US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

201106	
PECORD	NO.

128825 SHAUGHNESSY NO.

REVIEW NO.

EE BRANCH REVIEW

DATE: IN	08-13-87	_ OUT	10-15-87	
FILE OR REG. NO. 279-3055				
PETITION OR EXP. PER	RMIT NO	i de la composition	<u>,</u>	
DATE OF SUBMISSION_		0,	7/16/87	
DATE RECEIVED BY HE	D	08	8/11/87	
RD REQUESTED COMPLET	TION DATE_	1	0/26/87	·
EEB ESTIMATED COMPL	ETION DATE	1	0/26/87	
RD ACTION CODE/TYPE	OF REVIEW	3	30	
TYPE PRODUCT(S): I	, D, H, F,	N, R, S_	Synthetic pyr	rethroid
DATA ACCESSION NO(S). 402665-01				
PRODUCT MANAGER NO. G. LaRocca(15)				
PRODUCT NAME(S) Bifenthrin(Brigade, Capture, Talstar)				
COMPANY NAME	FMC Co	rproation		
SUBMISSION PURPOSE Submission of oyster shell deposition study				
SHAUGHNESSY NO.	СНЕМ	ICAL & FO	RMULATION	% A.I.
128825	bif	enthrin		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

- 1. CHEMICAL: Bifenthrin, FMC 54800
- 2. TEST MATERIAL: 88.35% A.I.
- 3. TEST TYPE: Estuarine mollusc acute toxicity test (shell deposition)
- 4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION: Acute effect of FMC 54800 Technical on new shell growth of the Eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica). Unpublished report prepared by ESE, Inc. for FMC Corporation. [EPA Accession No. 402665-017
- 5. REVIEWED BY:

Les Touart Fisheries Biologist Ecological Effects Branch/HED Signature: Date:

6. APPROVED BY:

Raymond Matheny Supervisory Biologist Ecological Effects Branch/HED Signature: Jun Mati-

The study is not acceptable as it fails to 7. CONCLUSIONS: report an EC50 concentration, that is a concentration which inhibits new shell growth by 50%, and the controls deposited less than 3.0 mm of new shell growth in 96 hours. The highest level tested (99.7 ppb) was insufficient to cause a 50% reduction in shell

growth.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS: N/A

- 9. BACKGROUND:
- 10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS: N/A
- 11. METHODS AND MATERIALS:
- A. Test Organisms: Eastern oyster

Size: 36 - 50 mm [umbo to distal valve edge]

Source: Shinnocock Tribe Oyster Project

B. Dosage Form:

Solvents/Vehicles: acetone

Route of Administration: injection to dilution water into

proportional diluter

C. Referenced Protocol:

Test Levels: Nominal - 1000, 600, 360, 216 and 130 ppb; Mean Measured - 73.9, 99.7, 71.5, 95.7 and

32.1 ppb. Appropriate controls were included.

Number per Level: 20 oysters/treatment

Test Conditions:

Temperature: 26° C

Salinity: 35 - 36 ppt

Dissolved Oxygen: 3.4 - 6.0

pH: 7.0 - 7.8

Source of Dilution Water: unfiltered natural seawater

Test Vessels: 16.3 1 glass aquaria

Loading: 20 oysters/glass aquaria

Photoperiod: 16 hours light: 8 hours dark

Observation Period: 96 hours

Statistical Methods: n/a

12. REPORTED RESULTS:

Effects Criteria: mortality

EC50 and C.L.'s: n/a

NEL: not attained

Dose Response Data:

Conc. $(ug/1)$	Mean shell	deposition	% change
73.9	1.97	mm	-13
99.7	1.72	mm	-24
71.5	2.62	mm	+16
95.7	2.42	mm	+7
32.1	2.52	mm	+12
control	2.77	mm	+23
solvent co	ntrol 2.26	mm	

Observation Period: 96 hours

13. STUDY AUTHOR'S CONCLUSIONS/QUALITY ASSURANCE MEASURES:

A 96-hr EC50 value could not be determined from the test data, but appeared to be >99.7 ppb.

14. REVIEWER'S DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION OF THE STUDY:

- A. Test Procedures: The test was conducted according to acceptable methods. However, the concentrations tested were insufficient to allow a calculation of an EC50 and D.O. and pH values were excessively low. Also, the test concentrations were above solubility limits of the compound which resulted in non-homogeneous test concentrations.
- B. Statistical Analysis: n/a
- C. Discussion/Results: The data do not support the calculation of an EC50 for FMC 54800 to oyster shell growth. The control oysters did not deposit new shell at an optimum rate of 1 mm per day. The optimum rate could have been affected by low D.O. and pH during the test.
- D. Adequacy of Test:
 - 1. Validation Category: Invalid.
 - 2. Rationale: Inappropriate response from control animals and lack of homogeneous test concentrations.
 - .3. Repairability: None.