US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

# DATA EVALUATION RECORD SEEDLING EMERGENCE EC<sub>25</sub> TEST VEGETATIVE VIGOR EC<sub>25</sub> TEST §123-1(a & b) (TIER II)

1. CHEMICAL: Chlorsulfuron

PC Code No.: 118601

2. TEST MATERIAL: Chlorsulfuron

Purity: 98.2%

3. CITATION:

Author: McKelvey, R.A., and Kuratle, H.

<u>Title</u>: Influence of Chlorsulfuron on Seed Germination, Seedling

Emergence, and Vegetative Vigor of Several Terrestrial Plants

Study Completion Date: February 7, 1992, revised November 19, 1992

Laboratory: E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company

DuPont Agricultural Products Stine-Haskell Research Center P.O. Box 30, Elkton Road

Newark, DE 19714

Sponsor: E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company

Newark, DE ·

Laboratory Report ID: AMR 2070-91

MRID No.: 42587201

DP Barcode: D186337

4. REVIEWED BY: Brooke S. Levy, Staff Scientist, Dynamac Corporation

Signature: Brokes Date: 7/26/01

APPROVED BY: Teri Myers, Ph.D., Staff Scientist, Dynamac Corporation

Signature: Date: 7/26/01

5. APPROVED BY: Elizabeth Behl, Branch Chief, OPP/EFED/ERB IV

Signature:

Date:

Denie Bathy ERBY 3/3/63

DP Barcode: D186337

# 6. STUDY PARAMETERS:

Scientific Name of Test Organism: Dicots: Beta vulgaris, Glycine max, Pisum

sativum, Lycopersicon esculentum, Brassica

napus, Cucumis sativus

Monocots: Allium cepa, Zea mays, Triticum

aestivum, Sorghum vulgare

Definitive Study Duration: Seedling emergence: 14 days

Vegetative vigor: 21 days

Type of Concentrations: Nominal

# 7. CONCLUSIONS:

Seedling emergence and vegetative vigor were studied on 9 and 10 plant species, respectively, after application of Chlorsulfuron at varying concentrations. Test species included sugarbeet, soybean, pea, tomato, rape, cucumber, onion, corn, wheat (vegetative vigor test only), and sorghum

The seedling emergence test was performed at rates of 0.000109, 0.000562, 0.00281, 0.0140, 0.0702 oz a.i./A (onion, sugarbeet, soybean, rape, cucumber); 0.000562, 0.00281, 0.0140, 0.0702, 0.351 oz a.i./A (corn, sorghum, pea, tomato); 0.141, 0.281, 0.562, 1.12, and 2.25 oz a.i./A (rape);. Corn was the most sensitive monocot (based on shoot height), with EC<sub>25</sub> and NOAEC values of 0.0017 and <0.000562 oz a.i./A, respectively. The most sensitive dicot was sugarbeet (based on shoot height), with EC<sub>25</sub> and NOEC values of 0.00049 and 0.000109 oz a.i./A, respectively. The EC05 for sugarbeet height was calculated to be 2.8E-05 oz ai/acre and the EC05 for corn height is 0.00088 oz ai/acre.

The **vegetative vigor** test was performed at rates of 0.000140 (cucumber only), 0.000720 (all species except wheat), 0.00360, 0.0180, 0.0900, 0.450 (all species except cucumber), 2.25 (wheat only) oz a.i./A. Onion was the most sensitive monocot (based on shoot weight), with EC<sub>25</sub> and NOAEC values of 0.000065 and 0.000140 oz a.i./A, respectively. Rape was the most sensitive dicot (based on shoot weight), with EC<sub>25</sub> and NOEC values of 0.00022 and 0.000140 oz a.i./A, respectively. The EC05 for onion shoot weight was calculated to be 7.3E-07 oz ai/acre and the sugarbeet root weight EC05 is 3.2 E-07.

This study is classified as Supplemental. This study is scientifically sound but does not fulfill the guideline requirements for seedling emergence and vegetative vigor studies (Subdivision J, §123-1 (TIER II)) because a Typical End use Product (TEP) was not used as a test material in the study. Additionally, in the emergence test, the test concentrations for corn were not low enough to determine the NOAEL (based on height) or in the vegetative vigor test for sorghum (based on shoot weight and total weight). In the

vegetative vigor test, the  $EC_{25}$  for onions (based on shoot weight) was calculated by the study authors to be lower than the lowest test concentration used in the study and the  $EC_{25}$  for sugarbeets (based on root weight) was also calculated to be lower than the lowest test concentration. For several additional species the  $EC_{05}$  is lower than the lowest test concentration used in the study. See EFED memorandum "Closure on Non-target Plant Phytotoxicity Policy Issues" Oct. 21, 1994. Furthermore, a Typical End-use Product (TEP) was not used as a test material in the study.

# Seedling Emergence

Most sensitive monocot:

## Corn

Most sensitive parameter: Shoot height

EC<sub>25</sub>: 0.0017 oz a.i./A NOEC: 0.000562 oz a.i./A

## Most sensitive dicot:

# Sugarbeet

Most sensitive parameter: Shoot height

EC<sub>25</sub>: 0.00049 oz a.i./A NOEC: 0.000109 oz a.i./A

# Vegetative Vigor

Most sensitive monocot:

## Onion

Most sensitive parameter: Shoot weight

EC<sub>25</sub>: 0.000065 oz a.i./A EC<sub>05</sub>: 0.00000073 oz ai/A NOEC: 0.000140 oz a.i./A

# Most sensitive dicot:

## Rape

Most sensitive parameter: Shoot weight

EC<sub>25</sub>: 0.00022 oz a.i./A NOEC: 0.000140

# 8. ADEQUACY OF THE STUDY:

A. Classification: Supplemental

B. Rationale: See above.

C. Repairability: Not repairable.

# 9. GUIDELINE DEVIATIONS:

See above.

DP Barcode: D186337

# 10. <u>SUBMISSION PURPOSE</u>: Reregistration

# 11. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

A. Test Organisms

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Species: 6 dicots in 4 families, including soybean and a rootcrop; 4 monocots in 2 families, including corn.	Dicots: sugarbeet, soybean, pea, tomato, rape, cucumber  Monocots: onion (common), corn, wheat (vegetative vigor test only), sorghum
Number of plants per repetition:	Seedling emergence: 20 per tray, 4 replicates per species Vegetative vigor: 1-6 seeds per vessel, 4 replicates of six plants per species
Source of seed and historical % germination of seed:	See Appendix VIII and X, pp. 175 and 193, respectively, for seed source information; historical % germination of seed was not reported.

