US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

# Text Searchable File

| PMRA Submission #                             |   | sulam metabolite to aquatic vascular plants <i>Lemna gibba</i><br>EPA MRID#: 45831110 |
|---|---|---|
| Data Requirement:                             | PMRA Data Code:<br>EPA DP Barcode:              | {}<br>D288160   |
|   | OECD Data Point:<br>EPA MRID:<br>EPA Guideline: | {   |
| Test material: Common name:                   | Penoxsulam XDE-638 metabolite BSA               | Purity: 99%   |
| Chemical name:                                |   | 2-difluoroethoxy)-6-trifluoromethylbenzene sulfonic acid                              |
| Primary Reviewer: I<br>Staff Scientist, Dynar | •   | Signature: The Capuporn  Date: 11/21/03  Signature: Dana Worcester                    |
| QC Reviewer: Dana<br>Staff Scientist, Dynar   |   | Signature: Dana Worcester<br>Date: 11/21/03   |
| Primary Reviewer: 1<br>{EPA/OECD/PMRA         | Bill Erickson J GOODY E.                        | AR Date: {  |
| Secondary Reviewer<br>{EPA/OECD/PMRA          | r(s):{}<br>}                                    | Date: {}  |
| EPA PC Code 199                               | [For PMRA]                                      |   |
| Date Evaluation Cor                           | npleted: {dd-mmm-yyyy}                          |   |

CITATION: Herrera, R. 2002. XDE-638 Metabolite BSA (TSN 101980): Growth Inhibition Test with the Freshwater Aquatic Plant, *Lemna gibba* L. G3. Unpublished study performed by ESG International Inc., Guelph, Ontario, Canada. Laboratory Study No. S2289-02. Study submitted by The Dow Chemical Company, Midland, Michigan, U.S.A. for Dow AgroSciences, LLC, Indianapolis, Indiana, U.S.A. Dow Study No. 021096. Experimental start date was April 19, 2002 and the experimental termination date was May 3, 2002. The final report issued August 2002.



#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

In a 14-day acute toxicity study, freshwater aquatic vascular plants Duckweed, *Lemna gibba* G3, were exposed to Penoxsulam at a single, nominal concentration of 1.6 mg a.i./L under static conditions. The mean frond numbers, dry weights, areas under the growth curve, and growth rates were not affected in the 1.6 mg a.i./L treatment group compared to the control. The NOAEC was 1.6 mg a.i./L and the EC<sub>50</sub> was >1.6 mg a.i./L, but the EC<sub>05</sub> could not be determined.

This toxicity study is scientifically sound, but it does not satisfy the U.S. EPA Guideline Subdivision J, \$122-2 because the single nominal test concentration, which greatly exceeded the expected environmental concentration (16  $\mu$ g/L), was not analytically determined. As a result, this study is classified as SUPPLEMENTAL, but it need not be repeated.

## **Results Synopsis**

Test Organism: Lemna gibba G3

Test Type: Static

### Number of fronds:

NOAEC:1.6 mg/L

EC<sub>05</sub>: ND

 $EC_{50}/IC_{50}$ : >1.6 mg/L 95% C.I.: N/A

#### Growth rates:

NOAEC: 1.6 mg/L

EC<sub>05</sub>: ND

 $EC_{50}/IC_{50}$ : >1.6 mg/L 95% C.I.: N/A

### Plant biomass (area under the growth curve):

NOAEC: 1.6 mg/L

EC<sub>05</sub>: ND

 $EC_{50}/IC_{50}$ : >1.6 mg/L 95% C.I.: N/A

## Dry Weights:

NOAEC:1.6 mg/L

EC<sub>05</sub>: ND

 $EC_{50}/IC_{50}$ : >1.6 mg/L 95% C.I.: N/A

Endpoint(s) Affected: None

#### I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

GUIDELINE FOLLOWED: The test was based on the following guidelines: Organization for Economic

Cooperation and Development (OECD),

Proposal for new Guideline #221, "Lemna sp. Growth Inhibition test", OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Ecological Effects Test Guidelines, OPPTS 850.4400, Aquatic Plant Toxicity Test Using Lemna spp., Tiers I and II EPA 712-C-96-156 (1996); and FIFRA Subdivision J Pesticide Assessment Guidelines, (1982). The following

deviations from U.S. EPA Guideline 123-2 are noted:

- 1. The study was conducted with one test concentration (limit test), which was not analytically determined. As a result, it does not satisfy the Tier I §122-2 guidelines.
- 2. The pretest health of the test organism was not reported.
- 3. The dilution water characteristics were not reported.
- 4. The number of plants (3) was less than recommended, so the number of fronds (12) was less than the recommended 15 fronds per replicate.
- 5. The stability of the test substance was not determined.

