US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Reviewed by: Pamela M. Hurley Pamela M. Hurley 3/22/94
Section I, Toxicology Branch I
Secondary Reviewer: Roger Gardner, Head Rom Hand W21/94
Section I, Toxicology Branch I

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

| 1994

STUDY TYPE: Multigeneration Reproduction - Rat (Guideline 83-4)

MRID NUMBER: 407343-03

TEST MATERIAL: Technical grade paclobutrazol (stated purity of 92.4%) described as an off white powder (Batch No. P29).

SYNONYMS: Paclobutrazol, [(2RS, 3RS)-1-(4-chlorophenyl)-4,4-dimethyl-2-(1H,1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)pentan-3-ol]

STUDY NUMBER(S): CTL/P/1496 and CTL/P/1496S

<u>SPONSOR</u>: ICI Americas, Inc., Agricultural Products, Wilmington, DE.

TESTING FACILITY: ICI Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK

TITLE OF REPORT: Paclobutrazol: Two Generation Reproduction Study in Rats Including Individual Animal Data.

AUTHOR(S): Wickramaratne, G. A.

DATE REPORT ISSUED: February 27, 1987.

CONCLUSIONS:

Paclobutrazol (technical, 92.4% pure) was tested in a two-generation reproduction study in Alpk:AP (Wistar derived) rats at the following dose levels: 0, 50, 250 and 1250 ppm (0, 2.5, 12.5 or 62.5 mg/kg/day) in the diet. Each generation began with 17 males and 34 females for each control and high dose group and 15 males and 30 females for each low and mid-dose group. One male was mated with 2 females from the same test group until sperm plugs were observed in vaginal smears. The F_0 parental animals were given test diets for 12 weeks before they were mated, and the F_1 parental animals wee not mated until 11 weeks after they were selected from the F_{1A} litters. Selection of parents for the F_1 generation was made when the pups were 36 days of age, and the mated animals in the study were between 16 and 20 weeks of age at mating.

At 250 ppm and above, an increased incidence of chromodacryorrhea and thickened eyelids was observed in both generations. This was observed in both the parental animals and in the pups. In the

parental animals, the incidences were within the historical control range. At 1250 ppm, increases in liver weights were observed in females in both generations (23% absolute, 26% relative F_0 ; 7% absolute, 14% relative F_1) and fatty change in the liver was observed in F_0 females (23/30 versus 0/30 for controls). Increased liver weights, mottling or accentuation of the lobular structure, liver enlargement and pallor and discoloration were observed in male and female pups from both generations. In addition to the changes in the liver, dental malocclusion was observed in both generations (parental animals and pups, incidence in parental animals within historical control range) and thickening of the urinary bladder wall was observed in 1 F_0 and in 3 F_1 high dose females. The reproductive/systemic LOEL is 250 ppm (12.5 mg/kg/day) based on increases in liver weights and on fatty change in the liver of parental females; and on an increased incidence of chromodacryorrhea and thickened eyelids, dental malocclusion, increased liver weights, mottling or accentuation of the lobular structure, liver enlargement, pallor and discoloration in male and female pups. The reproductive/systemic NOEL is 50 ppm (2.5 mg/kg/day).

The study is classified as Core Guideline Data and satisfies the regulatory requirement for a multigeneration study in rats.

I. PROTOCOL

A. Materials

- 1. Test species: Twenty-one-day old male and female Alpk:AP (Wistar derived) rats were obtained for the first parental generation of the study from the Specific Pathogen Free (SPF) colony at the Alderley Park Breeding Unit of the testing facility. The rats were acclimated for a period of 15 or 16 days before they were placed into the study.
- 2. <u>Diet preparation</u>: The composition of the basal diet (CT1 diet from Special Diets Services, Ltd., Stepfield, Witham, Essex, UK) is shown in Addendum A below. Addendum A describes the methods used in preparing the test diets used in the study.

Test diets were analyzed for homogeneity of mixtures and chemical stability in dietary mixtures at nominal levels of 100 and 1500 ppm in a previous study. The results of that report were not included.

B. Procedures and Study Design

1. Mating: One male was caged with two females from the same test group until sperm cells were observed in vaginal smears taken daily during the mating period. If sperm were not found after 10 days' observation, the first male was removed and three days later was replaced by another male from a group of males with proven fertility in the same test group. In the first parental generation only (F_0) parental animals),

V

there were two matings to produce F_{1A} and F_{1B} litters. If two attempts at mating were unsuccessful the report stated that no further matings were tried. Brother-sister matings were also avoided.

After successful mating, each pregnant female was individually placed into a cage with a solid bottom and autoclaved paper bedding where they were kept through gestation and lactation.