B. Test System

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Solvent:	pH 7 buffer was used to dissolve Chlorsulfuron, which was then mixed with 0.25% Valent X-77 surfactant to serve as the application solution.
Site of test:	Seedling emergence: Greenhouse #4, Bench #3 Vegetative vigor: Greenhouse #2, Bench #5 and #6  Tests were performed at the Stine-Haskell

DP Barcode: D186337

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Planting method/type of pot:	Seedling emergence: Lockwood window sill trays with sealed drain holes (32 x 12 x 10 cm). Planting depths were 1.0 cm (onion, tomato, rape), 1.5 cm (wheat, sugarbeet), or 2.5 cm (remaining species).  Vegetative vigor: Kord standard 15 x 15 cm pots. Planting depths were 1.0 cm (onion tomato, rape), 1.5 cm (sugarbeet), or 2.5 cm (remaining species).
Method of application:	Rotating belt sprayer with T-jet flat fan nozzles.
Method of watering:	Top watering with domestic tap water, contact with foliage was avoided in the vegetative vigor test.
Growth stage at application:	Seedling emergence: seed  Vegetative vigor: seedling (13 cm height, onion, pea, tomato, rape, and cucumber; 3-4 leaves, sorghum and soybean; 4 leaves, corn and wheat; 4-5 leaves, sugarbeet)

C. Test Design

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Dose range: 2x or 3x	Seedling emergence: 2x (rape), 5x (remaining species).  Vegetative vigor: 5x

DP Barcode: D186337

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Doses: At least 5	Seedling emergence: Rape: 0.141, 0.281, 0.562, 1.12, and 2.25 oz a.i./A. Corn, sorghum, pea, tomato: 0.000562, 0.00281, 0.0140, 0.0702, 0.351 oz a.i./A Onion, sugarbeet, soybean, rape, cucumber: 0.000109, 0.000562, 0.00281, 0.0140, 0.0702 oz a.i./A Vegetative vigor: 0.000140 (cucumber only), 0.000720 (all species except wheat), 0.00360, 0.0180, 0.0900, 0.450 (all species except cucumber), 2.25 (wheat only) oz a.i./A.
Controls: Negative and solvent	Negative control
Replicates per dose: At least 3	4 replicates
Test duration: 14 days	Seedling emergence: 14 days Vegetative vigor: 21 days
Were observations made at least weekly?	Seedling emergence: 1 and 2 weeks Vegetative vigor: 1 and 3 weeks
Maximum dosage rate:	2.25 oz a.i./A (maximum labeled rate for use on non-crop land); not all species were tested at this maximum dosage rate.

# 12. <u>REPORTED RESULTS</u>:

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Quality assurance and GLP compliance statements were included in the report?	Yes
Was a NOEC observed for each species?	Yes
Phytotoxic observations:	See Table XV and XXIV, pp. 66 and 103, respectively, for descriptions of phytotoxicity.

DP Barcode: D186337

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Were initial chemical concentrations measured? (Optional)	Not reported.
Were adequate raw data included?	Replicate data were provided.

# Results for the most sensitive parameter of each species

# **Results Synopsis**

Seedling Emergence

Crop	Emerg	gence	Plant h	eight	Most sensitive
	NOEL	EC <sub>25</sub>	NOEL	EC <sub>25</sub>	parameter
Cucumber	>0.0702	ND	0.000562	0.0040	Plant height
Pea	0.00281	0.0045	0.000562	0.0018	Plant height
Rape	>0.0702	ND	0.000562	0.0018	Plant height
Soybean	>0.0702	ND	0.0140	0.024	Plant height
Sugarbeet	0.00281	0.0045	0.000109	0.00061	Plant height
Tomato	>0.351	ND .	0.000562	0.0027	Plant height
Corn	0.0702	0.80	<0.000562	0.0048	Plant height
Onion	0.000562	0.0066	0.000562	0.0026	Plant height
Sorghum	>0.351	ND	0.00261	0.022	Plant height

ND = Not determined

Vegetative Vigor

Crop	Plant l	neight	Shoot	weight	Most sensitive
	NOEL	EC <sub>25</sub>	NOEL	EC <sub>25</sub>	parameter
Cucumber	0.00360	0.030	0.0180	0.098	Plant height
Pea	0.000720	0.0040	0.000720	0.0029	Shoot weight
Rape	0.000720	0.0016	0.000140	0.00032	Shoot weight

DP Barcode: D186337

Crop	Plant l	neight	Shoot v	veight	Most sensitive
S	NOEL	EC <sub>25</sub>	NOEL	EC <sub>25</sub>	parameter
Soybean	0.000720	0.00071	0.000140	0.00031	Shoot weight
Sugarbeet	0.000140	0.0033	0.000140	0.00043	Shoot weight
Tomato	0.000720	0.032	0.000720	0.0089	Shoot weight
Corn	0.00360	0.010	0.00360	0.0031	Shoot weight
Onion	0.000140	0.00059	0.000140	0.000071	Shoot weight
Sorghum	0.00360	0.042	<0.000720	0.0025	Shoot weight
Wheat	0.0180	0.89	0.450	0.93	Plant height

# Morphological Observations

# Seedling emergence

Cucumber: Emergence rates ranged from 95-99% for all treatment groups by 2 weeks; control emergence was 99%. Emergence rates increased with increasing concentration.

Mean plant heights generally decreased with increasing concentration, compared to the control, and ranged from 25-87% of the control group by 2 weeks, with the exception of the 0.000562 oz a.i./A treatment group, which was 91% of the control group.

By 2 weeks, the severity of abnormalities (not specified) increased with increasing test concentrations. Slight abnormalities were observed in treatment groups ≤0.00281 oz a.i./A. Moderate to severe abnormalities were observed in the 0.0140 and 0.0702 oz a.i./A treatment groups.

**Pea:** Emergence rates decreased with increasing concentrations. Emergence rates were 18, 2, and 0% in the 0.0140, 0.0702, and 0.351 oz a.i./A treatment groups by 2 weeks, compared to 94 and 90% emergence in the 0.000562 and 0.00281 oz a.i./A groups, and 96% in the control.

Mean plant heights decreased with increasing concentration by 2 weeks, compared to the control group, and ranged from 0-99% of the control.

By 2 weeks, the prevalence and severity of abnormalities (slight to severe; type not

specified) increased with increasing test concentrations. No germination was reported in in several of the replicates during both weeks in treatment groups 0.0140 to 0.351 oz a.i./A.

Rape: Emergence rates decreased with increasing concentration, and ranged from 81-96% for treatment groups, and 91% for the control by 2 weeks.

Mean plant heights decreased with increasing concentrations, and ranged from 13-98% of the control group by 2 weeks.

By 2 weeks, the severity of abnormalities (slight to severe; type not specified) increased with increasing concentrations, with chlorosis and purpling observed in the 0.0140 and 0.0702 oz a.i./A treatment groups.

Soybean: Emergence rates did not follow a dose response pattern, and ranged from 65-78%, compared to 69% emergence in the control group by 2 weeks; 65% emergence occurred in the highest treatment group, 0.0702 oz a.i./A.

Mean plant heights were 100-109% of the control in the 0.000109 to 0.00281 oz a.i./A treatment group by 2 weeks, and were 87 and 42% of the control in the 0.0140 and 0.0702 oz a.i./A treatment groups, respectively.

