US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Reviewed by: Pamela M. Hurley Movela M. Hurley 3/12/94

Section I, Toxicology Branch I
Secondary Reviewer: Roger Gardner, Head Republication by 21/99

Section I, Toxicology Branch I

# DATA EVALUATION RECORD

STUDY TYPE: Multigeneration Reproduction - Rat (Guideline 83-4)

MRID NUMBER: 407343-03

TEST MATERIAL: Technical grade paclobutrazol (stated purity of 92.4%) described as an off white powder (Batch No. P29).

SYNONYMS: Paclobutrazol, [(2RS, 3RS)-1-(4-chl@rophenyl)-4,4dimethyl-2-(1H,1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)pentan-3-ol]

STUDY NUMBER(S): CTL/P/1496 and CTL/P/1496S

SPONSOR: ICI Americas, Inc., Agricultural Products, Wilmington, DE.

TESTING FACILITY: ICI Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley

Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK

TITLE OF REPORT: Paclobutrazol: Two Generation Reproduction Study in Rats Including Individual Animal

Data.

AUTHOR(S): Wickramaratne, G. A.

DATE REPORT ISSUED: February 27, 1987.

# **CONCLUSIONS:**

Paclobutrazol (technical, 92.4% pure) was tested in a two-generation reproduction study in Alpk:AP (Wistar derived) rats at the following dose levels: 0, 50, 250 and 1250 ppm (0, 2.5, 12.5 or 62.5 mg/kg/day) in the diet. Each generation began with 17 males and 34 females for each control and high dose group and 15 males and 30 females for each low and mid-dose group. One male was mated with 2 females from the same test group until sperm plugs were observed in vaginal smears. The  $F_0$  parental animals were given test diets for 12 weeks before they were mated, and the  $F_1$  parental animals wee not mated until 11 weeks after they were selected from the  $F_{1A}$  litters. Selection of parents for the  $F_1$  generation was made when the pups were 36 days of age, and the mated animals in the study were between 16 and 20 weeks of age at mating.

At 250 ppm and above, an increased incidence of chromodacryorrhea and thickened eyelids was observed in both generations. This was observed in both the parental animals and in the pups. In the

parental animals, the incidences were within the historical control range. At 1250 ppm, increases in liver weights were observed in females in both generations (23% absolute, 26% relative  $F_0$ : 7% absolute, 14% relative  $F_1$ ) and fatty change in the liver was observed in  $F_0$  females (23/30 versus 0/30 for controls). Increased liver weights, mottling or accentuation of the lobular structure, liver enlargement and pallor and discoloration were observed in male and female pups from both generations. In addition to the changes in the liver, dental malocclusion was observed in both generations (parental animals and pups, incidence in parental animals within historical control range) and thickening of the urinary bladder wall was observed in 1 Fo and in 3 F, high dose females. The reproductive/systemic LOEL is 250 ppm (12.5 mg/kg/day) based on increases in liver weights and on fatty change in the liver of parental females; and on an increased incidence of chromodacryorrhem and thickened eyelids, dental malocolusion, increased liver weights, mottling or accentuation of the lobular structure, liver enlargement, pallor and discoloration in male and female pups. The reproductive/systemic MOBL is 50 ppm (2.5 mg/kg/day).

The study is classified as Core Guideline Data and satisfies the regulatory requirement for a multigeneration study in rats.

#### I. PROTOCOL

# A. Materials

- 1. Test species: Twenty-one-day old male and female Alpk:AP (Wistar derived) rats were obtained for the first parental generation of the study from the Specific Pathogen Free (SPF) colony at the Alderley Park Breeding Unit of the testing facility. The rats were acclimated for a period of 15 or 16 days before they were placed into the study.
- 2. <u>Dist preparation</u>: The composition of the basal diet (CT1 diet from Special Diets Services, Ltd., Stepfield, Witham, Essex, UK) is shown in Addendum A below. Addendum A describes the methods used in preparing the test diets used in the study.

Test diets were analysed for homogeneity of mixtures and chemical stability in dietary mixtures at nominal levels of 100 and 1500 ppm in a previous study. The results of that report were not included.

# B. Procedures and Study Design

1. Mating: One male was caged with two females from the same test group until sperm cells were observed in vaginal smears taken daily during the mating period. If sperm were not found after 10 days' observation, the first male was removed and three days later was replaced by another male from a group of males with proven fertility in the same test group. In the first parental generation only (Fo parental animals),

Page 3

83-4

there were two matings to produce  $F_{1A}$  and  $F_{1B}$  litters. If two attempts at mating were unsuccessful the report stated that no further matings were tried. Brother-sister matings were also avoided.

After successful mating, each pregnant female was individually placed into a cage with a solid bottom and autoclaved paper bedding where they were kept through gestation and lactation.

