

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

454 E  
CASWELL FILE

JAN 12 1990

OFFICE OF  
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: GX-071 - 90-DAY DOG STUDY

TO: MICHAEL MENDELSON  
PRODUCT MANAGER (17)  
REGISTRATION DIVISION (H7505C)

FROM: LINDA L. TAYLOR, PH.D. *Linda Taylor Sup 1/9/90*  
TOXICOLOGY BRANCH II, SECTION II  
HEALTH EFFECTS DIVISION (H7509C)

THRU: K. CLARK SWENTZEL *K. Clark Swentzel 1/10/90*  
SECTION II HEAD, TOXICOLOGY BRANCH II  
HEALTH EFFECTS DIVISION (H7509C)

AND

MARCIA VAN GEMERT, PH.D. *M. van Gemert 1/10/90*  
CHIEF, TOXICOLOGY BRANCH/HFAS/HED (H7509C)

REGISTRANT:  
CHEMICAL:  
SYNONYMS:  
PROJECT:  
CASWELL No.:  
RECORD No.:  
IDENTIFYING No.:  
ACTION REQUESTED:

GRIFFIN CORPORATION  
N-ETHYL PERFLUOROOCANE SULFONAMID  
GX-071; SULFLURAMID  
0-0432  
454E  
257188  
1812-327  
CONFIRM TELEPHONE CONVERSATION AND REVIEW REVISED  
DOG STUDY PROTOCOL.

COMMENT: THE REGISTRANT HAS SUBMITTED THE REVISED (12/07/89) PROTOCOL FOR THE 90-DAY DOG STUDY TO BE PERFORMED ON GX-071. IT IS NOTED THAT DOSE LEVELS HAVE BEEN INCLUDED, WHICH WERE NOT PREVIOUSLY INCLUDED IN THE PROTOCOL (AS DISCUSSED IN TB II MEMO DATED SEPTEMBER 8, 1989). THE COVER LETTER FROM J.S. LOVELL PROVIDES A COPY OF A LETTER FROM DR. PICCIRILLO TO ME REGARDING THE AGE OF THE DOGS TO BE USED IN THE 90-DAY STUDY. THAT LETTER (UNDATED) FROM DR. PICCIRILLO IS ACCURATE WITH REGARD TO TB II CONCURRENCE WITH THE USE OF THE OLDER DOGS. HOWEVER, MR. LOVELL'S STATEMENT THAT TB II IS IN AGREEMENT WITH THE REVISED PROTOCOL IS NOT ACCURATE, AS DISCUSSED BELOW.

THE PREVIOUS PROTOCOLS FOR THE 90-DAY DOG STUDY HAVE NOT INDICATED THE DOSE LEVELS THAT ARE TO BE TESTED NOR HAS ANY STATEMENT BEEN MADE THAT ADMINISTRATION BY CAPSULE MAY BE UTILIZED FOLLOWING A PALATIBILITY CHECK OF THE HIGH DOSE. CONCURRENCE FROM THE AGENCY WITH REGARD TO THIS PROPOSED STUDY HAS BEEN ON THE AGE OF THE DOGS AT STUDY INITIATION ONLY, NOT ON DOSE SELECTION. SINCE NO INFORMATION IS PROVIDED IN THE REVISED PROTOCOL AS TO HOW THE DOSES WERE CHOSEN, AND ASSUMING THAT THE STUDY IS CURRENTLY UNDERWAY, NO COMMENT (CONCURRENCE) ON THIS ASPECT IS APPROPRIATE BY TB II AT THIS TIME.

1/1