By 2 weeks, the severity of abnormalities (slight to severe; type not specified) increased with increasing concentrations, with chlorosis and/or interveinal chlorosis observed in the 0.0140 and 0.0702 oz a.i./A treatment groups.

**Sugarbeet:** Emergence rates decreased with increasing concentrations, ranging from 50-85% by 2 weeks, with the exception of 94% emergence in the 0.000562 oz a.i./A treatment group (control emergence was 86%).

Mean plant heights decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control, and were 20-92% of the control by 2 weeks.

By 2 weeks, moderate to severe abnormalities (type not specified) were observed in all treatment groups  $\geq 0.00281$  oz a.i./A.

**Tomato:** Emergence rates for all treatment groups ranged from 95-99% by 2 weeks, with 98% emergence occurring in the control group.

Mean plant heights decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control, and were 38-104% of the control by 2 weeks.

By 2 weeks, slight to severe abnormalities (type not specified) were observed in treatment groups  $\geq 0.00281$  oz a.i./A, with purpling observed in the 0.0702 and 0.351 oz a.i./A treatment groups.

Corn: Emergence rates for all treatment groups ranged from 98-100% by 2 weeks, with 99% emergence occurring in the control group.

Mean plant heights decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control, and were 5-93% of the control by 2 weeks.

By 2 weeks, the severity of abnormalities (type not specified) increased with increasing concentrations, from slight to severe. Purpling and chlorosis were observed in all treatment groups  $\geq 0.00281$  oz a.i./A.

Onion: By 2 weeks, emergence rates were 76 and 82% in the 0.000109 and 0.000562 oz a.i./A treatment groups, respectively; 58-59% in the 0.0028 and 0.0140 oz a.i./A treatment groups, and was 19% in the 0.0702 oz a.i./A treatment groups; emergence rate in the control group was 79%.

Mean plant heights decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control, and were 18-99% of the control by 2 weeks.

By 2 weeks, the severity of abnormalities (type not specified) increased with increasing concentrations, from slight to severe.

**Sorghum:** Emergence rates generally ranged from 70-78% for all treatment groups, with the exception of 60% in the 0.0140 oz a.i./A treatment group; control emergence was 68%.

Mean plant heights decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control group, and were 23-102% of the control by 2 weeks.

By 2 weeks, the severity of abnormalities (type not specified) increased with increasing concentrations. Chlorosis and/or interveinal chlorosis and/or purpling were observed in treatment groups  $\geq 0.0140$  oz a.i./A.

# Vegetative vigor

Cucumber: Mean heights generally decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control, and ranged from 63-95% of the control by 3 weeks.

Mean shoot weights generally decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control, and ranged from 77-103% of the control by 3 weeks.

By 3 weeks, the severity of abnormalities (type not specified) varied from slight to moderate. The number of replicates affected increased with increasing test concentrations.

**Pea:** Mean heights generally decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control, and ranged from 41-108% of the control by 3 weeks.

Mean shoot weights decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control by 3 weeks. Mean weights were 133% of the control in the 0.000720 oz a.i./A treatment group, 62% in the 0.00360 oz a.i./A treatment group, 39% in the 0.0180 oz a.i./A treatment group, and were 27% of the control in the 0.0900 and 0.450 oz a.i./A treatment groups.

By 3 weeks, the severity of abnormalities (type not specified) varied from slight to moderate to death with increasing test concentrations, death occurring in the 0.0900 and 0.450 oz a.i./A treatment groups.

Rape: Mean heights decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control, and ranged from 32-104% of the control by 3 weeks.

Mean shoot weights decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control by 3 weeks. Mean shoot weights were 74-105% of the control in the 0.000140 and 0.000720 oz a.i./A treatment groups. Mean plant height was 25% of the control in the 0.00360 oz a.i./A treatment group, 7% of the control in the 0.0180 oz a.i./A treatment group, and 11% of the control in the 0.0900 oz a.i./A treatment group.

By 3 weeks, the severity of abnormalities (type not specified) increased from slight to moderate to severe death with increasing test concentrations. Auxillary buds and/or purpling were observed in the 0.000720 and 0.00360 oz a.i./A treatment groups. Chlorosis, purpling and death were observed in the 0.0180 oz a.i./A treatment group, and death and purpling were observed in the 0.0900 oz a.i./A treatment group.

Soybean: Mean heights decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control, and ranged from 23-97% of the control by 3 weeks.

Mean shoot weights decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control by 3 weeks. Mean shoot weights were 72-97% of the control in the 0.000140 and 0.000720 oz a.i./A treatment groups. Mean shoot weight was 17% in the 0.00360 oz a.i./A treatment group and 5-6% of the control in the 0.0180 and 0.0900 oz a.i./A

DP Barcode: D186337

treatment groups.

By 3 weeks, slight abnormalities (type not specified) were observed in the 0.000720 oz a.i./A treatment group, moderate abnormalities with auxillary growth and chlorosis were observed in the 0.00360 oz a.i./A treatment group, and severe abnormalities of death were observed in the 0.0180 and 0.900 oz a.i./A treatment groups.

**Sugarbeet:** Mean heights generally decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control, and ranged from 53-103% of the control by 3 weeks.

Mean shoot weights decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control by 3 weeks. Mean shoot weights were 84-105% of the control in the 0.000140 and 0.000720 oz a.i./A treatment groups, and were 17-24% in all treatment groups > 0.00360 oz a.i./A.

By 3 weeks, moderate to severe abnormalities (type not specified) with auxillary buds were observed in the 0.00360 oz a.i./A treatment group, and severe abnormalities and death were observed in the 0.0180 and 0.0900 oz a.i./A treatment groups.

Tomato: Mean heights generally decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control, and ranged from 28-91% of the control by 3 weeks.

Mean shoot weights decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control by 3 weeks. Mean shoot weights were 66-88% of the control in treatment groups ≤0.0180 oz a.i./A. Mean shoot weights were 29% in the 0.0900 oz a.i./A treatment group and 7% of the control in the 0.450 oz a.i./A treatment group.

By 3 weeks, slight abnormalities (type not specified) were observed in treatment groups  $\leq 0.0180$  oz a.i./A, with weakened stems, chlorosis, and/or auxillary buds. Moderate to sever effects or death were observed in the 0.900 and 0.450 oz a.i./A treatment groups.

Corn: Mean heights decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control, and ranged from 34-101% of the control by 3 weeks.

Mean shoot weights decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control by 3 weeks. Mean shoot weights were 92-93% of the control in treatment groups  $\leq 0.00360$  oz a.i./A, 25% in the 0.0180 oz a.i./A treatment group, and 4-5% of the control in treatment groups  $\geq 0.0900$  oz a.i./A.

By 3 weeks, slight abnormalities (type not specified) were observed in the 0.00360 oz a.i./A treatment group, moderate to severe abnormalities with purpling and leaf distortion

were observed in the 0.0180 oz a.i./A treatment group, severe abnormalities and/or death with purpling were observed in the 0.900 and 0.450 oz a.i./A treatment groups.

