US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

#### File No. 128850

#### DATA EVALUATION RECORD

- 1. CHEMICAL: Monoammonium-2-amino-4-(hydroxymethyl phosphinyl) butarfate
- 2. FORMULATION: (HOE 39866 OH SL19 A127)
  Soluble concentrate 200 g/L
- 3. CITATION:

  R. Fisher. 1983. The effect of Hoe 39866 OH SL19 A127 on Salmo gairdneri (Rainbow trout) in a static test. Performed by Hoechst AG, Frankfurt, FRG; submitted by American Hoechst Corp., Somerville, NJ; Registration No. 8340-EUP-RN; Accession No. 072967.
- 4. REVIEWED BY: John J. Bascietto
  Wildlife Biologist
  Ecological Effects Branch/HED
- 5. DATE REVIEWED: November 30, 1984
- 6. TEST TYPE: Freshwater Fish LC50 (acute)
  - A. Rainbow trout, Salmo gairdneri
- 7. REPORTED RESULTS: 96 hr LC50

Sg 296  $LC_{50} = 12.27 \text{ mg/1} (7.6-21.6)$ 

Sg 297  $LC_{50} = 14.86 \text{ mg/l} (9.5-28.2)$ 

8. REVIEWER'S CONCLUSIONS:

The study is not scientifically sound, as reported. There are many substantial deviations from the acceptable guidelines which cause this study to be unacceptable to fulfill a guideline requirement. The results should not be used in hazard assessment.

Some unacceptable aspects:

- cannot validate the exposure
- test was aerated
- insufficient chemistry determinations
- insufficient identification of the toxicant tested

# 9. MATERIALS/METHODS:

Trout were obtained from laboratory hatchery and kept at 12+2°C. Mortality over 6 weeks was 0.1%. At time of test fish were 4 months old (mean length = 3.77 cm; mean weight = 0.77 g; n = 20).

Tests were conducted with two replicates, Sg 296 and Sg 297, 15 concentrations and a negative control were tested each replicate.

Test medium was "well aerated water mixture of 80% filtered drinking water and 20% deionized." Characteristics of water indicate it was EPA "very hard"; pH = 7.6.

Stock solutions were prepared by precision weighing of toxicant to 0.1 mg dissolved in water. Original material was a soluble concentrate 200 g/L.

Test chambers were each 50 L capacity stainless steel with 50 L test medium in each. Ten fish per vessel - random selection. Biological loading was 0.14 and 0.16 g/L. Temperature, D.O. and pH were determined at 0, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours in the control tank. Test water was aerated with 100 ml air/minute during the entire test. Test water temperature lay between 15.4 and 15.7°C (S-296) 15.9 and 16. C (Sg 297).

#### Statistical Analysis:

SAS computer program for probit analysis.LC<sub>50</sub>'s are "nominals".

## 10. RESULTS:

See tables.

#### 11. REVIEWER'S EVALUATION

#### A. Test Procedure

Substantial deviations from acceptable guidelines are:

- inadequate description of toxicant preparations.
- 2. no analytical chemistry (actual concentrations) determinations in each vessel (required as a result of\*1. and\*4).
- 3. 50 L tanks used.

- 4. aerated tests.
- 5. no indication of % active ingredient.
- 6. water chemistries determined only in controls instead of high, mid-, low concentrations.

# B. Statistical Analysis:

Did not validate since cannot use results.

# C. Results:

These results are of no use to EEB since there are many unacceptable deviations from our requirements and guidelines.

## D. Conclusions:

- 1. Category: Invalid (both tests)
- 2. Rationale: Aerated tests with no analytical concentrations
- 3. Repair: Provide analytical concentrations and water quality data for test chambers.

   Provide % a.i. in ariginal texticant material

GLUFOSINATE 1288	50	
Page is not included in this copy.  Pages # through //_ are not included.		
The material not included contains the following information:	g type	of
Identity of product inert ingredients.		
Identity of product impurities.		
Description of the product manufacturing process.		
Description of quality control procedures.		
Identity of the source of product ingredients.		
Sales or other commercial/financial information.		
A draft product label.		
The product confidential statement of formula.	•	
Information about a pending registration action.		
FIFRA registration data.		
The document is a duplicate of page(s)	•	
The document is not responsive to the request.	•	

The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.