ($P = 0.05$) ($n = 36$) = 0.935

Critical W ($P = 0.01$) ($n = 36$) = 0.912

Data PASS normality test at $P=0.01$ level. Continue analysis.

RH-7592: Survival of Exposed FHM Larvae
File: 42041001.sur Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Hartley test for homogeneity of variance

Calculated H statistic (max Var/min Var) = 6.67
Closest, conservative, Table H statistic = 281.0 (alpha = 0.01)

Used for Table H ==> R (# groups) = 9, df (# reps-1) = 3
Actual values ==> R (# groups) = 9, df (# avg reps-1) = 3.00

Data PASS homogeneity test. Continue analysis.

NOTE: This test requires equal replicate sizes. If they are unequal but do not differ greatly, the Hartley test may still be used as an approximate test (average df are used).

RH-7592: Survival of Exposed FHM Larvae
File: 42041001.sur Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance

Calculated B statistic = 5.69
Table Chi-square value = 20.09 (alpha = 0.01)
Table Chi-square value = 15.51 (alpha = 0.05)

Average df used in calculation ==> df (avg n - 1) = 3.00
Used for Chi-square table value ==> df (#groups-1) = 8

Data PASS homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis.

NOTE: If groups have unequal replicate sizes the average replicate size is used to calculate the B statistic (see above).

TITLE: RH-7592: Survival of Exposed FHM Larvae

FILE: 42041001.sur

TRANSFORM: NO TRANSFORMATION

NUMBER OF GROUPS: 9

GRP	IDENTIFICATION	REP	VALUE	TRANS VALUE
1	Solvent Control	1	1.0000	1.0000
1	Solvent Control	2	0.9000	0.9000
1	Solvent Control	3	0.9500	0.9500
1	Solvent Control	4	0.9500	0.9500
2	Control	1	0.9500	0.9500
2	Control	2	0.9000	0.9000
2	Control	3	1.0000	1.0000
2	Control	4	0.9500	0.9500
3	0.0097 mg ai/l	1	0.8500	0.8500
3	0.0097 mg ai/l	2	0.9500	0.9500
3	0.0097 mg ai/l	3	1.0000	1.0000
3	0.0097 mg ai/l	4	0.9000	0.9000
4	0.0.013 mg ai/l	1	0.9500	0.9500
4	0.0.013 mg ai/l	2	0.9500	0.9500
4	0.0.013 mg ai/l	3	0.9000	0.9000
4	0.0.013 mg ai/l	4	0.9500	0.9500
5	0.02 mg ai/l	1	0.9500	0.9500
5	0.02 mg ai/l	2	1.0000	1.0000
5	0.02 mg ai/l	3	0.9500	0.9500
5	0.02 mg ai/l	4	1.0000	1.0000
6	0.047 mg ai/l	1	0.9500	0.9500
6	0.047 mg ai/l	2	0.9000	0.9000
6	0.047 mg ai/l	3	0.8700	0.8700
6	0.047 mg ai/l	4	1.0000	1.0000
7	0.082 mg ai/l	1	0.9000	0.9000
7	0.082 mg ai/l	2	0.9500	0.9500
7	0.082 mg ai/l	3	0.9500	0.9500
7	0.082 mg ai/l	4	1.0000	1.0000
8	0.016 mg ai/l	1	0.9500	0.9500
8	0.016 mg ai/l	2	0.9500	0.9500
8	0.016 mg ai/l	3	0.9000	0.9000
8	0.016 mg ai/l	4	0.9500	0.9500
9	0.33 mg ai/l	1	1.0000	1.0000
9	0.33 mg ai/l	2	0.9500	0.9500
9	0.33 mg ai/l	3	1.0000	1.0000
9	0.33 mg ai/l	4	1.0000	1.0000

26

RH-7592: Survival of Exposed FHM Larvae
File: 42041001.sur Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	8	0.013	0.002	0.999
Within (Error)	27	0.045	0.002	
Total	35	0.059		

Critical F value = 2.31 (0.05,8,27)

Since F < Critical F FAIL TO REJECT Ho:All groups equal

RH-7592: Survival of Exposed FHM Larvae
 File: 42041001.sur Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