Onion: Mean heights decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control, and ranged from 37-95% of the control by 3 weeks.

Mean shoot weights generally decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control by 3 weeks. Mean shoot weights were 60-80% of the control in the treatment groups  $\leq 0.000720$  oz a.i./A, 20% in the 0.00360 oz a.i./A treatment group, 48% in the 0.0180 oz a.i./A treatment group, and 16% in the 0.0900 oz a.i./A treatment group, compared to the control.

By 3 weeks, slight abnormalities (type not specified) were observed in the 0.000140 and 0.000720 oz a.i./A treatment groups, moderate abnormalities and death were observed in the 0.00360 oz a.i./A treatment group, and death was observed in the 0.0180 and 0.0900 oz a.i./A treatment groups.

**Sorghum:** Mean heights decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control, and ranged from 38-98% of the control by 3 weeks.

Mean shoot weights decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control by 3 weeks. Mean shoot weights were 61-74% of the control in treatment groups  $\leq 0.0180$  oz a.i./A, 42% in the 0.0900 oz a.i./A treatment group, and 16% in the 0.450 lb a.i./A treatment group, compared to the control.

By 3 weeks, slight abnormalities (type not specified) were observed in the 0.000720 and 0.00360 oz a.i./A treatment groups, slight to moderate abnormalities were observed in the 0.0180 oz a.i./A treatment group, moderate to severe abnormalities with purpling and fillering were observed in the 0.0900 and 0.450 oz a.i./A treatment groups.

Wheat: Mean heights decreased with increasing test concentrations, compared to the control, and ranged from 62-101% of the control by 3 weeks.

Mean shoot weights decreased as concentrations increased from 0.0180 oz a.i./A. Mean shoot weights were 104% of the control in the 0.00360 oz a.i./A treatment group, 110-121% in the 0.0180 and 0.0900 oz a.i./A treatment groups, 94% in the 0.450 oz a.i./A treatment group, and 38% of the control in the 2.25 oz a.i./A treatment group.

By 3 weeks, slight and moderate abnormalities (type not specified) were observed in the 0.450 and 2.25 oz a.i./A treatment groups, respectively.

# 13. REVIEWER'S VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:

<u>Statistical Method</u>: Continuous data: number emerged, emergent shoot height and plant height and weight were assessed for normality and homogeneity of variance prior to all analyses. Treatment effects were assessed using Williams' tests via TOXSTAT software. Bonferroni's t-tests were used to assess cucumber shoot weight (emergence), rape weight (vigor) treatment effects because these data sets contained unequal numbers of replicates per treatment level. The  $EC_{25}$  was estimated using the Bruce and Versteeg method via Nuthatch software. However, the  $EC_{25}$  could not be estimated mathematically for several species and endpoints because a 25% reduction was not observed, these values were visually estimated to be greater than the highest concentration tested.

# DP Barcode: D186337

# Statistical Results

Statistical Method: The NOEL at the 95% confidence level was determined with a William's test. Probit analysis was used to Using the maximum quasi-likelihood method and assumed binomial variance for percent germination and emergence, the confidence intervals were calculated from the variances and covariance of the intercept and slope using Fieller's theorem. determine EC<sub>25</sub> and EC<sub>50</sub> values when the William's test indicated a normal rate response over the range tested. 95% Probit curve parameters were estimated.

# Seedling Emergence

Most sensitive monocot: Onion Most sensitive parameter: Plant height EC<sub>25</sub>: 0.0048 oz a.i./A NOEL: 0.000562 oz a.i./A Most sensitive dicot: Sugarbeet Most sensitive parameter: Plant height EC<sub>25</sub>: 0.00061 oz a.i./A NOEL: 0.000109 oz a.i./A

# Vegetative Vigor

Most sensitive monocot: Onion Most sensitive parameter: Shoot weight EC<sub>25</sub>: 0.000071 oz a.i./A NOEL: 0.000140 oz a.i./A Most sensitive dicot: Soybean Most sensitive parameter: Shoot'weight EC<sub>25</sub>: 0.00031 oz a.i./A NOEL: 0.000140 oz a.i./A

Sis	
synopsis	
Ň	
Ħ	
Results	
$\simeq$	

	Shoot height (Emerged)	(Emerged)	Shoot height (Vigor)	t (Vigor)	Shoot weight (Vigor)	tht (Vigor)	Most Sensitive Parameter
Species	NOEL'	EC <sub>25</sub> 1	NOEL	EC <sub>25</sub> 1	NOEL'	$\mathrm{EC}_{25}^{-1}$	
Onion	0.000562*	0.0035ª	0.000140	0.00017 <sup>b</sup>	0.000140	0.000065 <sup>b</sup>	Shoot weight (Vigor)
Corn	0.000562	0.0017 <sup>b</sup>	0.00360	0.0036 <sup>b</sup>	0.0036	0.0026 <sup>b</sup>	Shoot height (Emerged)
Wheat	NR	NR	0.0180	1.0ª	0.45	0.70 <sup>b</sup>	Shoot weight (Vigor)
Sorghum	0.00281	0.019 <sup>b</sup>	0.00360	0.039 <sup>b</sup>	<0.000720	0.0049	Shoot weight (Vigor)
Sugarbeet	0.000109	0.00049 <sup>b</sup>	0.000140	0.0019 <sup>b</sup>	0.000140	0.00027 <sup>b</sup>	Shoot weight (Vigor)
Soybean	0.0140	0.022 <sup>b</sup>	0.000720	0.00046 <sup>b</sup>	0.000140	0.00024 <sup>b</sup>	Shoot weight (Vigor)
Pea	0.000562	0.0019	0.000720	0.0022 <sup>b</sup>	0.00072	0.0014 <sup>b</sup>	Shoot weight (Vigor)
Tomato	0.000562	0.0016 <sup>b</sup>	0.000720	0.068 <sup>b</sup>	0.000720	0.012	Shoot weight (Vigor)
Rape	0.000562	0.0017 <sup>b</sup>	0.000720	0.0010 <sup>b</sup>	0.000140	0.00022 <sup>b</sup>	Shoot weight (Vigor)
Cucumber	0.00281ª	0.0055 <sup>b</sup>	0.00360	0.038	0.0180	>0.0900 <sup>b</sup>	Shoot height (Vigor)
1301611	A) is to all bottomers one conference Off Land (1901)	o ni bottonoa o	V/ i c				

<sup>1</sup> All NOEL and EC<sub>25</sub> values are reported in oz a.i./A. NR- No results reported for this species.

<sup>a</sup> The value determined by the reviewer was higher than the value reported by the study authors.

<sup>b</sup> The value determined by the reviewer was lower than the value reported by the study authors.

