US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

REVIEW NO.

## EEB BRANCH REVIEW

D.A	TE: IN 2	<b>-1</b> 8-87	OUT	JUN 29 1987	
FILE OR REG. NO.		241-273			
PETITION OR EXP. PE	RMIT NO				
DATE OF SUBMISSION_		12-8-86			
DATE RECEIVED BY HE	. D	2-13-87			
RD REQUESTED COMPLE	TION DATE	5-4 <b>-</b> 87			
EEB ESTIMATED COMPL	ETION DATE_	4-27-87			
RD ACTION CODE/TYPE	of REVIEW_	261			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	-				
TYPE PRODUCT(S): I,	D, H, F, N	, R, S	Herbicide		<del></del>
DATA ACCESSION NO(S		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		
PRODUCT MANAGER NO.		R. Tayl	or		·····
PRODUCT NAME(S)		Arsenal	Herbicile		
COMPANY NAME	Amer	rican Cyanamid C	ompany		
SUBMISSION PURPOSE	Regist	rant Response C	oncerning		
-	Endange	ered Species Lab	eling		
SHAUGHNESSEY NO.	(	CHEMICAL, & FO	ORMULATION	_	% A.I.

## EEB REVIEW

Imazapyr (Arsenal)

## 100.0 Submission Purpose

Registrant response concerning endangered species labeling.

## 101.1 Discussion

In the EEB review (6-01-87) for Arsenal use on forestry (loblolly pine), endangered species labeling was required. This was based on phytotoxicity to a broad spectrum of plant species which could adversely affect endangered plants growing near the site of application.

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) has provided EEB with a biological opinion for pesticides used in forestry. In this opinion a number of plant species are listed as being in jeopardy from the use of herbicides. However, Arsenal was not one of them. In fact, the PR Notice 87-4 of May 1, 1987 advising manufacturers, formulators and registrants that their pesticide products registered for forest uses are required to bear prohibitions on use of the product in the range of listed species did not include arsenal. Therefore, labeling is not required at this time. Three things have to happen before this product is required to bear endangered species labeling:

- The USFWS will have to be notified that EEB is adding Arsenal to the Forest Cluster (done according to EER review of 6-01-87):
- The USFWS will respond with a concurrence or requirement to formally consult; and
- Based on the result of the USFWS response, EPA will 3. notify the registrant, via a PR Notice, when endangered species labeling is required.

Richard R. Stevens, Biologist

Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769-C)

Raymond W. Matheny, Head-Section I Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division XTS-769-C)

Michael W. Slimak, Chief Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division