- 2. Mating schedule: The  $F_0$  parental animals were given test diets for 12 weeks before they were mated, and the  $F_1$  parental animals were not mated until 11 weeks after they were selected from the  $F_{1k}$  litters. Selection of parents for the  $F_1$  generation was made when the pups were 36 days of age, and the mated animals in the study were between 16 and 20 weeks of age at mating.
- 3. Animal assignment:  $F_0$  animals were randomly assigned to test groups as follows:

Test groups		Dose	Animals per group **		
No.	Designation	_(DDE)_*	Males	<u>Females</u>	
1	Control	0	17 ***	34 ***	
2	Low (LDT)	50	15	30	
3	Mid	250	15	30	
4	High (HDT)	1250	17 ***	34 ***	

- \* Diets were administered from the beginning of the study until the animals were sacrificed.
- \*\* The same number of animals were picked from the  $F_{1A}$  litters as parents for the F, generation.
- \*\*\* These groups had 2 males and 4 females added as microbiological sentinels to monitor the health status of the animals during the course of the study.

83-4

# C. Observation Schedule

1. <u>Parental animals</u>: Observations and the schedule for those observations is summarized from the report as follows:

Type of observation	Number of animals per sex per group	Frequency
Mortality and signs of toxicity	All	Twice a day during premating and growth periods.
Detailed clinical observations	All	Once a week during growth and breeding periods.
Body weight	All	At beginning of study and weekly through growth and mating periods.
	Maternal animals	Days 1, 8, 15, and 22 of gestation; days 1, 5, 11, 22, and 29 post partum; and weekly until sacrifice.
	Paternal animals	Weekly post-mating until sacrifice.
Food consumption	All	Weekly during premating period.

<sup>2.</sup> Reproductive performance: Parental reproductive performance was assessed from breeding and parturition records of animals in the study. A mating was considered successful if it resulted in the production of a litter that contained at least one live pup on the day of birth. The length of gestation was noted in days (calculated from the day a positive vaginal smear was found to the day of birth). The length of the precoital interval (number of days between the date of mating and the date a positive vaginal smear was found) was noted for each pair.

The following indexes were calculated:

Male fertility index = No. females pregnant X 100 No. males mated

83-4

Female fertility index = No. females pregnant X 100 Total no. females mated

Gestation index = No. live litters born X 100
No. pregnancies

3. <u>Litter observations</u>: According to the report, the following litter observations were made:

	Time of observation (lactation day)				
Observation	Birth			Day 22	Day 29
Number of live pups	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pup weight*	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
External alterations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Number of dead pups	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sex of each pup	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

\* Pups were not individually identified, and their weights were recorded by sex and litter until individuals were selected as parents for the next generation.

Dead pups were examined grossly for external and internal abnormalities, and a possible cause of death was determined for pups born or found dead.

The following indexes were calculated:

Gestation index = No. live pups born X 100
No. live + dead pups born

Viability index = No. live pups at day 22 X 100 No. pups born alive

#### 4. Necropsy

a. <u>Parental animals</u>: All surviving parental males were sacrificed as soon as possible after the last litters in each generation were produced. Maternal animals were sacrificed after the last litter of each generation was weaned. These animals were subjected to <u>post mortum</u> examinations as follows:

83-4

Animals examined	Macroscopic	Microscopic
Pound dead Unscheduled sacrifice * Scheduled sacrifice	Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes
RCUednied agcittice		

- \* Includes apparently pregnant females failing to produce a litter on the expected date of parturition and mated non-pregnant females.
- b. Offspring: The F1A, F1B, and F2 offspring were sacrificed at 35 days of age. These animals were subjected to post mortum examinations as follows:

Animals examined	Macroscopic	Microscopic	
Found dead ** Scheduled sacrifice	Yes Yes ***	Yes Yes ***	

- \*\* Only those 18 days of age or older.
- \*\*\* Included 5 per sex from each group in the F1A and F1B litters and 10/sex/group in the F2 litter. The report also stated, "All additional clinically abnormal pups and further normal pups received a gross necropsy so that a minimum of 2 pups of each sex were examined from each litter where possible."
- c. <u>Necropsy observations</u>: Gross necropsy consisted of external and internal examinations including the cervical, thoracic, and abdominal viscera.

The liver from each fully necropsied animal was weighed.

The following tissues were prepared for microscopic examination:

X_ Ovaries	X Epididymides
X Uterus	X Prostate
X Unusual lesions	X Seminal vessicles
X Vagina/cervix	<u>X</u> Testes

Additional tissues prepared for microscopic examination included the eyes, Harderian gland, liver and mammary gland (parental females only). The eyes, skull and lower jaw from the F<sub>2</sub> offspring were also prepared for microscopic examination.

83-4

Page 7

Tissue samples from the liver and reproduction organs of parental animals in the control and high dose group were examined microscopically. The reproductive organs of parental animals in the low and mid dose groups suspected of infertility were also examined microscopically. Tissues showing abnormalities macroscopically (urinary bladders of F, parental animals according to the report) were also examined under the microscope.

Tissue samples from the liver and reproduction organs of pups in the control and high dose group and livers observed grossly to be abnormal in the low and mid dose groups were examined microscopically. Tissues showing abnormalities macroscopically (eyes and Harderian glands from the  $F_2$  pups according to the report) were also examined under the microscope.