EC25 values, confidence intervals, and slopes

LY 25 Values	y comment.	15 C25 Values, communic mich vars, and shall							
	S	Shoot height (Emerged)		S	Shoot height (Vigor)		S	Shoot weight (Vigor)	
Species	EC25	Confidence interval	Slope	EC25	Confidence interval	Slope	EC,51	Confidence interval	Slope
Onion	0.0035ª	0.00071 to 0.017	1.09	0.00017 b	4.6x10 <sup>-6</sup> to 0.0063	0.332	0.000065 <sup>b</sup>	2.3x10 <sup>-7</sup> to 0.018	0.498
Corm	0.0017 <sup>b</sup>	0.00082 to 0.0035	1.26	0.0036 <sup>b</sup>	0.0017 to 0.023	0.728	0.0026 <sup>b</sup>	0.0011-0.0064	1.33
Wheat	NR	NR	NR	1.0ª	0.65 to 1.6	0.944	0.70 <sup>b</sup>	0.31-1.6	2.14
Sorghum	0.019 <sup>b</sup>	0.011 to 0.032	1.18	0.039 <sup>b</sup>	0.024 to 0.064	0.958	0.0049	0.00059-0.04	0.742
Sugarbeet	0.00049 <sup>b</sup>	0.00018 to 0.0014	0.781	0.0019 <sup>b</sup>	0.00031 to 0.012	0.468	0.00027 <sup>b</sup>	5.3x10 <sup>-5</sup> to 0.0014	0.786
Soybean	0.022 <sup>b</sup>	0.013 to 0.036	1.77	0.00046 <sup>b</sup>	9.1x10 <sup>-5</sup> to 0.0023	0.765	0.00024 <sup>b</sup>	8.3x10 <sup>-5</sup> to 0.00071	=
Pea	0.0019	0.0004 to 0.0093	1.85	0.0022 <sup>b</sup>	0.00016 to 0.031	0.482	0.0014 <sup>b</sup>	8.7×10 <sup>-5</sup> to 0.021	0.665
Tomato	0.0016 <sup>b</sup>	0.00022 to 0.012	0.518	0.068 <sup>b</sup>	0.037 to 0.12	1.42	0.012*	0.0067 to 0.023	1.36
Rape	0.0017 <sup>b</sup>	0.00082 to 0.0035	1.26	0.0010 <sup>b</sup>	0.00032 to 0.0032	0.714	0.00022 <sup>b</sup>	3.2x10 <sup>-5</sup> to 0.0016	0.933
Cucumber	0.0055 b	0.0029 to 0.01	1.21	0.038	0.022 to 0.065	0.832	>0.0900 <sup>b</sup>	NA	NA
1001611		A/ : ~ ~ ~ L - +							

All NOEL and EC<sub>25</sub> values are reported in oz a.i./A.

NR- No results reported for this species.

The value determined by the reviewer was higher than the value reported by the study authors.

The value determined by the reviewer was lower than the value reported by the study authors.

# Seedling Emergence

Most sensitive monocot:

#### Corn

Most sensitive parameter: Shoot height

EC<sub>25</sub>: 0.0017 oz a.i./A NOEC: 0.000562 oz a.i./A

# Most sensitive dicot:

# Sugarbeet

Most sensitive parameter: Shoot height

EC<sub>25</sub>: 0.00049 oz a.i./A NOEC: 0.000109 oz a.i./A

# Vegetative Vigor

Most sensitive monocot:

#### Onion

Most sensitive parameter: Shoot weight

EC<sub>25</sub>: 0.000065 oz a.i./A NOEC: 0.000140 oz a.i./A

# Most sensitive dicot:

#### Rape

Most sensitive parameter: Shoot weight

 $EC_{25}$ : 0.000022 oz a.i./A

NOEC: 0.000140

## 14. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:

The reviewer's conclusions were generally identical those reported by the study authors. For seedling emergence, the reviewer determined that corn was the most sensitive monocot, based on shoot height. For vegetative vigor, the reviewer determined that rape was the most sensitive dicot, based on shoot weight. The reviewer determined that corn had a lower EC<sub>25</sub> than onion (for seedling emergence) and that rape had a lower EC<sub>25</sub> than soybean (for vegetative vigor), which were determined by the study author to be the most sensitive monocot and dicot in the seedling emergence and vegetative vigor tests. The reviewer's NOEL values for corn and cucumber emergent shoot height were also higher than those determined by the study author.

The maximum rate tested, 2.25 oz a.i./A, is the maximum labeled use rate on non-

cropland (p. 14). The maximum labeled use rate for cropland is 0.38 oz a.i./A. Not all species in all tests were exposed to the maximum application rate.

This study was conducted in accordance with USEPA Good Laboratory Practice Standards and includes a Quality Assurance statement.

# 15. OUTPUT FROM REVIEWER'S STATISTICAL VERIFICATION:

Shoot height (Emergent):

Onion

42587201

File: Oleonhd Transform: NO TRANSFORM

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isotor	nic	regression model)	TABLE 1 O	F 2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1	control	4	6.650	6.650	6.650
2	0.000109	4	6.550	6.550	6.550
3	0.000562	4	5.675	5.675	5.675
4	0.00281	4	4.325	4.325	4.325
5	0.0140	4	4.000	4.000	4.000
6	0.0702	4	1.200	1.200	1.200

42587201

File: Oleonhd Transform: NO TRANSFORM

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 C	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.000109 <b>0.000562</b> 0.00281 0.0140 0.0702	6.650 6.550 <b>5.675</b> 4.325 4.000 1.200	0.122 1.193 2.845 3.243 6.670	* * *	1.73 1.82 1.85 1.86 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Corn

42587201

File: Olecnhd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isotor	nic	regression model)	TABLE 1 OF	2
GRQUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5	control 0.000109 0.000562 0.00281 0.0140 0.0702	4 4 4 4 4	8.725 8.475 8.200 6.775 1.775	8.725 8.475 8.200 6.775 1.775	8.725 8.475 8.200 6.775 1.775

42587201

File: Olecnhd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2

	•	3			
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.000109 <b>0.000562</b> 0.00281 0.0140 0.0702	8.725 8.475 <b>8.200</b> 6.775 1.775	0.582 1.222 4.537 16.171 17.741	* *	1.73 1.82 1.85 1.86 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

s = 0.608

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Sorghum

42587201

File: Olesmhd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isoto	nic	regression model)	TABLE 1 O	F 2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1	control	4	18.800	18.800	18.888
2	0.000562	4	18.975	18.975	18.888
3	0.00281	4	18.700	18.700	18.700
4	0.0140	4	16.550	16.550	16.550
5	0.0702	4	8.575	8.575	8.575
6	0.351	4	4.325	4.325	4.325

42587201

File: Olesmhd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 C	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.000562 <b>0.00281</b> 0.0140 0.0702 0.351	18.888 18.888 <b>18.700</b> 16.550 8.575 4.325	0.094 0.107 2.414 10.971 15.531	* *	1.73 1.82 1.85 1.86 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

1.318

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Sugarbeet 42587201

File: Olesthd

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1	control	4	6.325	6.325	6.325
2	0.000109	4	5.900	5.900	5.900
3	0.000562	4	5.700	5.700	5.700
4	0.00281	4	2.925	2.925	2.925
5	0.0140	4	1.850	1.850	1.850
6	0.0702	4	1.275	1.275	1.275