- 2. Mating schedule: The F_0 parental animals were given test diets for 12 weeks before they were mated, and the F_1 parental animals were not mated until 11 weeks after they were selected from the F_{1A} litters. Selection of parents for the F_1 generation was made when the pups were 36 days of age, and the mated animals in the study were between 16 and 20 weeks of age at mating.
- 3. <u>Animal assignment</u>: F₀ animals were randomly assigned to test groups as follows:

$T\epsilon$	est groups	Dose	<u>Animals p</u>	er group **
No.	<u>Designation</u>	<u>(mqq)</u> *	<u>Males</u>	<u>Females</u>
1	Control	0	17 ***	34 ***
2	Low (LDT)	50	15	30
3	Mid	250	15	30
4	High (HDT)	1250	17 ***	34 ***

- * Diets were administered from the beginning of the study until the animals were sacrificed.
- ** The same number of animals were picked from the ${\rm F}_{\rm 1A}$ litters as parents for the ${\rm F}_{\rm 2}$ generation.
- *** These groups had 2 males and 4 females added as microbiological sentinels to monitor the health status of the animals during the course of the study.

C. Observation Schedule

1. <u>Parental animals</u>: Observations and the schedule for those observations is summarized from the report as follows:

Type of observation	Number of animals per sex per group	Frequency
Mortality and signs of toxicity	All	Twice a day during premating and growth periods.
Detailed clinical observations	All	Once a week during growth and breeding periods.
Body weight	All	At beginning of study and weekly through growth and mating periods.
	Maternal animals	Days 1, 8, 15, and 22 of gestation; days 1, 5, 11, 22, and 29 post partum; and weekly until sacrifice.
	Paternal animals	Weekly post-mating until sacrifice.
Food consumption	All	Weekly during premating period.

^{2.} Reproductive performance: Parental reproductive performance was assessed from breeding and parturition records of animals in the study. A mating was considered successful if it resulted in the production of a litter that contained at least one live pup on the day of birth. The length of gestation was noted in days (calculated from the day a positive vaginal smear was found to the day of birth). The length of the precoital interval (number of days between the date of mating and the date a positive vaginal smear was found) was noted for each pair.

The following indexes were calculated:

Male fertility index = No. females pregnant X 100 No. males mated Female fertility index = <u>No. females pregnant</u> X 100
Total no. females mated

Gestation index = No. live litters born X 100
No. pregnancies

3. <u>Litter observations</u>: According to the report, the following litter observations were made:

	Time of observation (lactation day)				
Observation	<u>Birth</u>	Day 5	<u>Day 11</u>	<u>Day 22</u>	Day 29
Number of live pups	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pup weight*	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
External alterations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Number of dead pups	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sex of each pup	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

* Pups were not individually identified, and their weights were recorded by sex and litter until individuals were selected as parents for the next generation.

Dead pups were examined grossly for external and internal abnormalities, and a possible cause of death was determined for pups born or found dead.

The following indexes were calculated:

Gestation index = No. live pups born X 100
No. live + dead pups born

Viability index = No. live pups at day 22 X 100 No. pups born alive

4. Necropsy

a. <u>Parental animals</u>: All surviving parental males were sacrificed as soon as possible after the last litters in each generation were produced. Maternal animals were sacrificed after the last litter of each generation was weaned. These animals were subjected to <u>post mortum</u> examinations as follows:

5

Animals examined	<u>Macroscopic</u>	Microscopic
Found dead Ye Unscheduled sacrifice * Ye Scheduled sacrifice Ye	s Y	es es es

- * Includes apparently pregnant females failing to produce a litter on the expected date of parturition and mated non-pregnant females.
- b. <u>Offspring</u>: The F1A, F1B, and F2 offspring were sacrificed at 35 days of age. These animals were subjected to <u>post mortum</u> examinations as follows:

Animals examined	<u>Macroscopic</u>	<u>Microscopic</u>		
Found dead ** Scheduled sacrifice	Yes Yes ***	Yes Yes ***		

- ** Only those 18 days of age or older.
- *** Included 5 per sex from each group in the F1A and F1B litters and 10/sex/group in the F2 litter. The report also stated, "All additional clinically abnormal pups and further normal pups received a gross necropsy so that a minimum of 2 pups of each sex were examined from each litter where possible."
- c. <u>Necropsy observations</u>: Gross necropsy consisted of external and internal examinations including the cervical, thoracic, and abdominal viscera.

The liver from each fully necropsied animal was weighed.

The following tissues were prepared for microscopic examination:

<u>X</u>	Ovaries	<u>X</u>	Epididymides
<u>X</u>	Uterus	<u>X</u>	Prostate
<u>X</u>	Unusual lesions	<u>X</u>	Seminal vessicles
_X	Vagina/cervix	<u>X</u>	Testes

Additional tissues prepared for microscopic examination included the eyes, Harderian gland, liver and mammary gland (parental females only). The eyes, skull and lower jaw from the F_2 offspring were also prepared for microscopic examination.