These deviations were not considered to have affected the results of the study, but the failure to analyze the single test concentration affected the acceptability of the study.

**COMPLIANCE:** Signed and dated GLP, Quality Assurance and No Data Confidentiality

statements were provided. The test was conducted according to the OECD

Principles of Good Laboratory Practice (GLP, 1998).

A. MATERIALS:

1. Test Material Penoxsulam metabolite (BSA)

**Description:** White powder

Lot No./Batch No.: F0500-84A

Purity: 99%

Stability of Compound

**Under Test Conditions:** The test concentration was not measured during the study, therefore, stability was not determined. OECD requirements were not reported.

(OECD requires water solubility, stability in water and light, pKa, Pow, vapor pressure of test compound)

Storage conditions of test chemicals: Stored at room temperature.

2. Test organism:

Name: Duckweed, Lemna gibba L. (EPA requires a vascular species: Lemna gibba.)

Strain, if provided: G3 1913

Source: Laboratory cultures (original supplier: UTCC #310)

Age of inoculum: 10-14 days old (p. 16) Method of cultivation: 20X AAP Medium

## **B. STUDY DESIGN:**

a) Range-finding Study: No range-finding study was conducted.

b) Definitive Study

Table 1 . Experimental Parameters

| Table 1 . Experimental Parameters  |  | Remarks   |
|--|--|---|
| Parameter  | Details  | Criteria  |
| Acclimation period:  | At least 3 weeks   |   |
| culturing media and conditions: (same as test or not)  | 20X AAP Medium; same as test.  |   |
| health: (any toxicity observed)  | Not reported   |   |
| Test system static/static renewal/ renewal rate for static renewal:  | Static   | EPA expects the test concentrations to be renewed every 3 to 4 days (one renewal for the 7 day test, 3-4 renewals for the 14 day test). |
| Incubation facility  | Growth chamber   |   |
| Duration of the test   | 14 days  | EPA requires a duration of 14 days. Seven day studies will be accepted for review by the Agency.  |
| Test vessel<br>material: (glass/polystyrene)<br>size:<br>fill volume:  | Glass Erlenmeyer flasks<br>500 mL<br>200 mL                            | Test vessels covered with Jaece <sup>®</sup> nontoxic foam plugs.   |
| Details of growth medium name:  pH at test initiation: pH at test termination: Chelator used: Carbon source: | Modified 20X AAP Medium  8.16-8.45 9.43-9.55 Not reported Not reported | EPA recommend the following culture media: Modified hoagland's E+ or 20X-AAP.   |
| If non-standard nutrient medium was  | Not applicable   |   |

|  |   | Remarks  |
|--|---|--|
| Parameter  | Details   | Criteria   |
| used, detailed composition provided (Yes/No)   |   |  |
| Dilution water source/type: pH: water pretreatment (if any): Total Organic Carbon: particulate matter: metals: pesticides: chlorine:                 | 20X AAP Medium<br>7.5 ± 0.1<br>N/A<br>N/A<br>N/A<br>N/A<br>N/A<br>N/A | The dilution water characteristics were not reported.  EPA recommends a pH of ~5.0. A solution pH of 7.5 is acceptable if type 20X-AAP nutrient media is used. |
| Indicate how the test material is added to the medium (added directly or used stock solution)  | Stock solution  |  |
| Aeration or agitation  | Not reported.   |  |
| Sediment used (for rooted aquatic vascular plants) origin: textural classification (% sand, silt and clay): organic carbon (%): geographic location: | Not applicable  |  |
| Number of replicates control: solvent control: treatments:   | 6<br>N/A<br>6   |  |
| Number of plants/replicate   | Not reported.   | The number of plants was not reported.  EPA requires 5 plants.   |
| Number of fronds/plant   | 2-4 fronds per plant (9-12 total fronds per replicate)                | EPA requires 3 fronds per plant.   |
| Test concentrations nominal:   | 0 (negative control) and 1.6 mg/L                                     | The measured test concentration was not determined.  EPA requires at least 5 test  |
| measured:  | Not determined  | concentrations with a dose range of 2X or 3X progression.  |
| Solvent (type, percentage, if used)  | N/A   |  |
| Method and interval of analytical  | N/A   |  |

|  |  | Remarks  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Parameter  | Details  | Criteria   |  |  |
| verification   |  |  |  |  |
| Test conditions temperature: photoperiod: light intensity and quality: | 22.0-26.5°C continuous light 6,500-8,000 lux, cool-white fluorescent light | EPA temperature: 25°C<br>EPA photoperiod: continuous<br>EPA light: 5.0 Klux (±15%)       |  |  |
| Reference chemical (if used) name: concentrations:                     | Zinc Chloride<br>Not reported  | The reported EC <sub>25</sub> (95% confidence intervals) was 2.19 mg/L (1.25-3.41 mg/L). |  |  |
| Other parameters, if any   | None   |  |  |  |