42587201

File: Olesthd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Iso	tonic regression	model)	TABLE 2	OF	2
--------------------	------------------	--------	---------	----	---

IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.000109	6.325 <b>5.900</b>	1.464		1.73	k= 1, v=18
0.000562	5.700	2.153	*	1.82	k=2, v=18
0.00281	2.925	11.715	*	1.85	k=3, v=18
0.0140	1.850	15.419	*	1.86	k = 4, v = 18
0.0702	1.275	17.400	*	1.87	k = 5, v = 18

0.410

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Soybean

42587201

File: 01eshd

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1	control	4	6.750	6.750	6.917
2	0.000109	4	6.700	6.700	6.917
3	0.000562	4	7.300	7.300	6.917
4	0.00281	4	6.900	6.900	6.900
5	0.0140	4	5.825	5.825	5.825
· 6	0.0702	4	2.825	2.825	2.825

42587201

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION File: 01eshd

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 O	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.000109 0.000562 0.00281 <b>0.0140</b> 0.0702	6.917 6.917 6.917 6.900 <b>5.825</b> 2.825	0.306 0.306 0.275 <b>1.698</b> 7.205	*	1.73 1.82 1.85 <b>1.86</b> 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Pea

42587201

File: Olepahd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isotor	nic	regression model)	TABLE 1 OF	2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4	control 0.000562 0.00281 0.0140	4 4 4 4	7.450 7.350 4.825 1.375	7.450 7.350 4.825 1.375	7.450 7.350 4.825 1.375

42587201

File: Olepahd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 O	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.000562 0.00281 0.0140	7.450 <b>7.350</b> 4.825 1.375	0.108 2.827 6.542	*	1.78 1.87 1.90	k= 1, v=12 k= 2, v=12 k= 3, v=12

s = 1.313

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Tomato

42587201

File: Oletohd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isotor	nic	regression model	) TABLE 1 OF	7 2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5	control 0.000562 0.00281 0.0140 0.0702 0.351	4 4 4 4 4 4	7.325 7.575 5.550 3.525 2.950 2.800	7.325 7.575 5.550 3.525 2.950 2.800	7.450 7.450 5.550 3.525 2.950 2.800

42587201

File: Oletohd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 C	F 2
IDENTIFICATION .	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control . 0.000562 0.00281 0.0140 0.0702 0.351	7.450 7.450 5.550 3.525 2.950 2.800	0.253 3.590 7.685 8.848 9.151	* * *	1.73 1.82 1.85 1.86 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

s = 0.699

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Rape 42587201

File: Olerehd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isotor	nic	regression model	) TABLE 1	OF <u>2</u>
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5	control 0.000109 0.000562 0.00281 0.0140 0.0702	4 4 4 4 4	8.725 8.475 8.200 6.775 1.775	8.725 8.475 8.200 6.775 1.775	8.725 8.475 8.200 6.775 1.775 1.100

File: Olerehd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 O	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.000109 <b>0.000562</b> 0.00281 0.0140 0.0702	8.725 8.475 <b>8.200</b> 6.775 1.775	0.582 1.222 4.537 16.171 17.741	*. * *.	1.73 1.82 1.85 1.86 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

s = 0.608

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

## Cucumber

42587201

File: Olecrhd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

## ANOVA TABLE

•				
SOURCE	DF .	SS	MS	F
Between	5	193.893	38.779	45.409
Within (Error)	18	15.365	0.854	
Total	23	209.258		

Critical F value = 2.77 (0.05,5,18)
Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho:All groups equal

42587201

File: Olecrhd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	BONFERRONI T-TEST -	TABLE 1 OF 2	Ho:Contro	l <treatm< th=""><th>ent</th></treatm<>	ent
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG
1 2 3 <b>4</b> 5	control 0.000109 0.000562 0.00281 0.0140 0.0702	10.650 9.325 9.700 <b>9.175</b> 5.350 2.650	10.650 9.325 9.700 <b>9.175</b> 5.350 2.650	2.028 1.454 <b>2.257</b> 8.111 12.243	*

Bonferroni T table value = 2.55 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=18,5)

42587201

File: Olecrhd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	BONFERRONI T-TEST -	TABLE	2 OF 2	Ho:Contr	ol <treatment< th=""></treatment<>
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	control	4			
2	0.000109	4	1.668	15.7	1.325
3	0.000562	4	1.668	15.7	0.950
4	0.00281	4	1.668	15.7	1.475
5	0.0140	4	1.668	15.7	5.300
6	0.0702	4	1.668	15.7	8.000

## Shoot height (Vigor):

Onion 42587201

File: Olvonhd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isotor	nic	regression model	) TABLE 1 OF	2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5	control 0.000140 0.000720 0.00360 0.0180 0.900	4 4 4 4 4	43.700 41.400 36.150 19.225 18.225 16.100	43.700 41.400 36.150 19.225 18.225 16.100	43.700 41.400 36.150 19.225 18.225 16.100

42587201

File: Olvonhd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 O	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.000140 0.000720 0.00360 0.0180 0.900	43.700 <b>41.400</b> 36.150 19.225 18.225 16.100	0.810 2.657 8.614 8.966 9.714	* * *	1.73 1.82 1.85 1.86 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

4.018

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Corn

42587201

File: 01vcnhd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

GROUP ONIGINAL TIGHTS TO THE	
	ONIZED EAN
2 0.000720 4 137.900 137.900 13 3 0.00360 4 139.725 139.725 13 4 0.0180 4 82.325 82.325 8 5 0.0900 4 48.300 48.300	8.008 8.008 8.008 2.325 8.300 5.650

42587201

File: Olvcnhd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 O	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.000720 <b>0.00360</b> 0.0180 0.0900 0.450	138.008 138.008 <b>138.008</b> 82.325 48.300 45.650	0.347 0.347 11.675 19.020 19.593	* * *	1.73 1.82 1.85 1.86 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Wheat

42587201

File: 01vwthd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5	control 0.00360 0.0180 0.09 0.45 2.25	4 4 4 4 4	49.425 49.725 46.600 44.725 42.625 30.700	49.425 49.725 46.600 44.725 42.625 30.700	49.575 49.575 46.600 44.725 42.625 30.700

42587201

File: Olvwthd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 O	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.00360 <b>0.0180</b> 0.09 0.45 2.25	49.575 49.575 <b>46.600</b> 44.725 42.625 30.700	0.075 1.405 2.338 3.383 9.315	* * *	1.73 1.82 1.85 1.86 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

s = 2.843

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Sorghum

42587201

File: 01vsmhd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isotor	nic	regression model)	TABLE 1 (	OF 2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5	control 0.000720 0.00360 0.0180 0.090 0.450	4 4 4 4 4	83.600 82.275 83.400 70.425 52.300 31.350	83.600 82.275 83.400 70.425 52.300 31.350	83.600 82.838 82.838 70.425 52.300 31.350