6

Tissue samples from the liver and reproduction organs of parental animals in the control and high dose group were examined microscopically. The reproductive organs of parental animals in the low and mid dose groups suspected of infertility were also examined microscopically. Tissues showing abnormalities macroscopically (urinary bladders of F_1 parental animals according to the report) were also examined under the microscope.

Tissue samples from the liver and reproduction organs of pups in the control and high dose group and livers observed grossly to be abnormal in the low and mid dose groups were examined microscopically. Tissues showing abnormalities macroscopically (eyes and Harderian glands from the F_2 pups according to the report) were also examined under the microscope.

D. <u>Data Analyses</u>

1. General considerations: The report noted that all analyses were done for each generation separately, and in the first generation analyses considered each litter separately for all parameters except those noted during premating and liver weight results. Pre-mating observations, parental and pup liver weights, fertility, pup weights, and pup weight gain were analyzed separately for each sex.

The percentage pups born live and pup survival were calculated by litter in each group before the group's mean values were determined. The report stated that three dams (one from the F_{1B} mating in the mid dose group and one each from the control and high dose group in the F_2 mating) were excluded from the analyses of the reproductive performance, pregnancy weights, lactation weights, viable litter size, total litter weights, and pup weights because all pups in those litters were born dead.

2. <u>Statistical analyses</u>: The report stated that all analyses were two-sided except for fertility, live born index, and survival index which were one-sided.

Analysis of variance was performed on the following observations: parental body weight gain to each week, weekly food consumption and food utilization during pre-mating; initial and final body weights and body weight gain during gestation and lactation with a covariate to represent the effect of re-mating (remated females tend to weigh more according to the report); litter size and total litter weight during lactation; mean pup weight and weight gain during lactation including final pup weight on Day 29; and parental liver weights and mean pup weights per litter, with and

 \mathcal{I}

without the relavant body weight as a covariate. The report stated that the proportion of pups surviving to day 22 was calculated for each litter individually and transformed using the double arcsine transformation and weighted by the denominator in the proportion.

The Student's t test was used to compare treatment group means to control group means to determine significance of differences.

The Fisher's Exact Test was used to assess proportions of fertile animals in each group and the proportions of litters with all pups born alive on day 1 as well as the proportion of litters with all the pups alive on day 1 that had all pups survive to day 22 of lactation.

II. REPORTED RESULTS

A. Analysis of test diets: According to the report, analysis of the diets showed that concentrations were within 10% of nominal. Four exceptions were noted in the mid and low dose groups. Two of those values were just above and just below nominal (+10.4%) at the mid dose level, and the third was 16% below the nominal mid dose concentration. One analysis of the low dose diet showed a mean concentration that was 19.4% below that expected.

According to the report, there were 9 samples analyzed for accuracy of each dietary concentration. The mean concentrations determined for the 50, 250, and 1250 ppm diets were 48.9, 245, and 1229 ppm, respectively. The respective ranges for the low, mid and high dose levels were 40.3 to 54.2, 210 to 276, and 1157 to 1287 ppm.



B. Parental animals

1. Mortality and clinical signs: The investigators noted that chromodacryorrhea, thickened eyelids, and dental malocclusion (twisted snout) occurred frequently in F₁ males and females. Both the 250 and 1250 ppm dose groups were affected, however, the report stated that the number of occurrences were within the historical control range. These results are summarized from the report as follows:

		Dose	group	
Observation	<u>Control</u>	Low	Mid	<u>High</u>
$\mathbf{F_0}$	Generation - 1	Males		
Chromodacryorrhea	0	0	0	1
Thickened eyelids	0	0	0	0
Dental Malocclusion	. 0	0	О	1
F ₁	Generation -	Males		
Chromodacryorrhea	0	0	2	3
Thickened eyelids	0	0	2 .	1
Dental Malocclusion	0	. 0	1	0
$\mathbf{F_0}$	Generation - F	emales		
Chromodacryorrhea	1	1	2	1
Thickened eyelids	1	1	2	1
Dental Malocclusion	1	0	0	O
F ₁	Generation - F	'emales		
Chromodacryorrhea	0	. 0	3 .	7
Thickened eyelids	2	O	2	8
Dental Malocclusion	0	0 .	1	· 1

Several animals were either found dead or were sacrificed because of their moribund condition. These deaths were not associated with treatment.

2. Body weight and food consumption: The report noted that the rats given the 1250 ppm diet had reduced body weight gains throughout the premating period for both generations. There were no effects on body weight observed in rats given the 50 ppm diet, and only males in the 250 ppm dose group showed a marginal reduction in body weight gain according to the investigators.

There were also body weight gain reductions observed during pregnancy for the F_{1A} and F_{1B} litters in 1250 ppm dose group females. The F_0 and F_1 dams did not show adverse effects on body weight during lactation according to the report. In examining the body weight data, it is noted that the reductions were never below 90% of the control values. Although many of the values were statistically significantly below the control values, it does not appear that they were biologically significant.