#### D. Data Analyses

1. General considerations: The report noted that all analyses were done for each generation separately, and in the first generation analyses considered each litter separately for all parameters except those noted during premating and liver weight results. Pre-mating observations, parental and pup liver weights, fertility, pup weights, and pup weight gain were analyzed separately for each sex.

The percentage pups born live and pup survival were calculated by litter in each group before the group's mean values were determined. The report stated that three dams (one from the F<sub>18</sub> mating in the mid dose group and one each from the control and high dose group in the F<sub>2</sub> mating) were excluded from the analyses of the reproductive performance, pregnancy weights, lactation weights, viable litter size, total litter weights, and pup weights because all pups in those litters were born dead.

 Statistical analyses: The report stated that all analyses were two-sided except for fertility, live born index, and survival index which were one-sided.

Analysis of variance was performed on the following observations: parental body weight gain to each week, weekly food consumption and food utilization during pre-mating; initial and final body weights and body weight gain during gestation and lactation with a covariate to represent the effect of re-mating (remated females tend to weigh more according to the report); litter size and total litter weight during lactation; mean pup weight and weight gain during lactation including final pup weight on Day 29; and parental liver weights and mean pup weights per litter, with and

Page 8

83-4

without the relavant body weight as a covariate. The report stated that the proportion of pups surviving to day 22 was calculated for each litter individually and transformed using the double arcsine transformation and weighted by the denominator in the proportion.

The Student's t test was used to compare treatment group means to control group means to determine significance of differences.

The Fisher's Exact Test was used to assess proportions of fertile animals in each group and the proportions of litters with all pups born alive on day 1 as well as the proportion of litters with all the pups alive on day 1 that had all pups survive to day 22 of lactation.

#### II. REPORTED RESULTS

A. Analysis of test diets: According to the report, analysis of the diets showed that concentrations were within 10% of nominal. Four exceptions were noted in the mid and low dose groups. Two of those values were just above and just below nominal (+10.4%) at the mid dose level, and the third was 16% below the nominal mid dose concentration. One analysis of the low dose diet showed a mean concentration that was 19.4% below that expected.

According to the report, there were 9 samples analyzed for accuracy of each dietary concentration. The mean concentrations determined for the 50, 250, and 1250 ppm diets were 48.9, 245, and 1229 ppm, respectively. The respective ranges for the low, mid and high dose levels were 40.3 to 54.2, 210 to 276, and 1157 to 1287 ppm.

Page 9

### B. Parental animals

1. Mortality and clinical signs: The investigators noted that chromodacryorrhea, thickened eyelids, and dental malocclusion (twisted snout) occurred frequently in F, males and females. Both the 250 and 1250 ppm dose groups were affected, however, the report stated that the number of occurrences were within the historical control range. These results are summarized from the report as follows:

	Dose group					
Observation	Control	Low	Mid	High		
F <sub>0</sub> Ge	neration -	Males	•			
Chromodacryorrhea	0	0	0	1		
Thickened eyelids	0	0	Ó	· 0		
Dental Malocclusion	0	0	0	1		
F <sub>1</sub> Ge	neration -	Males				
Chromodacryorrhea	0	o ·	2	3		
Thickened eyelids	0	0	2	1		
Dental Malocclusion	Ö	0	1	0		
F <sub>0</sub> Gen	eration - F	'emales				
Chromodacryorrhea	1	1	2	1		
Thickened eyelids	1	1	2	1		
Dental Malocclusion	ī	0	0	0		
F, Generation - Females						
Chromodacryorrhea	0	0	3	7		
Thickened eyelids	2	0	2	8		
Dental Malocclusion	ō	0	1	1		

Several animals were either found dead or were sacrificed because of their moribund condition. These deaths were not associated with treatment.

2. Body weight and food consumption: The report noted that the rats given the 1250 ppm diet had reduced body weight gains throughout the premating period for both generations. There were no effects on body weight observed in rats given the 50 ppm diet, and only males in the 250 ppm dose group showed a marginal reduction in body weight gain according to the investigators.

Page 10

83-4

There were also body weight gain reductions observed during pregnancy for the  $F_{18}$  and  $F_{18}$  litters in 1250 ppm dose group females. The  $F_{0}$  and  $F_{1}$  dams did not show adverse effects on body weight during lactation according to the report. In examining the body weight data, it is noted that the reductions were never below 90% of the control values. Although many of the values were statistically significantly below the control values, it does not appear that they were biologically significant.

Food consumption was marginally reduced for the 1250 ppm males in the  $F_0$  generation, and food consumption was reduced in both generations for the high dose group females. The report noted that there was no consistent effect on food utilization (g body weight increase/g food consumbed).