42587201

File: Olvsmhd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 C	F_2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.000720 0.00360 0.0180 0.090 0.450	83.600 82.838 <b>82.838</b> 70.425 52.300 31.350	0.210 <b>0.210</b> 3.625 8.611 14.375	*	1.73 1.82 1.85 1.86 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

s = 5.140

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Sugarbeet

DP Barcode: D186337

42587201

File: 01vsthd

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isoton	nic	regression mode.	1) TABLE 1 O	F 2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1	control	4	34.600	34.600	35.188
$\frac{1}{2}$	0.000140	4	35.775	35.775	35.188
3	0.000720	4	32.225	32.225	32.225
4	0.0036	4	20.025	20.025	20.300
5	0.018	4	20.575	20.575	20.300
6	0.09	Δ	18.350	18.350	18.350

42587201

File: Olvsthd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 O	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.000140 0.000720 0.0036 0.018 0.09	35.188 <b>35.188</b> 32.225 20.300 20.300 18.350	0.472 1.907 11.484 11.484 13.050	* * *	. 1.73 1.82 1.85 1.86 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

s = 1.761

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Soybean

42587201

File: 01vsnhd

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isotor	nic	regression model	) TABLE 1 O	F 2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5	control 0.000140 0.000720 0.00360 0.0180 0.09	4 4 4 4 4 4	49.500 48.100 48.650 18.125 11.050 11.375	49.500 48.100 48.650 18.125 11.050 11.375	49.500 48.375 48.375 18.125 11.213 11.213

File: Olvsnhd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 C	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.000140 <b>0.000720</b> 0.00360 0.0180 0.09	49.500 48.375 <b>48.375</b> 18.125 11.213	0.778 <b>0.778</b> 21.703 26.485 26.485	* *	1.73 1.82 1.85 1.86 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

s = 2.044

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Pea

42587201

File: Olvpahd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5	control 0.000720 0.00360 0.0180 0.09 0.45	4 4 4 4 4	21.350 23.125 15.500 9.900 10.200 8.800	21.350 23.125 15.500 9.900 10.200 8.800	22.238 22.238 15.500 10.050 10.050 8.800

42587201

File: 01vpahd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2

ISOTONIZED CALC. SIG TABLE DEGRE

IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED	CALC.	SIG	TABLE	DEGREES OF
	MEAN	WILLIAMS	P=.05	WILLIAMS	FREEDOM
control 0.000720 0.00360 0.0180 0.09 0.45	22.238 22.238 15.500 10.050 10.050 8.800	0.577 3.806 7.351 7.351 8.165	* * *	1.73 1.82 1.85 1.86 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4; v=18 k= 5, v=18

s = 2.174

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Tomato 42587201

File: Olvtohd Transform: NO TRANSFORM

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isotor	nic	regression model	) TABLE 1	OF 2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5	control 0.000720 0.00360 0.0180 0.09	4 4 4 4 4	47.925 43.400 39.200 40.500 30.875 13.525	47.925 43.400 39.200 40.500 30.875 13.525	47.925 43.400 39.850 39.850 30.875 13.525

42587201

File: 01vtohd Transform: NO TRANSFORM

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 O	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.000720 0.00360 0.0180 0.09 0.45	47.925 <b>43.400</b> 39.850 39.850 30.875 13.525	1.362 2.430 2.430 5.131 10.352	* * *	1.73 1.82 1.85 1.86 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

s = 4.700

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Rape

42587201

File: Olvrehd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isoto	nic	regression model;	TABLE 1 OF	F 2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5	control 0.000140 0.000720 0.00360 0.0180 0.09	4 4 4 4 4 4	35.175 36.375 33.395 20.725 12.275 11.075	35.175 36.375 33.395 20.725 12.275 11.075	35.775 35.775 33.395 20.725 12.275 11.075

42587201

File: Clvrehd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

DP Barcode: D186337

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2 \_\_\_\_\_\_ ISOTONIZED CALC. SIG TABLE DEGREES OF MEAN WILLIAMS P=.05 WILLIAMS FREEDOM IDENTIFICATION 35.775 control 0.000140 35.775 1.75 1.82 35.775 0.479 33.395 1.422 k = 1, v = 18.00360 20.725 11.542 \*
0.0180 12.275 18.291 \*
0.09 11.075 19.249 \* k = 2, v = 180.000720 1.85 1.86 1.87 k = 3, v = 180.00360 k = 4, v = 180.0180 k = 5, v = 18

s = 1.771

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Cucumber

42587201

File: Olvcrhd Transform: NO TRANSFORM

TABLE 1 OF 2 WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) ORIGINAL TRANSFORMED ISOTONIZED IDENTIFICATION N MEAN MEAN MEAN 
 control
 4
 145.475
 145.475

 0.000140
 4
 137.525
 137.525

 0.000720
 4
 139.250
 139.250

 0.00360
 4
 135.200
 135.200

 0.0180
 4
 116.513
 116.513

 0.09
 4
 91.325
 91.325
 145.475 138.388 138.388 3 135.200 116.513 4 5

42587201

File: Olycrhd Transform: NO TRANSFORM

WILLIAMS TEST	(İsotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 O	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.000140 0.000720 <b>0.00360</b> 0.0180 0.09	145.475 138.388 138.388 <b>135.200</b> 116.513 91.325	1.061 1.061 <b>1.537</b> 4.334 8.103	*	1.73 1.82 <b>1.85</b> 1.86 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Shoot weight (Vigor):

Onion 42587201

File: Olvonwd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2 ORIGINAL TRANSFORMED ISOTONIZED
MEAN MEAN MEAN GROUP MEAN IDENTIFICATION N MEAN خدر حدر حدر \_\_\_\_\_ control 4 0.253 0.000140 4 0.197 0.000720 4 0.150 0.253 0.253 0.197 0.000140 4 0.197 0.150 0.150 0.000720 4 3 0.0036 4 0.055 0.0180 4 0.115 0.09 4 0.040 0.055 0.085 0.115 0.040 0.085 0.040 6

42587201

File: 01vonwd

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 O	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.000140 0.000720 0.0036 0.0180 0.09	0.253 0.197 0.150 0.085 0.085 0.040	1.141 2.126 3.474 3.474 4.407	* * *	1.73 1.82 1.85 1.86 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

s = 0.068

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Corn

42587201

File: 01vcrwd

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isotor	nic	regression model	) TABLE 1 OF	2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4	control 0.000140 0.000720 0.00360	4 4 4	12.603 12.935 12.325 13.133 12.140	12.603 12.935 12.325 13.133 12.140	12.769 12.769 12.729 12.729 12.140
6	0.0180	4 4	9.683	9.683	9.683