DUNNETTS TEST - TABLE 1 OF 2

Ho:Control<Treatment

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG
1	Solvent Control	0.950	0.950		
2	Control	0.950	0.950	-0.000	
3	0.0097 mg ai/l	0.925	0.925	0.862	
4	0.0.013 mg ai/l	0.938	0.938	0.431	
5	0.02 mg ai/l	0.975	0.975	-0.862	
6	0.047 mg ai/l	0.930	0.930	0.690	
7	0.082 mg ai/l	0.950	0.950	0.000	
8	0.016 mg ai/l	0.938	0.938	0.431	
9	0.33 mg ai/l	0.988	0.988	-1.293	

Dunnett table value = 2.53 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=24,8)

RH-7592: Survival of Exposed FHM Larvae
 File: 42041001.sur Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

DUNNETTS TEST - TABLE 2 OF 2

Ho:Control<Treatment

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	MINIMUM SIG DIFF (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% OF CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	Solvent Control	4			
2	Control	4	0.073	7.7	-0.000
3	0.0097 mg ai/l	4	0.073	7.7	0.025
4	0.0.013 mg ai/l	4	0.073	7.7	0.012
5	0.02 mg ai/l	4	0.073	7.7	-0.025
6	0.047 mg ai/l	4	0.073	7.7	0.020
7	0.082 mg ai/l	4	0.073	7.7	0.000
8	0.016 mg ai/l	4	0.073	7.7	0.012
9	0.33 mg ai/l	4	0.073	7.7	-0.038

RH-7592: Hatchability of Exposed FHM Embryos
File: 42041001.hat Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies

INTERVAL	<-1.5	-1.5 to <-0.5	-0.5 to 0.5	>0.5 to 1.5	>1.5
EXPECTED	2.412	8.712	13.752	8.712	2.412
OBSERVED	0	9	22	5	0

Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 11.3620
Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277

Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis.

RH-7592: Hatchability of Exposed FHM Embryos
File: 42041001.hat Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

Hartley test for homogeneity of variance
Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance

These two tests can not be performed because at least one group has zero variance.

Data FAIL to meet homogeneity of variance assumption.
Additional transformations are useless.

TITLE: RH-7592: Hatchability of Exposed FHM Embryos

FILE: 42041001.hat

TRANSFORM: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

NUMBER OF GROUPS: 9

GRP	IDENTIFICATION	REP	VALUE	TRANS VALUE
1	Solvent Control	1	1.0000	1.4588
1	Solvent Control	2	0.9500	1.3453
1	Solvent Control	3	1.0000	1.4588
1	Solvent Control	4	0.9500	1.3453
2	Control	1	1.0000	1.4588
2	Control	2	0.9500	1.3453
2	Control	3	1.0000	1.4588
2	Control	4	1.0000	1.4588
3	0.0097 mg ai/l	1	0.9000	1.2490
3	0.0097 mg ai/l	2	1.0000	1.4588
3	0.0097 mg ai/l	3	1.0000	1.4588
3	0.0097 mg ai/l	4	1.0000	1.4588
4	0.0.013 mg ai/l	1	1.0000	1.4588
4	0.0.013 mg ai/l	2	0.9500	1.3453
4	0.0.013 mg ai/l	3	1.0000	1.4588
4	0.0.013 mg ai/l	4	1.0000	1.4588
5	0.02 mg ai/l	1	1.0000	1.4588
5	0.02 mg ai/l	2	1.0000	1.4588
5	0.02 mg ai/l	3	1.0000	1.4588
5	0.02 mg ai/l	4	1.0000	1.4588
6	0.047 mg ai/l	1	1.0000	1.4588
6	0.047 mg ai/l	2	0.9500	1.3453
6	0.047 mg ai/l	3	1.0000	1.4588
6	0.047 mg ai/l	4	1.0000	1.4588
7	0.082 mg ai/l	1	0.9500	1.3453
7	0.082 mg ai/l	2	0.9500	1.3453
7	0.082 mg ai/l	3	1.0000	1.4588
7	0.082 mg ai/l	4	1.0000	1.4588
8	0.016 mg ai/l	1	0.9500	1.3453
8	0.016 mg ai/l	2	0.9500	1.3453
8	0.016 mg ai/l	3	0.9500	1.3453
8	0.016 mg ai/l	4	1.0000	1.4588
9	0.33 mg ai/l	1	1.0000	1.4588
9	0.33 mg ai/l	2	0.9500	1.3453
9	0.33 mg ai/l	3	1.0000	1.4588
9	0.33 mg ai/l	4	1.0000	1.4588