Reported body weight and selected food consumption results are summarized as follows:

Page 11

	Dose group				
Observation and study week	Control	Low	Mid	High	
F <sub>0</sub> Generati	on Males -	Pre-matin	g		
Mean body weight (g)					
0 12	73.4 496.5	74.9 504.1	71.7 485.8	72.7 475.1 *	
Mean weight gain (g)					
0 - 12	423.1	429.2	414.1	402.4 *	
Mean food consumption (g/rat/day)					
1	19.1	19.1	18.7	17.2 **	
2	25.1	26.6 *	25.2	23.6 *	
12	32.6	33.6	32.1	32.0	
F <sub>0</sub> Generation	on Females	- Pre-mati	ng		
Mean body weight (g)				69.8	
0	69.3	68.4	68.5 261.5	255.2	
12	262.0	264.8	201,0	255.2	
Mean weight gain (g)					
0 - 12	192.7	196.4	193.0	185.4 *	
Mean food consumption (g/rat/day)					
1	16.2	16.1	16.6	15.3 **	
5	20.7	21.0	20.8	19.8 *	
5 6 7	21.3	21.5	21.4	20.2 *	
7	21.0	21.3	21.5	20.0 *	
8	21.5	21.6	21.6	20.7 *	
12	20.8	20.8	20.7	19.9 *	

<sup>\*</sup> Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

Page 12

	Dose group			
Observation and study week	Control	Low	Mid	<u> High</u>
F, Generati	on Males -	Pre-matir	ıg	
Mean body weight (g)				
0	117.8	127.5	130.5	125.2
10	460.9	478.9	458.3	453.3
Mean weight gain (g)				
0 - 10	343.1	351.5	327.9	328.1
Mean food consumption (g/rat/day)		•		
1	23.6	23.8	23.4	23.2
- <b>6</b>	34.1	34.2	33.0	30.0 *
<b>11</b>	33.1	35.0	33.1	33.0
F, Generation	on Females	- Pre-mat	ing	¥.
Mean body weight (g)				
0	110.9	112.6	120.2	110.9
10	263.7	264.9	270.4	250.3 *
Mean weight gain (g)				
0 - 10	152.8	152.3	150.2	139.4 *
Mean food consumption (g/rat/day)				
1	20.1	19.8	20.5	19.0 *
4	22.7	22.5	22.2	21.1 *
8	23.7	23.1	23.3	
11	22.3	22.5	21.9	20.5 **

Selected group mean body weight values for pregnant or nursing dams were summarized in the report as follows:

Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

Page 13

	Dose group							
Observation and study time	Control	Low	<u> </u>	<u>High</u>				
Fo Generation - Litter A								
Hean body weight (g)								
Day 1 of gestation Day 22 of gestation	273.8 402.3	278.7 404.5	273.3 396.4	265.8 386.7 **				
Day 1 of lactation Day 29 of lactation	304.3 322.4	312.2 333.3 *	311.6 324.8	294.7 318.9				
Mean body weight gain (g)				•				
Days 1-22 of gestation Day 1-29 of lactation	128.7 18.1	126.7 21.1	123.4 13.2	118.6 ** 24.1				
F <sub>0</sub> Ge	eneration - Li	itter B						
Mean body weight (g)								
Day 1 of gestation Day 22 of gestation	309.6 426.1	321.8 * 446.3 *	313.7 425.8	307.2 420.6				
Day 1 of lactation Day 29 of lactation	341.5 356.4	345.0 362.8	343.4 355.8	325.2 * 353.4				
Mean body weight gain (g)								
Days 1-22 of gestation Day 1-29 of lactation	116.4 15.0	124.3 17.8	111.6 12.4	113.4 28.2 *				
	F <sub>1</sub> Generation	n						
Mean body weight (g)								
Day 1 of gestation Day 22 of gestation	287.9 412.5	285.4 412.5	293.4 415.9	266.9 ** 381.3 **				
Day 1 of lactation Day 29 of lactation	315.6 337.3	323.6 336.1	320.2 334.9	290.2 ** 314.7 **				
Hean body weight gain (g)								
Days 1-22 of gestation Day 1-29 of lactation	120.3 21.8	126.7 12.6	121.8	112.2 24.7				

Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

Page 14

3. Test Substance Intake: Based on food consumption, body weight, and dietary analyses results, the doses expressed as mg test substance/kg body weight were as follows during the pre-mating period:

			(mag) al		
	Males			Females.	-durant-friedrick
50	250	1250	50	250	1250
	1	Generat	ion		3
7.55	38.60	183.76	7.27	37.60	177.58
			5.45	27.48	134.55
			4.53	22.95	111.96
3.33	16.52	84.19	3,93	19.79	97.47
	3	, Generat	ion		
6.52	31.95	160.66	6.58	32.56	163.68
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		5.25	25.41	128.03
			4.57	22.50	110.03
3.61	18.06	90.10	4.34	20.25	103.38
	7.55 5.54 3.93 3.33 6.52 4.99 3.89	50 250 7.55 38.60 5.54 27.77 3.93 19.54 3.33 16.52 6.52 31.95 4.99 24.09 3.89 19.27	50 250 1250  F <sub>0</sub> Generat  7.55 38.60 183.76 5.54 27.77 138.94 3.93 19.54 100.04 3.33 16.52 84.19  F <sub>1</sub> Generat  6.52 31.95 160.66 4.99 24.09 124.81 3.89 19.27 97.28	F <sub>0</sub> Generation  7.55 38.60 183.76 7.27 5.54 27.77 138.94 5.45 3.93 19.54 100.04 4.53 3.33 16.52 84.19 3.93  F <sub>1</sub> Generation  6.52 31.95 160.66 6.58 4.99 24.09 124.81 5.25 3.89 19.27 97.28 4.57	F <sub>0</sub> Generation  7.55 38.60 183.76 7.27 37.60 5.54 27.77 138.94 5.45 27.48 3.93 19.54 100.04 4.53 22.95 3.33 16.52 84.19 3.93 19.79  F <sub>1</sub> Generation  6.52 31.95 160.66 6.58 32.56 4.99 24.09 124.81 5.25 25.41 3.89 19.27 97.28 4.57 22.50

83-4

Reproductive performance: There were no consistent effects on reproductive performance noted by the investigators.