42587201

File: Olvcrwd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 O	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.000140 0.000720 0.00360 0.0180 0.09	12.769 12.769 12.729 12.729 12.140 9.683	0.167 0.127 0.127 <b>0.464</b> 2.930	*	1.73 1.82 1.85 <b>1.86</b> 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

s = 1.410

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Wheat

42587201

File: 01vwtwd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isotor	nic	regression model	) TABLE 1 OF	2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1	control	4	0.802	0.802	0.870
2	0.00360	4	0.830	0.830	0.870
3	0.018	4	0.970	0.970	0.870
4	0.09	4	0.878	0.878	0.870
5	0.45	4	0.747	0.747	0.747
6	2.25	4	0.295	0.295	0.295

42587201

File: 01vwtwd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 O	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.00360 0.018 0.09 <b>0.45</b> 2.25	0.870 0.870 0.870 0.870 <b>0.747</b> 0.295	0.513 0.513 0.513 0.418 3.861	*	1.73 1.82 1.85 <b>1.86</b> 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

s = 0.186

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Sorghum

42587201

File: Olvsmwd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isoton	ic	regression model)	TABLE 1	OF	2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN		ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5	control 0.00072 0.0036 0.0180 0.09 0.45	4 4 4 4 4 4	4.195 3.092 2.917 2.560 1.758 0.688	4.195 3.092 2.917 2.560 1.758 0.688		4.195 3.092 2.917 2.560 1.758 0.688

42587201

File: Olvsmwd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 C	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.00072 0.0036 0.0180 0.09 0.45	4.195 3.092 2.917 2.560 1.758 0.688	1.956 2.267 2.901 4.325 6.223	* * * *	1.73 1.82 1.85 1.86 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Sugarbeet

42587201

File: Olvstwd Transform: NO TRANSFORM

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isoto	nic 	regression model	L) TABLE 1 O	F 2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1	control	.4	2.965	2.965	3.030
2	0.000140	4	3.095	3.095	3.030
3	0.000720	4	2.490	2.490	2.490
4	0.00360	4	0.710	0.710	0.710
5	0.0180	4	0.655	0.655	0.655
6	0.09	4	0.493	0.493	0.493

42587201

File: Olvstwd Transform: NO TRANSFORM

(Tastonia regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2

ISOTONIZED   CALC.   SIG   TABLE   DEGREES OF	WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 U	r
0.000140       3.030       0.389       1.73       k= 1, v=18         0.000720       2.490       2.845       *       1.82       k= 2, v=18         0.00360       0.710       13.504       *       1.85       k= 3, v=18         0.0180       0.655       13.833       *       1.86       k= 4, v=18	IDENTIFICATION					
	<b>0.000140</b> 0.000720 0.00360	3.030 2.490 0.710	2.845 13.504	*	1.82 1.85 1.86	k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18

s = 0.236

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Soybean

42587201

File: 01vsnwd

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isoton	ic	regression model)	TABLE 1	OF 2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5	control 0.000140 0.000720 0.00360 0.0180 0.09	4 4 4 4 4	5.893 5.648 4.280 0.983 0.378 0.293	5.893 5.648 4.280 0.983 0.378 0.293	5.893 5.648 4.280 0.983 0.378 0.293

42587201

File: Olvsnwd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2

ISOTONIZED CALC. SIG TABLE DEGREES OF WILLIAMS P=.05 WILLIAMS FREEDOM

control 5.893
0.000140 5.648 0.678 1.73 k= 1, v=18
0.000720 4.280 4.463 \* 1.82 k= 2, v=18
0.00360 0.983 13.590 \* 1.85 k= 3, v=18
0.0180 0.378 15.265 \* 1.86 k= 4, v=18
0.09 0.293 15.500 \* 1.87 k= 5, v=18

s = 0.511

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Pea

42587201

Transform: NO TRANSFORM File: 01vpawd

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isotor	nic	regression model	) TABLE 1 OF	2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4	control 0.000720 0.0036 0.018 0.09 0.45	4 4 4 4 4	1.623 2.153 1.000 0.630 0.443 0.445	1.623 2.153 1.000 0.630 0.443	1.888 1.888 1.000 0.630 0.444 0.444

42587201

File: Olvpawd Transform: NO TRANSFORM

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 O	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.000720 0.0036 0.018 0.09 0.45	1.888 1.888 1.000 0.630 0.444 0.444	1.266 2.974 4.742 5.632 5.632	* * *	1.73 1.82 1.85 1.86 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

0.296

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Tomato

42587201

File: 01vtowd

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isoton	ic	regression model	TABLE 1 O	F 2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5	control 0.00072 0.0036 0.018 0.09 0.45	4 4 4 4 4 4	11.300 9.945 9.372 7.415 3.228 0.775	11.300 9.945 9.372 7.415 3.228	11.300 9.945 9.372 7.415 3.228 0.775

42587201

File: 01vtowd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 OF	2
	IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
. <del></del>	control 0.00072 0.0036 0.018	11.300 <b>9.945</b> 9.372 7.415 3.228	1.605 2.283 4.601 9.561	* * *	1.73 1.82 1.85 1.86	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18
	0.45	0.775	12.465	*	1.87	k= 5, v=18

s = 1.194

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Rape

42587201

File: Olvrewd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

#### ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	5	33.598	6,720	64.000
Within (Error)	17	1.790	0.105	
Total	22	35.388		

Critical F value = 2.81 (0.05, 5, 17)Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho:All groups equal

42587201

File: Olvrewd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	BONFERRONI T-TEST -	TABLE 1 OF 2	Ho:Contro	l <treatm< th=""><th>ent </th></treatm<>	ent 
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG
1	control 0.000140	2.990 3.1 <b>53</b>	2.990 <b>3.153</b>	-0.660	ž
<u>4</u> غ	0.000140	2.213	2.213	3.393	*
4	0.0036	0.753	0.753	9.765	*
5	0.018	0.198	0.198	12.187	*
6	0.09	0.327	0.327	11.620	*

Bonferroni T table value = 2.57 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=17,5)

42587201

File: Olvrewd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	BONFERRONI T-TEST -	TABLE	2 OF 2	Ho:Contr	ol <treatment< th=""></treatment<>
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1 2 3 4	control 0.000140 0.00072 0.0036	4 3 4 4	0.635 0.588 0.588 0.588	21.2 19.7 19.7 19.7	-0.163 0.778 2.238 2.793
5 6	0.018 0.09	4 4	0.588	19.7	2.663

Cucumber

42587201

File: Olvcrwd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (	Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE	1 (	ΟF	2
-----------------	----------	------------	--------	-------	-----	----	---

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5	control 0.000140 0.000720 0.00360 0.0180 0.09	4 4 4 4 4	12.603 12.935 12.325 13.133 12.140 9.683	12.603 12.935 12.325 13.133 12.140 9.683	12.769 12.769 12.729 12.729 12.140 9.683

42587201

File: 01vcrwd Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 C	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.000140 0.000720 0.00360 <b>0.0180</b> 0.09	12.769 12.769 12.729 12.729 <b>12.140</b> 9.683	0.167 0.127 0.127 <b>0.464</b> 2.930	*	1.73 1.82 1.85 <b>1.86</b> 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

s = 1.410

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.