## 2. Observations:

**Table 2: Observation parameters** 

| Parameters  | Details  | Remarks/Criteria  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Parameters measured (eg: number of fronds, plant dry weight or other toxicity symptoms) | Number of fronds (number of colonies), dry weights, and toxicity symptoms. |   |  |  |
| Measurement technique for frond number and other end points                             | Direct counts using a hand-<br>magnifying lens.                            |   |  |  |
| Observation intervals   | 0, 3, 5, 7, 10, and 14 days.   | 3 replicates harvested on day 7 and 3 replicates harvested on day 14. |  |  |
| Other observations, if any  | Area under the growth curve and growth rates.                              |   |  |  |
| Indicate whether there was an exponential growth in the control                         | Yes  |   |  |  |
| Were raw data included?   | Replicate data provided.   |   |  |  |

## II. RESULTS and DISCUSSION:

## A. INHIBITORY EFFECTS:

The mean frond numbers, dry weights, areas under the growth curve, and growth rates were not affected in the 1.6 mg/L treatment group compared to the control.

Table 3: Effect of Penoxsulam metabolite on frond number and dry weight of Duckweed, Lemna gibba

| Treatment<br>nominal<br>concentration,<br>mg/L | Initial<br>frond<br>number/<br>test<br>solution | Mean frond number at |           |            | Mean dry                      | Mean           | Mean Area      |                              |
|--|---|----------------------|-----------|------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|
|  |   | 3<br>days            | 7<br>days | 14<br>days | %<br>inhibition<br>at 14 days | weight<br>(mg) | Growth<br>Rate | Under the<br>Growth<br>Curve |
| Negative<br>control<br>(dilution water)        | 12  | 30.8                 | 152.3     | 700.7      |                               | 65.14          | 0.01214        | 66,765.71                    |
| 1.6  | 12  | 32.8                 | 152.5     | 748.3      | -6.8                          | 65.53          | 0.01234        | 72,532.88                    |
| Reference<br>chemical<br>(if used)             | Not applic                                      | able                 |           |            |                               |                |                |                              |

Negative percent inhibition represents positive growth.

NR-Not reported

Table 4: Statistical endpoint values.

| Statistical Endpoint <sup>a</sup>                                 | frond No.      | Dry weight | growth<br>rate    | area under the growth curve |
|---|----------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| NOAEC (mg/L)  | 1.6            | 1.6        | 1.6               | 1.6                         |
| EC <sub>05</sub> (mg/L)   | ND             | ND         | ND                | ND                          |
| LOAEC (mg/L)  | >1.6           | >1.6       | >1.6              | >1.6                        |
| EC <sub>50</sub> (mg/L) (95% C.I.)                                | >1.6           | >1.6       | >1.6              | >1.6                        |
| EC <sub>25</sub> (mg/L) (95% C.I.)                                | >1.6           | >1.6       | >1.6              | >1.6                        |
| Reference chemical<br>NOAEC<br>IC <sub>50</sub> /EC <sub>50</sub> | Not applicable |            | Not<br>applicable | Not reported                |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Statistical data based on nominal test concentrations.

**B. REPORTED STATISTICS:** Percent inhibition was determined for all endpoints. The 14 day NOAEC, and EC<sub>50</sub> values were estimated using the significance data for all endpoints. All statistical calculations were performed using the nominal concentration.

## C. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:

Statistical analyses were not required to verify the results of this study, as there were no reductions in the treatment group for any endpoint.

#### Number of fronds:

NOAEC:1.6 mg/L

EC<sub>05</sub>: ND

 $EC_{50}/IC_{50}: >1.6 \text{ mg/L}$ 

95% C.I.: N/A

#### Growth rates:

NOAEC:1.6 mg/L

EC<sub>05</sub>: ND

EC<sub>50</sub>/IC<sub>50</sub>: >1.6 mg/L 95% C.I.: N/A

## Plant biomass (area under the growth curve):

NOAEC:1.6 mg/L

EC<sub>05</sub>: ND

 $EC_{50}/IC_{50}$ : >1.6 mg/L 95% C.I.: N/A

## Dry Weights:

NOAEC:1.6 mg/L

EC<sub>05</sub>: ND

 $EC_{50}/IC_{50}$ : >1.6 mg/L 95% C.I.: N/A

Endpoint(s) Affected: None

#### D. STUDY DEFICIENCIES:

While no toxicity was shown in this study and the nominal concentration greatly exceeded the expected environmental concentration (16  $\mu$ g/L), this test level was not analytically determined. As a result, this study does not satisfy the U.S. EPA Guideline Subdivision J, §122-2 for a limit test with a metabolite.