Results for the parental animals are summarized from the report as follows:

	Dose group				
Observation	Control	Low	Mid	_High_	
F <sub>0</sub> Gener	ation - Li	tter A			
Median precoital	•	3	• 4	ġ.	
interval (days)	.3	.3	*	3	
Males					
Mated	15	15	15	15	
Fertile	12	12	15	14	
Fertility not determined	1	0	0	0	
Intercurrent deaths	0	0	0	Ö.	
Females					
Number mated	30	30	30	30	
Number fertile	25	27	28	28	
Fertility not determined	0	0	Ō	O	
Intercurrent deaths	0	0	0	0	
Median gestation					
interval (days)	22	22	23	22	
Number of litters	25	27	28	28	
Total litter losses	0	0	0	0	
Mean litter size (Day 1)	12.0	11.9	9.8**	10.9	
Mean litter size (Day 29)	11.8	11.2	9.0	9.8	
Number of pups (Day 1)	303	320	279	305	
Number of pups (Day 29)	296	302	258	277	
Pup deaths (Days 1-29)	7 .	18	21	28	
Wean pup weight (g) (Day 1)	5.8	5.7	6.2	5.9	
Mean pup weight (g) (Day 29)	75.9	77.3	84.2	76.0	

<sup>\*</sup> Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. \* Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

Page 16

	Dose group				
Observation	Control	_LOY_	Wid	<u> High</u>	
F <sub>0</sub> Gener	ation - Li	tter B			
(edian precoital interval (days)	2	<b>'3</b>	2	2	
Males Nated Fertile Fertility not determined Intercurrent deaths	15 14 0 0	15 14 0 0	14 13 1	15 14 0 0	
Females  Number mated  Number fertile  Fertility not determined  Intercurrent deaths	25 24 0 5	27 26 0 3	28 27 1 2	28 26 0 2	
Median gestation interval (days)	22	22	22	22	
Number of litters (Day 1) Total litter losses Mean litter sise (Day 1) Mean litter sise (Day 29)	24 3 9.3 8.7	26 2 11.2 10.2	27 4 8.4 7.7	26 2 10.2 9.4	
Number of pups (Day 1) Number of pups (Day 29) Pup deaths (Days 1-29)	237 188 49	262 245 26	223 182 41	250 222 28	
Mean pup weight (g) (Day 1) Mean pup weight (g) (Day 29)	6.4 79.2	6.0 73.6	6.5 80.4	6.0 75.0	

Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

<u>Control</u> Generation 2	<u>Lov</u>	wrg	<u> High</u>
2			
•	i.	. 3	3
	•	•	
			4 18
		,	15 14
			0
			. 0
U	v	•	•
		4	
30	, 30		30
27			27
0			Ō
0	0	0	0
22	22	22	22
<del></del>			
.— -			27
	_	. —	
			10.1
9.8	10.6	10.5	9.7
282	295	334	266
264	285	305	256
18	10	17	10
6.1	6.1	6.0	6.0
7		78.1	73.2
	27 0 0 22 27 0 10.4 9.8	14 14 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14 14 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  30 30 30 27 27 30 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 22 22 22 27 27 30 0 0 0 10.4 10.9 11.1 9.8 10.6 10.5  282 295 334 264 285 305 16 10 17 6.1 6.1 6.0

Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

Page 18

### 5. Necropay results

a. Organ weights: The report noted a significant increase in liver weights above control group values for 1250 ppm female rats in both generations with the increase being greater in the first generation than in the second. There were no doserelated effects on liver weight noted for males of either generation. Liver weight results for female rats are summarised from the report as follows:

		Dose group				
Observatio	מ	Control	Lov	<u> Mrq</u>	_High_	٠
	· <b>F</b> <sub>0</sub>	Generation				
Organ weight (g) Adjusted for body	weight (g)	15.8 15.6	15.9 15.5	15.1 15.4	19.4 **	
	F,	Generation		_		
Organ weight (g) Adjusted for body	weight (g)	11.9 11.7	12.0 11.8	12.2 11.9	12.7 *	

<sup>\*</sup> Statistically mignificantly different from control, p<0.05.

# b. Pathology

i. <u>Macroscopic examination</u>: The report noted the following observations to be related to the administration of the test substance:

Liver - mottling or accentuation of the lobular structure in  $11/30~F_0$  females from the 1250 ppm dose group. Liver enlargement, pallor, and discoloration were observed in females from both generations.

