

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

APR 9 1992

APR 9 1992

OFFICE OF  
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC  
SUBSTANCES

MEMORANDUM

**SUBJECT:** CLOMAZONE (COMMAND<sup>R</sup>): Request for a permanent tolerance (0.05 ppm) for residues of clomazone in/on cotton

**TO:** ~~S. Stanton / R. Cool, PM Team 41~~  
S. Morrill / RD, FHS  
Registration Division (H7505C)

**FROM:** Whang Phang, Ph. D. *Whang 4/7/92*  
Pharmacologist  
HFAS / Tox. Branch II / HED (H7509C)

**THROUGH:** James Rowe, Ph.D. *James Rowe 4/7/92*  
Section Head  
and  
*for* Marcia van Gemert, Ph. D. *James Rowe 4/7/92*  
Branch Chief  
HFAS / Tox. Branch II / HED (H7509C)

**Chemical:** Command<sup>R</sup> (Dimethasone; clomazone)  
2-(2-chlorophenyl)methyl-4,4-dimethyl-3-isoxazolidinone

**Caswell No.** 463D

**HED Proj. No.** 2-1654

**EPA ID No.** 000279-03053

**EPA Submission No.** S412836

**DP Barcode:** D175288

**Action Requested:** The registrant, FMC, is requesting a new use of clomazone on cotton and is proposing the establishment of a tolerance of 0.05 ppm for the residues of clomazone in/on cotton.

**Discussion:** In evaluating this request the available toxicology data have been reviewed, and relevant toxicity data of Command<sup>R</sup> are summarized. In addition, any outstanding data requirements and related issues are listed below:

- 1). Summaries of the available toxicological data considered for this action:

<u>Study</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Tox. Cat.</u>
Acute oral-rats	LD <sub>50</sub> = 2,077 mg/kg (M) = 1,369 mg/kg (F)	III III
Acute dermal-rabbit	LD <sub>50</sub> > 2,000 mg/kg	III
Acute Inhalation-rat	LC <sub>50</sub> = 6.25 mg/L (M) = 4.23 mg/L (F)	III III
3-Month feeding-dog	NOEL not established; insufficient No. of animals sacrificed (2/sex/dose)	
3-Month feeding-mouse	NOEL not established; liver cytomegaly at 20 ppm (LDT)	
3-Month feeding-rat	NOEL not established; report in- complete	
1-Year feeding-dog	NOEL = 500 ppm (12.5 mg/kg/day) LEL = 2500 ppm (62.5 mg/kg/day) (increased absolute & relative liver weights in males and females; in- crease in cholesterol)	
2-Year feeding-rat*	NOEL = 100 ppm ( 4.3 mg/kg/day) LEL = 500 ppm (21.5 mg/kg/day) (increases in cholesterol, in absolute & relative liver weights, & in incidence of liver cytomegaly). An increase in tumor incidence was not seen.	
2-Year feeding-mouse	NOEL = 100 ppm (15 mg/kg/day) LEL = 500 ppm (75 mg/kg/day) (increase in white blood cells) An increase in tumor incidence was not seen.	
Teratology-rabbit	Maternal NOEL = 240 mg/kg/day Maternal LEL = 700 mg/kg/day (decrease in body weights)  Develop. tox. NOEL = 240 mg/kg/day Develop. tox. LEL = 700 mg/kg/day (increase in No. of resorptions)	
Teratology-rat	Maternal NOEL = 100 mg/kg/day Maternal LEL = 300 mg/kg/day (decreased locomotion, genital stain, runny eyes)	

Develop. tox. NOEL = 100 mg/kg/day  
 Develop. tox. LEL = 300 mg/kg/day  
 (increased incidence of delayed  
 ossification)

**Mutagenicity Studies:**

- |                                                            |                                    |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| a. Reverse mutation<br>(Salmonella 2 studies)              | Negative with/without activation   |
| b. Point Mutation<br>(CHO/HGPT)                            | Weakly positive without activation |
| c. <u>In vivo</u> cytogenetics<br>(chromosomal aberration) | Negative                           |
| d. Unscheduled DNA synthesis                               | Negative                           |

-----  
 \*: Reference dose (RfD) was established based on the results of this study.

2. Reference dose (RfD) or acceptable daily intake (ADI): The RfD for command<sup>R</sup> is derived from the 2-year feeding study in rats with a NOEL of 4.3 mg/kg/day. Applying a safety factor of 100, the RfD is calculated to be 0.043 mg/kg/day.
3. Summary for toxicology data considered desirable but currently lacking: None

**Discussion and Conclusion**

Currently the TAS analysis is performed by Dietary Risk Evaluation System (DRES) of the Science Analysis and Coordination Branch (SACB). Tox. Branch II will have no objection to granting this request if granting it will not result in the tolerances exceeding a 100% of the RfD, and the supporting residue chemistry data are acceptable to the Dietary Exposure Branch.