### **E. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:**

The reviewer's conclusions agreed with the study author's; there was no toxicity of XDE-638 Metabolite BSA (TSN 101980) to duckweed. While no toxicity was detected in this study and a concentration which greatly exceeded the expected environmental concentration ( $16 \mu g/L$ ) was tested, this study cannot be classified as Core because the test concentration was not analytically verified.

The projected environmental concentration (PEC) for BSA is  $16 \mu g/L$ . The doubling time of the control was used as validity criteria (OECD). An increase of approximately 8-fold in seven days is required. The colony number percent inhibition was -4.0 in the 1.6 mg/L treatment group after 14 days (no effect).

**F. CONCLUSIONS:** This toxicity study is scientifically sound, but it does not satisfy the U.S. EPA Guideline Subdivision J, \$122-2 because the single nominal test concentration, which greatly exceeded the expected environmental concentration ( $16 \mu g/L$ ), was not analytically determined. As a result, this study is classified as SUPPLEMENTAL, but it need not be repeated.

#### Number of fronds:

NOAEC:1.6 mg/L

EC<sub>05</sub>: ND

 $EC_{50}/IC_{50}$ : >1.6 mg/L 95% C.I.: N/A

### Growth rates:

NOAEC:1.6 mg/L

EC<sub>05</sub>: ND

 $EC_{50}/IC_{50}$ : >1.6 mg/L 95% C.I.: N/A

### Plant biomass (area under the growth curve):

NOAEC:1.6 mg/L

EC<sub>05</sub>: ND

 $EC_{50}/IC_{50}$ : >1.6 mg/L 95% C.I.: N/A

Dry Weights:

NOAEC:1.6 mg/L

EC<sub>05</sub>: ND

 $EC_{50}/IC_{50}$ : >1.6 mg/L 95% C.I.: N/A

Endpoint(s) Affected: None

#### III. REFERENCES:

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 1998. Standard guide for conducting static acute toxicity tests with *Lemna gibba* G3. Annual Book of ASTM Standards. American Society for Testing and Materials. West Conshohocken, PA. Vol. 11.05. E 1415-91 (Re-approved 1998).

Dow AgroSciences. 2000. Research Sample Safety Data Sheet. Product: XDE-638. Indianapolis, IN. Effective Date: 1/25/00.

Dow AgroSciences. 2002a. Test Substance Distribution Certificate. Test Substance Number: TSN101980. Indianapolis, IN. Last Assay Date: 23 Apr 2001. Sampled by Kent Hensley 2-26-02.

Dow AgroSciences. 2002b. Certificate of Analysis for Test/Reference/Control Substances. TSN101980. Indianapolis, IN. Study Completion Date: April 23, 2001.

- ESG International Standard Operating Procedures Manual (ESG). 2001a. SOP# 265. Standard Operating Procedures for the Axenic Laboratory Culture of *Lemna gibba* L. G3. ESG International Specialty Chemicals Division Standard Operating Procedures Manual.
- ESG International Standard Operating Procedures Manual (ESG). 2001b. SOP# 345. Standard Operating Procedures for Conducting Growth Inhibition Tests Using *Lemna gibba* L. G3. ESG International Specialty Chemicals Division Standard Operating Procedures Manual.
- ESG International Standard Operating Procedures Manual (ESG). 2001c. SOP# 11. Data Analysis. ESG International Specialty Chemicals Division Standard Operating Procedures Manual.
- ESG International Standard Operating Procedures Manual (ESG). 2001d. SOP# 12. Interpretation and Recording of Reference Toxicant Data. ESG International Specialty Chemicals Division Standard Operating Procedures Manual.
- Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). 1982. Pesticide Assessment Guidelines. Subdivision J. Hazard Evaluation: Nontarget Plants. By R.W. Holst and T.C. Ellwanger. Office of Pesticide Programs. Washington, D.C. 55 pp.
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). 1998. The OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice. Environment Monograph No. 45. Environment Directorate, Paris, France.
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). 2000. Proposal for a new Guideline #221, "Lemna sp. Growth Inhibition Test". OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals.

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). 1996. Ecological Effects Test Guidelines. OPPTS 850.4400 Aquatic Plant Toxicity Test Using *Lemna* spp., Tiers I and II. EPA 712-C-96-156.
- West, Inc., and D.D. Gulley. 1996. TOXSTAT<sup>™</sup> 3.5, Computer software and instruction manual. Western EcoSystems Technology, Inc. Cheyenne, Wyoming.