<u>Urinary bladder</u> - thickening of the bladder wall in 3 F, and one  $F_0$  female from the 1250 ppm dose group. Soft deposits in the bladders of 4 F, males receiving the 1250 ppm diet were characterized as toxicologically insignificant and normal for male rats.

ii. <u>Microscopic examination</u>: Changes in the liver were described in the report as follows:

In sections of liver stained with hematoxylin and eosin, fatty change was visible as vacuolation of hepatocytes in the centrilobular some. In mildly affected lobules, the change appeared to commence

<sup>\*\*</sup> Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

in the outer part of the centrilobular some and the innermost cell layers were not vacuolated. Even in severely affected lobules, a few hepatocytes immediately adjacent to the centrilobular vein remained unvacuolated. These "spared" cells often showed cytoplasmic eosinophilia,...individual hepatocytes were swollen, up to five times normal size and the cytoplasm was distanded with multiple small to medium sized vacuoles to give a "ground glass" appearance. In some cells, vacuoles coalesced to form a single large clear vacuole. Examination of Oil Red O stained sections...con-ffrmed that vacuolation was due to...fatty change.

No other microscopically examined tissues from adult rats in the study indicated a dose or treatment related effect of the test substance.

	Dose Group						
Observation	Control	High	<u>Femal</u> Control	High High			
	F <sub>0</sub> Genera	tion					
Number Examined	15	15	30	30			
Centrilobular fatty change Increased cytoplasmic eosinophilia of centri-	0	0	0	23			
lobular hepatocytes	0	0	O	14			
Inflammatory cell infiltration	3	0	1	11			
	F, Genera	tion					
Number Examined	15	15	30	30			
Centrilobular fatty change Increased cytoplasmic eosinophilia of centri-	0	1	1	1			
lobular hepatocytes	0	0	0	0			
Inflammatory cell infiltration	2	0	1	1			

<sup>\*</sup> Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

Page 20

83-4

### C. Offspring

viability and clinical signs: The authors noted that there were 3, 2, 4, and 2 whole litter losses in the F<sub>18</sub> generation. No effects on the total number of pups born alive were observed in the A or B litters of the first generation or in the F<sub>2</sub> generation; however, the mean litter size was lower than controls for the F<sub>14</sub> litters from both the 250 ppm and 1250 dose groups. Reductions in litter size in the B litters were neither statistically significant nor dose-related. There were no apparent reductions in litter size in the F<sub>1</sub> litters. There appeared to be a reduction in pup survival in the F<sub>14</sub> mid- and high dose litters. This reduction in survival was not apparent in the F<sub>18</sub> litters nor in the F<sub>2</sub> generation. In addition, the authors stated that the values were within recent historical control values (data not provided). Reductions in body weights and body weight gains were neither dose-related nor consistent between generations.

Viability results from pups during lactation are summarized from the report as follows:

Page 21

	Dose group					
Observation and study time	Control	Low	Nid	High		
FI	. Generati	.on				
Litter A				× .		
Mean percentage surviving in each litter	98.3	95.1	94.1	91.8		
Mean transformed value	1.389	1.323	1.302 *	1.279 **		
No. litters with all pups	_,_,		•			
surviving to Day 22/total						
no. litters	20/25	18/27	19/28	18/28		
Litter B						
Mean percentage	81.7	84.3	78.4	86.6		
Transformed Value	1.177	1.255	1.130	1.246		
No. litters with all pups						
surviving to Day 22/total			5 F / D 2	14/06		
no. litters	15/24	14/25	15/27	14/26		
P	Generati	lon		4		
Wasan managan karan	94.5	97.5	94.7	92.1		
Mean percentage Transformed value	1.301	1.371	1.321	1.356		
No. litters with all pups	<u> </u>					
surviving to Day 22/total						
no. litters	17/27	21/27	18/29	19/27		

<sup>\*</sup> Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. \*\* Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

Changes in mean litter sizes were summarized in the report as follows:

Page 22

### F1 Generation    Litter A		Dose group				
Litter A  Day 1  Day 5  Day 11  Day 22  Litter B  Day 1  Day 5  Day 1  Day 6  Day 1  Day 6  Day 1  Day 7  Day 1  Day 5  Day 1  Day 5  Day 11  Day 6  Day 11  Day 6  Day 11  Day 6  Day 11	Observation and study time	Control	Low	Mid	High	
Day 1 Day 5 Day 11 Day 5 Day 11 Day 22  Litter B Day 1 Day 5 Day 1 Day 5 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 7 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 7 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 7 Day 7 Day 7 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7	Fi	Generati	on			
Day 1 Day 5 Day 11 Day 5 Day 11 Day 22  Litter B Day 1 Day 5 Day 1 Day 5 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 7 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 7 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 7 Day 7 Day 7 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7	Litter_A					
Day 5 Day 11 Day 22  Litter B Day 1 Day 5 Day 1 Day 5 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 1 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 7 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 7 Day 7 Day 7 Day 1 Day 7 Day 7 Day 1 Day 6 Day 7 Day 7 Day 7 Day 1 Day 7 Day 7 Day 1 Day 7		12.0	11.9			
Day 11 Day 22  11.9 11.3 9.2 ** 9.9 **  11.9 11.2 9.1 ** 9.9 **  Litter B Day 1 Day 5 Day 11  2.9 2.9 2.7 2.9 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0		11.9	11.3			
Day 22  Litter B  Day 1  Day 5  Day 11  Day 11  Day 12  Day 5  Day 11  Day 11  Day 12  Day 12  Day 10.3  Day 11  Day 11  Day 10.3  Day 11  Day 11  Day 11		11.9	11.3	9.2 **	9.9 **	
Day 1 Day 5 Day 1 Day 1 Day 5 Day 11 Day 1		11.9	11.2	9.1 **	9,9 **	
Day 1 Day 5 Day 1 Day 1 Day 5 Day 11 Day 1	Litter B					
Day 5 9.0 10.3 7.7 9.7 Day 11 8.9 10.3 7.7 9.6		9.3	11.2 •			
Day 11 8.9 10.3 7.7 9.6		9.0	10.3	7.7		
		8.9	10.3	7.7	9.6	
Day 22	Day 22	8.8	10.3	7.7	9.5	
F <sub>2</sub> Generation	F,	, Generati	on			
Day 1 10.4 10.9 11.1 10.1	Day 1	10.4	10.9	11.1		
Day 5 9.8 10.7 10.5 9.7		9.8	10.7	10.5	9.7	
Day 11 9.8 10.6 10.5 9.7			10.6	10.5	9.7	
Day 22 9.8 10.6 10.5 9.7				10.5	9.7	

<sup>\*</sup> Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. \*\* Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

The report stated that the majority of clinical observations made on F, and F, pups had a low incidence and were unrelated to treatment. However, the investigators noted that chromodacryorrhea, thickened eyelids, and dental malocclusion had an incidence that was apparently dose related and occurred most frequently in the pups from the 250 and 1250 ppm dose groups. The results of these observations are summarized from the report as follows:

	Dose group					
Observation	Control	Lov	Wid	_High_		
(No. of litters wit	ch one or moration - Li		cted pup	*)		
r, dene	racion - Di	Frat V				
Litters weamed (Day 29)	25	27	28	28		
No. of litters affected	4	0	5	19		
Chromodacryorrhea	4	0	5	17		
Thickened eyelids	4	0	4	18		
Dental Malocclusion	0	0	0	9		
F <sub>1</sub> Gener	ration - Li	tter B				
Litters weaned (Day 29)	21	24	23	24		
No. of litters affected	1	1	4			
Chromodacryorrhea	1	1	4 0	5		
Thickened eyelids	1	1		8		
Dental Malocclusion	0	0		3		
F,	Generatio	n				
Litters weaned (Day 29)	27	27	30	26		
No. of litters affected	0	2	7	13		
Chromodacryorrhea	0	2	7	8		
Thickened eyelids		0	4	8		
Dental Malocclusion		0	0	5		

Body weight: Selected group mean body weights are summarized from the report as follows:

	Dose group					
Observation and study time	Control	Low	Mid	High		
	Fl Generati	on				
Litter A						
Males						
Body weight (g) - Day 1		5.9	6.4 *			
Weight gain (g) - Day 22	36.2	36.3	41.1 *	35.9		
Females						
Body weight (g) - Day 1			6.0 *	5.6		
Weight gain (g) - Day 22	34.9	34.7	39.9 *	34.2		
Litter B						
Males						
Body weight (g) - Day 1	6.6	6.2 *	6.6	6.2		
Weight gain (g) - Day 22	37.4	34.3	39.1	35.8		
Females		-				
Body weight (g) - Day 1	6.2	5.8 *	6.3	5.7 *		
Weight gain (g) - Day 22		32.9	37.1	33.5		
	F <sub>2</sub> Generati	on				
Males						
Body weight (g) - Day 1	6.2	6.3	6.2	6.2		
Weight gain (g) - Day 22	38.8	36.8	37.9	35.0 *		
Females						
Body weight (g) - Day 1	6.0	5.9	5.8	5.8		
Weight gain (g) - Day 22	37.4	35.3	35.8	32.8 *		

Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

# Necropsy results

Organ veights: Increased liver weights were observed in the 1250 ppm dose group pups from both generations. Slight increases were observed in the 250 ppm dose group also. These results are summarised from the report as follows:

Page 25

	Dose group				
Observation	ÇO	ntrol	Low	Mid	High
, <b>F</b>	Gen	eratio	n		
Litter A			*		
Males	نو د	. 02	5.86	6.65 **	6.99 **
Organ weight (g) Body weight (g)	-	124	127	137 *	126
Adjusted for body weight			5.97		7.18 **
Adjusted for body weight	121 -	,,,,,	3137	0,45	7.0.30
Females					
Organ weight (g)	5	5.14	5.05	5.51	6.10 **
Body weight (g)		112	110	117	108
Adjusted for body weight	(g) \$	5.15	5.14	5.25	6.29 **
<u>Litter B</u>					
Males	_				
Organ weight (g)		5.13	5.82	6.51	7.13 **
Body weight (g)		131	122	135	124
Adjusted for body weight	(a) :	5,98	6.15	6.15	7.36 **
2					
Females		5.34	5.05	5.57	6.09 **
Organ weight (g) Body weight (g)		114	107	117	108
Adjusted for body weight			5.31	5.30	6.27 **
walances for noal serding	(3)	,	<b>010</b> 2	5.00	
1	ger	eratio	n		
Wallan					
Males Organ weight (g)	4	5.19	6.23	6.42	6.93 **
Body weight (g)		131	132	133	121 *
Adjusted for body weight			6.11	6.23	7.38 **
valuence for nowl serair	(A)		V 1 A.A.	~ 0, m ~	1144
Females					
Organ weight (g)	9	5.38	5.20	5.25	5.99 **
Body weight (g)	-	116	116	113	107 *
Adjusted for body weight	(g) !	5.23	5.07	5.24	6.30 **