RH-7592: Hatchability of Exposed FHM Embryos
 File: 42041001.hat Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

KRUSKAL-WALLIS ANOVA BY RANKS - TABLE 1 OF 2 (p=0.05)

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	RANK SUM
1	Solvent Control	1.402	0.975	63.000
2	Control	1.430	0.988	80.500
3	0.0097 mg ai/l	1.406	0.975	74.500
4	0.0.013 mg ai/l	1.430	0.988	80.500
5	0.02 mg ai/l	1.459	1.000	98.000
6	0.047 mg ai/l	1.430	0.988	80.500
7	0.082 mg ai/l	1.402	0.975	63.000
8	0.016 mg ai/l	1.374	0.962	45.500
9	0.33 mg ai/l	1.430	0.988	80.500

Calculated H Value = 5.998 Critical H Value Table = 15.510
 Since Calc H < Crit H FAIL TO REJECT Ho:All groups are equal.

RH-7592: Hatchability of Exposed FHM Embryos
 File: 42041001.hat Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

DUNNS MULTIPLE COMPARISON - KRUSKAL-WALLIS - TABLE 2 OF 2 (p=0.05)

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ORIGINAL MEAN	GROUP								
				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
8	0.016 mg ai/l	1.374	0.962	\								
7	0.082 mg ai/l	1.402	0.975	.	\							
1	Solvent Control	1.402	0.975	.	.	\						
3	0.0097 mg ai/l	1.406	0.975	.	.	.	\					
6	0.047 mg ai/l	1.430	0.988	\				
4	0.0.013 mg ai/l	1.430	0.988	\			
2	Control	1.430	0.988	\		
9	0.33 mg ai/l	1.430	0.988	\		
5	0.02 mg ai/l	1.459	1.000	\	

* = significant difference (p=0.05)
 Table q value (0.05,9) = 3.197

. = no significant difference
 SE = 6.124

34

DATABASE ENTRY FORM
FOR ACUTE OR CHRONIC TOXICITY STUDIES

1. Chemical RH-7592 Shaughnessy 129011
2. Common Name Of Organism Tested Fathead Minnow
3. Scientific Name Pimephales promelas
4. Age Of Organisms <24 hours
5. Guideline No. 72-4
6. Type Of Dosing Method (Circle One) Or Study
1. Oral 2. Dietary 3. Reproduction 4. Static
5. Static Renewal 6. Flowthrough 7. Acute Contact
8. Other _____
7. % AI Of Test Substance 96.7%
8. Study Duration (Hrs Or Days) 35 days
9. Dose Type (Circle One) A. LD50 B. LC50 C. EC50 D. MATC
10. Toxicity Level A. mg/kg B. ppm C. mg/l D. µg/l E. ng/l
F. µg/bee G. Other _____
11. 95% C.L.s NA LC₅₀ = NA
12. Curve Slope NA
13. NOEL 0.082 mg ai/l LOEL = 0.16 mg ai/l
14. Study Date (YEAR) 1991
15. Study Review Date (YEAR) 1992
16. Category (Circle One) CORE SUPPLEMENTAL INVALID
17. MRID or Accession Number 420410-01
18. Laboratory Analytical Bio-Chemistry Laboratories, INC.
19. Reviewer Rosemary Graham Mora
20. For Reproductive Studies (avian or aquatic) Indicate Which Parameter Affected At What Toxicity Level.
Eggs Laid _____ % Cracked _____ % Viable _____
% Live Embryos _____ % Eggs hatched _____ 14D Survivors _____
Growth Effected at _____ Other Effects _____

35