Food consumption was marginally reduced for the 1250 ppm males in the $\rm F_0$ generation, and food consumption was reduced in both generations for the high dose group females. The report noted that there was no consistent effect on food utilization (g body weight increase/g food consumbed).

Reported body weight and selected food consumption results are summarized as follows:

		Dose o	roup	
Observation and study week	Control	Low	<u>Mid</u>	<u>High</u>
F ₀ Generati	ion Males -	Pre-matin	g	
Mean body weight (g)				
0	73.4	74.9	71.7	72.7
12	496.5	504.1	485.8	475.1 *
Mean weight gain (g)				
0 - 12	423.1	429.2	414.1	402.4 *
Mean food consumption				
(g/rat/day)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1	19.1	19.1	18.7	17.2 **
2	25.1	26.6 *	25.2	23.6 *
12	32.6	33.6	32.1	32.0
F ₀ Generation	on Females	- Pre-mati	ng	
Mean body weight (g)				
0	69.3	68.4	68.5	69.8
12	262.0	264.8	261.5	255.2
Mean weight gain (g)	•			
0 - 12	192.7	196.4	193.0	185.4 *
Mean food consumption (g/rat/day)				<u>-</u>
1	16.2	16.1	16.6	15.3 **
5	20.7	21.0	20.8	19.8 *
6	21.3	21.5	21.4	20.2 *
7	21.0	21.3	21.5	20.0 *
8	21.5	21.6	21.6	20.7 *
12	20.8	20.8	20.7	19.9 *

Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

* The second of		Dose o	roup	
Observation and study week	Control	Low	Mid_	<u> High</u>
		D wot-in	.~	
F ₁ Generati	on Males -	Pre-matin	ig .	
Mean body weight (g)				
0	117.8	127.5	130.5	125.2
10	460.9	478.9	458.3	453.3
Mean weight gain (g)				
0 - 10	343.1	351.5	327.9	328.1
Mean food consumption				
(g/rat/day)				
1	23.6	23.8	23.4	23.2
6	34.1	34.2	33.0	30.0 *
11	33.1	35.0	33.1	33.0
F, Generation	n Females	- Pre-mati	ina	
r ₁ deficiació	n remared		9	
Mean body weight (g)				
0	110.9	112.6	120.2	110.9
10	263.7	264.9	270.4	250.3 *
Mean weight gain (g)				
0 - 10	152.8	152.3	150.2	139.4 *
Mean food consumption				4
(g/rat/day)				
1	20.1	19.8	20.5	19.0 *
4	22.7	22.5	22.2	21.1 *
8	23.7	23.1	23.3	
11	22.3	22.5	21.9	20.5 **

^{*} Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. ** Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

Selected group mean body weight values for pregnant or nursing dams were summarized in the report as follows:

	Dose group					
Observation and study time	Control	Low	Mid	High		
F ₀ Ger	neration - Li	tter A				
Mean body weight (g)						
Day 1 of gestation Day 22 of gestation	273.8 402.3	278.7 404.5	273.3 396.4	265.8 386.7 **		
Day 1 of lactation Day 29 of lactation	304.3 322.4	312.2 333.3 *	311.6 324.8	294.7 318.9		
Mean body weight gain (g)						
Days 1-22 of gestation Day 1-29 of lactation	128.7 18.1	126.7 21.1	123.4 13.2	118.6 ** 24.1		
F ₀ Ge	neration - L	itter B				
Mean body weight (g)		•				
Day 1 of gestation Day 22 of gestation	309.6 426.1	321.8 * 446.3 *	313.7 425.8	307.2 420.6		
Day 1 of lactation Day 29 of lactation	341.5 356.4	345.0 362.8	343.4 355.8	325.2 * 353.4		
Mean body weight gain (g)						
Days 1-22 of gestation Day 1-29 of lactation	116.4 15.0	124.3 17.8	111.6 12.4	113.4 28.2 *		
	F ₁ Generation	on				
Mean body weight (g)						
Day 1 of gestation Day 22 of gestation	287.9 412.5	285.4 412.5	293.4 415.9	266.9 ** 381.3 **		
Day 1 of lactation Day 29 of lactation	315.6 337.3	323.6 336.1	320.2 334.9	290.2 ** 314.7 **		
Mean body weight gain (g)						
Days 1-22 of gestation Day 1-29 of lactation	120.3 21.8	126.7 12.6	121.8 13.6	112.2 24.7		

Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

3. <u>Test Substance Intake</u>: Based on food consumption, body weight, and dietary analyses results, the doses expressed as mg test substance/kg body weight were as follows during the pre-mating period:

	I	Oose leve	Ls (ppm)		
	Males			Females	· · · · ·
50	250	1250	50	250_	1250
	F	F_0 Generat	ion		
7.55 5.54 3.93	38.60 27.77 19.54	183.76 138.94 100.04	7.27 5.45 4.53	37.60 27.48 22.95	177.58 134.55 111.96
3.33	16.52	84.19	3.93	19.79	97.47
	·	F ₁ Generat	ion		
6.52 4.99 3.89 3.61	31.95 24.09 19.27 18.06	160.66 124.81 97.28 90.10	6.58 5.25 4.57 4.34	32.56 25.41 22.50 20.25	163.68 128.03 110.03 103.38
	7.55 5.54 3.93 3.33 6.52 4.99 3.89	Males 50 250 F 7.55 38.60 5.54 27.77 3.93 19.54 3.33 16.52 F 6.52 31.95 4.99 24.09 3.89 19.27	Males 50 250 1250 F ₀ Generat 7.55 38.60 183.76 5.54 27.77 138.94 3.93 19.54 100.04 3.33 16.52 84.19 F ₁ Generat 6.52 31.95 160.66 4.99 24.09 124.81 3.89 19.27 97.28	$\begin{array}{r cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Reproductive performance: There were no consistent effects on reproductive performance noted by the investigators.

Results for the parental animals are summarized from the report as follows:

	Dose group				
<u>Observation</u>	Control	Low_	_Mid_	<u> High</u>	
F ₀ Genera	ation - Li	tter A			
Median precoital					
interval (days)	3	3	4	3	
<u>Males</u> Mated	. 15	15	15	15	
Fertile	12	12	15	14	
Fertility not determined	1	0	0	0	
Intercurrent deaths	ō	Ō	O	· · O	
2.11002.0422.0110					
<u>Females</u>	w			2.0	
Number mated	30	30	30	30	
Number fertile	25	27	28	28	
Fertility not determined	0	Ō	0	0	
Intercurrent deaths	0	0	0	0	
Madian maghation	•				
Median gestation interval (days)	22	22	23	22	
Interval (days)	22	22			
Number of litters	25	27	28	28	
Total litter losses	0	Ο,	0	0	
Mean litter size (Day 1)	12.0	11.9	9.8**	10.9	
Mean litter size (Day 29)	11.8	11.2	9.0	9.8	
			0.70	205	
Number of pups (Day 1)	303	320	279	305	
Number of pups (Day 29)	296	302	258	277	
Pup deaths (Days 1-29)	. 7	18	21	28	
Mean pup weight (g) (Day 1)	5.8	5.7	6.2	5.9	
Mean pup weight (g) (Day 29)		77.3	84.2	76.0	
Mean pup wergite (5) (but b)					

Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

	Dose group				
<u>Observation</u>	Control	<u>Low</u>	_Mid_	<u> High</u>	

F ₀ Gener	ation - Li	tter B			
Median precoital					
interval (days)	2	3	2	2	
				•	
Males	1 =	15	1.4	15	
Mated Fertile	15 14	15 14	14 13	15 14	
	0	0	1	0	
Fertility not determined Intercurrent deaths	0	0	1	0	
Intercurrent deaths	, U	.	<u> </u>		
Females					
Number mated	25	27	28	28	
Number fertile	24	26	27	26	
Fertility not determined	0	0	1	0	
Intercurrent deaths	5	3	2	2	
Median gestation					
interval (days)	22	22	22	22	
Number of litters (Day 1)	24	26	27	26	
Total litter losses	3 .	2	. 4	2	
Mean litter size (Day 1)	9.3	11.2	8.4	10.2	
Mean litter size (Day 29)	8.7	10.2	7.7	9.4	
Number of pups (Day 1)	237	262	223	250	
Number of pups (Day 29)	188	245	182	222	
Pup deaths (Days 1-29)	49	26	41	28	
Tap account (sain a sa)		_ ~		- -	
Mean pup weight (g) (Day 1)	6.4	6.0	6.5	6.0	
Mean pup weight (g) (Day 29)		73.6	80.4	75.0	
			*		

Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

		Dose	group	
<u>Observation</u>	<u>Control</u>	<u>Low</u>	_Mid_	<u> High</u>
F.	Generation			
Median precoital interval (days)	2	3	3	3
Males Mated Fertile Fertility not determined Intercurrent deaths	15 14 0 0	15 14 0 0	14 15 0 0	15 14 0 0
Females Number mated Number fertile Fertility not determined Intercurrent deaths	30 27 0 0	30 27 0	30 30 0	30 27 0 0
Median gestation interval (days)	22	22	22	22
Number of litters (Day 1) Total litter losses Mean litter size (Day 1) Mean litter size (Day 29)	27 0 10.4 9.8	27 0 10.9 10.6	30 0 11.1 10.5	27 1 10.1 9.7
Number of pups (Day 1) Number of pups (Day 29) Pup deaths (Days 1-29)	282 264 18	295 285 10	334 305 17	266 256 10
Mean pup weight (g) (Day 1) Mean pup weight (g) (Day 29	6.1) 79.5	6.1 77.8	6.0 78.1	6.0 73.2

Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

5. Necropsy results

a. Organ weights: The report noted a significant increase in liver weights above control group values for 1250 ppm female rats in both generations with the increase being greater in the first generation than in the second. There were no doserelated effects on liver weight noted for males of either generation. Liver weight results for female rats are summarized from the report as follows:

		Dose group				
<u>Obse</u>	<u>rvation</u>		<u>Control</u>	Low	<u>Mid</u>	<u> High</u>
	•	$\mathbf{F_0}$	Generation			
Organ weight Adjusted for		(g)	15.8 15.6	15.9 15.5	15.1 15.4	19.4 ** 19.7 **
		F ₁	Generation	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Organ weight Adjusted for		(g)	11.9 11.7	12.0 11.8	12.2 11.9	12.7 * 13.3 **

^{*} Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05.

b. Pathology

i. <u>Macroscopic examination</u>: The report noted the following observations to be related to the administration of the test substance:

<u>Liver</u> - mottling or accentuation of the lobular structure in $11/30~F_0$ females from the 1250 ppm dose group. Liver enlargement, pallor, and discoloration were observed in females from both generations.

<u>Urinary bladder</u> - thickening of the bladder wall in 3 F_1 and one F_0 female from the 1250 ppm dose group. Soft deposits in the bladders of 4 F_1 males receiving the 1250 ppm diet were characterized as toxicologically insignificant and normal for male rats.

ii. <u>Microscopic examination</u>: Changes in the liver were described in the report as follows:

In sections of liver stained with hematoxylin and eosin, fatty change was visible as vacuolation of hepatocytes in the centrilobular zone. In mildly affected lobules, the change appeared to commence

18

^{**} Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

in the outer part of the centrilobular zone and the innermost cell layers were not vacuolated. Even in severely affected lobules, a few hepatocytes immediately adjacent to the centrilobular vein remained unvacuolated. These "spared" cells often showed cytoplasmic eosinophilia,...individual hepatocytes were swollen, up to five times normal size and the cytoplasm was distended with multiple small to medium sized vacuoles to give a "ground glass" appearance. In some cells, vacuoles coalesced to form a single large clear vacuole. Examination of Oil Red O stained sections...con-firmed that vacuolation was due to...fatty change.

No other microscopically examined tissues from adult rats in the study indicated a dose or treatment related effect of the test substance.

	Dose Group				
	Mal	es	Female	es	
Observation	Control	<u> High</u>	<u>Control</u>	<u> High</u>	
		_	•		
	F ₀ Genera	tion			
Number Examined	15	15	30	30	
Centrilobular fatty change Increased cytoplasmic eosinophilia of centri-	. 0	0	0	23	
lobular hepatocytes Inflammatory cell	0 .	0	0	14	
infiltration	3	0	1	11	
	F ₁ Genera	tion			
Number Examined	15	15	30	30	
Centrilobular fatty change Increased cytoplasmic eosinophilia of centri-	0	1	1	1	
lobular hepatocytes Inflammatory cell	0	0 .	0	0	
infiltration	2	0 .	1	1	

^{*} Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05.

^{**} Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

C. Offspring

Viability and clinical signs: The authors noted that there were 3, 2, 4, and 2 whole litter losses in the F_{1R} generation. No effects on the total number of pups born alive were observed in the A or B litters of the first generation or in the F_2 generation; however, the mean litter size was lower than controls for the F1A litters from both the 250 ppm and 1250 dose groups. Reductions in litter size in the B litters were neither statistically significant nor dose-related. There were no apparent reductions in litter size in the F, litters. There appeared to be a reduction in pup survival in the F_{1A} mid- and high dose litters. This reduction in survival was not apparent in the F_{1B} litters nor in the F, generation. In addition, the authors stated that the values were within recent historical control values (data not provided). Reductions in body weights and body weight gains were neither dose-related nor consistent between generations.

Viability results from pups during lactation are summarized from the report as follows:

	Dose group				
Observation and study time	Control	Low	<u>Mid</u>	<u> High</u>	
F1	Generation	n ·			
<u>Litter A</u> Mean percentage surviving					
in each litter	98.3	95.1	7	91.8	
Mean transformed value No. litters with all pups surviving to Day 22/total	1.389	1.323	1.302 *	1.279 **	
no. litters	20/25	18/27	19/28	18/28	
<u>Litter B</u>					
Mean percentage	81.7	84.3	78.4	86.6	
Transformed value No. litters with all pups surviving to Day 22/total	1.177	1.255	1.130	1.246	
no. litters	15/24	14/25	15/27	14/26	
F ₂	Generation	n .			
Mean percentage Transformed value No. litters with all pups	94.5 1.301	97.5 1.371	94.7 1.321	92.1 1.356	
surviving to Day 22/total no. litters	17/27	21/27	18/29	19/27	

Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

Changes in mean litter sizes were summarized in the report as follows:

			Dose group				
Observation	and study	time	Control	<u>Low</u>	<u>Mid</u>	<u> High</u>	
		F1	Generati	on			
*							
<u>Litter A</u>							
Day 1			12.0	11.9	9.8 **	10.9	
Day 5			11.9	11.3	9.4 **	9.9 **	
Day 11			11.9	11.3	9.2 **	9.9 **	
Day 22			11.9	11.2	9.1 **	9.9 **	
-			•		•		
<u>Litter B</u>							
Day 1			9.3	11.2	8.4	10.2	
Day 5			9.0	10.3	7.7	9.7	
Day 11			8.9	10.3	7.7	9.6	
Day 22	•		8.8	10.3	7.7	9.5	
	•						
		\mathbf{F}_{2}	Generati	Lon			
Dore 1			10.4	10.9	11.1	10.1	
Day 1			9.8	10.7	10.5	9.7	
Day 5					10.5	9.7	
Day 11			9.8	10.6			
Day 22			9.8	10.6	10.5	9.7	
•							

^{*} Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. ** Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

The report stated that the majority of clinical observations made on F_1 and F_2 pups had a low incidence and were unrelated to treatment. However, the investigators noted that chromodacryorrhea, thickened eyelids, and dental malocclusion had an incidence that was apparently dose related and occurred most frequently in the pups from the 250 and 1250 ppm dose groups. The results of these observations are summarized from the report as follows:

	Dose group					
Observation	Control	Low	<u>Mid</u>	<u> High</u>		
(No. of litters with one or more affected pups)						
F ₁ Gener	ation - Li	tter A		÷		
Litters weaned (Day 29) No. of litters affected	25	27	28	28		
	4	0	5	19		
Chromodacryorrhea	4	0	5	17		
Thickened eyelids	4	0	4	18		
Dental Malocclusion	0	0	0	9		
F, Generation - Litter B						
Litters weaned (Day 29) No. of litters affected	21	24	23	24		
	1	1	4	8		
Chromodacryorrhea	1	1	4	5		
Thickened eyelids	1	1	4	8		
Dental Malocclusion	0	0	0	3		
F ₂ Generation						
Litters weaned (Day 29)	27	27	30	26		
No. of litters affected	0	2	7	13		
Chromodacryorrhea	0	2	7	8		
Thickened eyelids	0	0	4	8		
Dental Malocclusion	0	0	0	5		

^{2. &}lt;u>Body weight</u>: Selected group mean body weights are summarized from the report as follows:

	Dose group					
Observation and study time	<u>Control</u>	Low	Mid_	<u> High</u>		
F1 Generation						
Litter A						
Males Body weight (g) - Day 1 Weight gain (g) - Day 22		5.9 36.3	6.4 * 41.1 *	6.1 35.9		
Females Body weight (g) - Day 1 Weight gain (g) - Day 22	5.6 34.9	5.5 34.7	6.0 * 39.9 *			
<u>Litter B</u>						
Males Body weight (g) - Day 1 Weight gain (g) - Day 22	6.6 37.4	6.2 * 34.3	6.6 39.1	6.2 35.8		
Females Body weight (g) - Day 1 Weight gain (g) - Day 22	6.2 36.2	5.8 * 32.9	6.3 37.1	5.7 * 33.5		
F	₂ Generati	.on	•			
Males Body weight (g) - Day 1 Weight gain (g) - Day 22	6.2 38.8	6.3 36.8	6.2 37.9	6.2 35.0 *		
Females Body weight (g) - Day 1 Weight gain (g) - Day 22	6.0 37.4	5.9 35.3	5.8 35.8			

^{*} Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05.

^{**} Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

^{3.} Necropsy results

a. Organ weights: Increased liver weights were observed in the 1250 ppm dose group pups from both generations. Slight increases were observed in the 250 ppm dose group also. These results are summarized from the report as follows:

	Dose group			
<u>Observation</u>	Control	Low	_Mid_	High
	Conoration			
F1 ,	Generation			
Litter A				
Males				
Organ weight (g)	5.82	5.86	6.65 **	6.99 **
Body weight (g)	124	127 5.97	137 * 6.19	126 7.18 **
Adjusted for body weight (3) 6.08	5.9/	0.13	7.10
Females				
Organ weight (g)	5.14	5.05	5.51	6.10 **
Body weight (g)	112	110	117	108
Adjusted for body weight (g) 5.15	5.14	5.25	6.29 **
			· · · · · ·	
<u>Litter B</u> Males				
Organ weight (g)	6.13	5.82	6.51	7.13 **
Body weight (g)	131	122	135	124
Adjusted for body weight (g) 5.98	6.15	6.15	7.36 **
Females	5.24	E 0E	5.57	6.09 **
Organ weight (g)	5.34 114	5.05 107	117	108
Body weight (g) Adjusted for body weight (5.31	5.30	6.27 **
Adjusted for body weight (9, 3.21	3.31	3,55	J. J.
F ₂	Generation			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Males	6 10	6.23	6.42	6.93 **
Organ weight (g)	6.19 131	132	133	121 *
Body weight (g)		6.11	6.23	7.38 **
Adjusted for body weight (9) 0.00	0.11		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Females		•		
Organ weight (g)	5.38	5.20	5.25	5.99 **
Body weight (g)	116	116	113	107 *
Adjusted for body weight (g) 5.23	5.07	5.24	6.30 **
			and the same of th	

Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

b. Pathology

<u>Macroscopic examination</u>: The report noted the following observations to be related to the administration of the test substance:

<u>Liver</u> - mottling or accentuation of the lobular structure in F_{1A} , F_{1B} , and F_{2} pups from the 1250 ppm dose group. Liver enlargement, pallor, and discoloration were observed in pups of both sexes from both generations at the high dose level.

The incidence of selected liver lesions is summarized from the report as follows:

		Dose o	roup *	
<u>Observation</u>	Control	<u>Low</u>	<u>Mid</u>	<u> High</u>
F ₁	Generatio	n ,		
<u>Litter A</u> Males (number examined)	50	58	58	61
Pale	0	0	0	5
Speckled/mottled/prominant reticular pattern	0	0	0	4
<u>Litter B</u> Males (number examined)	45	51	56	52
Prominant reticular pattern	n 0	0	0	10
Females (number examined)	41	50	48	53
Prominant reticular pattern	n 0	0	. 0	8
F_2	Generatio	n		
Males (number examined)	66	63	73	66
Discoloration (focal or generalized) Pale (focal/generalized) Prominant/accentuated lobu reticular markings	0 0 lar/ 0	0 0 0	0 0	6 4 36
Females (number examined)	62	60	69	58
Prominant/accentuated lobu reticular markings	lar/ 0	, 0	0	18

^{*} No statistical significance was indicated in the report.

III. DISCUSSION

A. <u>Investigators' conclusions</u>: The conclusions of the investigators were described in the report as follows:

"Evidence of slight toxicity was seen in the parent rats receiving 1250 ppm Paclobutrazol as a reduction in body weight gain in both generations. This reduced weight gain was statistically significant in comparison to controls. A slight reduction in weight gain was apparent at 250 ppm, but this was not consistent since it was only seen in the F, males, and considered to be too small to be of any toxicological significance... There were no treatment-related effects on the number of pups born alive, their survival or on the incidence of total litter losses in either generation." In the F_{1a} litters, the total litter weights of all treated groups were lower than controls. However, since only the total litter weight of the 250 $\ensuremath{\text{ppm}}$ dose group in the $\ensuremath{\text{F}_{\text{1b}}}$ mating was less than controls, this was not considered to be of toxicological signficance. In both parents and offspring, there was an increased incidence of chromodacryorrhea, thickened eyelids and dental malocclusion at both the 250 and 1250 ppm dose levels. In addition, in the 1250 ppm dose group, increases in liver weight were observed in both the parents (females, especially the F_0 generation) and the offspring (both sexes). In general, these increases were associated with macroscopic changes of accentuation of the lobular pattern and microscopic changes consistent with centrilobular fatty change. The histologic changes were restricted to those females which had recently completed lactation and they were not observed in the F, females. The authors stated that this may have been due to the fact that the Fo females, while raising two litters each, were exposed to the test chemical for a longer period than the F. females (which only raised one litter each) and would have ingested larger amounts, particularly during pregnancy and lactation.

B. Reviewer's discussion:

The design and conduct of this study deviate slightly from the EPA Guidelines (mainly, age of animals on commencement of dosing, age at weaning and number of males used for mating). None of these deviations are considered to be crucial. The study is acceptable for

27

regulatory purposes and is classified as Core Guideline.

Systemic/Reproductive Toxicity: In the 1250 ppm females, an increase in liver weights was observed in both generations; the increase was more evident in the first generation than in the second generation. Macroscopic examinations showed mottling or accentuation of the lobular structure in the F_0 high dose females and enlargement, pallor and discoloration in high dose females from both generations. Microscopic examinations of these livers showed evidence of fatty change. In addition to the changes in the liver, thickening of the urinary bladder wall was observed during the gross examination of 3 F_1 and 1 F_0 high dose females.

In the offspring, there was an increased incidence of chromodacryorrhea, thickened eyelids and dental malocclusion in both the 250 ppm and the 1250 ppm dose groups for both generations and sexes. There were increased liver weights in both sexes in all generations at 1250 ppm. In support of the increased liver weights, there was mottling or accentuation of the lobular structure in both generations of litters from the 1250 ppm dose group. Liver enlargement, pallor and discoloration were also observed. However, no statistical significance was indicated in the report. The NOEL is 50 ppm and the LOEL is 250 ppm.