<sup>\*</sup> Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.05. \*\* Statistically significantly different from control, p<0.01.

b. Pathology

i. <u>Macroscopic examination</u>: The report noted the following observations to be related to the administration of the test substance:

Page 26

Liver - mottling or accentuation of the lobular structure in  $F_{1A}$ ,  $F_{1B}$ , and  $F_2$  pups from the 1250 ppm dose group. Liver enlargement, pallor, and discoloration were observed in pups of both sexes from both generations at the high dose level.

The incidence of selected liver lesions is summarized from the report as follows:

		roup *		
Observation	Control	Low	Mid	<u> High</u>
	F, Generation	n .	•	
Litter A Males (number examined)	50	58	58	61
Pale	0	ο,	0	5
Speckled/mottled/promina reticular pattern	o o	, <b>o</b>	0	4
<u>Litter B</u> Males (number examined)	45	51	56	52
Prominant reticular patt	ern 0	0	0	10
Females (number examined)	41	50	48	53
Prominant reticular pate	tern 0	0	0	.8
	F <sub>2</sub> Generation	n		
Males (number examined)	66	63	73	66
Discoloration (focal or generalized) Pale (focal/generalized Prominant/accentuated 1	) )	0	0	6 4
reticular markings	0	0	0	36
Females (number examined)	62	60	69	58
Prominant/accentuated 1 reticular markings	obular/ 0	0	0	18

<sup>\*</sup> No statistical significance was indicated in the report.

DISCUSSION

011061

83-4

Page 27

A. <u>Investigators' conclusions</u>: The conclusions of the investigators were described in the report as follows:

III.

"Evidence of slight toxicity was seen in the parent rats receiving 1250 ppm Paclobutrasol as a reduction in body weight gain in both generations. This reduced weight gain was statistically significant in comparison to controls. A slight reduction in weight gain was apparent at 250 ppm, but this was not consistent since it was only seen in the  $F_1$  males, and considered to be too small to be of any toxicological significance... There were no treatment-related effects on the number of pups born alive, their survival or on the incidence of total litter losses in either generation." In the F1. litters, the total litter weights of all treated groups were lower than controls. However, since only the total litter weight of the 250 ppm dose group in the  $F_{1b}$  mating was less than controls, this was not considered to be of toxicological signficance. In both parents and offspring, there was an increased incidence of chromodacryorrhea, thickened eyelids and dental malocclusion at both the 250 and 1250 ppm dose levels. In addition, in the 1250 ppm dose group, increases in liver weight were observed in both the parents (females, especially the  $F_0$  generation) and the offspring (both sexes). In general, these increases were associated with macroscopic changes of accentuation of the lobular pattern and microscopic changes consistent with centrilobular fatty change. The histologic changes were restricted to those females which had recently completed lactation and they were not observed in the F, females. The authors stated that this may have been due to the fact that the Fo females, while raising two litters each, were exposed to the test chemical for a longer period than the F. females (which only raised one litter each) and would have ingested larger amounts, particularly during pregnancy and lactation.

### B. Reviewer's discussion:

The design and conduct of this study deviate slightly from the EPA Guidelines (mainly, age of animals on commencement of dosing, age at weaning and number of males used for mating). None of these deviations are considered to be crucial. The study is acceptable for

Page 28

83-4

regulatory purposes and is classified as Core Guideline.

Systemic/Reproductive Toxicity: In the 1250 ppm females, an increase in liver weights was observed in both generations; the increase was more evident in the first generation than in the second generation. Macroscopic examinations showed mottling or accentuation of the lobular structure in the  $F_0$  high dose females and enlargement, pallor and discoloration in high dose females from both generations. Microscopic examinations of these livers showed evidence of fatty change. In addition to the changes in the liver, thickening of the urinary bladder wall was observed during the gross examination of 3  $F_1$  and 1  $F_0$  high dose females.

In the offspring, there was an increased incidence of chromodacryorrhea, thickened eyelids and dental malocclusion in both the 250 ppm and the 1250 ppm dose groups for both generations and sexes. There were increased liver weights in both sexes in all generations at 1250 ppm. In support of the increased liver weights, there was mottling or accentuation of the lobular structure in both generations of litters from the 1250 ppm dose group. Liver enlargement, pallor and discoloration were also observed. However, no statistical significance was indicated in the report. The NOEL is 50 ppm and the LOEL is 250 ppm.